

Maxwell's equations in an unbounded structure

Peijun Li^{a,*†}, Gaofeng Zheng^b and Weiyang Zheng^c

Communicated by I. Stratis

This paper is concerned with the mathematical analysis of the electromagnetic wave scattering by an unbounded dielectric medium, which is mounted on a perfectly conducting infinite plane. By introducing a transparent boundary condition on a plane surface confining the medium, the scattering problem is modeled as a boundary value problem of Maxwell's equations. Based on a variational formulation, the problem is shown to have a unique weak solution for a wide class of dielectric permittivity and magnetic permeability by using the generalized Lax–Milgram theorem. Copyright © 2016 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Keywords: Maxwell's equations; generalized Lax–Milgram theorem; unbounded rough surface

1. Introduction

Consider the electromagnetic wave scattering by an unbounded dielectric medium, which is mounted on a perfectly conducting infinite plane. The free space above the medium is filled with a homogeneous material; while the medium itself may be inhomogeneous with variable dielectric permittivity and magnetic permeability. The interface, which separates the free space and the inhomogeneous medium, may be represented by an infinite rough surface. It is referred to as a surface which is a nonlocal perturbation of an infinite plane surface such that the whole surface lies within a finite distance of the original plane. By introducing a transparent boundary condition on a planar surface confining the medium, the scattering problem is formulated as a boundary value problem of Maxwell's equations. This paper is concerned with the mathematical analysis of the solution for its variational problem. The main theorem indicates that there is a unique weak solution in a suitable functional space for a wide class of dielectric permittivity and magnetic permeability. The proof is based on a Hodge decomposition and the generalized Lax–Milgram theorem. A crucial step is to establish a priori estimate of the solution.

The scattering by unbounded structures has significant applications such as modeling acoustic and electromagnetic wave propagation over outdoor ground and sea surfaces or, at a very different scale, optical scattering from the surface of materials in near-field optics or nano-optics, detection of underwater mines, especially those buried in soft sediments. These problems are extensively studied and a considerable amount of information is available concerning their solutions [1–9].

These scattering problems are quite challenging because of the unbounded nature of the domains. The usual Silver–Müller radiation condition is no longer valid, and the Fredholm alternative argument does not apply either because of the lack of compactness result. In particular, rigorous mathematical analysis for the three-dimensional Maxwell equations is very rare. In [10], the electromagnetic scattering by unbounded rough surfaces was considered under the assumption that the medium was lossy in the entire space. The well-posedness of the solution was established by a direct application of the Lax–Milgram theorem after showing that the sesquilinear form was coercive. In [11], the authors considered the electromagnetic wave scattering from rough penetrable layers. The problem was shown to have a unique weak solution from a priori estimates and the limiting absorption principle. As mentioned in the paper, the source term was assumed to be divergence free, and the magnetic permeability was assumed to be a constant. Moreover, the assumptions were quite restrictive for the dielectric permittivity and might be hard to be satisfied in practice.

In this work, we adopt the generalized Lax–Milgram theorem to establish the well-posedness of the solution for the electromagnetic scattering problem. Our method is optimal in the sense that the generalized Lax–Milgram theorem gives the sufficient and necessary condition on the existence and uniqueness of the solution. The divergence free condition is removed for the source term and the magnetic permeability can be a variable function. A larger class of dielectric permittivity is allowed because the assumptions are much

^a Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

^b Department of Mathematics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China

^c Institute of Computational Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and System Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China

* Correspondence to: Peijun Li, Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA.

† E-mail: lipeijun@math.purdue.edu

less restrictive. Related work can be found in [12–14] for the electromagnetic scattering problems by open cavities and diffractive gratings. We refer to [15] for the electromagnetic scattering in unbounded domains and [16–18] for an account of electromagnetic wave scattering problem in bounded domains.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, the model problem and some functional spaces are introduced. A Hodge decomposition is discussed in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the study of the variational formulation of the scattering problem. In Section 5, an a priori estimate is derived for the solution, and the well-posedness of the problem is established. The paper is concluded with some general remarks and directions for future research in Section 6.

2. A model problem

In this section, we introduce a mathematical model and define some notation for the electromagnetic scattering by an unbounded structure. Let us first specify the problem geometry, which is shown in Figure 1. Let S be a Lipschitz continuous surface embedded in the strip

$$\Omega = \{(\rho, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < z < h\} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, h),$$

where $\rho = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $h > 0$ is a constant. The free space is filled with some homogeneous material above S ; while the medium may be inhomogeneous in the region below S . The unbounded medium is assumed to be deposited on a perfectly conducting infinite (x, y) -plane. Define two boundaries $\Gamma_0 = \{(\rho, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z = 0\}$ and $\Gamma = \{(\rho, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z = h\}$.

Specifically, we assume that the space $\mathbb{R}_+^3 = \{(\rho, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z > 0\}$ is filled with a material with the dielectric permittivity ε and the magnetic permeability μ . The electromagnetic wave propagation is governed by the time-harmonic Maxwell equations with time dependence $e^{-i\omega t}$:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} - i\omega\mu\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{H} + i\omega\varepsilon\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{J} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^3, \tag{2.1}$$

where \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} denote the electric field and the magnetic field, ω is the angular frequency, and \mathbf{J} is the electric current density. We assume that $\varepsilon \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$ and $\mu \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$ and they satisfy

$$0 < \varepsilon_0 \leq \text{Re}\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1, \quad \text{Im}\varepsilon \geq 0, \quad 0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu \leq \mu_0,$$

where $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \mu_0, \mu_1$ are constants, and ε_0, μ_0 are known as the dielectric permittivity and the magnetic permeability in the free space, respectively. The regularity of ε and μ will be used in the a priori estimate for the electric field.

Eliminating \mathbf{H} from (2.1), we obtain a decoupled equation for \mathbf{E} :

$$\nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}) - \omega^2 \varepsilon \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{f} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\kappa = \omega(\varepsilon\mu)^{1/2}$ with $\text{Im}\kappa \geq 0$ is the wavenumber and $\mathbf{f} = i\omega\mathbf{J}$. Because the unbounded medium is mounted on the perfectly electrical conducting plane Γ_0 , the electric field \mathbf{E} satisfies

$$\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (0, 0, 1)^\top$.

To describe the boundary value problem and derive its variational formulation, we introduce some Sobolev spaces. For $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we denote \hat{u} the Fourier transform of u by

$$\hat{u}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(\rho) e^{-i\rho \cdot \xi} d\rho,$$




Figure 1. Problem geometry.

where $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Denote by $L^2(\Omega)$ the space of complex square integrable functions on Ω with the norm

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \left[\int_0^h \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u(\rho, z)|^2 d\rho dz \right]^{1/2} = \left[\int_0^h \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\hat{u}(\xi, z)|^2 d\xi dz \right]^{1/2}.$$

We define the Sobolev space as follows: $H^s(\Omega) = \{D^\alpha u \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ for all } |\alpha| \leq s\}$, which is a Banach space for the norm:

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\Omega)} = \left[\int_0^h \sum_{n+m \leq s} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |\xi|^2)^n |D_z^m \hat{u}(\xi, z)|^2 d\xi \right) dz \right]^{1/2},$$

where $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and D_z^m is the m -th derivative with respect to z . These norms, given in the spatial-frequency domain, are equivalent to the usual Sobolev norms in the entire spatial domain because of the Parseval identity.

