

PROJECTIONS OF SEMI-ANALYTIC SETS

A. M. Gabriélov

INTRODUCTION

In this paper we shall study sets which are the images of real semi-analytic sets under analytic mappings.

It is known that the image of a complex analytic set under a proper mapping is an analytic set.

On the other hand, according to the Tarski-Seidenberg principle, the image of a real semi-algebraic set under any algebraic mapping is a semi-algebraic set.

An analogous assertion is not true for the images of real semi-analytic sets, even relative to compacta.* However, for some problems in analysis, it is necessary to have information about such sets (called, in this paper, \mathcal{P} sets).

The fundamental result of this paper is, essentially, that the class of \mathcal{P} -sets is closed with respect to set-theoretical operations (specifically, with respect to taking the complement; the others are trivial). On the other hand, it is known that each \mathcal{P} set on the plane is a semi-analytic set (see [1]). This makes it possible to study the metric properties of \mathcal{P} sets by using the Puiseux expansion. In particular, it is possible to establish regular expandability, the Whitney property (near points of a closed \mathcal{P} set can be joined by short curves), etc.

Another use of the basic theorem is the proof of the finitude of the number of connected components of a \mathcal{P} set, depending on a parameter.

In this paper, we also give a local description of a \mathcal{P} set, similar to the local descriptions of analytic sets.

For our proof, we make essential use of the results of Lojasiewicz [1] as they apply to semi-analytic sets.

1. Definitions, Notation, Results

Set L in n -dimensional real space \mathbb{R}^n , is said to be semi-analytic if, in the neighborhood of each point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ it is the finite union of sets of the form $\{f_i(x) = 0, g_j(x) > 0, i = 1, \dots, i_0, j = 1, \dots, j_0\}$ where f_i and g_j are analytic functions in the neighborhood of point x_0 . We give the name \mathcal{P} set to a set in n -dimensional space of the form $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists y \in \mathbb{R}^m: (x, y) \in L\}$, where L is a relatively compact semi-analytic set in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} .

Note. It is obvious that the image of a relatively compact semi-analytic set under any analytic mapping is a \mathcal{P} set.

The dimension of a \mathcal{P} set in n -dimensional space is the number k such that the image of this set upon projection onto some k -dimensional subspace is dense somewhere, whereas the image upon projection upon any $(k + 1)$ -dimensional subspace is a nowhere dense set.

In the sequel, we shall consider only semi-analytic sets of the form $\{x \in I^n \mid f_i(x) = 0, g_j(x) > 0\}$, where $I^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x_i - x_i^0| \leq \varepsilon\}$ is some cube in \mathbb{R}^n . This limitation is unessential, since any relatively compact semi-analytic set can be represented in the form of a finite union of sets of the specified form. In this case, the corresponding \mathcal{P} sets have the form $\{x \in I^n \mid \exists y \in I^m: f_i(x, y) = 0, g_j(x, y) > 0\}$.

*It is simple to obtain counterexamples from the remarks to Theorem 10 of Chapter 4 of [2].

Moscow State University. Translated from *Funktional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya*, Vol. 2, No. 4, pp. 18-30, October-December, 1968. Original article submitted March 20, 1968.

If M is a \mathcal{P} set in cube I^n , we then denote by cM (the complement to M) the set $I^n \setminus M$. If $I^n = I^k \times I^{n-k}$, where $x' = x_1, \dots, x_k$ is the coordinate in I^k and $x'' = x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n$ is the coordinate in I^{n-k} , we then denote by $(x'')_{x_0'}$, $x_0' \in I^k$, the subset $\{x_0'\} \times I^{n-k}$ in I^n . If M is some set in I^n , we then denote by $M_{x_0'}(x'')$ the set $M \cap (x'')_{x_0'}$.

In the sequel we shall frequently not specify explicitly the cube, I^n , in which some set or another is contained. In such cases, when we say, for example, that set M is dense in R^n , we understand that M is dense in the corresponding cube I^n .

The image of a set under the projection $R^{m+n} \rightarrow R^n$ will usually be called simply the projection of this set.

We shall need the following properties of semi-analytic sets [1]:

(1) Unions and intersections of semi-analytic sets are semi-analytic sets.

(2) The closure of a semi-analytic set is a semi-analytic set.

(3) Each semi-analytic set is the locally finite union of connected semi-analytic sets (its connected components).

(4) Any two points, a_1 and a_2 , of a connected semi-analytic set $L \subset R^n$ can be joined by a semi-analytic curve. This means that there exists some embedding $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow L$ whose image is a semi-analytic set in R^n , with $f(0) = a_1$ and $f(1) = a_2$. We note that a semi-analytic curve is analytic everywhere with the exception of a finite number of points.

(5) The image of a relative compact one-dimensional semi-analytic set under an analytic mapping is a semi-analytic set.

(6) The image of a relatively compact semi-analytic set under projection onto a two-dimensional plane is a semi-analytic set.

(7) A closed semi-analytic set in the neighborhood of each point has the form $L = \bigcup_{k=0}^{k_0} L_k$, where $L_k = \{x | f_{ik}(x) = 0, g_{jk}(x) \geq 0\}$.

From these enumerated properties there immediately flow the following properties of \mathcal{P} sets, which we shall henceforth utilize without explicit citation:

(1) Unions and intersections of \mathcal{P} sets are \mathcal{P} sets.

(2) The closure of a \mathcal{P} set is a \mathcal{P} set.

(3) Each \mathcal{P} set is the union of a finite number of connected \mathcal{P} sets (its connected components).

(4) Any two points of a connected \mathcal{P} set can be joined by a semi-analytic curve. (This follows from properties (4) and (5) of semi-analytic sets.)

(5) Each \mathcal{P} set in R^2 is a semi-analytic set.

(6) A closed \mathcal{P} set is the projection of a compact analytic set (since a semi-analytic set of the form $\{f_i = 0, g_j \geq 0\}$ is the projection of the set $\{f_i(x) = 0, g_j(x) = \xi_j^2\}$).

In this paper, we shall prove the following assertions:

THEOREM 1. The complement of a \mathcal{P} set is a \mathcal{P} set.

