

# IDEAL THEORY IN TWO-DIMENSIONAL REGULAR LOCAL DOMAINS AND BIRATIONAL EXTENSIONS

WILLIAM HEINZER AND DAVID LANTZ

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907.  
E-mail: [heinzer@math.purdue.edu](mailto:heinzer@math.purdue.edu)

Department of Mathematics, Colgate University, 13 Oak Drive, Hamilton,  
NY 13346-1398. E-mail: [dlantz@center.colgate.edu](mailto:dlantz@center.colgate.edu)

## 0. Introduction.

(0.1) Let  $(R, \mathbf{m})$  be a two-dimensional regular local domain with infinite residue field  $R/\mathbf{m}$ . Associated to an  $\mathbf{m}$ -primary ideal  $I$  in  $R$  is its Hilbert polynomial

$$P_I(n) = e_0(I) \binom{n+1}{2} - e_1(I)n + e_2(I) ,$$

the integer-valued polynomial giving the length of the  $R$ -module  $R/I^n$  for sufficiently large positive integers  $n$ . The coefficient  $e_0$  is well known to be a positive integer, the *multiplicity* of  $I$ , and in our context, the coefficients  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are known to be nonnegative integers.

A well-known result of Rees [Re1, Theorem 3.2] implies that for each  $\mathbf{m}$ -primary ideal  $I$  of  $R$  the integral closure of  $I$  is the unique largest ideal containing  $I$  and having the same multiplicity. A result of Shah in [Sh, Theorem 1] implies the existence of a unique largest ideal  $I_{\{1\}}$  containing  $I$

and having the same coefficients  $e_0$  and  $e_1$  of its Hilbert polynomial. We call  $I_{\{1\}}$  the  $e_1$ -ideal associated with  $I$ . If  $I = I_{\{1\}}$ , we call  $I$  a *first coefficient ideal* or an  $e_1$ -ideal.

There is an interplay between the internal structure of the ideals in  $R$  and the external structure of certain birational extensions of  $R$ . In this connection, for an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $I$ , the *blowup* of  $I$ ,

$$\mathcal{B}(I) = \text{Proj}(R[It]) = \{R[I/a]_P : a \in I - 0, P \in \text{Spec}(R[I/a])\},$$

is the projective model over  $R$  (in the sense of [ZS, page 120]) consisting of the local domains containing  $R$  that are minimal with respect to domination among all the local domains containing  $R$  in which the extension of  $I$  is principal. There is a nonempty finite subset of  $\mathcal{B}(I)$  consisting of local domains in which  $I$  generates an ideal primary for the maximal ideal; each of these local domains is one-dimensional and their intersection  $D$  is a one-dimensional semilocal domain called the *first coefficient domain* of  $I$ . As noted in [HJL, (1.3) and (3.2)], we have  $ID \cap R = I_{\{1\}}$ ; indeed, since all powers  $I^n$  of  $I$  have the same blowup, we have  $I^n D \cap R = (I^n)_{\{1\}}$ , for each positive integer  $n$ .

Our goal in this paper is a better understanding of  $e_1$ -ideals and their first coefficient domains over a two-dimensional regular local domain. The situation where the first coefficient domain is a semilocal PID is well understood in view of the Zariski theory concerning complete ideals and prime divisors on  $R$  (see, e.g., [Z], [ZS, Appendix 5] or [Hu]). In particular, if  $V$  is a DVR birationally dominating  $R$  which is a spot over  $R$  (i.e., in Zariski's terminology a *prime divisor of the second kind* on  $R$ ; in [A2] a *hidden prime divisor* of  $R$ ), then the ideals of  $R$  contracted from  $V$  form a descending chain  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{a}_0 > \mathfrak{a}_1 > \mathfrak{a}_2 > \dots$  of complete  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals, the valuation ideals of  $R$  with respect to  $V$ . The Zariski theory associates to the prime divisor of the second kind  $V$  a unique simple (i.e., not factorable into a product of proper ideals) complete ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$ . One way of characterizing  $\mathfrak{b}$  is that  $\mathfrak{b}$  is maximal among  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals  $\mathfrak{c}$  of  $R$  with the property that all powers of  $\mathfrak{c}$  are contracted from  $V$ . We have  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}_n$  for some  $n$ . For example,  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{m}$  if and only if  $V$  is the ord-valuation domain  $R[y/x]_{\mathfrak{m}R[y/x]}$  where  $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y)R$ . If  $n > 0$ , then certain of the ideals  $\mathfrak{a}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{n-1}$  are also

simple complete ideals. If we label the simple complete ideals in this chain as  $\mathbf{b}_0 = \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_s = \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a}_n$ , then Zariski proves that each of the valuation ideals  $\mathbf{a}_i, i \geq 0$ , is a product of powers of  $\mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_s$  [ZS, page 392]. For example, if  $\mathbf{m} = (x, y)R$  and  $V$  is the integral closure of  $R[x^2/y^3]_{\mathbf{m}R[x^2/y^3]}$ , then  $\mathbf{b} = (x^2, xy^2, y^3)R$  is the simple complete ideal associated to  $V$ , and  $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{a}_0 > \mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{b}_1 = (x, y^2)R > \mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{m}^2 > \mathbf{b}$  is the beginning of the chain of ideals  $\{\mathbf{a}_i\}$  of  $R$  contracted from  $V$ . The result of Zariski just mentioned implies that each  $\mathbf{a}_i$  is a power product of  $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{b}_1$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ . More detailed information as to which products of the  $\mathbf{b}_j$  are actually contracted from  $V$  is given by Noh in [No, Theorem 3.1].

(0.2) To describe the same situation from a different starting point, let  $I$  be a complete  $\mathbf{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . The first coefficient domain  $D$  of  $I$  is then a semilocal PID which is the intersection of the Rees valuation domains of  $I$ , i.e., the DVR's on  $\mathcal{B}(I)$  that dominate  $R$ . In this case,  $D$  is uniquely determined as the largest one-dimensional semilocal subdomain  $E$  of the fraction field of  $R$  having the property that all the powers of  $I$  are contracted from  $E$  (see (3.4) below). If  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  are the Rees valuation domains of  $I$ , then the Zariski theory implies that  $I$  has the form

$$(*) \quad \mathbf{b}_1^{r_1} \dots \mathbf{b}_n^{r_n}$$

where the  $r_j$  are positive integers and  $\mathbf{b}_j$  is the simple complete ideal of  $R$  associated to  $V_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

In the present paper we pursue the study of  $e_1$ -ideals and first coefficient domains begun in [HJL]. In particular, we consider implications of the Zariski theory for these broader classes of ideals and integral domains. Our objective, only partially realized, is to identify the first coefficient domains over a two-dimensional regular local domain and the ideals of which they are first coefficient domains.