Introduce the following space:

$$H(\text{curl}, \Omega) = \{\mathbf{u} \in L^2(\Omega)^3, \nabla \times \mathbf{u} \in L^2(\Omega)^3\},$$

which is a Hilbert space for the norm:

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)} = \left(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 + \|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

For any vector field $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^\top$, denote the tangential component on Γ by

$$\mathbf{u}_\Gamma = -\mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{u}) = (u_1(\rho, h), u_2(\rho, h), 0)^\top.$$

For any smooth vector $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^\top$ defined on Γ , denote by $\text{div}_\Gamma \mathbf{u} = \partial_x u_1 + \partial_y u_2$ and $\text{curl}_\Gamma \mathbf{u} = \partial_x u_2 - \partial_y u_1$, the surface divergence and the surface scalar curl of the field \mathbf{u} , respectively. For a smooth function u , denote by $\nabla_\Gamma u = (\partial_x u, \partial_y u, 0)^\top$ the surface gradient. The subscript T denotes the first two components of a vector.

To describe the Calderón operator and the transparent boundary condition in formulation of the boundary value problem, we introduce some trace functional spaces. Denote by $H^s(\Gamma)$ the standard Sobolev space, the completion of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ in the norm characterized by

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\Gamma)} = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\hat{u}|^2 d\xi \right]^{1/2}.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\mathcal{S}_w(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be the Schwartz space and the weighted Schwartz space, that is,

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \left\{ u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) : \sup_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}^2} |(1 + |\rho|^2)^\alpha \frac{\partial^{|\beta|}}{\partial \rho^\beta} u(\rho)| < \infty \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_w(\mathbb{R}^2) = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2) : |\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2|^{-1/2} \hat{u} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2) \right\},$$

where $\kappa_0 = \omega(\varepsilon_0 \mu_0)^{1/2} > 0$ is the wavenumber in the free space. Denote by $\mathcal{S}_w^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the dual space of $\mathcal{S}_w(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Define

$$H_\alpha^s(\mathbb{R}^2) = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{S}_w^*(\mathbb{R}^2) : (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \left| \frac{1 + |\xi|^2}{\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2} \right|^{\alpha/2} \hat{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \right\}$$

and

$$H_\alpha^s(\text{curl}; \Gamma) = \{\mathbf{u} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)^3 : \text{curl}_\Gamma \mathbf{u} \in H_\alpha^s(\mathbb{R}^2), \text{div}_\Gamma \mathbf{u} \in H_{\alpha+1}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)\}.$$

In this paper, we only need to choose $\alpha = s = -1/2$. Denote $W = H_{-1/2}^{-1/2}(\text{curl}; \Gamma)$ and its norm is characterized by

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}\|_W^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-1/2} (|\hat{u}_1|^2 + |\hat{u}_2|^2) d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-1}}{|\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2|^{-1/2}} |\xi_1 \hat{u}_2 - \xi_2 \hat{u}_1|^2 d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-1}}{|\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2|^{1/2}} |\xi_1 \hat{u}_1 + \xi_2 \hat{u}_2|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Define a subspace of $H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$:

$$X = \{\mathbf{u} \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega) : \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0, \mathbf{u}_\Gamma \in W\}.$$

The norm is given by

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_X = \left(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 + \|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_\Gamma\|_W^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

To reduce the problem into the bounded domain Ω , we introduce a transparent boundary condition by using a Calderón operator, which maps the value of the tangential component of the electric field to the value of the tangential trace of the magnetic field.

For any tangential vector $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, 0)^\top$ on Γ , define the boundary operator

$$T\mathbf{u} = (v_1, v_2, 0)^\top, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{v}_1 \\ \hat{v}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\omega\mu_0(\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2}} \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_0^2 - \xi_2^2 & \xi_1\xi_2 \\ \xi_1\xi_2 & \kappa_0^2 - \xi_1^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{u}_1 \\ \hat{u}_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows from [10] that the following transparent boundary condition can be imposed:

$$(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \times \mathbf{n} = i\omega\mu_0 T\mathbf{E}_\Gamma \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$

It is shown in [15, Lemma 5.4.7] that T is a bounded linear operator, i.e., there exists a positive constant C such that

$$|\langle T\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq C\|\mathbf{u}\|_W\|\mathbf{v}\|_W \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in W. \tag{2.5}$$

We mention that C and C_j are positive constants throughout the paper, whose precise values are not required and may change line by line but should be always clear from the context.

3. Hodge decomposition

We present a version of Hodge decomposition, which is important in the proof of our theorems on the existence and uniqueness of the scattering problem.

Introduce a subspace of $H^1(\Omega)$:

$$H_\Gamma^1(\Omega) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0, \nabla_\Gamma u \in W\},$$

which is a Hilbert space for the norm

$$\|u\|_{H_\Gamma^1(\Omega)} = \left(\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla_\Gamma u\|_W^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Lemma 3.1

Given $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $g \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$, the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \nabla u) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\ \varepsilon_0 \partial_z u = i\omega^{-1} \operatorname{div}_\Gamma T \nabla_\Gamma u + g & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution in $H_\Gamma^1(\Omega)$.

Proof

We examine the variational formulation of the problem: find $u \in H_\Gamma^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$b(u, v) = \int_\Gamma g \bar{v} - \int_\Omega f \bar{v} \quad \text{for all } v \in H_\Gamma^1(\Omega),$$

where the sesquilinear form

$$b(u, v) = \int_\Omega \varepsilon \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{v} + i\omega^{-1} \int_\Gamma T \nabla_\Gamma u \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \bar{v}.$$

It follows directly from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality that b is a continuous on $H_\Gamma^1(\Omega) \times H_\Gamma^1(\Omega)$. Hence, it suffices to show that b is coercive on $H_\Gamma^1(\Omega)$.

Taking the real and imaginary parts of b yields

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} b(u, u) &= \int_\Omega \operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon) |\nabla u|^2 - \omega^{-1} \operatorname{Im} \int_\Gamma T \nabla_\Gamma u \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \bar{u}, \\ \operatorname{Im} b(u, u) &= \int_\Omega \operatorname{Im}(\varepsilon) |\nabla u|^2 + \omega^{-1} \operatorname{Re} \int_\Gamma T \nabla_\Gamma u \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \bar{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\nabla_{\Gamma} u = \mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, 0)^{\top}$. Noting $\text{curl}_{\Gamma}(\nabla_{\Gamma} u) = 0$, we have $\xi_2 \hat{w}_1 - \xi_1 \hat{w}_2 = 0$. It follows from $|\xi|^2 |\hat{\mathbf{w}}|^2 = |\xi_1 \hat{w}_2 - \xi_2 \hat{w}_1|^2 + |\xi_1 \hat{w}_1 + \xi_2 \hat{w}_2|^2$ that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} T \nabla_{\Gamma} u \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \bar{u} &= \frac{1}{\omega \mu_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2}} (\kappa_0^2 |\hat{\mathbf{w}}|^2 - |\xi_1 \hat{w}_2 - \xi_2 \hat{w}_1|^2) d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega \mu_0} \left[\int_{|\xi|^2 < \kappa_0^2} \frac{\kappa_0^2 |\hat{\mathbf{w}}|^2}{(\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2}} d\xi - i \int_{|\xi|^2 > \kappa_0^2} \frac{\kappa_0^2 |\hat{\mathbf{w}}|^2}{(|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2}} d\xi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Simple calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}b(u, u) &= \int_{\Omega} \text{Re}(\varepsilon) |\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon_0 \int_{|\xi|^2 > \kappa_0^2} \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{w}}|^2}{(|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2}} d\xi, \\ \text{Im}b(u, u) &= \int_{\Omega} \text{Im}(\varepsilon) |\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon_0 \int_{|\xi|^2 < \kappa_0^2} \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{w}}|^2}{(\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2}} d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

which yield

$$\begin{aligned} 2|b(u, u)| &\geq \text{Re}b(u, u) + \text{Im}b(u, u) \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{w}}|^2}{||\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2|^{1/2}} d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Using the fact that $\xi_2 \hat{w}_1 - \xi_1 \hat{w}_2 = 0$ again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_W^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{w}}|^2}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\xi_1 \hat{w}_1 + \xi_2 \hat{w}_2|^2}{(1 + |\xi|^2) |\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2|^{1/2}} d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{w}}|^2}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{w}}|^2}{||\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2|^{1/2}} d\xi \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{w}}|^2}{||\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2|^{1/2}} d\xi, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where C depends only on κ_0 . Because $u = 0$ on Γ_0 , it follows from the Poincaré inequality and (3.1)–(3.2) that we obtain

$$|b(u, u)| \geq C \left(\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_W^2 \right) = C \|u\|_{H^1_+(\Omega)}^2.$$