COROLLARY 1. Let $M(x, \xi)$ be a \mathcal{P} set in R^{n+k} , $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$. Let $N(\xi)$ be the number of connected components of set $M_\xi(x)$. Then, $N(\xi) < N$, where N is some constant which does not depend on ξ .

THEOREM 2. In cube I^n of space R^n let there be chosen a basis $\{x' = (x_1, \dots, x_k), x'' = (x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)\}$, and let M be a k -dimensional \mathcal{P} set in I^n . There then exist open \mathcal{P} sets Ω_p ($p = 0, \dots, N$) in space (x') such that:

(1) $\bigcup_p \Omega_p$ is everywhere dense in I^k ;

(2) set $M \cap \{\Omega_0 \times X(x^n)\}$ is vacuous;

(3) set $M \cap \{\Omega_p \times (x^n)\}$ ($p = 1, \dots, N$) coincides with the set $\{x' \in \Omega_p, x_{k+j} = \bar{f}_{pj}(x'), j = 1, \dots, n-k\}$ where \bar{f}_{pj} is a p -valued analytic function in Ω_p .

COROLLARY 2. Each \mathcal{P} set is the union of a finite number of locally analytic \mathcal{P} sets (i.e., of sets which are analytic in the neighborhood of each of their points).

To prove these assertions, we require the following auxiliary assertions.

LEMMA 1. In an $(n+1)$ -dimensional space, let there be chosen a basis $\{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), t\}$, and let M be a \mathcal{P} set in the semi-space $t > 0$, nowhere dense in the neighborhood of the space $t = 0$. (This means that, in each neighborhood of each point of $(x, 0)$, we can find a point (x^1, t) , $t > 0$, some neighborhood of which does not contain a point of set M .) Then, set $\bar{M} \cap \{t = 0\}$ is nowhere dense in the space $t = 0$.

LEMMA 2. A \mathcal{P} set whose complement is everywhere dense is itself a set which is nowhere dense.

LEMMA 3. Let $L = \{x, z \mid f(x, z) = 0\}$ ($x = x_1, \dots, x_n; z = z_1, \dots, z_m$) be an analytic set, and let the projection of set L onto space (x) be a set which is dense somewhere. Then, one of the two following statements holds:

(a) there exists a proper analytic subset, L' , of set L such that the projection of $L \setminus L'$ on (x) is a nowhere dense set.

(b) It is possible so to specify set L by analytic functions f_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, that the analytic set

$$L'' = \left\{ (x, z) \in L \mid \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \text{grad } f_i \\ x_j \end{pmatrix} < k - n \right\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, k; j = 1, \dots, n)$$

will be a proper subset of set L .

ASSERTION W_n . Let M be a closed, nowhere dense, \mathcal{P} set in n -dimensional space. In this space we single out variable x_n , denoting the remaining variables by (x') . Then, there exists a constant N , as well as a \mathcal{P} set Ω , everywhere dense in space (x') , such that each set $M_x, (x_n), x' \in \Omega$, contains not more than N points.

ASSERTION P_n . The complement to a closed and nowhere dense \mathcal{P} set in n -dimensional space contains a \mathcal{P} set which is everywhere dense in this space.

ASSERTION T_n . The complement to a closed \mathcal{P} set in n -dimensional space is a \mathcal{P} set.

2. Proofs

LEMMA 1. We pursue the proof by induction on n . For $n = 0$, the assertion is obvious (since a \mathcal{P} set consists of a finite number of connected components). We assume the assertion to be true for $n-1$. Let M be a \mathcal{P} set in the $(n+1)$ -dimensional space (x, t) which satisfies the conditions of the Lemma, its closure being everywhere dense in the space $t = 0$. (In case $\bar{M} \cap \{t = 0\}$ is everywhere dense only in some open set $\Omega' \subset (x)$, we replace \mathbb{R}^n by some cube which is contained in Ω' .) We denote by x' the variables x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} . We produce all the possible subspaces $(x', t)_{x_n}$, and close each of them from the sets $M_{x_n}(x', t)$. We denote by M' the intersection of the set thus obtained with the space $t = 0$. In space $t = 0$, we produce all the possible lines $(x_n)_{x'}$. Assume that, on some line $(x_n)_{x'_0}$, there is a countable number of points not lying in M' . Consider then the set $N = \{x_n, \varepsilon \mid \exists x', t: (x', x_n, t) \in M, |(x', t) - (x'_0, t)| < \varepsilon\}$. Set N is a \mathcal{P} set on the plane and, consequently, is a semi-analytic set. By assumption, the set ${}^c N \cap \{\varepsilon > 0\}$ (which will also be semi-analytic) contains a countable number of points with different coordinates x_n . Consequently, there exist two points, (x_n^1, ε^1) and (x_n^2, ε^2) , $(x_n^1 \neq x_n^2)$, lying in one connected component of this set. We join these points by a curve in ${}^c N \cap \{\varepsilon > 0\}$. Then, the space under this curve does not contain points of set \bar{N} and, consequently, segment $[x_n^1, x_n^2]$ on the line $x' = x'_0, t = 0$ does not contain points of set \bar{M} , contradicting the assumption that $\bar{M} \cap \{t = 0\}$ is everywhere dense.

We may thus assume that, on each line $(x_n)_{x'}$, there exists only a finite number of points which are not in set M' . Let us number the rational points of space (x') in the sequence $\{a_k\}$. Let $P'_k = \{x_n \mid (a_k, x_n) \in {}^c M_{a_k}(x_n)\}$, $P_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^k P'_i$. Each set P_k consists of a finite number of points. Consider some space $(x')_{x_n}$, where $x_n \in \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k \right)$. All the rational points of this space lie in M' , so that set $M_{x_n}(x', t)$ does not satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1, and we can find, in space $(x')_{x_n}$, an everywhere dense set, C , of points in some

neighborhood of each of which in the semi-space $\{x', t | t > 0\}$ set $M_{x_n}(x', t)$ is dense. (If set C is not dense somewhere, Lemma 1 can be applied to a neighborhood not containing points of set C .) Since set C is open, its complement is nowhere dense. We now can find point $x_n^* \in \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k\right)$ such that, in space $(x')_{x_n^*}$, set ${}^c C_{x_n^*}(x')$ will be everywhere dense, which leads us to a contradiction.