In Section 1 we illustrate with several examples properties that one-dimensional spots birationally dominating a two-dimensional regular local domain may have or fail to have. We also observe in Proposition 1.1 that the condition of being a spot descends from an integral extension.

In Section 2 we consider implications of residual transcendence. As part of Theorem 2.2, we prove that if  $R$  is a two-dimensional RLR of characteristic  $p > 0$  with algebraically closed residue field and  $D$  is a one-dimensional local domain birationally dominating  $R$  such that the integral closure of  $D$  is a prime divisor on  $R$ , then  $D$  is the first coefficient domain of an ideal of  $R$ .

In Section 3 we examine asymptotic behavior of ideals and implications for first coefficient domains. Suppose  $(R, \mathbf{m})$  is a local domain that is the intersection of its localizations at height-one primes and  $D$  is a one-dimensional semilocal domain birationally dominating  $R$ . In Theorem 3.3 we prove that if  $J$  is an  $\mathbf{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$  such that  $JD$  is principal and  $J^n D \cap R = J^n$  for each positive integer  $n$ , then the first coefficient domain of  $J$  is a localization of  $D$ . In particular, if  $D$  is local, then  $D$  is the first coefficient domain of  $J$ .

As usual, we abbreviate “regular local domain” by RLR and “rank-one discrete valuation domain” by DVR. The words “local” and “semilocal” include the hypothesis of Noetherian. The symbol  $<$  between sets denotes proper inclusion. For an ideal  $I$  in a Noetherian domain  $R$  the blowup of  $I$  and the first coefficient domain of  $I$  are defined as in (0.1) above. The Rees valuation domains of  $I$  are the localizations of the integral closure of the first coefficient domain of  $I$  at its maximal ideals. It is convenient to extend some familiar terminology to the case of rings that are not necessarily Noetherian or that have more than one maximal ideal: A ring  $D$  containing a domain  $R$  having a unique maximal ideal  $\mathbf{m}$  is said to *birationally dominate*  $R$  if  $D$  is contained in the fraction field of  $R$  and for each maximal ideal  $N$  of  $D$ ,  $N \cap R = \mathbf{m}$ . An extension ring  $D$  of a ring  $R$  is said to be *affine* over  $R$  if  $D$  is finitely generated as an algebra over  $R$ . We say that a ring  $D$  with finitely many maximal ideals is a *semispot* over a subring  $R$  if  $D$  is a ring of fractions of a ring containing and affine over  $R$ . If such a  $D$  has only one maximal ideal, then we call it a *spot* over  $R$ .

## 1. One-dimensional birational spots.

We are interested in considering one-dimensional semilocal domains  $D$  that birationally dominate a two-dimensional RLR  $R$ . A DVR  $V$  birationally

dominating  $R$  is a spot over  $R$  if and only if the residue field of  $V$  is not algebraic as an extension of  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  [A1, Proposition 3, page 336]. An interesting property of such a DVR  $V$  (also proved in [A1]) is that the residue field  $F$  of  $V$  is ruled as an extension field of  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ , i.e.,  $F$  is obtained as a simple transcendental extension of a field intermediate between  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  and  $F$ . In view of the fact that  $R$  is a two-dimensional RLR, it follows that  $F$  is a simple transcendental extension of a finite algebraic extension of  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ .

In general, if  $D$  is a one-dimensional semilocal domain birationally dominating  $R$ , then the integral closure  $D'$  of  $D$  is a semilocal PID birationally dominating  $R$ . If  $R$  is complete, then  $D'$  is necessarily a semispot over  $R$ ; but for certain  $R$  (such as  $R = k[x, y]_{(x, y)k[x, y]}$  where  $x, y$  are indeterminates over the field  $k$ ) there exist DVR's birationally dominating  $R$  that are not spots over  $R$  (cf., e.g., [HRS]).

We begin by proving a result (Corollary 1.3) that implies that if  $D$  is a one-dimensional semilocal domain birationally dominating a two-dimensional RLR  $R$  and if the integral closure  $D'$  of  $D$  is a semispot over  $R$ , then  $D$  is a semispot over  $R$  and  $D'$  is a finitely generated  $D$ -module.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring, and let  $V$  be a semispot over  $R$ . Suppose  $R \subseteq D \subseteq V$  with  $D$  quasilocal and  $V$  integral over  $D$ . Then  $D$  is a spot over  $R$  and  $V$  is a finitely generated  $D$ -module.*

*Proof.* Since  $V$  is a semispot over  $R$ , there exist elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in V$  such that  $V$  is a ring of fractions of  $R[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ . Let  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  be the coefficients of monic polynomials over  $D$  satisfied by  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ ; set  $B = R[b_1, \dots, b_m]$  and  $A = B[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ . Let  $Q$  be the center of  $D$  on  $B$ , and let  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  be the rings of fractions of  $A$  and  $B$  at the multiplicative set  $B - Q$ . Then  $B_1$  is local, with maximal ideal  $Q_1 = QB_1$ , and  $A_1$  is a finite integral extension of  $B_1$ . Hence  $A_1$  has only finitely many maximal ideals. Let  $P_1, \dots, P_r$  denote the centers on  $A_1$  of the maximal ideals of  $V$ , and let  $S = A_1 - (\bigcup_{i=1}^r P_i)$ . Since  $V$  is a ring of fractions of  $R[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ , we have  $S^{-1}A_1 = V$ . Choose  $a \in S$  such that  $a$  is in each maximal ideal of  $A_1$  distinct from  $P_1, \dots, P_r$  (if any — otherwise let  $a = 1$ ). Then  $1/a$  is in  $V$  and hence is integral over  $D$ . Let  $c_1, \dots, c_p$  be the coefficients of a monic polynomial over  $D$  satisfied by

$1/a$ ; let  $(B_2, Q_2)$  be the localization of  $B_1[c_1, \dots, c_p]$  at the center of  $D$  on this ring, and set  $A_2 = B_2[1/a, A_1]$ .