The lemma is proved by a direct application of the Lax–Milgram theorem. □

Define two subspaces of X :

$$Y = \{ \mathbf{u} \in X : \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \mathbf{u}) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = i\omega^{-1} \text{div}_{\Gamma} T \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma} \text{ on } \Gamma \},$$

and

$$Y^{\perp} = \{ \mathbf{u} : \mathbf{u} = \nabla u, u \in H^1_{\Gamma}(\Omega) \}.$$

Lemma 3.2

The spaces Y and Y^{\perp} are closed subspaces of X , which is the direct sum of Y and Y^{\perp} , i.e.,

$$X = Y \oplus Y^{\perp}.$$

Proof

Take $\mathbf{u}_n = \nabla u_n \in Y^{\perp}$, and it follows from $\mathbf{u}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{u}$ in X that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}\|_X^2 &= \|\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 + \|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}_n - \nabla \times \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}\|_W^2 \\ &= \|\nabla u_n - \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 + \|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}\|_W^2 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which shows that $\|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} = 0$ and then Y^{\perp} is closed.

Equivalently, the subspace Y can be represented as

$$Y = \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in X : \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \bar{v} - i\omega^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} \text{div}_{\Gamma} T \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma} \bar{v} = 0 \text{ for all } v \in H^1_{\Gamma}(\Omega) \right\}.$$

For fixed $v \in H^1_\Gamma(\Omega)$, define a linear functional on Y :

$$\begin{aligned} l(\mathbf{u}) &= \int_\Omega \varepsilon \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \bar{v} - i\omega^{-1} \int_\Gamma \operatorname{div}_\Gamma T \mathbf{u}_\Gamma \bar{v} \\ &= \int_\Omega \varepsilon \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \bar{v} + i\omega^{-1} \int_\Gamma T \mathbf{u}_\Gamma \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \bar{v} \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{u} \in Y. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |l(\mathbf{u})| &\leq C (\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_W \|\nabla_\Gamma v\|_W) \\ &\leq C (\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\nabla_\Gamma v\|_W) \|\mathbf{u}\|_X = C(v) \|\mathbf{u}\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{u}_n \in Y$ and $\mathbf{u}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{u}$ in X . We have

$$|l(\mathbf{u})| = |l(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_n) + l(\mathbf{u}_n)| = |l(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_n)| \leq C(v) \|\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}\|_X \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that $\mathbf{u} \in Y$ and thus the closedness of the space Y .

For any $\mathbf{u} \in X$, define $u \in H^1_\Gamma(\Omega)$ by the solution to the variational problem

$$a(\nabla u, \nabla v) = a(\mathbf{u}, \nabla v) \text{ for all } v \in H^1_\Gamma(\Omega),$$

which has an equivalent differential form:

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \nabla u) = \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \mathbf{u}) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\ \varepsilon_0 \partial_z u = i\omega^{-1} \operatorname{div}_\Gamma T \nabla_\Gamma u + g & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

where $g = \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} - i\omega^{-1} \operatorname{div}_\Gamma T \mathbf{u}_\Gamma$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that there exists a unique solution u in $H^1_\Gamma(\Omega)$.

Denote $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} - \nabla u$. Then $a(\mathbf{v}, \nabla v) = 0$ for all $v \in H^1_\Gamma(\Omega)$, that is,

$$\int_\Omega \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \mathbf{v}) \bar{v} - \int_\Gamma (\varepsilon_0 \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} - i\omega^{-1} \operatorname{div}_\Gamma T \mathbf{v}_\Gamma) \bar{v} = 0 \text{ for all } v \in H^1_\Gamma(\Omega),$$

which shows that $\mathbf{v} \in Y$.

Finally, we show that $Y \cap Y^\perp$ consists of the trivial function only. In fact, if $\mathbf{u} = \nabla u \in Y \cap Y^\perp$, then

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \nabla u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\ \varepsilon_0 \partial_z u = i\omega^{-1} \operatorname{div}_\Gamma T \nabla_\Gamma u & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

which implies that $\mathbf{u} = \nabla u = 0$ from Lemma 3.1. □

4. Reduced variational problem

Multiplying the complex conjugate of a test function $\psi \in X$ on (2.2), integrating over Ω , and using the integration by parts, we arrive at the variational form for the scattering problem: Find $\mathbf{E} \in X$ such that

$$a(\mathbf{E}, \psi) = (\mathbf{f}, \psi) \quad \text{for all } \psi \in X, \tag{4.1}$$

where the sesquilinear form

$$a(\mathbf{E}, \psi) = \int_\Omega \mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla \times \bar{\psi} - \omega^2 \int_\Omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \cdot \bar{\psi} - i\omega \int_\Gamma T \mathbf{u}_\Gamma \cdot \bar{\psi}_\Gamma, \tag{4.2}$$

and the linear functional

$$(\mathbf{f}, \psi) = \int_\Omega \mathbf{f} \cdot \bar{\psi}.$$

Using the Hodge decomposition in Lemma 3.2, we take $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{u} + \nabla u$ and $\psi = \mathbf{v} + \nabla v$ for any $\mathbf{v} \in Y$ and $v \in H^1_\Gamma(\Omega)$. Observing that for $\mathbf{u} \in Y$ and $v \in H^1_\Gamma(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(\mathbf{u}, \nabla v) &= -\omega^2 \int_\Omega \varepsilon \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \bar{v} - i\omega \int_\Gamma T \mathbf{u}_\Gamma \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \bar{v} \\ &= \omega^2 \int_\Omega \bar{v} \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \mathbf{u}) - \omega^2 \varepsilon_0 \int_\Gamma \bar{v} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}) + i\omega \int_\Gamma \bar{v} \operatorname{div}_\Gamma T \mathbf{u}_\Gamma \\ &= \omega^2 \int_\Omega \bar{v} \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \mathbf{u}) - \omega^2 \int_\Gamma \bar{v} (\varepsilon_0 \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} - i\omega^{-1} \operatorname{div}_\Gamma T \mathbf{u}_\Gamma) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

By Lemma 3.1, there exists a unique solution $u \in H^1_\Gamma(\Omega)$ to the problem

$$a(\nabla u, \nabla v) = (\mathbf{f}, \nabla v) \quad \text{for any } v \in H^1_\Gamma(\Omega). \tag{4.4}$$

It follows from (4.3) to (4.4) that the variational problem (4.1) can be equivalently reformulated into the following problem: find $\mathbf{u} \in Y$ such that

$$a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}) - a(\nabla u, \mathbf{v}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in Y. \tag{4.5}$$

Notice that u is determined by \mathbf{f} from (4.4). Hence, there exists a functional $\mathcal{F} \in Y^*$, the dual space of Y , such that

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}) - a(\nabla u, \mathbf{v}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in Y.$$

The variational problem (4.5) can be further reduced to the problem: find $\mathbf{u} \in Y$ such that

$$a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{v}) \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{v} \in Y. \tag{4.6}$$

In the rest of the paper, we shall apply the generalized Lax–Milgram theorem to prove that the variational problem (4.6) has a unique solution.

First, we show that Y has an equivalent norm defined by

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_Y = \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)} \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{u} \in Y.$$

The following two trace regularity results in $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$ and W are useful in subsequent analysis. The first lemma is proved in [10] (cf. Lemma 2.5).