In space $t = 0$, consider the set C_k of points (x^0, x_n^0) for which $M_{x_n^0}(x')$ is dense in the neighborhoods $\{(x', t | t > 0, |(x', t) - (x_j^0, 0)| < 1/k)\}$. Each of sets C_k is nowhere dense in space $t = 0$. Indeed, if C_k were dense in some open set, then M would be dense over each point of this set, contradicting the assumption that M satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1.

We now choose point x_n^1 in the following way. Initially, we find a point $x_n^1 \in {}^c P_1$ such that, in a 1-neighborhood of point a_1 in space $(x')_{x_n^1}$, there exists a point b_{11} in some close neighborhood of which in space $t = 0$ no points of set C_1 are contained. By moving point x_n^1 about a bit, we obtain a closed segment on the x_n axis whose points satisfy the same conditions as x_n^1 .

On the k -th step, let the closed segment of set ${}^c P_k$ we have found be such that the following conditions hold for each point, x_n^k , of this segment; in space $(x')_{x_n^k}$, there exist points $b_{ij}^k (i = 1, \dots, k; j = 1, \dots, k)$ such that $|b_{ij}^k - a_j| < 1/i$, and, in some closed neighborhood of each of the points b_{ij}^k in space (x) no points of set C_k are contained. It is obvious that one can find, within an already found segment on the x_n axis, a segment contained in ${}^c P_{k+1}$ on which the same conditions will hold with k replaced by $k + 1$, whereby, for $i, j = 1, \dots, k$, the neighborhoods of points b_{ij}^{k+1} will be contained in the previously found neighborhoods of points b_{ij}^k .

The point x_n^* , common to all the segments constructed, will also be the point we are seeking.

LEMMA 2. Let M be a \mathcal{P} set such that ${}^c M$ is an everywhere dense set. We can assume that $M = \{x | \exists y: f_i(x, y) = 0, g_j(x, y) > 0\}$. Consider the set $M' = \{x, \varepsilon | \exists y: f_i(x, y) = 0, g_j(x, y) \geq \varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0\}$. It is clear that, if $x \in {}^c M$, $\varepsilon > 0$, then some neighborhood of point (x, ε) is contained in ${}^c M'$. Consequently, M' satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1, and $\overline{M'} \cap \{\varepsilon = 0\}$ is a nowhere dense set. But $\overline{M'} \cap \{\varepsilon = 0\} = \overline{M}$, and the assertion is proven.

LEMMA 3. We define set L by the functions $f_i (i = 1, \dots, k)$ such that the vectors $\text{grad } f_i$ will be linearly dependent only on some proper subset $L_0 \subset L$. We can assume that the projection of set $L \setminus L_0$ on (x) is a set which is dense somewhere, otherwise we could choose $L' = L_0$.

Let $A_p = \left(\frac{\text{grad } f_i}{x_j}\right) (i = 1, \dots, k; j = 1, \dots, p)$ be an $(m+n) \times (k+p)$ matrix, $L_p = \{x, z | \text{rk } A_p < k + p\}$. If $L' = L_n$ is a proper subset, the assertion is proven.

Let $L_n = L$. We then select p such that the projection of set $L \setminus L_p$ on (x) is a set which is somewhere dense, while the projection of $L \setminus L_{p+1}$ on (x) is a nowhere dense set. We now show then that L_{p+1} is a proper subset, so that we can choose $L' = L_{p+1}$. Indeed, let $L_{p+1} = L$. Then, since the projection of set $L \setminus L_p$ on space (x) is dense somewhere, we can find, by virtue of Lemma 2, on some line $(x_{p+1})_{x_1^0, \dots, x_p^0}$ a segment parallel to the x_{p+1} axis, lying in the projection of $L \setminus L_p$ on the space (x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}) . Consequently, we can find two different points, a_1 and a_2 , on this segment lying in the projection of singly connected component, \mathcal{M} , of the set $(L \setminus L_p)_{x_1^0, \dots, x_p^0}(x_{p+1}, \dots, x_n, z)$. We select one point each in the preimages of points a_1 and a_2 in \mathcal{M} , and join them by a semi-analytic curve, λ , in \mathcal{M} . Let l be the unit tangent vector to this curve. Since the vectors $\text{grad } f_i, x_1, \dots, x_p$ are linearly dependent at all points of our curve, the vector x_{p+1} can be expressed in terms of the vectors $\text{grad } f_i, x_1, \dots, x_p$ and, since vector l , lying in the tangent space to $L_{x_1^0, \dots, x_p^0}(x_{p+1}, \dots, x_n, z)$, is orthogonal to all these vectors, then $(l, x_{p+1}) = 0$ at each point of the curve. But $\left| \int_{\lambda} (l, x_{p+1}) d\lambda \right| = |a_1 - a_2| \neq 0$, which leads to a contradiction.

Assertions W_n, P_n , and T_n . The proofs are by induction on n in the following manner: $T_{n-1}, W_{n-1} \Rightarrow W_n, T_{n-1}, W_n \Rightarrow P_n, P_n \Rightarrow T_n$ (for $n = 1$, all three Assertions are obvious).

$T_{n-1}, W_{n-1} \Rightarrow W_n$. Since M is closed, we can consider it to be the projection of some analytic set, L , from the $(n+m)$ -dimensional space (x, y) .

We first single out the \mathcal{P} set Ω_1 in space (x') in the following way: ${}^c\Omega_1 = \overline{N \cap \{\varepsilon > 0\}} \cap \{\varepsilon = 0\}$, where

$$N = \{x', \varepsilon | \exists x_n^1, x_n^2: (x', x_n^i) \in M, |x_n^1 - x_n^2| = \varepsilon\}.$$

The set of points x' for which set $M_{x'}(x_n)$ contains only a finite number of points is everywhere dense in space (x') (it is not hard to prove that, otherwise, M would not be nowhere dense). Consequently, set cN is everywhere dense, while N , by virtue of Lemma 2, is nowhere dense, and set $N \cap \{\varepsilon > 0\}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1, from which it follows that ${}^c\Omega_1$ is nowhere dense, while Ω_1 is a \mathcal{P} set which is everywhere dense in (x') .