We claim that  $A_2 = V$ . To see this, it suffices to show each  $s$  in  $S$  is a unit in  $A_2$ : Assume by way of contradiction that  $s$  in  $S$  is in a maximal ideal  $M$  of  $A_2$ . Since  $A_2$  is integral over  $B_2$ , we have  $M \cap B_2 = Q_2$ , and so  $Q_1 = M \cap B_1 = (M \cap A_1) \cap B_1$ . Since  $A_1$  is integral over  $B_1$ ,  $M \cap A_1$  is maximal in  $A_1$ . Moreover,  $M \cap A_1$  survives in  $A_2$ , so our choice of  $a$  assures that  $M \cap A_1$  is the center on  $A_1$  of one of the maximal ideals of  $V$ . But this yields  $s \in S \subseteq A_1 - (M \cap A_1)$ , a contradiction.

Therefore,  $V$  is an affine extension of  $B_2$  and hence a finitely generated  $D$ -module. Thus, by Artin-Tate [Ku, Lemma 3.3, page 16],  $D$  is an affine extension of  $B_2$  and hence a spot over  $R$ .  $\square$

To extend this result to the case where  $D$  has finitely many maximal ideals, we use:

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain. Suppose  $D$  is an extension domain of  $R$  having only finitely many maximal ideals  $N_1, \dots, N_r$  and having the property that  $D_{N_i}$  is a spot over  $R$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Then  $D$  is a semispot over  $R$ .*

*Proof.* For each maximal ideal  $N_i$  of  $D$  there is a finite subset  $T_i$  of  $D_{N_i}$  such that  $D_{N_i}$  is a localization of  $R[T_i]$ . And there is an element  $s_i$  of  $D - N_i$  for which  $s_i T_i \subseteq D$ . Let  $A = R[(\bigcup_{i=1}^r s_i T_i) \cup \{s_1, \dots, s_r\}]$ . If  $P_i$  denotes the center of  $D_{N_i}$  on  $A$ , then  $A \subseteq D \subseteq D_{N_i} = A_{P_i}$ ; so  $D$  is the ring of fractions of  $A$  at the complement of the union of the  $P_i$ 's.  $\square$

As an immediate corollary of Propositions 1.1 and 1.2, we have:

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $D$  be a semilocal extension domain of a Noetherian domain  $R$ , and let  $V$  be a domain integral over  $D$ . If  $V$  is a semispot over  $R$ , then  $D$  is also a semispot over  $R$ .  $\square$*

(1.4) It follows from Corollary 1.3 that a one-dimensional semilocal domain  $D$  that birationally dominates a two-dimensional RLR  $R$  is a semispot over  $R$  if and only the integral closure of  $D$  is an intersection of prime divisors of

the second kind on  $R$ , or equivalently, if and only if each DVR birationally containing  $D$  is a prime divisor of the second kind on  $R$ .

We are interested in the question of which one-dimensional semilocal domains birationally dominating  $R$  are first coefficient domains of ideals of  $R$ . The first coefficient domains of complete ideals of  $R$  are well understood. They are precisely the one-dimensional semilocal PID's birationally dominating  $R$  that are semispots over  $R$ . Moreover, if  $I$  and  $J$  are complete  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals of  $R$  with first coefficient domains  $D_I$  and  $D_J$ , respectively, then  $D_I \cap D_J$  is a PID semispot over  $R$  and is the first coefficient domain of  $IJ$ . More generally, by the Theorem on Independence of Valuations (e.g., [N, (11.11)] or [ZS, Theorem 18, p. 45]) the intersection of two semilocal PID's birationally dominating a local domain is again a semilocal PID birationally dominating the local domain. But for arbitrary  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals  $I$  and  $J$  of  $R$ , the relation of  $D_I$  and  $D_J$  with the first coefficient domain of  $IJ$  is more delicate. It is not necessarily  $D_I \cap D_J$ ; indeed, in Example 1.5 we show that  $D_I \cap D_J$  need not be a first coefficient domain of  $R$ . In this example we make use of the description of the first coefficient domain of an ideal generated by a regular sequence given in [HJL, (3.8)].

**Example 1.5.** Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 0 and  $x, y$  be indeterminates over  $k$ ; set  $R = k[x, y]_{(x, y)}$ . Then the first coefficient domains of the ideals  $(x^2, y^2)R$  and  $(x^2, xy + y^2)R$  are

$$D_1 = k((y/x)^2) + M \quad \text{and} \quad D_2 = k((y/x) + (y/x)^2) + M ,$$

respectively, where  $M$  is the maximal ideal of the ord-valuation domain  $V = R[y/x]_{\mathfrak{m}R[y/x]} = k(y/x) + M$  over  $R$ . (The maximal ideals  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  of  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , respectively, are contained in  $M$ , and a module basis for  $V$  over either  $D_1$  or  $D_2$  is  $1, y/x$ . Since  $M_i(y/x) \subseteq D_i$  and  $M_i V = M$ , we have  $M_i = M$ .) Since  $k$  is of characteristic zero, we have  $k((y/x)^2) \cap k((y/x) + (y/x)^2) = k$ . It follows that the residue field of  $D_1 \cap D_2$  at the center of  $V$  on  $D_1 \cap D_2$  is not residually transcendental over the residue field  $k$  of  $R$ , so  $D_1 \cap D_2$  is not a semispot over  $R$  by (1.4) and hence is not the first coefficient domain of an ideal of  $R$ .

(1.6) Suppose  $I$  and  $J$  are  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals of  $R$ , where  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a two-dimensional RLR, or more generally, a quasi-unmixed analytically unramified local domain. We want to relate the first coefficient domain  $D$  of  $IJ$  to the first coefficient domains  $D_I$  and  $D_J$  of  $I$  and  $J$ . A first remark is that since the set of Rees valuation domains of  $IJ$  is the union of the sets of Rees valuation domains of  $I$  and  $J$ , the integral closure of  $D$  is the intersection of the integral closures of  $D_I$  and  $D_J$ . With each DVR  $V$  that is a localization of the integral closure of  $D_I$  (of which there are only finitely many) we associate a one-dimensional semilocal domain  $D_V = (D_I)_P[B]$ , where  $P$  is the center of  $V$  on  $D_I$  and  $B$  is the unique local domain on the blowup of  $J$  that is dominated by  $V$ . In an analogous way we construct  $D_W$  for each DVR  $W$  that is a localization of the integral closure of  $D_J$ . The first coefficient domain  $D$  of  $IJ$  is the intersection of the one-dimensional semilocal domains  $D_V$  and  $D_W$  as  $V$  and  $W$  vary over the sets of the Rees valuation domains of  $I$  and  $J$  respectively.

