

L^p -multipliers arising from Lévy processes

Rodrigo Bañuelos

Department of Mathematics
Purdue University
West Lafayette, IN 47907

June 15, 2009

A Borel measure $\nu \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}^d with $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \min(|z|^2, 1) d\nu(z) < \infty$$

is called a Lévy measure. Let $\mu \geq 0$ be a finite Borel measure on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and

$$\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \psi : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1, \|\psi\|_\infty \leq 1$$

Consider the multiplier

$$\mathcal{M}(\xi) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos \xi \cdot z) \varphi(z) d\nu(z) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}} |\xi \cdot \theta|^2 \psi(\theta) d\mu(\theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos \xi \cdot z) d\nu(z) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}} |\xi \cdot \theta|^2 d\mu(\theta)},$$

Note that $\|\mathcal{M}\|_\infty \leq 1$.

We call φ and ψ **the “jump” and “Gaussian” modulators**, respectively, as they transform the corresponding parts of the Lévy process arising in the **“Lévy-Khinchin formula”**.

We note that

$$\mathcal{M}(\xi) = \frac{\int (1 - \cos \xi \cdot z) \varphi(z) d\nu(z) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{A} \xi \cdot \xi}{\int (1 - \cos \xi \cdot z) d\nu(z) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B} \xi \cdot \xi},$$

$$\mathbb{A} = \left[\int_{\mathbb{S}} \varphi(\theta) \theta_i \theta_j d\mu(\theta) \right]_{i,j=1\dots d} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{B} = \left[\int_{\mathbb{S}} \theta_i \theta_j d\mu(\theta) \right]_{i,j=1\dots d}$$

with both \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} symmetric and \mathbb{B} non-negative definite.

Theorem (R.B. A. Bielaszewsk, K. Bordan (2007, 2009))

For every $1 < p < \infty$ the multiplier operator (use \mathcal{M} for multiplier and operator) is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\|\mathcal{M}f\|_p \leq (p^* - 1) \|f\|_p,$$

where here (**and forever more**) $p^* = \max\{p, \frac{p}{p-1}\}$

Questions

(1) Where do these multipliers come from?

(2) Why study these multipliers?

Answers

(1) They come from a more “sophisticated” stochastic analysis approach to investigate the L^p -norm of the Beurling–Ahlfors operator (the (p^*-1) -problem) using general Lévy processes.

(2) Same answer as (1)

Conjecture: Tadeusz Iwaniec, 1982

$$Bf(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f(w)}{(z-w)^2} dA(w) \quad \Rightarrow \|Bf\|_p \leq (p^* - 1) \|f\|_p.$$

Long known (Lehto (1965)) that $(p^* - 1)$ cannot be improved.

- Ba.–Wang (1995):

$$\|B\| \leq 4(p^* - 1)$$

Via "Brownian" stochastic integrals, and Burkholder's martingale Inequalities.

- Nazarov–Volberg (2003):

$$\|B\| \leq 2(p^* - 1)$$

Via a "Heat Littlewood-Paley inequality" proved with Bellman functions. Burkholder's result is used for the construction of the function—hence not martingale independent either.

- Ba.-Méndez (2003):

$$\|B\| \leq 2(p^* - 1)$$

Exact same proof as the "4" result with Wang except applied to "space-time" Brownian martingales motivated by Nazarov–Volberg.

- Dragičević–Volberg (2005):

$$\|B\| \leq \sqrt{2}(p-1) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\cos(\theta)|^p d\theta \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 2 \leq p < \infty$$

- Ba.-Janakiraman (2006):

$$\|B\| \leq \sqrt{2p(p-1)}, \quad 2 \leq p < \infty$$

"More" space-time stochastic integrals, and an improvement to Burkholder's inequality in certain "orthogonal" cases. From this one obtains

$$\|B\| \leq 1.575(p^* - 1), \quad 1 < p < \infty$$

First proof that does not treat B just as sums of second order Riesz!