Each set $M_{x'}(x_n)$, $x \in \Omega_1$, contains no more than a finite number of points. Assume that Assertion W_n does not hold for set M , i.e., that for each K the set

$$M^K = \{x' \in \Omega_1 | \exists x_n^1, \dots, x_n^K: x_n^i \neq x_n^j, (x', x_n^i) \in M\}$$

is non-vacuous and is dense somewhere in space (x') .

In space (x') , we single out variable x_{n-1} , we denote the variables x_1, \dots, x_{n-2} by x'' , and the variables x_{n-1}, x_n by x''' . In space (x'') , we choose the everywhere dense \mathcal{P} set Ω_2 such that, for all $x'' \in \Omega_2$, the set $({}^c\Omega_1)_{x''}(x_{n-1})$ contains only a finite number of points (the construction is analogous to that of set Ω_1).

Each set $M_{x''}^1(x''') = (M \cap \{\Omega_1 \times (x_n)\})_{x''}(x''')$ consists of a finite number of connected components which can be either isolated points or semi-analytic curves, subject to a one-to-one single valued projection into $(x_{n-1})_{x''}$. Consider the ends of these curves. They may lie, either in set M' (closed ends), or in set ${}^c\Omega_1 \times (x_n)$ (unclosed ends). Let $\Omega_{x''}(x''')$ be the set of isolated points and closed ends in $M_{x''}^1(x''')$. If, for some K_0 , the set $Q_{K_0} = \{x'' | \text{more than } K_0 \text{ points are contained in } Q_{x''}(x''')\}$ is nowhere dense then, for each K , there exists a set, Q_K^1 , somewhere dense in (x''') , such that, for $x'' \in Q_K^1$, there exist in set $M_{x''}^1(x''')$ more than K semi-analytic curves projected onto some interval $(x_{n-1}^1, x_{n-1}^2)_{x''}$:

$$x_{n-1}^1, x_{n-1}^2 \in ({}^c\Omega_1)_{x''}(x_{n-1}).$$

Let

$$M'' = \left\{ x'', x_n | x'' \in \Omega_2, \exists x_{n-1}: (x'', x_{n-1}, x_n) \in M', \exists x_{n-1}^1, x_{n-1}^2 \in {}^c\Omega_1: \frac{x_{n-1}^1 + x_{n-1}^2}{2} = x_{n-1} \right\}.$$

Set M'' is nowhere dense in (x'', x_n) (since ${}^cM''$ is everywhere dense) and, for each K , there exists a set Ω_K^1 , somewhere dense in (x''') , such that $\overline{M_{x''}^1(x''')}(x'' \in \Omega_K^1)$ contains more than K points, which leads to a contradiction to Assertion W_{n-1} .

Hence, we assume that, for all K , the set Q_K is dense somewhere in (x''') . Now, we construct a proper analytic subset, L^* , in L for which each set Q_K^* (defined analogously to Q_K) will be dense somewhere in (x''') (or we arrive at a contradiction with W_{n-1}). The Assertion will be thereby proven, since we cannot continue to decrease set L indefinitely.

We now make use of Lemma 3 for $x = x''$, $z = (x''', y)$. If (a) holds, we can set $L^* = L'$. Let (b) hold. It can be assumed that the projection, S , of the set $L \setminus L'$ on space (x') is dense somewhere. Indeed, let set S be dense nowhere. Then, we can either set $L^* = L''$ or, for each K , the set $\{x'' | ((S \times x_n) \cap M')_{x''}(x''')$ contains more than K points $\}$ will be dense somewhere in (x''') , after which one can easily proceed to a contradiction to W_{n-1} . Consequently, it can be assumed that S is dense somewhere in (x') , and that there exists a point x_0'' such that curve λ_0 is contained in the projection of set $(L \setminus L'')_{x_0''}(x''', y)$ onto space (x''') .

Consider the set

$$L''' = \left\{ (x, y) \in L \mid \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \text{grad } f_i \\ x_j'' \\ x_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} < k+n-1, \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \text{grad } f_i \\ x_j'' \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} < k+n-1 \right\} \\ (i=1, \dots, k; j=1, \dots, n-2).$$

In the projection, S' , of this set onto space (x) , set Q is contained. Indeed, set $L \setminus L'''$ contains only those points of set L at which the tangent space, T , to L exists and for which $T_{x''}(x''', y)$ does not traverse the point upon projection onto $(x''')_{x''}$. Consequently, the projection of set $(L \setminus L''')_{x''}(x''', y)$ onto space $(x''')_{x''}$ does not intersect $Q_{x''}(x''')$ and, even more so, $Q_{x''}(x''')$ is contained in the projection of set $L'''_{x''}(x''')$.

We now show that L^m is a proper subset of L . Let $L^m = L$. On curve λ_0 we choose two different points, a_1 and a_2 , lying in the projection of one singly connected component, \mathcal{M} , of set $(L \setminus L^m)_{x_0^n}(x^m, y)$. We choose one point in each of the preimages of points a_1 and a_2 in \mathcal{M} , and we join these selected points by the semi-analytic curve λ in \mathcal{M} . Let l be the unit tangent vector to λ . Then, on the one hand, $l_{n-1} = l_n = 0$ at each point of curve λ and, on the other hand, $\left| \int_{\lambda} (l_{n-1}, l_n) d\lambda \right| = |a_1 - a_2| \neq 0$. We have arrived at a contradiction.

$T_{n-1}, W_n \Rightarrow P_n$. Let M be a closed, nowhere dense, \mathcal{P} set in n -dimensional space. In the basis of this space we single out coordinate x_n , denoting the remaining coordinates by (x') . Let Ω be an open, everywhere dense, \mathcal{P} set in (x') such that, when $x' \in \Omega$, the set $M_{x'}(x_n)$ contains no more than K points, where K does not depend on x' . Let A_l^j ($l = 1, \dots, K$) be the subset in Ω defined by the formula

$$A_l^j = \{x' \in \Omega \mid \exists x_n^1, \dots, x_n^l: (x', x_n^i) \in M, x_n^1 > \dots > x_n^l\}.$$

We set $A_0 = {}^c(A_l^j) \cap \Omega$, $A_l^j = A_l^j \cap {}^c(A_{l+1}^j)$. Let

$$B_{00} = \{A_0 \times x_n\}, B_{lj} = \{x', x_n \mid x' \in A_l^j, \exists x_n^1, \dots, x_n^l: (x', x_n^i) \in M, x_n^1 < \dots < x_n^l < x_n < x_n^{l+1} < \dots < x_n^l\} \quad (l = 1, \dots, K; j = 0, \dots, l).$$

Then, the \mathcal{P} set $\bigcup_{l,j} B_{lj}$ is contained in cM and is everywhere dense in space (x) .