(1.7) The proofs of several results below rely on Theorem 3.12 of [HJL]; and on rereading the proof of that result, we feel one point deserves a fuller discussion. The relevant hypotheses in that result are as follows:  $R$  is a normal, analytically unramified, quasi-unmixed, local domain with infinite residue field,  $I$  is an ideal primary for the maximal ideal of  $R$ ,  $D$  is the first coefficient domain of  $I$ ,  $E$  is a domain birational and integral over  $D$ , and  $a$  is an element of  $I$  for which  $ID = aD$ . In the proof, we set  $S = R[1/a] \cap D$  and  $T = R[1/a] \cap E$ , and we assert that  $D, E$  are rings of fractions of  $S, T$  respectively. This is true under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.12 of [HJL], but in Example 1.8 below we show that for  $a \in \mathfrak{m}$  with  $aD \neq ID$  it can happen that  $D$  is not a ring of fractions of  $S = R[1/a] \cap D$ . So we felt these assertions should be given a more explicit justification: The hypothesis that  $D$  is the first coefficient domain of  $I$  means that there exists an element  $b$  of  $I$  such that  $D$  is an intersection of a finite number of one-dimensional localizations of  $R[I/b]$  and hence is itself a ring of fractions of  $R[I/b]$ . Moreover,  $bD = ID = aD$ . Thus,  $b/a$  is an element of  $R[I/a]$  that is not in any of the prime ideals of  $D$ , so the ring of fractions of  $R[I/a]$  with respect to the complement



in  $R[I/a]$  of the union of the primes in  $D$  contains  $R[I/b]$  and hence is all of  $D$ . Since  $S = R[1/a] \cap D \supseteq R[I/a]$ , we see that  $D$  is also a ring of fractions of  $S$ . Now we turn to  $T = R[1/a] \cap E$ , which is almost integral over  $S$  since there is a nonzero conductor from  $E$  into  $D$  (because  $R$  is analytically unramified [Re2, Theorem 1.2]). Since

$$S = \cap \{ R[I/a]_P : P \text{ is a height-one prime} \}$$

and since  $R[I/a]$  is universally catenary,  $S$  is contained in the integral closure of  $R[I/a]$ . Moreover, the fact that  $R$  is analytically unramified implies that the integral closure of  $R[I/a]$  is a finitely generated  $R[I/a]$ -module. Therefore  $S$  is Noetherian and hence  $T$  is integral over  $S$ . Since  $D$  is a ring of fractions of  $S$ , the maximal ideals of  $D$  are centered on height-one primes of  $S$ . It follows that the maximal ideals of  $E$  are centered on height-one primes of  $T$ . Since the essential valuation domains of  $R[1/a]$  are all localizations of  $S$  and of  $T$ , it follows that  $E$  is a ring of fractions of  $T$ .

**Example 1.8.** Let  $R = k[x, y]_{(x, y)k[x, y]}$ , where  $k$  is a field and  $x, y$  are indeterminates over  $k$ . Let  $V = k(y/x)[x]_{(x)}$  be the ord-valuation domain of  $R$ . Then  $V = k(y/x) + M$ , where  $M$  is the maximal ideal of  $V$ . Let  $D = k((y^2 + x^2)/xy) + M$ . Then  $D$  is the first coefficient domain of the ideal  $(xy, y^2 + x^2)R$ , a one-dimensional local domain that birationally dominates  $R$ , and  $V$  is the integral closure of  $D$ . Let  $T = R[1/x] \cap V$  and  $S = R[1/x] \cap D$ . Then  $T = R[y/x]$ , so  $S = R[y/x] \cap D$ . Using that  $k[y/x] \cap k((y^2 + x^2)/xy) = k$  and considering the unique expression of each element of a subdomain of  $V$  as the sum of an element of  $k(y/x)$  and an element of  $M$ , we see that  $S = k + (M \cap R[y/x])$ . Hence  $D$  is centered on a maximal ideal of  $S$  and is not a localization of  $S$ . We also have in this example that  $S$  is not Noetherian and  $T$  is almost integral but not integral over  $S$ . The localization of  $S$  at each of its height-one primes contains  $R[1/x]$ .

## 2. Residually transcendental elements.

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a two-dimensional RLR with residue field  $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ . A first coefficient domain of an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$  is a one-dimensional semispot birationally dominating  $R$ . As a partial converse, we observe in

Proposition 2.1 that a domain satisfying these hypotheses is at least a ring of fractions of a first coefficient domain of  $R$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $(R, \mathbf{m})$  be a two-dimensional RLR and  $E$  be a one-dimensional semispace birationally dominating  $R$ . Then there exists a first coefficient domain  $D$  of  $R$  such that  $E$  is a ring of fractions of  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b$  be elements of  $R$  such that  $E$  is a ring of fractions of  $R[a_1/b, \dots, a_n/b]$ . We may assume that  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b$  have no common factor in  $R$ , so that the ideal  $I = (a_1, \dots, a_n, b)R$  is  $\mathbf{m}$ -primary. Let  $D_0$  denote the first coefficient domain of  $I$ . Since  $E$  is a semispace over  $R$ , the dimension formula [M, (14.D)] shows that for each maximal ideal  $N$  of  $E$  the image of at least one of the quotients  $a_i/b$  in  $E/N$  is transcendental over  $R/\mathbf{m}$ . Thus, the center of  $N$  on  $R[a_1/b, \dots, a_n/b]$  is one-dimensional, so that  $D_0 \subseteq E_N$ . Since this holds for each maximal ideal  $N$  of  $E$ ,  $D_0 \subseteq E$ . But there may be prime divisors dominating  $R$  that contain  $D_0$  but not  $E$ . The intersection  $D$  of all these prime divisors and  $E$  is an integral extension of  $D_0$  and hence a first coefficient domain (of an ideal integral over a power of  $I$ ) by [HJL, Theorem 3.12]. We have  $D \subseteq E$  are one-dimensional semilocal domains with  $E$  birational over  $D$  and  $D$  integrally closed in  $E$ . Forming the ring of fractions of  $D$  with respect to the elements of  $D$  that are units of  $E$  and applying [N, (33.1)], we see that  $E$  is a ring of fractions of  $D$ .  $\square$