Lemma 4.1

For any $\eta > 0$, there exists a positive constant $C(\eta)$ depending on η and h such that

$$\|\mathbf{u}_\Gamma\|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)}^2 \leq \eta \|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 + C(\eta) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{u} \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega).$$

Lemma 4.2

We have the estimate

$$\|\mathbf{u}_\Gamma\|_W \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)} \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{u} \in Y,$$

where C depends on ε, κ_0 , and h .

Proof

For any $\mathbf{u} \in Y$, we have $\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \mathbf{u}) = 0$, which gives

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = - \left(\frac{\nabla \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right) \cdot \mathbf{u}. \tag{4.7}$$

Denote $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^\top$. Because $\varepsilon_0 \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = i\omega^{-1} \text{div}_\Gamma \mathcal{T} \mathbf{u}_\Gamma$ on Γ , we take the Fourier transform with respect to ρ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}_3 &= \frac{i}{\omega \varepsilon_0} \widehat{\text{div}_\Gamma \mathcal{T} \mathbf{u}_\Gamma} = -\frac{1}{\omega \varepsilon_0} [\xi_1 \ \xi_2] \begin{bmatrix} \hat{v}_1 \\ \hat{v}_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{-1}{\kappa_0^2 (\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2}} [\xi_1 \ \xi_2] \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_0^2 - \xi_2^2 & \xi_1 \xi_2 \\ \xi_1 \xi_2 & \kappa_0^2 - \xi_1^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{u}_1 \\ \hat{u}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{-\xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_\Gamma}{(\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Using (4.8) and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_\Gamma\|_W^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_\Gamma|^2}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2|^{1/2}}{1 + |\xi|^2} |\xi_1 \hat{u}_2 - \xi_2 \hat{u}_1|^2 d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\xi_1 \hat{u}_1 + \xi_2 \hat{u}_2|^2}{(1 + |\xi|^2) |\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2|^{1/2}} d\xi \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{u}_\Gamma\|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(|\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2|^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}_\Gamma|^2 + \frac{|\xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_\Gamma|^2}{|\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2|^{1/2}} \right) d\xi \\ &= \|\mathbf{u}_\Gamma\|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(|\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2|^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}_\Gamma|^2 + |\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2|^{1/2} |\hat{u}_3|^2 \right) d\xi \\ &\leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_Y^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2|^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_Y^2 + \max\{1, \kappa_0\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

For any $u \in H^1(\Omega)$, we have

$$|\hat{u}(\xi, h)|^2 \leq |\hat{u}(\xi, z)|^2 + 2 \int_0^h |\hat{u}(\xi, z) \hat{u}'(\xi, z)| dz, \quad z \in (0, h).$$

Multiplying $(1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$ on both sides of the aforementioned inequality and integrating with z on $(0, h)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h(1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2} |\hat{u}(\xi, h)|^2 &\leq (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2} \int_0^h |\hat{u}(\xi, z)|^2 dz \\ &\quad + 2h \int_0^h (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2} |\hat{u}(\xi, z)| |\hat{u}'(\xi, z)| dz \\ &\leq (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2} \int_0^h |\hat{u}(\xi, z)|^2 dz + h \int_0^h (1 + |\xi|^2) |\hat{u}(\xi, z)|^2 dz \\ &\quad + h \int_0^h |\hat{u}'(\xi, z)|^2 dz, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2} |\hat{u}(\xi, h)|^2 d\xi &\leq \frac{1+h}{h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^h (1 + |\xi|^2) |\hat{u}(\xi, z)|^2 d\xi dz \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^h |\hat{u}'(\xi, z)|^2 d\xi dz. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Using (4.10) and

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi|^2 |\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\xi, z)|^2 + |\hat{\mathbf{u}}'(\xi, z)|^2 &= |\xi_1 \hat{u}_2 - \xi_2 \hat{u}_1|^2 + |i\xi_1 \hat{u}_3 - \hat{u}'_1|^2 \\ &\quad + |i\xi_2 \hat{u}_3 - \hat{u}'_2|^2 + |\hat{u}'_3 + i(\xi_1 \hat{u}_1 + \xi_2 \hat{u}_2)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi &\leq C \left[\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^h (|\xi|^2 |\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\xi, z)|^2 + |\hat{\mathbf{u}}'(\xi, z)|^2) d\xi dz \right] \\ &= C \left(\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \times \mathbf{u}|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}|^2 \right) \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where (4.7) is used in the last inequality. The proof is completed by combining (4.9) and (4.11). □

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.2.

Corollary 4.3

In the subspace Y of X , the norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|_Y$.

Therefore, for the sake of simplicity, we will use $\|\cdot\|_Y$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_X$ to equip the function space Y .

Next, we examine the conditions in the generalized Lax–Milgram theorem. It follows from Lemma 4.2 and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} |a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})| &\leq C_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \times \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \times \bar{\mathbf{v}}| + C_2 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}}| + C_3 \int_{\Gamma} |\mathcal{T}\mathbf{u}_{\Gamma} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}}_{\Gamma}| \\ &\leq C_1 \|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|\nabla \times \bar{\mathbf{v}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + C_2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|\bar{\mathbf{v}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + C_3 \|\mathbf{u}\|_W \|\bar{\mathbf{v}}\|_W \\ &\leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_Y \|\bar{\mathbf{v}}\|_Y, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the sesquilinear form a is bounded. It is easy to show that

$$a(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = a(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in Y. \tag{4.12}$$

In order to apply the generalized Lax–Milgram theorem, we need to show the inf-sup condition:

$$\gamma = \inf_{0 \neq \mathbf{u} \in Y} \sup_{0 \neq \mathbf{v} \in Y} \frac{|a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})|}{\|\mathbf{u}\|_Y \|\mathbf{v}\|_Y} > 0 \tag{4.13}$$

and the “transposed” inf-sup condition, which follows from (4.12) if (4.13) holds.

Lemma 4.4

If (4.13) holds, then the variational problem (4.6) has exactly one solution $\mathbf{u} \in Y$ for $\mathcal{F} \in Y^*$. Furthermore, it satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_Y \leq \gamma^{-1} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{Y^*}. \tag{4.14}$$

In order to get (4.13), we need to establish an a priori estimate for any solution to (4.6), from which the inf-sup condition will be satisfied by the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5

If there exists a C such that

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_Y \leq C\|\mathcal{F}\|_{Y^*} \tag{4.15}$$

for all $\mathbf{u} \in Y, \mathcal{F} \in Y^*$ satisfying (4.6), then (4.13) holds with $C \geq \gamma^{-1}$.

Proof

Fix $\mathbf{u} \in Y$ and define $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{v}) = a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in Y$, then $\mathcal{F} \in Y^*$ and \mathbf{u} is the solution to (4.6). We have

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_Y \leq C\|\mathcal{F}\|_{Y^*} = C \sup_{0 \neq \mathbf{v} \in Y} \frac{|\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{v})|}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_Y} = C \sup_{0 \neq \mathbf{v} \in Y} \frac{|a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})|}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_Y}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\gamma = \inf_{0 \neq \mathbf{u} \in Y} \sup_{0 \neq \mathbf{v} \in Y} \frac{|a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})|}{\|\mathbf{u}\|_Y \|\mathbf{v}\|_Y} \geq C^{-1},$$

which completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.6

If there exists a constant C_1 such that

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_Y \leq C_1 \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \tag{4.16}$$

for all $\mathbf{u} \in Y, \mathbf{f} \in L^2(\Omega)$ that satisfies

$$a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in Y, \tag{4.17}$$

then for all $\mathbf{u} \in Y, \mathcal{F} \in Y^*$ that satisfies (4.6), there exists a constant C_2 such that

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_Y \leq C_2\|\mathcal{F}\|_{Y^*}.$$

Proof

Suppose $\mathbf{u} \in Y$ is a solution to the problem

$$a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{v}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in Y. \tag{4.18}$$