$P_n \Rightarrow T_n$. Let M be a closed \mathcal{P} set in n -dimensional space. We may suppose that $M = \{x \mid \exists y \in I^m: (x, y) \in L\}$, where $L = \{x, y \mid f(x, y) = 0\}$ is some analytic set. Then, ${}^cM = \{x \mid \min_{y \in I^m} f^2(x, y) > 0\}$ and it suffices to show that the set $N = \{x, t \mid \min_{y \in I^m} f^2(x, y) = t\}$ is a \mathcal{P} set.

Let $L_1 = \{x, y, t \mid f^2(x, y) = t, \frac{\partial f^2(x, y)}{\partial y_i} = 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}$ be the set of "singular y points" of the function f^2 (more precisely, the set of corresponding points of the diagram of function f^2). It is possible to construct the analogous set, L_j , ($j = 2, \dots, 3^m$) for each face of the cube I^m . Let N_j^i be the projection of set L_j onto space (x) , and $N' = \bigcup N_j^i$. Then, set N' contains N and, for each x_0 , the set $N_{x_0}^i(t)$ contains only a finite number of points. Indeed, on each connected component of each of the sets $(L_j)_{x_0}(y, t)$, function f^2 maintains a constant value, so that, consequently, each set $(N_j^i)_{x_0}(t)$ contains only a finite number of points. Since, for each x_0 , set $N_{x_0}^i(t)$ contains a finite number of points, set $N_{x_0}^i(\varepsilon)$, where

$$N'' = \{x, \varepsilon \mid \exists t^1, t^2: (x, t^i) \in N', |t^1 - t^2| < \varepsilon\},$$

also contains only a finite number of points, so that ${}^cN''$ is everywhere dense, while N' is nowhere dense in space (x, ε) . But then, $N'' \cap \{\varepsilon > 0\}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1, and the set $P = N'' \cap \{\varepsilon > 0\} \cap \{\varepsilon = 0\}$ is nowhere dense in space (x) . Consequently, cP contains the \mathcal{P} set P' which is everywhere dense in space (x) . Each connected component of set $N''' = \{P' \times (t)\} \cap N'$ may be either completely contained in N , or have a null intersection with N (this readily follows from the continuity of the function $\min f^2$). But, since set P' is everywhere dense in space (x) , and the diagram of function $\min f^2$ is closed, the closure of the union of the connected components of set N''' , which is contained in N , is equal to N . Consequently, N is a \mathcal{P} set, q.e.d.

THEOREM 1. The proof of this Theorem is by induction on the dimensionality of the \mathcal{P} set M . Let M be a k -dimensional \mathcal{P} set in n -dimensional space. We represent cM in the form

$${}^cM = {}^c\bar{M} \cup (\bar{M} \setminus M) = {}^c\bar{M} \cup ((\bar{M} \setminus M) \cap ({}^c(\bar{M} \setminus M) \cap M)).$$

It follows from T_n that ${}^c\bar{M}$ is a \mathcal{P} set. Therefore, it suffices to prove that $\bar{M} \setminus M$ is a \mathcal{P} set, and that $\dim \bar{M} \setminus M < \dim M$.

Set $\bar{M} \setminus M$ is a \mathcal{P} set since, if $M = \{x \mid \exists y: f_i(x, y) = 0, g_j(x, y) > 0\}$, then

$$\bar{M} \setminus M = \overline{\{x, \varepsilon \mid \exists y: f_i(x, y) = 0, g_j(x, y) \geq \varepsilon\} \cap (\bar{M} \times (\varepsilon)) \cap \{\varepsilon > 0\}} \cap \{\varepsilon = 0\}.$$

For the proof that $\dim \bar{M} \setminus M < \dim M$, it suffices to show that the projection of set $\bar{M} \setminus M$ on any k -dimensional subspace is a nowhere dense set. Let $x' = x_1, \dots, x_k$; $x'' = x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n$. We assume that the projection of set $\bar{M} \setminus M$ on space (x') is dense somewhere in this space. We show that we can then find a vector, $z \in (x'')$, such that the projection of set $\bar{S}_z \setminus S_z$ on space (x') is dense somewhere (S_z is the projection of set M on the space (x', z)).

Since, for each j , the projection, \overline{S}_j , of set \overline{M} on space (x', x_j) is a nowhere dense set, it follows from W_{k+1} that there exists an open set, Ω_j , everywhere dense in (x') , such that, for each $x' \in \Omega_j$, the set $(\overline{S}_j)_{x', (x_j)}$ contains not more than K_j points, where K_j does not depend on x' . But then, for each $x' \in \Omega = \bigcap_j \Omega_j$, the set $M_{x'}(x'')$ contains not more than $K = \prod_j K_j$ points. We choose a countable set of points $(x'_i, x''_i) \in \overline{M} \setminus M$, $x'_i \in \Omega$, whose projection on space (x') is dense somewhere.

For each i , the set Q_i of vectors in (x'') x''_i which are such that the hyperplane in (x'') passed through x''_i is orthogonal to $x'' \in Q_i$, has a nowhere dense intersection with $M_{x'_i}(x'') \setminus \{x''_i\}$. Consequently, we can find a vector $z \in C(\bigcup_i Q_i)$. Obviously, this is the vector we have been seeking.

Let $A'_q = \{x' \in \Omega \mid \exists z^1, \dots, z^q: (x', z^i) \in \overline{S}_z, z^i > z^{i+1}\}$.