A variant of the process used in this proof is as follows: With  $R, E$ , etc. as in Proposition 2.1 and its proof, let  $(c, d)R$  be a reduction of  $I = (a_1, \dots, a_n, b)R$  (or of a power of  $I$  if the residue field of  $R$  is finite and  $I$  fails to have a 2-generated reduction). For each maximal ideal  $N$  of  $E$ , the image of  $c/d$  in  $E/N$  is transcendental over  $R/\mathbf{m}$ , so  $N \cap R[c/d] = \mathbf{m}R[c/d]$ . It follows that  $E$  is a localization of the integral closure of  $R[c/d]_{\mathbf{m}R[c/d]}$  in  $E$ . To realize  $E$  itself as a first coefficient domain in this manner amounts to answering in the affirmative the following question: Does there exist a single element  $a/b$  of  $E$  such that  $J = (a, b)R$  is a reduction of a complete ideal of the form  $(*)$  in (0.2) above, where the  $r_j$  are positive integers and the  $\mathbf{b}_j$  are the simple complete ideals corresponding to the DVR localizations of the integral closure of  $E$ ? If so, then  $E$  and  $R[a/b]_{\mathbf{m}R[a/b]}$  have the same integral

closure. Thus,  $E$  is integral over  $R[a/b]_{\mathfrak{m}R[a/b]}$  and hence a first coefficient domain in its own right. The proof of Theorem 2.2 below is essentially the construction of such an element  $a/b$  in a special case.

In the proof of Theorem 2.2 is a reference to  $R(t)$ , where  $t$  is an indeterminate over  $R$ . In general, for a ring  $A$ , the symbol  $A(t)$  denotes the ring of fractions of the polynomial ring  $A[t]$  with respect to the multiplicative system of polynomials whose coefficients generate the unit ideal in  $A$  (cf. [N, page 18]). In the present local case, this means only that not all of the coefficients of the polynomial are in  $\mathfrak{m}$ . There is a natural epimorphism from  $R(t)$  onto the simple transcendental field extension  $k(t)$  of  $k$ , with kernel generated by  $\mathfrak{m}$ ; images under this epimorphism (as well as under other extensions of the epimorphism  $R \rightarrow k$ ) are denoted by overbars (vincula).

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $D$  be a one-dimensional local domain birationally dominating a two-dimensional RLR  $R$ . Assume that  $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$  is algebraically closed, that the integral closure  $D'$  of  $D$  is a prime divisor on  $R$ , and that either (1)  $R$  has nonzero characteristic or (2)  $D$  contains the maximal ideal of  $D'$ . Then there is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of which  $D$  is the first coefficient domain.*

*Proof.* By (1.1),  $D$  is a spot over  $R$  and  $D'$  is a finitely generated  $D$ -module. In view of the last sentence of General Example 3.8 and Theorem 3.12 of [HJL], it is enough to find a 2-generated  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $(a, b)R$  of  $R$  for which  $a/b \in D$  and the integral closure of  $R[a/b]_{\mathfrak{m}R[a/b]}$  is  $D'$ . Also, since  $D'$  is a prime divisor of the second kind of  $R$ , there is a simple complete  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  with which  $D'$  is associated, in the sense of the Zariski theory. It will suffice to find elements  $a, b$  of  $R$  so that  $a/b \in D$  and the ideal  $(a, b)R$  is a reduction of a power of  $\mathfrak{b}$ .

Let  $(c, d)R$  be a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{b}$  (or of a power of  $\mathfrak{b}$ ). Then the residue field of  $D'$  is of transcendence degree 1 over  $k$ , generated by the image  $\overline{c/d}$  of  $c/d$  (because  $k$  is algebraically closed [HuS, Remark 3.5]), but algebraic over the residue field of  $D$ , and for any other prime divisor of the second kind of  $R$ , either  $c/d$  is not in that prime divisor or its image in the residue field is not transcendental over the image of  $k$  (i.e.,  $c/d$  is not

“residually transcendental” for any other prime divisor of the second kind). Thus, for an element  $z$  of  $D$  of which the image  $\bar{z}$  in the residue field of  $D$  (or  $D'$ ) is transcendental over  $k$ , there is an element  $\varphi(t)$  of  $R(t)$  such that if  $\bar{\varphi}(t) \in k(t)$  is the image of  $\varphi(t)$  in  $R(t)/\mathbf{m}R(t)$ , then  $\bar{z} = \bar{\varphi}(\bar{c}/\bar{d})$ . We may assume that the numerator and denominator of  $\bar{\varphi}(t)$  are relatively prime polynomials over  $k$ . Now  $z - \varphi(c/d)$  is in the maximal ideal of  $D'$ , so under assumption (2) of the statement, we immediately have that  $\varphi(c/d) \in D$ . To reach a similar (though not identical) conclusion under assumption (1), we note that since  $D'$  is local and is a finitely generated  $D$ -module, the maximal ideal of  $D$  contains a power of the maximal ideal of  $D'$ ; so we can raise  $z - \varphi(c/d)$  to a sufficiently high power  $q$ , a power of the characteristic of  $R$ , to conclude that  $\varphi(c/d)^q \in D$ . Multiplying the numerator and denominator of  $\varphi$  or  $\varphi^q$  by the same power of  $d$ , we convert them into forms  $a = a(c, d)$  and  $b = b(c, d)$  in  $c, d$  of the same degree  $n$  such that their images in the degree- $n$  piece of the fiber ring  $F((c, d)) = R[(c, d)t] \otimes_R R/\mathbf{m}$ , a polynomial ring in two variables over  $k$ , are relatively prime.

