

# Two questions on completely invariant domains

A. Eremenko

## 1. Makienko Conjecture.

Let  $f : \bar{C} \rightarrow \bar{C}$  be a rational function, and  $J$  its Julia set. Suppose that  $D$  is a component of  $\bar{C} \setminus J$  such that  $\partial D = J$ . Then  $D$  is completely invariant for the second iterate of  $f$ , that is  $f^{-2}(D) = D$ .

There are several restatements of this conjecture. Suppose that  $D$  is invariant, then  $f : D \rightarrow D$  is a ramified covering; let  $m$  be the degree of this covering, then  $D$  is completely invariant if and only if  $m = d := \deg f$ . So any counterexample to this conjecture must involve a region  $D$ , and a ramified covering  $f : D \rightarrow D$  such that  $f$  is  $m$ -to-1 in  $D$ , while  $f$  is  $d$ -to-1 on  $\partial D$ , with  $d > m$ . It is not even known whether such thing is possible for continuous functions, even with  $m = 1$  and  $d = 2$ .





One can show that for any counterexample,  $J$  must be an indecomposable continuum [3].

2. How many completely invariant components can the Fatou set of an entire function have?

A rational function can have at most two completely invariant components. For long time, it was believed that I. N. Baker proved in 1970 that a transcendental entire function can have at most one. However, a mistake in Baker's proof was found in 2017, and a counterexample *to his proof* was found.

Baker was proving a more general statement: Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be disjoint simply connected domains. Then there is no transcendental entire function such that both  $f^{-1}(D_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  are connected. Surprisingly this turned out to be wrong: even for a simple entire function like  $e^z + z$  one can construct infinitely many disjoint simply connected domains whose preimages are connected [4]

It is known that for meromorphic functions of class  $S$  (with finitely many singular values) the number of completely invariant domains is at most 2, [2] and for entire functions of class  $S$  this number is at most 1, [4].

-  I. N. Baker, Completely invariant domains of entire functions, 1970 Mathematical Essays Dedicated to A. J. Macintyre pp. 33–35 Ohio Univ. Press, Athens, Ohio.
-  I. N. Baker, J. Kotus, Yu Nian Lü, Iterates of meromorphic functions. III. Preperiodic domains, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 11 (1991), no. 4, 603—618.
-  C. Curry, J. Mayer, J. Meddaugh, J. Rogers, Any counterexample to Makienko's conjecture is an indecomposable continuum, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 29 (2009), no. 3, 875—883.
-  L. Rempe and D. Sixsmith, On connected preimages of simply-connected domains under entire functions, Geom. Funct. Anal. 29 (2019), no. 5, 1579—1615.