Let $a_0 : Y \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$a_0(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \int_{\Omega} \mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \times \bar{\mathbf{v}} + M\omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \mathbf{u} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}} - i\omega \int_{\Gamma} T\mathbf{u}_{\Gamma} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}}_{\Gamma},$$

where $M > 0$ is a constant which will be determined later to ensure that a_0 is coercive in Y . It is easy to show that a_0 is a bounded sesquilinear form. For any $\mathbf{u} \in Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} a_0(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) &= \int_{\Omega} \mu^{-1} |\nabla \times \mathbf{u}|^2 + M\omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon) |\mathbf{u}|^2 \\ &\quad + \mu_0^{-1} \int_{|\xi|^2 > \kappa_0^2} \left[(|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\Gamma}|^2 - \frac{|\xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\Gamma}|^2}{(|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2}} \right] d\xi \\ &\geq \mu_0^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \times \mathbf{u}|^2 + M\omega^2 \varepsilon_0 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \mu_0^{-1} \int_{|\xi|^2 \geq \kappa_0^2 + \tau} \frac{|\xi_1 \hat{u}_2 - \xi_2 \hat{u}_1|^2 - \kappa_0^2 |\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\Gamma}|^2}{(|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2}} d\xi \\ &\quad + \mu_0^{-1} \int_{\kappa_0^2 < |\xi|^2 < \kappa_0^2 + \tau} \left[(|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\Gamma}|^2 - \frac{|\xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\Gamma}|^2}{(|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2}} \right] d\xi \\ &\geq \mu_0^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \times \mathbf{u}|^2 + M\omega^2 \varepsilon_0 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 - \kappa_0^2 \mu_0^{-1} \int_{|\xi|^2 \geq \kappa_0^2 + \tau} \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\Gamma}|^2}{(|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2}} d\xi \\ &\quad - \mu_0^{-1} \int_{\kappa_0^2 < |\xi|^2 < \kappa_0^2 + \tau} \frac{|\xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\Gamma}|^2}{(|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2}} d\xi, \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

where $\tau > 0$ is a constant, and we have used $|\xi|^2 |\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\Gamma}|^2 = |\xi_1 \hat{u}_2 - \xi_2 \hat{u}_1|^2 + |\xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\Gamma}|^2$.

Because $\mathbf{u} \in Y$, it follows from (4.8), (4.10), and (4.11) that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\kappa_0^2 < |\xi|^2 < \kappa_0^2 + \tau} \frac{|\xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\Gamma}|^2}{(|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2}} d\xi &= \int_{\kappa_0^2 < |\xi|^2 < \kappa_0^2 + \tau} (|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2} |\hat{u}_3|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \tau^{1/2} \int_{\kappa_0^2 < |\xi|^2 < \kappa_0^2 + \tau} |\hat{u}_3|^2 d\xi \leq \tau^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2} |\hat{u}_3|^2 d\xi \leq C\tau^{1/2} \|\mathbf{u}\|_Y^2. \end{aligned}$$

We choose τ to be small enough such that

$$\int_{\kappa_0^2 < |\xi|^2 < \kappa_0^2 + \tau} \frac{|\xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_\Gamma|^2}{(|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2}} d\xi \leq \frac{1}{10} \|\mathbf{u}\|_\gamma^2. \quad (4.20)$$

Fix such a $\tau > 0$, we have from Lemma 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_0^2 \int_{|\xi|^2 \geq \kappa_0^2 + \tau} \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_\Gamma|^2}{(|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2}} d\xi &\leq \kappa_0^2 \max_{|\xi|^2 > \kappa_0^2 + \tau} \frac{(|\xi|^2 + 1)^{1/2}}{(|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2}} \int_{|\xi|^2 > \kappa_0^2 + \tau} \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_\Gamma|^2}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}} d\xi \\ &\leq \kappa_0^2 \left(1 + \frac{1 + \kappa_0}{\tau}\right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_\Gamma|^2}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}} d\xi \\ &\leq \kappa_0^2 \left(1 + \frac{1 + \kappa_0}{\tau}\right)^{1/2} \left(\eta \int_\Omega |\nabla \times \mathbf{u}|^2 + C(\eta) \int_\Omega |\mathbf{u}|^2\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{10} \int_\Omega |\nabla \times \mathbf{u}|^2 + C_1 \int_\Omega |\mathbf{u}|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

if we choose $\eta > 0$ to be small enough such that

$$\eta \kappa_0^2 \left(1 + \frac{1 + \kappa_0}{\tau}\right)^{1/2} < \frac{1}{10}.$$

Combining (4.19), (4.20) and (4.21), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} a_0(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) &\geq \frac{4\mu_0^{-1}}{5} \int_\Omega |\nabla \times \mathbf{u}|^2 + \left(M\omega^2 \varepsilon_0 - \frac{\mu_0^{-1}}{10} - C_1\right) \int_\Omega |\mathbf{u}|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{4\mu_0^{-1}}{5} \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla \times \mathbf{u}|^2 + \int_\Omega |\mathbf{u}|^2\right) = \frac{4\mu_0^{-1}}{5} \|\mathbf{u}\|_\gamma^2, \end{aligned}$$

if we choose $M > 0$ to be large enough such that

$$M\omega^2 \varepsilon_0 - C_1 \geq \frac{9\mu_0^{-1}}{10}.$$

This implies that a_0 is coercive by Corollary 4.3. Thus, the problem of finding $\mathbf{u}_0 \in Y$ such that

$$a_0(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{v}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in Y \quad (4.22)$$

has a unique solution \mathbf{u}_0 that satisfies

$$\frac{4\mu_0^{-1}}{5} \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_\gamma \leq \|\mathcal{F}\|_{Y^*}. \quad (4.23)$$

Now, defining $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0$ and using (4.18) and (4.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) &= a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) - a(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{v}) - (\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{v}) + a(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{v}) - a_0(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{v})) \\ &= (M + 1)\omega^2 \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{v}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, \mathbf{w} satisfies (4.17) with $\mathbf{f} = (M + 1)\omega^2 \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2(\Omega)^3$. By the assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{w}\|_\gamma &\leq C_1(M + 1)\omega^2 \|\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \\ &\leq C_1(M + 1)\omega^2 \|\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_\gamma \\ &\leq \frac{5\mu_0}{4} C_1(M + 1)\omega^2 \|\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{Y^*}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (4.23) in the last inequality. Finally,

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_\gamma \leq \|\mathbf{w}\|_\gamma + \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_\gamma \leq \frac{5\mu_0}{4} [1 + C_1(M + 1)\omega^2 \|\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}] \|\mathcal{F}\|_{Y^*} = C_2 \|\mathcal{F}\|_{Y^*},$$

which proves the Lemma. □

Combining Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.5, and Lemma 4.6, we obtain the main theorem in this section.

Theorem 4.7

If it holds (4.16) for all $\mathbf{u} \in Y, \mathbf{f} \in L^2(\Omega)^3$ satisfying (4.17), then the variational problem (4.1) has a unique solution in X .

5. A priori estimate

In the section, we show that the assumption of Theorem 4.7 is valid for a certain class of dielectric permittivity ε and magnetic permeability, and thus the existence and uniqueness of our original scattering problem. We shall show (4.16) holds for the solution \mathbf{u} of problem (4.17) for some $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(\Omega)^3$. Because in Y , we have $\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \mathbf{u}) = 0$, it follows from the equation satisfied by the solution \mathbf{u} to (4.17) that $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f} = 0$. First, we give some assumptions on ε and μ .

Let $0 < \delta < h/2$ be small. We denote a "tubular domain of thickness δ " of Ω any open domain

$$D_\delta = \left\{ (\rho, z) \in \Omega : r(\rho) - \frac{\delta}{2} < z < r(\rho) + \frac{\delta}{2} \right\}$$

where $r : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a piecewise Lipschitz continuous function that satisfies $\delta < r(\rho) < h - \delta$.