We show that  $(a, b)$  is a reduction of  $(c, d)^n$ , which will complete the proof. It suffices to show that  $(a, b)(c, d)^n = (c, d)^{2n}$ , and by Nakayama’s Lemma it suffices to show that the  $k$ -vector spaces  $[(a, b)(c, d)^n + \mathbf{m}(c, d)^{2n}]/\mathbf{m}(c, d)^{2n}$  and  $(c, d)^{2n}/\mathbf{m}(c, d)^{2n}$  have the same dimension. The latter is the degree- $2n$  piece of the fiber ring  $F((c, d))$ ; its dimension is  $2n + 1$ . The images of the products  $ac^i d^{n-i}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , span a subspace of the former of dimension  $n + 1$ , and similarly with  $b$  in place of  $a$ ; and since the images of  $a, b$  are relatively prime, the intersection of these two subspaces is spanned by the image of  $ab$ , so it is one-dimensional. Thus,  $[(a, b)(c, d)^n + \mathbf{m}(c, d)^{2n}]/\mathbf{m}(c, d)^{2n}$  has dimension  $2(n + 1) - 1 = 2n + 1$  as required.  $\square$

### 3. Principal extensions and contracted powers.

(3.1) Suppose  $D$  is a one-dimensional semispot birationally dominating a quasi-unmixed, analytically unramified, normal local domain  $(R, \mathbf{m})$ . In this section we seek conditions for  $D$  to be the first coefficient domain of an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . If  $D$  is the first coefficient domain of  $I$ , then  $ID$  is principal, and replacing  $I$  by the associated  $e_1$ -ideal of a high power of  $I$ , we obtain

an  $\mathbf{m}$ -primary ideal  $J$  such that  $JD$  is principal and  $J^n D \cap R = J^n$  for each positive integer  $n$  [HJLS, Theorem 3.17]. Thus a necessary condition for  $D$  to be a first coefficient domain is the existence of an  $\mathbf{m}$ -primary ideal  $J$  of  $R$  with the two properties: (1)  $JD$  is principal, and (2)  $J^n D \cap R = J^n$  for each positive integer  $n$ . If  $D$  is local, we prove in Theorem 3.3 that this necessary condition is also sufficient, and that  $D$  is in fact the first coefficient domain of each ideal  $J$  with these two properties.

The case in which  $V$  is a prime divisor birationally dominating a two-dimensional RLR  $(R, \mathbf{m})$  is illustrative. Suppose  $a$  is a nonzero element of  $\mathbf{m}$  and consider the descending chain  $J_n = a^n V \cap R$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , of ideals of  $R$ . As noted in the introduction, each  $J_n$  is a complete ideal of  $R$ , and from the Zariski theory it follows that  $J_n$  is a product of powers of the simple complete ideals associated with the finitely many prime divisors that “come out” on the sequence of quadratic transformations of  $R$  along  $V$ . Let  $\mathbf{b}$  be the simple complete ideal of  $R$  associated to  $V$ , and suppose the  $V$ -values of  $a$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  are  $p$  and  $q$  respectively. Then  $J_q = \mathbf{b}^p$ . Since all powers of  $\mathbf{b}$  are contracted from  $V$ , for each positive integer  $r$  we have  $J_q^r = J_{qr}$ , or equivalently the powers of  $J_q$  are contracted from  $V$ . Moreover,  $J_q$  has  $V$  as its first coefficient domain.

(3.2) It was noted in [HJL, (3.7)] that the first coefficient domain of an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  can be described using the minimal primes of  $IR[It]$  of the Rees algebra  $R[It]$  or the minimal primes of  $t^{-1}R[t^{-1}, It]$  of the extended Rees algebra  $R[t^{-1}, It]$  of  $I$  (where  $t$  is an indeterminate over  $R$ ). These primes are in one-to-one correspondence with the maximal ideals of the first coefficient domain  $D$  of  $I$ : If  $P$  is one of these minimal primes, then  $P$  does not contain the degree-1 piece of the Rees algebra (or extended Rees algebra), say  $bt \notin P$  where  $b \in I$ . Then the localization of the (extended) Rees algebra at  $P$  is also a localization of  $R[I/b][bt, (bt)^{-1}]$  and has the form  $D_N(bt)$  (cf. the paragraph before Theorem 2.2) for the maximal ideal  $N$  of  $D$  corresponding to  $P$ . [Note: The  $V(t)$  in the equations on the last line of [HJL, (3.7)] should be  $V(bt)$ , for  $b$  as above.]

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(R, \mathbf{m})$  be a local domain that is the intersection of its*

localizations at height-one primes, and let  $D$  be a one-dimensional semilocal domain that birationally dominates  $R$ . Suppose  $J$  is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$  such that  $JD$  is principal and  $J^n D \cap R = J^n$  for each positive integer  $n$ . Then the first coefficient domain of  $J$  is a localization of  $D$ . In particular, if  $D$  is local, then  $D$  is the first coefficient domain of  $J$ .

*Proof.* Replacing  $J$ , if necessary, by a power of  $J$ , we may assume that  $JD = aD$  where  $a \in J$ . Let  $A = R[t^{-1}, Jt]$  be the extended Rees algebra of the ideal  $J$  of  $R$ ; let  $D(at)$  denote the localization of the polynomial ring  $D[at]$  at the complement of the union of the extension to  $D[at]$  of the maximal ideals of  $D$ ; and let  $K$  be the fraction field of  $R$ . Since  $D[at, (at)^{-1}]$  is Cohen-Macaulay, it is the intersection of its localizations at height-one primes. It follows that  $D[at, (at)^{-1}] = K[at, (at)^{-1}] \cap D(at)$ , and hence that

$$\begin{aligned} R[t, t^{-1}] \cap D[at, (at)^{-1}] &= R[t, t^{-1}] \cap K[at, (at)^{-1}] \cap D(at) \\ &= R[t, t^{-1}] \cap D(at) = A . \end{aligned}$$

Let  $P$  be a minimal prime of  $t^{-1}A$  and let  $S = A - P$ . Then  $A_P = S^{-1}(R[t, t^{-1}] \cap D(at)) = S^{-1}(R[t, t^{-1}]) \cap S^{-1}D(at)$ . Since  $R[t, t^{-1}]$  is the locally finite intersection of its localizations at height-one primes, to show  $S^{-1}(R[t, t^{-1}]) = K(t)$ , it suffices to show  $S$  meets each height-one prime  $Q$  of  $R[t, t^{-1}]$ : If  $Q \cap S = \emptyset$ , then  $Q \cap A \subseteq P$ . Since  $Q \cap A \neq 0$ , we must have  $Q \cap A = P$ . But  $P \cap R = \mathfrak{m}$  and  $Q \cap R < \mathfrak{m}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $S$  meets each height-one prime of  $R[t, t^{-1}]$ , so  $A_P = S^{-1}D(at)$ .