Definition

A **Lévy Process** is a stochastic process $X = (X_t), t \geq 0$ with

- X has independent and stationary increments
- $X_0 = 0$ (with probability 1)
- X is *stochastically continuous*: For all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow s} P\{|X_t - X_s| > \varepsilon\} = 0$$

Note: Not the same as a.s. continuous paths. However, it gives “cadlag” paths: Right continuous with left limits.

- **Stationary increments:** $0 < s < t < \infty$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^d$ Borel

$$P\{X_t - X_s \in A\} = P\{X_{t-s} \in A\}$$

- **Independent increments:** For any given sequence of ordered times

$$0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_m < \infty,$$

the random variables

$$X_{t_1} - X_0, X_{t_2} - X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_m} - X_{t_{m-1}}$$

are independent.

The characteristic function of X_t is

$$\varphi_t(\xi) = E(e^{i\xi \cdot X_t}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\xi \cdot x} p_t(dx)$$

where $p_t(dx)$ is the distribution of the random variable X_t .

The Lévy–Khintchine Formula

The characteristic function has the form $\varphi_t(\xi) = e^{t\rho(\xi)}$, where

$$\rho(\xi) = ib \cdot \xi - \frac{1}{2} \xi \cdot \mathbb{B} \xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(e^{i\xi \cdot x} - 1 - i\xi \cdot x 1_{\{|x| < 1\}}(x) \right) \nu(dx)$$

for some $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, a non-negative definite symmetric $d \times d$ matrix \mathbb{B} and a Borel measure ν on \mathbb{R}^d with $\nu\{0\} = 0$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \min(|x|^2, 1) \nu(dx) < \infty$$

$\rho(\xi)$ is called the **“Lévy symbol”** of the process or the **characteristic exponent**. The triple (b, \mathbb{B}, ν) is called the **characteristics of the process**.

Converse also true. Given such a triple we can construct a Lévy process.

Lévy processes can be written as

$$X_t = X_t^c + \sum_{s:0 \leq s \leq t} \Delta X_s$$

$$\Delta X_s = X_s - X_{s-} = \text{jump at time } s.$$

The *Poisson random measure*, aka “jump measure” is

$$N(t, A) = N(t, A, \omega) = \sum_{s:0 < s \leq t} \chi_A(\Delta X_s)$$

and the Lévy measure is:

$$\nu(A) = E \left(\sum_{s:0 < s \leq 1} \chi_A(\Delta X_s) \right)$$

Lévy–Itô: The Lévy process $X(t)$ has the representation

$$X_t = bt + B_A(t) + \int_{\{0 < |x| < 1\}} x \left[N(t, dx) - t d\nu(x) \right] + \int_{\{|x| \geq 1\}} x N(t, dx)$$

Example (1. Brownian motion or Brownian motion plus drift)

With $(0, I, 0)$, I , the identity matrix, or $(b, I, 0)$, we get

$$X_t = B_t, \quad \text{Standard Brownian motion}$$

or

$$X_t = bt + B_t, \quad \text{Brownian motion plus drift}$$

Example (2. Gaussian Processes, "General Brownian motion")

$(0, \mathbb{B}, 0)$, X_t is "generalized" Brownian motion, mean zero, covariance

$$E(X_s^j X_t^i) = b_{ij} \min(s, t)$$

X_t has the normal distribution (assume here that $\det(\mathbb{B}) > 0$)

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{d/2} \sqrt{\det(\mathbb{B})}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t} x \cdot \mathbb{B}^{-1} x\right)$$

Example (3. Poisson Process)

The Poisson Process $X_t = \pi_t(\lambda)$ of intensity $\lambda > 0$ is a Lévy process with $(0, 0, \lambda\delta_1)$ where δ_1 is the Dirac delta at 1.

$$P\{\pi_t(\lambda) = m\} = \frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^m}{m!}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

$\pi_t(\lambda)$ has continuous paths except for jumps of size 1 at the random times

$$\tau_m = \inf\{t > 0 : \pi_t(\lambda) = m\}$$

Example (4. Compound Poisson Process)

Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots be i.i.d. and independent of the π_t with distribution ν . The process $X_t = Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_{\pi_t(\lambda)} = S_{\pi_t(\lambda)}$ is a Lévy process. By independence

$$E[e^{i\xi \cdot X_t}] = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P\{\pi_t = m\} E[e^{i\xi \cdot S_m}] = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^m}{m!} (\widehat{\nu}(\xi))^m = e^{\lambda t(\widehat{\nu}(\xi) - 1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho(\xi) = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i x \cdot \xi} - 1) d\nu(x)$$

Example (5. The rotationally invariant stable processes, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$.)