We assume

- (a) $\varepsilon \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega), 0 < \varepsilon_0 \leq \text{Re}(\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon_1, \text{Im}\varepsilon \geq 0$;
- (b) $\mu \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega), 0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu \leq \mu_0, \partial_z \mu \geq 0$;
- (c) There exist $\theta > 0$ and $D_\delta \subset \Omega$ of thickness of $\delta > 0$ such that

$$D_\delta \subset \{(\rho, z) \in \Omega : \text{Im}\varepsilon > \theta\}; \tag{5.1}$$

(d) For some

$$\eta := \left(\frac{\delta^{1/2}}{8h^{3/2}} \right) \times \min \left\{ \left(\frac{5\mu_0^2}{\mu_1 \varepsilon_0^2} + \frac{2}{\mu_0 \varepsilon_0} + 10 + \frac{1}{\mu_0^2} \right)^{-1/2}, \left(\frac{\delta^{1/2} \mu_0}{4h^{3/2}} \right) (2 + \omega^2 \mu_0^2 h)^{-1} \right\} > 0,$$

there exists a constant $C(\eta) > 0$ depending only on η such that for all $(\rho, z) \in \Omega$ satisfying $\text{Im}\varepsilon(\rho, z) < C(\eta)$, we have

$$|\nabla \varepsilon(\rho, z)| + |\nabla \mu(\rho, z)| + |\nabla_T \partial_z \mu(\rho, z)| < \eta.$$

Remark 5.1

The assumption (d) implies that on the set $\{(x, y, z) \in \Omega : \text{Im}\varepsilon < C(\eta)\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} b(\varepsilon, \mu) &:= \frac{10\mu_0^2}{\mu_1^2} |\varepsilon^{-1} \nabla \varepsilon|^2 + 2\mu_0 |\nabla(\mu^{-1})| |\varepsilon^{-1} \nabla \varepsilon| \\ &\quad + 20\mu_0^2 |\nabla(\mu^{-1})|^2 + 2\mu_0 |\nabla_T \partial_z(\mu^{-1})| + \omega^2 \mu_0 h |\nabla \varepsilon| \\ &\leq \frac{10\mu_0^2}{\mu_1^2 \varepsilon_0^2} |\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \frac{2}{\mu_0 \varepsilon_0} |\nabla \mu| |\nabla \varepsilon| + 20 |\nabla \mu|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\mu_0 \left[\frac{1}{\mu_0^2} |\nabla_T \partial_z \mu| + \frac{1}{\mu_0^3} |\nabla \mu|^2 \right] + \omega^2 \mu_0 h |\nabla \varepsilon| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{10\mu_0^2}{\mu_1^2 \varepsilon_0^2} + \frac{2}{\mu_0 \varepsilon_0} + 20 + \frac{2}{\mu_0^2} \right) \eta^2 + \left(\frac{2}{\mu_0} + \omega^2 \mu_0 h \right) \eta \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{16h^3}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

This inequality plays an important role in the energy estimates.

Remark 5.2

There exists nontrivial examples for the dielectric permittivity ε and the magnetic permeability μ . For instance, let

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_0 + i \left(\frac{h}{2} - z \right)^3, & 0 \leq z \leq \frac{h}{2}, \\ \varepsilon_0, & z > \frac{h}{2}; \end{cases} \quad \mu = \begin{cases} \mu_0 \left[1 - \frac{\left(\frac{h}{2} - z \right)^3}{2 \left(\frac{h}{2} \right)^3} \right], & 0 \leq z \leq \frac{h}{2}, \\ \mu_0, & z > \frac{h}{2}, \end{cases}$$

then they satisfy all the assumptions earlier.

To obtain an a priori estimate for the solutions to problem (4.17), we need the technique that was adopted in [11] to derive Rellich identity. General speaking, we choose $z \partial_z \mathbf{u}$ and \mathbf{u} as the test functions in (4.17) and use the integration by parts, we can obtain some identities, which are called Rellich type identity.

Lemma 5.3

Let $\mathbf{u} \in Y$ be a solution to (4.17). We have

$$\int_{\Omega} (2|\partial_z \mathbf{u}|^2 + \omega^2 \mu_0 z (\partial_z \text{Re} \varepsilon) |\mathbf{u}|^2) + \mu_0 A(\mathbf{u}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \text{Im}(\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi \leq \mu_0 (2h \|\partial_z \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}) \|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}, \quad (5.3)$$

where $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{f} + \omega^2 \text{Im}(\varepsilon) \mathbf{u}$ and

$$A(\mathbf{u}) = 2\text{Re} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^{-1} (\nabla \varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{u}) \partial_z \bar{u}_3 + 2\text{Re} \int_{\Omega} \partial_z (\mu^{-1}) (\varepsilon^{-1} \nabla \varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{u} + \partial_z u_3) \bar{u}_3 - 2\text{Re} \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u}_T \cdot \nabla_T \partial_z (\mu^{-1})) \bar{u}_3 - 2\text{Re} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla_T (\mu^{-1}) \cdot \mathbf{u}_T) \partial_z \bar{u}_3.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega^2 \text{Im}(\varepsilon) |\mathbf{u}|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}. \quad (5.4)$$

Proof

The proof consists of six steps.

Step 1. We seek a distributional solution $\mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega)^3$ to the following problem in $L^2(\Omega)^3$:

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{u}) - \omega^2 \varepsilon \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) \times \mathbf{n} = i\omega \mu_0 \mathbf{T} \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma} & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{n} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

where the boundary condition $(\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) \times \mathbf{n} = i\omega \mu_0 \mathbf{T} \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma}$ holds in $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)^3$. Notice that in [11]

$$\widehat{T}_{\kappa_{\alpha}^2}^+(\mathbf{u}) = i(\kappa_{\alpha}^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2} \hat{\mathbf{u}}, \quad \widehat{N}_{\kappa_{\alpha}^2}^+(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{i\xi(\xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}})}{(\kappa_{\alpha}^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2}}.$$

A simple calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{T}_{\kappa_{\alpha}^2}^+(\mathbf{u}) + \widehat{N}_{\kappa_{\alpha}^2}^+(\mathbf{u}) \\ &= i \left((\kappa_{\alpha}^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2} \hat{u}_1 + \frac{\xi_1(\xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}})}{(\kappa_{\alpha}^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2}}, (\kappa_{\alpha}^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2} \hat{u}_2 + \frac{\xi_2(\xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}})}{(\kappa_{\alpha}^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2}} \right)^T \\ &= \frac{i}{(\kappa_{\alpha}^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2}} \left((\kappa_{\alpha}^2 - |\xi|^2) \hat{u}_1 + \xi_1^2 \hat{u}_1 + \xi_1 \xi_2 \hat{u}_2, (\kappa_{\alpha}^2 - |\xi|^2) \hat{u}_2 + \xi_1 \xi_2 \hat{u}_1 + \xi_2^2 \hat{u}_2 \right)^T \\ &= \frac{i}{(\kappa_{\alpha}^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2}} \left((\kappa_{\alpha}^2 - \xi_2^2) \hat{u}_1 + \xi_1 \xi_2 \hat{u}_2, (\kappa_{\alpha}^2 - \xi_1^2) \hat{u}_2 + \xi_1 \xi_2 \hat{u}_1 \right)^T. \end{aligned}$$

In our setting,

$$\begin{aligned} i\omega \mu_0 \widehat{T}_{\mathbf{u}_{\Gamma}} &= \frac{i}{(\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2}} \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_0^2 - \xi_2^2 & \xi_1 \xi_2 \\ \xi_1 \xi_2 & \kappa_0^2 - \xi_1^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}_1 \\ \hat{u}_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{i}{(\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2}} \left((\kappa_0^2 - \xi_2^2) \hat{u}_1 + \xi_1 \xi_2 \hat{u}_2, (\kappa_0^2 - \xi_1^2) \hat{u}_2 + \xi_1 \xi_2 \hat{u}_1 \right)^T. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\alpha = 0$ gives

$$\widehat{T}_{\kappa_0^2}^+(\mathbf{u}) + \widehat{N}_{\kappa_0^2}^+(\mathbf{u}) = i\omega \mu_0 \mathbf{T} \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma}.$$

It follows from [11, Lemma 3.2], [11, Lemma 3.5], and (4.11) that we have $\mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega)^3$.