We set $A_0 = {}^c\overline{A}_1$; $A_q = A'_q \setminus A'_{q+1}$. Let

$$B_{lq} = \{(x', z) \in \overline{S}_z \mid x' \in \Omega, \exists z^1, \dots, z^{q-1}: (x', z^i) \in \overline{S}_z, z^1 > \dots > z^{l-1} > z > z^l > \dots > z^{q-1}\}$$

be a "slice" of set \overline{S}_z over A_q . Then, for some l, q , the projection of set $B_{lq} \setminus (B_{lq} \cap S_z)$ onto (x') will be dense somewhere. Since B_{lq} and S_z are \mathcal{F} sets, it follows from Lemma 2 that there exist an open set, $U_1 \subset \overline{A}_q$, such that each point $(x', z) \in B_{lq}$, $x' \in U_1$ lies in set $B_{lq} \setminus (B_{lq} \cap S_z)$. But each such point lies in set $\bigcup_{l' \neq l} B_{l'q}$. Therefore, we can assume that the projection of set $B_{lq} \cap (\bigcup_{l' > l} \overline{B}_{l'q})$ is dense somewhere in (x') (when $l' < l$, the proof is carried through analogously). Consequently, there exists an open set $U_2 \subset U_1$ such that each point $(x', z) \in B_{lq}$, $x' \in U_2$ lies in the set $B_{lq} \cap (\bigcup_{l' < l} \overline{B}_{l'q})$.

Consider the set

$$N = \{x', \varepsilon \mid x' \in U_2, \exists z^1, z^2: (x', z^1) \in B_{lq}, (x', z^2) \in \bigcup_{l' < l} B_{l'q}, z^1 - z^2 > \varepsilon\}.$$

This set satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1, so that $\overline{N} \cap \{\varepsilon = 0\}$ is nowhere dense in (x') . This means that we can find an open set $U_3 \subset U_2$ such that it will follow from $(x', \varepsilon) \in N$, $x' \in U_3$ that $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_0$, where ε_0 is some positive number. Let $(x', z) \in B_{lq}$, $x' \in U_3$. This point is the limit of a sequence of points of $\bigcup_{l' > l} B_{l'q}$. Consequently, there exists a point $(x^{l1}, z^1) \in \bigcup_{l' > l} B_{l'q}$, $x^{l1} \in U_3$, $|z - z^1| < \varepsilon_0/2$. But then, there exists the point $(x^{l1}, z^2) \in B_{lq}$, $z^2 - z > \varepsilon_0/2$. Since this reasoning applies to each point of B_{lq} , we arrive at a contradiction with the boundedness of set B_{lq} .

COROLLARY 1. Initially, we reduce the problem to the case when, for each ξ , the set $M_\xi(x)$ is closed. For this, we consider, for fixed ξ , the function on $M_\xi(x)$ $\rho_\xi(x) = d(x, (\overline{M}_\xi(x) \setminus M_\xi(x)))$, after which we consider the set $M_\xi^*(x)$ of local maxima of this function (if $\overline{M}_\xi^*(x) \setminus M_\xi(x)$ is vacuous, we then set $M_\xi^* = M_\xi(x)$). The set $M^* = \bigcup_\xi M_\xi^*(x)$ is a \mathcal{F} set, as demonstrated by the following calculations.

Let

$$M_1 = \{x, \xi, \varepsilon \mid \exists x^1: (x^1, \xi) \in M, |x - x^1| < \varepsilon\},$$

$$M_2 = \{x, \xi \mid \exists \varepsilon > 0: (x, \xi, \varepsilon) \in {}^c M_1\},$$

$$M' = {}^c M_2 \text{ (the closure of } M \text{ with respect to variable } x\text{);}$$

$$M_3 = \{x, \xi, \rho \mid (x, \xi) \in M, \exists x^1: (x^1, \xi) \in M' \setminus M, |x - x^1| < \rho\},$$

$$M_4 = \{x, \xi, \rho, \varepsilon \mid (x, \xi, \rho) \in {}^c M_3, \exists \rho^1: (x, \xi, \rho^1) \in M_3, |\rho - \rho^1| < \varepsilon\},$$

$$M_5 = \{x, \xi, \rho \mid (x, \xi, \rho) \in {}^c M_3, \exists \varepsilon > 0: (x, \xi, \rho, \varepsilon) \in {}^c M_4\},$$

$$M'' = {}^c (M_3 \cup M_5) \text{ (the diagram of the function } \rho_\xi(x) \text{ cited in the previous paragraph);}$$

$$M_6 = \{x, \xi, \varepsilon \mid \exists \rho, \rho^1, x^1: (x, \xi, \rho) \in M'', (x^1, \xi, \rho^1) \in M'', |x - x^1| < \varepsilon, \rho^1 > \rho\},$$

$$M^* = \{x, \xi \mid (x, \xi) \in M, \exists \varepsilon > 0: (x, \xi, \varepsilon) \in {}^c M_6\}.$$

Then, M^* is the set we seek. Consider the set $N = \bigcup_\xi \overline{(M_\xi^*(x))}$. It is not difficult to prove that N is also a \mathcal{F} set. We show that, for all ξ , the number of connected components of set $N_\xi(x)$ is not less than the corresponding number for set $M_\xi(x)$. For this, it suffices to prove that a point of set $N_\xi(x)$ is contained in each component of set $M_\xi(x)$, and that each connected component of set $N_\xi(x)$ is contained in set

$M_\xi(x)$. If \mathcal{M} is some connected component of set $M_\xi(x)$ then, obviously, the point $(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{M}$, at which function $\rho_\xi(x)$ has a maximum on \mathcal{M} , lies in $N_\xi(x)$. Now, let \mathcal{M}' be a connected component of set $N_\xi(x)$. It is obvious that function $\rho_\xi(x)$ has a constant positive value on $\mathcal{M}' \cap M_\xi(x)$. Therefore, any given point of set $\mathcal{M}' \subset M_\xi(x)$ cannot lie in $M_\xi(x) \setminus M_\xi(x)$, so that it must lie in $M_\xi(x)$.