These are self-similar processes, denoted by X_t^α , in \mathbb{R}^d with symbol

$$\rho(\xi) = -|\xi|^\alpha,$$

with Lévy measures

$$d\nu^\alpha = \frac{C_{\alpha,d}}{|x|^{d+\alpha}} dx, \quad 0 < \alpha < 2, \quad \nu^\alpha = 0, \quad \alpha = 2.$$

$\alpha = 2$ is **Brownian motion**, $\alpha = 1$ **Cauchy processes**. $\alpha = 3/2$ is called the **Haltmark distribution** used to model gravitational fields of stars.

Example (6. Relativistic Brownian motion)

According to quantum mechanics, a particle of mass $m > 0$ moving with momentum p has kinetic energy

$$E(p) = \sqrt{m^2 c^4 + c^2 |p|^2} - mc^2$$

where c is speed of light. Then $\rho(\xi) = -E(\xi)$ is the symbol of a Lévy process, called "*relativistic Brownian motion*."

The Beurling-Ahlfors operator ("modulation" of Brownian motion)

Take μ point mass at $1, i, e^{-i\pi/4}, e^{i\pi/4}$ ($\nu = 0$)

$$\psi(1) = 1, \varphi(e^{-i\pi/4}) = i, \varphi(i) = -1, \varphi(e^{i\pi/4}) = -i.$$

Write $\xi = |\xi| (\cos u, \sin u)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}} |\xi \cdot \theta|^2 \psi(\theta) d\mu(\theta) &= \xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 + i\left(\xi_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \xi_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 - i\left(\xi_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \xi_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 = \\ &= \xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 - 2i \xi_1 \xi_2 = \bar{\xi}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} |\xi \cdot \theta|^2 \mu(d\theta) = \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \left(\xi_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \xi_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 + \left(\xi_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \xi_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 = 2|\xi|^2,$$

$$\mathcal{M}(\xi) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}} |\xi \cdot \theta|^2 \psi(\theta) d\mu(\theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{S}} |\xi \cdot \theta|^2 d\mu(\theta)} = \frac{\bar{\xi}^2}{2|\xi|^2},$$

Beurling-Ahlfors bound $2(p^* - 1)$.

Proposition (A disappointing fact)

If μ is a measure on the circle \mathbb{S} in \mathbb{R}^2 and $\psi : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\|\psi\|_\infty \leq 1$ and

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}} |\xi \cdot \theta|^2 \psi(\theta) d\mu(\theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{S}} |\xi \cdot \theta|^2 d\mu(\theta)} = \frac{\overline{\xi^2}}{c |\xi|^2}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\},$$

then $|c| \geq 2$.

The Beurling-Ahlfors operator ("modulation" of Stable Processes)

For $0 < \alpha < 2$, consider a stable Lévy measure in \mathbb{R}^2 (in polar coordinates)

$$d\nu^\alpha(r, \theta) = r^{-1-\alpha} dr d\sigma(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(z) = \varphi\left(\frac{z}{|z|}\right),$$

σ Lebesgue on unit disc \mathbb{S} .