Let  $E$  be the first coefficient domain of  $J$ . The maximal ideals  $N$  of  $E$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the minimal primes  $P$  of  $t^{-1}A$ , where  $A_P = E_N(at)$ . Since each  $A_P$  is a localization of  $D(at)$ , the intersection  $E(at)$  of the  $A_P$ 's is a ring of fractions of  $D(at)$ . Intersecting with  $K$  shows that  $E$  is a ring of fractions of  $D$ .  $\square$

The following corollary implies the uniqueness property of the intersection of the Rees valuation domains of an ideal mentioned in (0.2).

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a quasi-unmixed, analytically unramified, normal local domain, and let  $I$  be an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . The first coefficient*

domain  $E$  of  $I$  is the unique largest one-dimensional semilocal domain  $D$  birationally dominating  $R$  and having the properties that  $ID$  is principal and  $I^n D \cap R$  is contained in the  $e_1$ -ideal of  $I^n$  for each positive integer  $n$ .

*Proof.* By [HJLS, Theorem 3.17] for all sufficiently large positive integers  $r$ , the ideal  $J = I^r E \cap R$  has the property that  $E$  is the first coefficient domain of  $J$  and for each positive integer  $n$  we have  $J^n E \cap R = J^n = I^{rn} E \cap R$  is the  $e_1$ -ideal associated to  $I^{rn}$ . Therefore  $J^n D$  is principal and  $J^n D \cap R = J^n$  for each  $n$ . By Theorem 3.3,  $E$  is a localization of  $D$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $D$  be a one-dimensional spot birationally dominating a two-dimensional RLR  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ . If  $J$  is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal in  $R$  such that  $JD$  is principal and all the powers of  $J$  are contracted from  $D$ , then  $D$  is the first coefficient domain of  $J$ , and the integral closure of  $J$  is a product of powers of the simple complete ideals associated to the localizations of the integral closure of  $D$ .*

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a quasi-unmixed analytically unramified local domain of dimension  $d \geq 2$ , and let  $J$  be an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . Let  $D$  be a one-dimensional semilocal domain birationally dominating  $R$ , and let  $V$  be a finitely generated birational integral extension of  $D$ . If all the powers of  $J$  are contracted from  $D$ , then for each positive integer  $n$ ,  $J^n V \cap R$  is integral over  $J^n$ . In particular, if  $I = JD \cap R$  is a normal ideal (i.e., the powers of  $I$  are integrally closed), then all the powers of  $I$  are contracted from  $V$ .*

*Remark.* The hypothesis in Proposition 3.6 (and in Proposition 3.7 below) that  $V$  is a finitely generated  $D$ -module is necessary (cf., e.g., [HRS, (1.27)]). But if  $D$  (or  $V$ , by Corollary 1.3) is a (birational) semispace over  $R$ , the hypothesis on  $R$  assures that  $V$  is a finitely generated  $D$ -module [Re2, Theorem 1.2].

*Proof.* For the first assertion, it suffices to show that  $I$  is integral over  $J$ . Since  $J$  is contained in each nonzero prime ideal of  $D$ , there exists a positive integer  $c$  such that  $J^c$  is contained in the conductor of  $V$  into  $D$ . Thus, for

all positive integers  $n$ , we have

$$I^{n+c} \subseteq I^{n+c}V \cap R = J^{n+c}V \cap R \subseteq J^n D \cap R = J^n \subseteq I^n.$$

It follows that the length of  $R/J^n$  is between those of  $R/I^n$  and  $R/I^{n+c}$ . Now, for  $n$  sufficiently large, the length of  $I^n/I^{n+c}$  is a polynomial in  $n$  of degree  $d - 1$ , while the lengths of  $R/I^n$  and  $R/J^n$  are polynomials in  $n$  of degree  $d$ . Therefore the Hilbert polynomials of  $I$  and  $J$  have the same highest degree coefficient, i.e.,  $I$  and  $J$  have the same multiplicity. By [Re1, Theorem 3.2],  $I$  is integral over  $J$ .

For the second assertion, note that  $J^n \subseteq I^n \subseteq I^n V \cap R = J^n V \cap R$ ; the last ideal is integral over  $J^n$ , so if  $I^n$  is integrally closed, it is equal to  $I^n V \cap R$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $(R, \mathbf{m})$  be a normal, quasi-unmixed, analytically unramified local domain of dimension  $d \geq 2$ , and  $J$  be an  $\mathbf{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . Let  $D$  be a one-dimensional semilocal domain birationally dominating  $R$  such that the integral closure  $V$  of  $D$  is a finitely generated  $D$ -module. Suppose that  $J^n D \cap R = J^n$  for each positive integer  $n$ , and let  $I_n = J^n V \cap R$  for each  $n$ .*

- (1) *For sufficiently large  $r$ , all the powers of  $I_r$  are contracted from  $V$ .*
- (2) *Therefore  $V$  is contained in each of the Rees valuation domains of  $J$ , and so  $D$  is contained in the integral closure of the first coefficient domain of  $J$ .*

*Proof.* (1) By [Re2, Theorem 1.4], for sufficiently large  $r$ ,  $I_r$  is a normal ideal, so by Proposition 3.6 all the powers of  $I_r$  are contracted from  $V$ . (2) Since the intersection of the Rees valuation domains is the unique largest one-dimensional semilocal subdomain  $E$  of the fraction field of  $R$  with the property that the integral closure of  $J^n$  is  $J^n E \cap R$  for each  $n$ ,  $V$  is contained in each of the Rees valuation domains of  $J$ .  $\square$

(3.8) Let  $(R, \mathbf{m})$  be a two-dimensional RLR, let  $D$  be a one-dimensional semispot birationally dominating  $R$ , and let  $V$  be the integral closure of  $D$ . Let  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$  be the simple complete ideals of  $R$  associated to the DVR's



which are localizations of  $V$ . Then the associated  $e_1$ -ideal of an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $I$  of  $R$  has the form  $(*)$  as in (0.2) above, where the  $r_j$  are positive integers, if and only if  $V$  is the first coefficient domain of  $I$ . By [HJL, Theorem 3.12],  $D$  is a first coefficient domain if and only if there exists an ideal  $J$  of  $R$  such that  $JD$  is principal and such that the integral closure of  $J$  is of the form  $(*)$ . Thus, for example, if  $V$  is the ord-valuation domain of  $R$ , then  $D$  is a first coefficient domain if and only if there exists an ideal  $J$  such that  $JD$  is principal and such that the integral closure of  $J$  is a power of  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