Step 2. The following identity holds

$$\begin{aligned} 2\text{Re} \int_{\Omega} z \partial_z \mathbf{u} \cdot (\nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \bar{\mathbf{u}})) &= \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \partial_z (z \text{Re}(\varepsilon)) |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \kappa_0^2 h \mu_0^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \\ &+ 2\text{Re} \int_{\Omega} z \partial_z \mathbf{u} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{g}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Indeed, because \mathbf{u} satisfies Maxwell's Eq. 5.5 in the L^2 -sense, we can show (5.6) by multiplying the conjugate of (5.5) with $z\partial_z\mathbf{u}$ and then integrating by parts.

Step 3. The following identity holds

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\Omega} (\mu^{-1} + \partial_z(\mu^{-1})) |\nabla \times \mathbf{u}|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} \mu^{-1} |\partial_z \mathbf{u}|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^{-1} (\nabla \varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{u}) \partial_z \bar{u}_3 \\ & + 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} \partial_z(\mu^{-1}) (\varepsilon^{-1} \nabla \varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{u} + \partial_z u_3) \bar{u}_3 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u}_{\Gamma} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \partial_z(\mu^{-1})) \bar{u}_3 \\ & - 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla_{\Gamma}(\mu^{-1}) \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma}) \partial_z \bar{u}_3 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Gamma} \mu_0^{-1} \partial_z u_3 \bar{u}_3 + h \mu_0^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla \times \mathbf{u}|^2 - 2 h \mu_0^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} |\partial_z \nabla_{\Gamma} u_3|^2 \\ & + 2 h \mu_0^{-1} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_z \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \bar{u}_3 + \int_{\Omega} \omega^2 \partial_z(z \operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon)) |\mathbf{u}|^2 - 2 h \kappa_0^2 \int_{\Gamma} |\mathbf{u}_{\Gamma}|^2 \\ & = 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} z \partial_z \mathbf{u} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{g}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

In fact, using [11, Lemma 4.4] and

$$\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} \mathbf{u} = \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\varepsilon \mathbf{u}) - \varepsilon^{-1} (\nabla \varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{u}) - \partial_z u_3,$$

we can obtain the following two identities by integrating by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} z \partial_z \mathbf{u} \cdot (\nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \bar{\mathbf{u}})) & = - \int_{\Omega} (\mu^{-1} + \partial_z(\mu^{-1})) |\nabla \times \mathbf{u}|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} \mu^{-1} (\mathbf{e}_3 \times \partial_z \mathbf{u}) \cdot (\nabla \times \bar{\mathbf{u}}) \\ & + 2 h \mu_0^{-1} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_z \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma} \cdot (\mathbf{n} \times (\nabla \times \bar{\mathbf{u}})) + h \mu_0^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla \times \mathbf{u}|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} \mu^{-1} (\mathbf{e}_3 \times \partial_z \mathbf{u}) \cdot (\nabla \times \bar{\mathbf{u}}) \\ & = 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} \mu^{-1} |\partial_z \mathbf{u}|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^{-1} (\nabla \varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{u}) \partial_z \bar{u}_3 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} \partial_z(\mu^{-1}) (\varepsilon^{-1} \nabla \varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{u} + \partial_z u_3) \bar{u}_3 \\ & - 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u}_{\Gamma} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \partial_z(\mu^{-1})) \bar{u}_3 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla_{\Gamma}(\mu^{-1}) \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma}) \partial_z \bar{u}_3 - 2 \mu_0^{-1} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_z u_3 \bar{u}_3. \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

It follows from (5.8), (5.9), and (5.6) that we obtain (5.7).

Step 4. Substituting $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}$ into (4.17), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mu^{-1} |\nabla \times \mathbf{u}|^2 - \omega^2 \operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon) |\mathbf{u}|^2) - \operatorname{Re} \left(i \omega \int_{\Gamma} \mathcal{T} \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\Gamma} \right) = \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}} \tag{5.10}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega^2 \operatorname{Im}(\varepsilon) |\mathbf{u}|^2 - \operatorname{Im} \left(i \omega \int_{\Gamma} \mathcal{T} \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\Gamma} \right) = \operatorname{Im} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}. \tag{5.11}$$

Step 5. It is easy to verify the following three identities:

$$\operatorname{Im} \left(i \omega \int_{\Gamma} \mathcal{T} \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\Gamma} \right) = \mu_0^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Re}(\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi, \tag{5.12}$$

$$- \operatorname{Re} \left(i \omega \int_{\Gamma} \mathcal{T} \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\Gamma} \right) - 2 \mu_0^{-1} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_z u_3 \bar{u}_3 = \mu_0^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Im}(\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi, \tag{5.13}$$

$$\int_{\Gamma} (|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}|^2 - 2 |\partial_z \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma}|^2 - \kappa_0^2 |\mathbf{u}|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re}(\partial_z \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \bar{u}_3)) = - \int_{|\xi| < \kappa_0} (\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2) |\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi. \tag{5.14}$$

Step 6. Now, we are ready to show (5.3) and (5.4). Using (5.7), (5.10), (5.13), (5.14), and the assumption $\partial_z(\mu^{-1}) \leq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (2 \mu^{-1} |\partial_z \mathbf{u}|^2 + \omega^2 z (\partial_z \operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon)) |\mathbf{u}|^2) + A(\mathbf{u}) \\ & + \mu_0^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Im}(\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi + h \mu_0^{-1} \int_{|\xi| < \kappa_0} (\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2) |\bar{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi \\ & \leq 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} z \partial_z \mathbf{u} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{g}} + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Using (5.11) and (5.12) yields

$$\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Im}(\varepsilon)|\mathbf{u}|^2 + \mu_0^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Re}(\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi \leq \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}. \quad (5.16)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h\mu_0^{-1} \int_{|\xi| < \kappa_0} (\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2) |\bar{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi &\leq \kappa_0 h \mu_0^{-1} \int_{|\xi| < \kappa_0} (\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2} |\bar{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi \\ &= \kappa_0 h \mu_0^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Re}(\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2} |\bar{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \kappa_0 h \mu_0^{-1} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

Using the Hölder inequality and (5.15)–(5.17), we obtain (5.3). The estimate (5.4) follows immediately from (5.16). \square

Using this lemma, we can obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5.4

Let $\mathbf{u} \in Y$ be a solution to (4.17). If assumptions (a)–(d) hold, then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_Y \leq C \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}.$$

Proof

Applying Young's inequality to each term in $A(\mathbf{u})$ and the second term in (5.3), we obtain

$$|\mu_0 A(\mathbf{u})| + \left| \omega^2 \mu_0 \int_{\Omega} z(\partial_z \operatorname{Re} \varepsilon) |\mathbf{u}|^2 \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_z \mathbf{u}|^2 + \int_{\Omega} b(\varepsilon, \mu) |\mathbf{u}|^2. \quad (5.18)$$

It follows from (5.3) and (5.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_z \mathbf{u}|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Im}(\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi \\ \leq \left(2h \|\partial_z \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + (1 + 2\sqrt{2}\kappa_0 h) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \right) \|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + \int_{\Omega} b(\varepsilon, \mu) |\mathbf{u}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