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_\varphi(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - \cos(\xi \cdot z)) \varphi(z) d\nu^\alpha(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_0^\infty (1 - \cos(r\theta \cdot \xi)) \varphi(r\theta) r^{-1-\alpha} dr d\sigma(\theta) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}} |\xi \cdot \theta|^\alpha \varphi(\theta) \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos(s)}{s^{1+\alpha}} ds d\sigma(\theta) \\ &= c_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{S}} |\xi \cdot \theta|^\alpha \varphi(\theta) d\sigma(\theta),\end{aligned}$$

$$c_\alpha = \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos(s)}{s^{1+\alpha}} ds$$

The Lévy “Stable Multiplier” is: $\mathcal{M}(\xi) = \frac{\Psi_\varphi(\xi)}{\Psi(\xi)} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}} |\xi \cdot \theta|^\alpha \varphi(\theta) d\sigma(\theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{S}} |\xi \cdot \theta|^\alpha d\sigma(\theta)}$.

With $\theta = (\cos(t), \sin(t))$, set

$$\varphi(\cos(t), \sin(t)) = e^{-i2t}, \quad \xi = |\xi| e^{iu} = |\xi| (\cos(u), \sin(u))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\varphi(\xi) &= c_\alpha \int_0^{2\pi} |\xi|^\alpha |(\cos(u), \sin(u)) \cdot (\cos(t), \sin(t))|^\alpha e^{-i2t} dt \\ &= c_\alpha |\xi|^\alpha \int_0^{2\pi} |\cos(t - u)|^\alpha e^{-i2t} dt \\ &= c_\alpha |\xi|^\alpha \int_0^{2\pi} |\cos(v)|^\alpha e^{-i2(u+v)} dv \\ &= c_\alpha |\xi|^\alpha e^{-i2u} \int_0^{2\pi} |\cos(v)|^\alpha e^{-i2v} dv \\ &= c_\alpha |\xi|^\alpha \frac{\bar{\xi}^2}{|\xi|^2} \int_0^{2\pi} |\cos(v)|^\alpha (\cos(2v) - i \sin(2v)) dv. \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\cos(v)|^\alpha \sin(2v) dv = 2 \int_0^{2\pi} |\cos(v)|^\alpha \sin(v) \cos(v) dv = 0$$

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^a(v) \cos^b(v) dv = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{b+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+b+2}{2}\right)} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{a+1}{2}, \frac{b+1}{2}\right), a, b > -1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |\cos(v)|^\alpha \cos(2v) dv &= \int_0^{2\pi} |\cos(v)|^\alpha (2\cos^2(v) - 1) dv \\ &= 2 \int_0^{2\pi} |\cos(v)|^{\alpha+2} dv - \int_0^{2\pi} |\cos(v)|^\alpha dv \\ &= 4 \left(2 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} |\cos(v)|^{\alpha+2} dv - \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} |\cos(v)|^\alpha dv \right) \\ &= 2 \left(2\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\alpha+3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) - \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \right) \\ &= 2 \left(2 \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+2} - 1 \right) \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &= 2 \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi_\varphi(\xi) = 2c_\alpha |\xi|^\alpha \frac{\bar{\xi}^2}{|\xi|^2} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

For $\varphi = 1$ then we have

$$\Psi(\xi) = c_\alpha |\xi|^\alpha \int_0^{2\pi} |\cos(v)|^\alpha dv = 2c_\alpha |\xi|^\alpha \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{M}(\xi) = \frac{\Psi_\varphi(\xi)}{\Psi(\xi)} = \frac{\bar{\xi}^2}{|\xi|^2} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} \quad \text{(also disappointing!)}$$

Therefore

$$\|Bf\|_p \leq \frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha} (p^* - 1) \|f\|_p,$$

and letting $\alpha \rightarrow 2$ gives

$$\|B\| \leq 2(p^* - 1)$$

Example (A Marcinkiewicz–type, Stein "Singular Integrals" p. 110)

Let

$$\lambda = \delta_{(1,0,\dots,0)} + \delta_{(-1,0,\dots,0)} + \cdots + \delta_{(0,0,\dots,1)} + \delta_{(0,0,\dots,-1)}.$$

In polar coordinates we define the Lévy measure

$$\nu^\alpha(dr, d\theta) = r^{-1-\alpha} dr d\lambda(\theta), \quad 0 < \alpha < 2.$$

(Symmetric α -stable Lévy process with independent coordinates.)