Now, let $M_\xi(x)$ be closed for each ξ . We define the sets $M_i (i = 0, \dots, n)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 &= M, \\ M'_i &= \{x, \xi, \varepsilon \mid \exists x^i: (x^i, \xi) \in M_{i-1}, |x^i - x| < \varepsilon, x^i_1 > x_i\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \\ M_i &= \{x, \xi \mid (x, \xi) \in M_{i-1}, \exists x^i, \varepsilon: (x^i, \xi) \in M_{i-1}, (x^i, \xi, \varepsilon) \in M'_i, \varepsilon > 0, x^i_1 = x_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Contained in set $(M_i)_\xi(x)$ are $(x, \xi) \in M_{i-1}$ for which there exists x^i such that $x^i_1 = x_i$, $(x^i, \xi) \in M_{i-1}$ while, at the point x^i, ξ , function x_i has a local maximum on $(M_{i-1})_\xi(x)$. It is obvious that $M_{i+1} \subset M_i$ and that, for fixed ξ , the coordinates, x_1, \dots, x_i , of point $x \in (M_i)_\xi(x)$ assume only a finite number of values, that each set $(M_i)_\xi(x)$ is closed and, finally, that each connected component of set $(M_{i-1})_\xi(x)$ contains a point of set $(M_i)_\xi(x)$. It follows from all this that, for each ξ , the set $(M_n)_\xi(x)$ contains only a finite number of points, the number of these points being no less than the number of connected components of set $M_\xi(x)$.

Our problem then comes to the following: if, for each ξ , the number, $N(\xi)$, of points of set $M_\xi(x)$ is finite, it is then bounded by some constant, N , which does not depend on ξ .

Let M be a \mathcal{P} set such that, for each ξ , set $M_\xi(x)$ is no more than finite and, for each K , the set

$$M^K = \{\xi \mid \exists x^1, \dots, x^K: x^i \neq x^j, (\xi, x^i) \in M\}$$

is nonvacuous. It can be assumed that x is a single variable since if, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, the number of points of set $(M_j)_\xi(x)$, where M_j denotes the projection of set M on (ξ, x_j) , is bounded by constant K_j , not depending on ξ , then the number of points in set $M_\xi(x)$ is bounded by the constant $K = \prod K_j$.

Let $\dim' M^K$ denote the greatest k such that, for some $\bar{p} = p_1, \dots, p_k$, the projection, $M_{\bar{p}}^K$, of set M^K on space $(\xi_{\bar{p}}) = (\xi_{p_1}, \dots, \xi_{p_k})$ is dense somewhere in $(\xi_{\bar{p}})$. There exists K_0 such that $\dim' M^K = \dim' M^{K_0}$ for all $K > K_0$. Consequently, we can assume that $\dim' M^K = k$ for each $K \geq 1$ (replacing M by $\{M^{K_0} \times (x)\} \cap M$). Obviously, there exists $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_k)$ such that the projection, $M_{\bar{p}}^K$, of set M^K on $(\xi_{\bar{p}})$ is dense somewhere for each K . But, it is not difficult to deduce, from the projection of set M^1 on each of the spaces $(\xi_{\bar{p}}, \xi_j)$ ($j \neq p_1, \dots, p_k$) being nowhere dense, that the projection, $M_{\bar{p}}$, of set M on $(\xi_{\bar{p}}, x)$ is nowhere dense, and that, for each K , the set $M_{\bar{p}}^K$ is dense somewhere in $(\xi_{\bar{p}})$, which contradicts W_{k+1} .

THEOREM 2. Since the projection, S_j , of set M on each of the spaces (x^1, x_j) ($j = k+1, \dots, n$) is nowhere dense, it then follows from W_{k+1} that there exists an open \mathcal{P} set, Ω_j , everywhere dense in (x^1) , such that, for $x^1 \in \Omega_j$, the set $(S_j)_{x^1}(x_j)$ contains no more than K_j points, where K_j does not depend on x^1 . For $p = 1, \dots, K_j$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} A''_{p,j} &= \{x^1 \in \Omega_j \mid \exists x^j_1, \dots, x^j_p: (x^1, x^j_1) \in S_j, x^j_1 > x^j_{p+1}\}, \\ A''_{0,j} &= \overline{(A''_{1,j})}, \quad A''_{p,j} = \text{int}(A''_{p,j} \setminus A''_{p+1,j}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\bar{p} = (p_{k+1}, \dots, p_n)$. Let $A_{\bar{p}}^1 = \bigcap_j A_{p_j}^1$, j . Obviously, set $A_{\bar{p}}^1$ is open, and $\bigcup_{\bar{p}} A_{\bar{p}}^1$ is everywhere dense in (x^1) .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} B_{l_{p_j}} \{ (x^1, x_j) \in S_j \mid x^1 \in A''_{p_j,j}, \exists x^j_1, \dots, x^j_{p_j-1}: (x^1, x^j_1) \in S_j, \\ x^j_1 > \dots > x^j_{p_j-1} > x_j > x^j_{p_j} > \dots > x^j_{p_j-1} \}. \end{aligned}$$

For $l_{\bar{p}} = (l_{k+1}, \dots, l_n, p_{k+1}, \dots, p_n)$ we set $B_{l_{\bar{p}}} = \{x^1, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n \mid x^1 \in A_{\bar{p}}^1, (x^1, x_j) \in B_{l_{p_j}}\}$. Each nonvacuous set $B_{l_{\bar{p}}}$ has the form $\{x^1 \in A_{\bar{p}}^1, x_j = f_j(x^1) (j = k+1, \dots, n)\}$. If we can show that, on each open \mathcal{P} set $\Omega_{l_{\bar{p}}}$ which is everywhere dense in $A_{\bar{p}}^1$, functions f_j are analytic, the theorem will then be proven.

In the proof of Theorem 1, it was shown that $\dim(\bar{M} \setminus M) < \dim M$ for each \mathcal{P} set M . Therefore, there exists a \mathcal{P} set, $A_{\bar{p}}$, everywhere dense in $A_{\bar{p}}^1$, such that function f_j is continuous on $A_{\bar{p}}$. For simplicity,

we shall write A instead of $A_{\overline{p}}$, and B instead of $B_{\overline{p}}$. It can be assumed that $\overline{B} = \{x \mid \exists y: (x, y) \in L\}$, where L is an analytic set.

We first show that we can find analytic subsets, L_i , in L , and open \mathcal{F} sets $A_i \subset A$, such that $A \setminus \bigcup_i A_i$ is nowhere dense and, for each $x' \in A_i$, the set $(L_i)_{x'}(x'', y)$ is nonvacuous and finite.