(3.9) With  $R, D$  as in Corollary 3.5, there always exist  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals  $J$  with the property that all their powers are contracted from  $D$  (for, if  $J$  is the product of the simple complete ideals associated to the DVR localizations of the integral closure of  $D$ , then all the powers of  $J$  are contracted from the integral closure of  $D$  and hence also from  $D$ ). Thus, in this case the issue is whether there exists such a  $J$  with  $JD$  principal. However, if one passes to a more general situation where  $R$  is a two-dimensional excellent normal local domain, then there may exist birationally dominating DVR spots  $V$  over  $R$  for which there does not exist an ideal  $J$  of  $R$  such that all the powers of  $J$  are contracted from  $V$ . By definition, an excellent two-dimensional normal local domain  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  with the property that each prime divisor of the second kind on  $R$  is the first coefficient domain of an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal is said to satisfy Muhly's condition  $(N)$  (cf. [HL, page 291]). If  $R$  is a two-dimensional complete normal local domain, Cutkosky proves in [C, Theorem 4] that  $R$  satisfies condition  $(N)$  if and only if  $R$  has torsion divisor class group. Thus, for example,  $R = \mathbb{C}[[x, y, z]]$ , where  $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 0$ , has prime divisors of the second kind which are not first coefficient domains of an ideal of  $R$ .

(3.10) Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a two-dimensional RLR and let  $D$  be the first coefficient domain of an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . If  $D$  is a prime divisor of  $R$  and  $a \in \mathfrak{m}$  is a nonzero element, then there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $D$  is the first coefficient domain of  $a^n D \cap R$  (cf. (3.1)). The case of a general first coefficient domain, however, is different: In Example 1.8, there is no positive integer  $m$  for which  $D$  is the first coefficient domain of  $x^m D \cap R$ . This

phenomenon is the reef on which founders the following naive approach to realizing a one-dimensional semispace  $E$  birationally dominating  $R$  as a first coefficient domain. Let  $\mathfrak{b}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{b}_s$  be the distinct simple complete ideals of  $R$  associated with the prime divisors obtained as localizations of the integral closure  $E'$  of  $E$ , and let  $a \in R$  be such that  $aE' \cap R = \mathfrak{b}_1 \dots \mathfrak{b}_s$ . Let  $A = R[t^{-1}, t] \cap E(at)$ . Then  $A = R[t^{-1}, I_1 t, I_2 t^2, \dots]$ , where  $I_n = a^n E \cap R$ . The integral closure of  $A$  is  $A' = R[t^{-1}, (I_1)'t, (I_2)'t^2, \dots]$ , while the domain  $A'' = R[t^{-1}, t] \cap E'(at)$  is almost integral over  $A$  since there is a nonzero conductor from  $E'$  to  $E$ . The following conditions are equivalent: (1)  $A$  is Noetherian. (2)  $A$  is affine over  $R$ . (3)  $A' = A''$ . When these conditions hold,  $(I_1)' = \mathfrak{b}_1 \dots \mathfrak{b}_s$  and  $E$  is the first coefficient domain of an ideal integral over a power of  $I_1$ . In Example 1.8, however, for  $E = D$  and  $a = x$ , we have  $A' < A''$ . When we have  $A' < A''$ , there is no positive integer  $m$  for which the powers of  $I_m$  are contracted from  $E$ , nor for which  $E$  is the first coefficient domain of  $I_m$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is the outgrowth of a project with Bernard Johnston. We would like to acknowledge his penetrating questions which initiated the present paper. We also thank the referee for a careful reading of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [A1] S. Abhyankar, *On the valuations centered in a local domain*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 321–348.
- [A2] S. Abhyankar, *Quasi-rational singularities*, Amer. J. Math. **100** (1978), 267–300.
- [C] S. Cutkosky, *On unique and almost unique factorization of complete ideals II*, Invent. Math. **98** (1989), 59–74.
- [HJL] W. Heinzer, B. Johnston and D. Lantz, *First coefficient domains and ideals of reduction number one*, Comm. Algebra **21** (1993), 3797–3827.
- [HJLS] W. Heinzer, B. Johnston, D. Lantz and K. Shah, *Coefficient ideals in and blowups of a commutative Noetherian domain*, J. Algebra **162** (1993), 355–391.
- [HL] W. Heinzer and D. Lantz, *Exceptional prime divisors of two-dimensional local domains*, Commutative Algebra: Proceedings of a Microprogram Held June 15–July 2, 1987, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [HRS] W. Heinzer, C. Rotthaus and J. Sally, *Formal fibers and birational extensions*, Nagoya Math. J. **131** (1993), 1–38.
- [Hu] C. Huneke, *Complete ideals in two-dimensional regular local rings*, Commutative

- Algebra: Proceedings of a Microprogram Held June 15–July 2, 1987, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [HuS] C. Huneke and J. Sally, *Birational extensions in dimension two and integrally closed ideals*, J. Algebra **115** (1988), 481–500.
- [Ku] E. Kunz, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1985.
- [M] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra, Second Edition*, Benjamin/Cummings, Reading, Massachusetts, 1980.
- [N] M. Nagata, *Local Rings*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [No] S. Noh, *Sequence of valuation ideals of prime divisors of the second kind in 2-dimensional regular local rings*, J. Algebra **158** (1993), 31–49.
- [Re1] D. Rees,  *$\mathfrak{a}$ -transforms of local rings and a theorem on multiplicities of ideals*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **57** (1961), 8–17.
- [Re2] D. Rees, *A note on analytically unramified rings*, J. London Math. Soc. **36** (1961), 24–28.
- [Sh] K. Shah, *Coefficient ideals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **327** (1991), 373–384.
- [Z] O. Zariski, *Polynomial ideals defined by infinitely near base points*, Amer. J. Math. **60** (1938), 151–204.
- [ZS] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra, Vol. II*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.