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} |\xi \cdot \theta|^\alpha d\lambda(\theta) = |\xi_1|^\alpha + \cdots + |\xi_d|^\alpha$$

Let $\varphi(z_1, \dots, z_d) = 1$ if $z_k = 0$ for $k \neq j$ and $z_j \neq 0$, and let $\varphi = 0$ otherwise. (That is, observe only the jumps of the j th coordinate process.) The Multiplier is:

$$M(\xi) = \frac{|\xi_j|^\alpha}{|\xi_1|^\alpha + \cdots + |\xi_d|^\alpha}, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

"Proof": Enough to do $\mu = 0$, ν finite and symmetric

Case $\mu = 0$ By "symmetrization", we may assume ν is symmetric, i.e., $\nu(B) = \nu(-B)$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nu}(E) &= \frac{(\nu(E) + \nu(-E))}{2}, & \hat{\nu}(E) &= \frac{(\nu(E) - \nu(-E))}{2}, \\ \tilde{\varphi}(z) &= \frac{(\varphi(z) + \varphi(-z))}{2}, & \hat{\varphi}(z) &= \frac{(\varphi(z) - \varphi(-z))}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$f(z) = \cos \xi \cdot z \quad \text{is symmetric,}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\cos(\xi \cdot z) - 1) d\nu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\cos(\xi \cdot z) - 1) d\tilde{\nu}(z)$$

Also (as measures),

$$\varphi\nu = (\tilde{\varphi} + \hat{\varphi})(\tilde{\nu} + \hat{\nu}) = (\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{\nu} + \hat{\varphi}\hat{\nu}) + (\tilde{\varphi}\hat{\nu} + \hat{\varphi}\tilde{\nu})$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} M(\xi) &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos(z \cdot \xi)) \varphi(z) d\nu(z)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos(z \cdot \xi)) d\nu(z)} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos(z \cdot \xi)) d(\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{\nu} + \hat{\varphi}\hat{\nu})(z)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos(z \cdot \xi)) d\tilde{\nu}(z)} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos(z \cdot \xi)) (\tilde{\varphi} + k\hat{\varphi})(z) d\tilde{\nu}(z)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos(z \cdot \xi)) d\tilde{\nu}(z)} \end{aligned}$$

Observe:

$$\nu = \tilde{\nu} + \hat{\nu} \geq 0, \text{ and } \hat{\nu} = k\tilde{\nu},$$

with an antisymmetric function k such that $|k| \leq 1$. So, the numerator above is integrated against $(\tilde{\varphi} + k\hat{\varphi})\tilde{\nu}$. With $|\varphi| \leq 1$, we also have $|\tilde{\varphi} \pm \hat{\varphi}| \leq 1$ and so

$$\left| \tilde{\varphi} + k\hat{\varphi} \right| = \left| \frac{1+k}{2}(\tilde{\varphi} + \hat{\varphi}) + \frac{1-k}{2}(\tilde{\varphi} - \hat{\varphi}) \right| \leq 1.$$

Conclusion: Enough to prove Theorem for symmetric ν when $\mu = 0$.

Given μ and ψ on \mathbb{S} , consider the Lévy measures and function ψ on \mathbb{R}^d

$$\nu_\varepsilon(drd\theta) = \varepsilon^{-2}\delta_\varepsilon(dr)\mu(d\theta), \quad \psi(z) = \psi\left(\frac{z}{|z|}\right)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos(\xi \cdot z))\psi(z)d\nu_\varepsilon(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_0^\infty (1 - \cos(r\theta \cdot \xi))\psi(\theta)\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\delta_\varepsilon(dr)d\mu(\theta) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}} |\xi \cdot \theta|^2 \psi(\theta) \frac{(1 - \cos(\varepsilon\theta \cdot \xi))}{|\varepsilon\theta \cdot \xi|^2} d\mu(\theta) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{S}} |\theta \cdot \xi|^2 \frac{1}{2} \psi(\theta) d\mu(\theta), \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{M}_ε be the multiplier for the Lévy measure

$$\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon = \mathbf{1}_{\{|z|>\varepsilon\}}\nu + \nu_\varepsilon$$

and define $\varphi_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\varphi_\varepsilon = \mathbf{1}_{\{|z|>\varepsilon\}}\varphi + \mathbf{1}_{\{|z|\leq\varepsilon\}}\psi.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ gives result.