For this, we apply Lemma 3 to L . If (a) holds (case 1), we set $L^* = L'$, $A^* = \text{int } S'$ (S' is the projection of set L' onto space (x')). Suppose (b) holds. Then,

$$L = \{x, y \mid f_j(x, y) = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, j_0)\}$$

and the set

$$L'' = \left\{ (x, y) \in L \mid \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \text{grad } f_j \\ x_i \end{pmatrix} < j_0 + k \right\} \quad (j = 1, \dots, j_0; i = 1, \dots, k)$$

is a proper subset of set L . Let S'' be the projection of set L'' onto space (x') ; we set $S''' = A \setminus S''$. If $\text{int } S''' = \emptyset$ (case 2), we set $L^* = L''$, $A^* = \text{int } S''$. If $\text{int } S''' \neq \emptyset$ and, for each $x' \in \text{int } S'''$, set $L_{x'}(x'', y)$ is finite (case 3), we set $L_1 = L$, $A_1 = \text{int } S'''$, $L^* = L''$, and $A^* = \text{int } S''$. If $\text{int } S''' \neq \emptyset$, and there exists a point $x'_0 \in \text{int } S'''$ such that $L_{x'_0}(x'', y)$ contains an analytic curve λ (case 4), we choose a vector y_q in the basis of space (y) such that, on curve λ , there exist two points, (x^1, y^1) and (x^2, y^2) , such that $y_q^1 \neq y_q^2$. Consider the set

$$L''' = \left\{ (x, y) \in L \mid \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \text{grad } f_j \\ x_i \\ y_q \end{pmatrix} < j_0 + k + 1 \right\} \quad (j = 1, \dots, j_0; i = 1, \dots, k).$$

It is a proper subset of set L since, were it not, the tangent vector to curve λ at any point would be orthogonal to vector y_q . Consequently, there cannot be two points with different coordinates y_q , contrary to our assumption. Moreover, the projection of set L''' onto (x') coincides with the projection of set L , since $L''' \supset L''$, while in each set $L_{x'_0}(x'', y)$, $x''_0 \in \overline{A} \setminus S''$, the point (x'', y) having the maximal coordinate y_q lies in L''' . We set $L^* = L'''$ and $A^* = A$.

By applying the same reasoning to set L^* (to which one of the cases considered will apply), we find sets L^{**} and A^{**} and (in case 3) sets L_2, A_2 .

Repeating these constructions, we wind up with the sets L_i and A_i which we have been seeking.

Now, let $B_i = B \cap \{A_i \times (x'')\}$. It is obvious that

$$B_i = \{x', x'' \mid x' \in A_i, (x', x'') \in B, \exists y: (x', x'', y) \in L_i\}.$$

We now apply Lemma 3 to set L_i . If (a) holds (case 1), we set $L_i^* = L_i'$, $A_i^* = A_i \cap \text{int } S_i'$, where S_i' is the projection of set L_i' onto (x') . If (b) holds, then $L_i = \{x, y \mid f_{ij}(x, y) = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, j_i)\}$, where

$$L_i = \left\{ (x, y) \in L_i \mid \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \text{grad } f_{ij} \\ x_i \end{pmatrix} < j_i + k \right\} \quad (j = 1, \dots, j_i; i = 1, \dots, k)$$

is a proper subset of L_i .

If the projection S_i'' , of set L_i'' onto (x') is everywhere dense in A_i (case 2), we set $L_i^* = L_i''$, $A_i^* = A_i \cap \text{int } S_i''$.

If $S_i''' = \text{int } (A_i \setminus S_i'') \neq \emptyset$ (case 3), we set $L_i^* = L_i''$, $A_i^* = \text{int } S_i''$, $L_{i1} = L_i$, $A_{i1} = S_i'''$. Arriving at the pair (A_{i1}^*, L_{i1}^*) (arising in one of the cases considered), just as with (A_i, L_i) , and iterating, we obtain the sets A_{ih} and L_{ih} such that $\bigcup_h A_{ih}$ is everywhere dense in A_i , and each set L_{ih} is so given by the functions $f_{ihj}(j = 1, \dots, j_{ih})$ that $\text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \text{grad } f_{ihj} \\ x_i \end{pmatrix} = j_{ih} + k$ for $x' \in A_{ih}$. Moreover, since for $x' \in A_{ih}$ the set $(L_{ih})_{x'}(x'', y)$ is zero-dimensional, it follows from the implicit function theorem that, in the neighborhood of point $(x', x'', y) \in L_{ih}$, $x' \in A_{ih}$, set L_{ih} has the form $\{(x'', y) = F(x')\}$, where $F = (f_1, \dots, f_{m+n-k})$ is an analytic vector function in A_{ih} . Consequently,

$$B \cap \{A_{ih} \times (x'')\} = \{x' \in A_{ih}: x''_q = f_q(x')\},$$

and, for the proof of the Theorem, it remains only to set $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}p} = \bigcup_{i,h} A_{ih}$.

COROLLARY 2. Let M be a \mathcal{D} set in the space $(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. We use induction on $\dim' M$, this being the greatest k such that the projection of set M on some k -dimensional subspace $(x_{p_1}, \dots, x_{p_k})$ is dense somewhere.

Let $p = (p_1, \dots, p_k)$, $(x_p^I) = (x_{p_1}, \dots, x_{p_k})$, (x_p^II) be the orthogonal complement to (x_p^I) . We order the vectors p in the sequence $\{\bar{p}_i\}$

It follows from Theorem 2 that, in space $(x_{p_1}^I)$, there exists an open, everywhere dense, set, $\Omega_{\bar{p}_1}$, such that $M_1 = M \cap \{\Omega_{\bar{p}_1} \times (x_{p_1}^II)\}$ is a locally analytic set. If $\dim'(M \setminus M_1)$ is less than k , then all is proven. If, however, $\dim'(M \setminus M_1) = k$, by applying Theorem 2 to $M \setminus M_1$ instead of to M , and to \bar{p}_2 instead of to \bar{p}_1 , and iterating, we obtain a collection of locally analytic sets, M_i , such that the projection of set $M^* = M \setminus \bigcup M_i$ on any space (x_p) is nowhere dense. It immediately follows that $\dim' M^* < k$, and our assertion is proven.

In conclusion, the author wishes to thank V. P. Palamodov for having posed the problem, and for his attention to the work.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Lojasiewicz, *Ensembles Semi-Analytiques*, IHES, Bures-sur-Yvette (1965).
2. M. Érve, *Functions of Many Complex Variables* [Russian translation], "Mir," Moscow (1965).