By assumption (d), for any $\eta > 0$, there exists a $C(\eta) > 0$ such that $|b(\varepsilon, \mu)| < \frac{\delta}{16h^3}$ for all $(\rho, z) \in \Omega$ satisfying $\operatorname{Im} \varepsilon(\rho, z) < C(\eta)$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} b(\varepsilon, \mu) |\mathbf{u}|^2 &= \left(\int_{\{\operatorname{Im} \varepsilon < C(\eta)\}} + \int_{\{\operatorname{Im} \varepsilon \geq C(\eta)\}} \right) b(\varepsilon, \mu) |\mathbf{u}|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{16h^3} \int_{\{\operatorname{Im} \varepsilon < C(\eta)\}} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + C \int_{\{\operatorname{Im} \varepsilon \geq C(\eta)\}} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{16h^3} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{C}{\omega^2 \mu_1 C(\eta)} \int_{\{\operatorname{Im} \varepsilon \geq C(\eta)\}} \omega^2 \mu \operatorname{Im}(\varepsilon) |\mathbf{u}|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{16h^3} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{C}{\omega^2 \mu_1 C(\eta)} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

where (5.4) is used in the last inequality. Applying Lemma 6.4 in [11], we obtain

$$\delta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 \leq 4h \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(D_\delta)^3}^2 + 8h^3 \|\partial_z \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2.$$

It follows from assumption (c) that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 &\leq 4h \int_{\{\operatorname{Im} \varepsilon > \theta\}} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + 8h^3 \|\partial_z \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4h}{\omega^2 \mu_1 \theta} \int_{\Omega} \omega^2 \mu \operatorname{Im} \varepsilon |\mathbf{u}|^2 + 8h^3 \|\partial_z \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4h}{\omega^2 \mu_1 \theta} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + 8h^3 \|\partial_z \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

Combining (5.20) with (5.21), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} b(\varepsilon, \mu) |\mathbf{u}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_z \mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{\omega^2 \mu_1} \left(\frac{4h}{\theta \delta} + \frac{C}{C(\eta)} \right) \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}.$$

Choosing small enough η , we derive from (5.4)–(5.19) and the aforementioned inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\partial_z \mathbf{u}|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Im}(\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi \\ & \leq (2h \|\partial_z \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + (1 + 2\sqrt{2}\kappa_0 h) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}) \|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \\ & \quad + C \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \\ & \leq C (\|\partial_z \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}) \left[\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + (\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3})^{1/2} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

Using (5.21) and (5.22), we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 & \leq C (\|\partial_z \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}) \\ & \quad \times \left[\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + (\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3})^{1/2} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

Adding (5.22) and (5.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_z \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Im}(\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C \left[\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + (\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3})^{1/2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Young's inequality again, we have

$$\|\partial_z \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Im}(\kappa_0^2 - |\xi|^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}. \tag{5.24}$$

Because $\mathbf{u} \in Y$ is a solution to (4.17), taking $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}$ in (4.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \mu^{-1} |\nabla \times \mathbf{u}|^2 - \int_{\Omega} \omega^2 \operatorname{Re} \varepsilon |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \mu_0^{-1} \int_{|\xi|^2 > \kappa_0^2} \left[(|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\Gamma}|^2 - \frac{|\xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\Gamma}|^2}{(|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2}} \right] d\xi \\ & = \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \times \mathbf{u}|^2 & \leq C_1 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + C_2 \int_{|\xi|^2 > \kappa_0^2} (|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}_3|^2 d\xi + C_3 \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \\ & = C_1 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + C_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Im}(|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}_3|^2 d\xi + C_3 \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \\ & \leq C_1 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 + C_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Im}(|\xi|^2 - \kappa_0^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 d\xi + C_3 \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (5.24) that we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \times \mathbf{u}|^2 \leq C \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2. \tag{5.25}$$

Finally, combining (5.24) and (5.25), we derive that

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_Y \leq C \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3},$$

which completes the proof. \square

The conclusion still holds if ε satisfies the assumptions in [11]. Here, we give different assumptions on ε , which are valid for a larger class of functions.

Our main result in this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 5.5

If assumptions (a)–(d) hold, then the variational problem (4.1) has a unique solution in X .

Proof

The proof follows directly by combining Theorem 5.4 with Theorem 4.7. \square

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we studied the solution for the electromagnetic wave scattering by an unbounded structure, which was mounted on a perfectly conducting infinite plane. A variational formulation was introduced by using a transparent boundary condition. Based on a Hodge decomposition and an a priori estimate, the problem was shown to have a unique weak solution for a wide class of the dielectric permittivity by using the generalized Lax–Milgram theorem. An open question is what the best condition is for the dielectric permittivity to assure the well-posedness of the problem, which remains the topic of a future work.

Acknowledgements

The research of PL was supported in part by the NSF grant DMS-1151308. The research of GZ was partially supported by NSFC (grant no. 11171126) and Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University #IRT13066. The research of WZ was supported in part by the NSFC grants 11031006 and 11171334, by the Funds for Creative Research Groups of China 11021101, by National 863 Project of China under the grant 2012AA01A309, and by the National Magnetic Confinement Fusion Science Program 2011GB105003.

References

1. Chandler-Wilde SN, Heinemeyer E, Potthast R. Acoustic scattering by mildly rough surfaces in three dimensions. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* 2006; **66**:1002–1026.
2. Chandler-Wilde SN, Heinemeyer E, Potthast R. A well-posed integral equation formulating for three-dimensional rough surface scattering. *Proceedings of The Royal Society A* 2006; **462**:3683–3705.
3. Chandler-Wilde SN, Monk P. Existence, uniqueness, and variational methods for scattering by unbounded rough surfaces. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* 2005; **37**:598–618.
4. Chandler-Wilde SN, Monk P, Thomas M. The mathematics of scattering by unbounded, rough, inhomogeneous layers. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 2007; **204**:549–559.
5. Chandler-Wilde SN, Zhang B. Electromagnetic scattering by an inhomogeneous conducting or dielectric layer on a perfectly conducting plate. *Proceedings of The Royal Society of London. Series A* 1998; **454**:519–542.
6. Chandler-Wilde SN, Zhang B. Scattering of electromagnetic waves by rough interfaces and inhomogeneous layers. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* 1999; **30**:559–583.
7. Lechleiter A, Ritterbusch S. A variational method for wave scattering from penetrable rough layer. *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics* 2010; **75**:366–391.
8. Zhang B, Chandler-Wilde SN. Acoustic scattering by an inhomogeneous layer on a rigid plate. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* 1998; **58**:1931–1950.
9. Zhang B, Chandler-Wilde SN. Integral equation methods for scattering by infinite rough surfaces. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* 2003; **26**:463–488.
10. Li P, Wu H, Zheng W. Electromagnetic scattering by unbounded rough surfaces. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* 2011; **43**:1205–1231.
11. Haddar H, Lechleiter A. Electromagnetic wave scattering from rough penetrable layers. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* 2011; **43**:2418–2443.
12. Ammari H, Bao G. Maxwell's equations in periodic chiral structures. *Math Nachr.* 2003; **251**:3–18.
13. Ammari H, Bao G, Wood A. A cavity problem for Maxwell's equations, *Meth. Applied Analysis* 2002; **9**:249–260.
14. Dobson D, Friedman A. The time-harmonic Maxwell's equations in a doubly periodic structure. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 1992; **166**:507–528.
15. Ritterbusch S. Coercivity and the calderón Operator on an Unbounded Domain, *PhD thesis*, Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Karlsruhe, 2009.
16. Colton D, Kress R. *Inverse Acoustic and Electromagnetic Scattering Theory* 2nd ed., Appl. Math Sci, vol. 93. Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1998.
17. Monk P. *Finite Element Methods for Maxwell'S Equations*. Oxford Science Publications: Oxford, 2003.
18. Nédélec JC. *Acoustic and Electromagnetic Equations: Integral Representations for Harmonic Problems*. Springer: New York, 2000.