The Lévy semigroup and generator

Notation: $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx$, $f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi$

$$\begin{aligned} T_t f(x) &= E[f(X(t)) | X_0 = x] = E_0[f(X(t) + x)] \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} E_0 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i(X_t+x) \cdot \xi} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix \cdot \xi} E_0 \left(e^{iX_t \cdot \xi} \right) \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix \cdot \xi} e^{t\rho(\xi)} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

That is, semigroup and generator are, respectively,

$$\widehat{T}_t f(\xi) = e^{t\rho(\xi)} \widehat{f}(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{A}f(\xi) = \rho(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$$

Theorem (Self -Adjointness of semigroup)

The semigroup is self-adjoint in L^2 iff X_t is symmetric ($P\{X_t \in B\} = P\{X_t \in -B\}$). This leads to: T_t is self-adjoint iff

$$\rho(\xi) = -\frac{1}{2}\xi \cdot A\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\cos(x \cdot \xi) - 1) d\nu(x)$$

A a symmetric matrix and ν a symmetric ($\nu(B) = \nu(-B)$) Lévy measure.

Take $\nu = 0$ and $A = I$. X_t is **good old-fashioned Brownian motion**.

$$u_f(x, t) = T_t f(x)$$

Good old-fashioned heat extension.

$$F_t = u_f(X_t, T - t) = \text{martingale}, \quad 0 < t < T$$

The Itô formula gives

$$F_T - F_0 = f(X_T) - u_f(x, T) = \int_0^T \nabla_x u_f(B_t) \cdot dX_t$$

Assume X_t is a **Compound Poisson Processes**. That is,

$$\rho(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\cos(x \cdot \xi) - 1) d\nu(x), \quad \nu(\mathbb{R}^d) < \infty.$$

Another approximation removes the assumption $\nu(\mathbb{R}^d) < \infty$.

For "very nice" f 's on \mathbb{R}^d , set

$$u_f(x, t) = T_t f(x) = \text{"heat extension"}$$

and **"space-time" martingale**

$$F_t = u_f(X_t, T - t), \quad 0 < t < T$$

By **Itô with "jumps"**

$$\begin{aligned} F_t - F_0 &= \sum_{0 < s \leq t} [u_f(X_s, T - s) - u_f(X_{s-}, T - s)] \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [u_f(X_{s-} + z, T - s) - u_f(X_{s-}, T - s)] \nu(dz) ds. \end{aligned}$$

This is a martingale for $0 < t < T$.

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$. Consider the new martingale.

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(F)_t &= \sum_{0 < s \leq t} [u_f(X_s, T-s) - u_f(X_{s-}, T-s)] \varphi(\Delta X_s) \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [u_f(X_{s-} + z, T-s) - u_f(X_{s-}, T-s)] \varphi(z) \nu(dz) ds\end{aligned}$$

on $0 < t < T$.

Theorem (Wang 1997)

If Y_t and X_t are two general (right continuous, left limits=r.c.l.l.=càdlàg) martingales with $[X, X]_t - [Y, Y]_t$ non-decreasing and nonnegative. Then

$$\|Y\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq (p^* - 1) \|X\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \quad 1 < p < \infty$$

and $p^* - 1$ is best possible.

From this we get

Theorem (Note the spaces for p-norms)

$$\|\varphi(F)_T\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq (p^* - 1) \|F_T\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = (p^* - 1) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad 1 < p < \infty$$

Note:

$$\begin{aligned} E|f(X_T)|^p &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} E_x |f(X_T)|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x+y) p_T(dy) \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x+y)|^p dx \right) p_T(dy) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p dx \end{aligned}$$

Definition

For large and fixed T , define

$$\mathbf{S}_\varphi^T \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{E}[\varphi(\mathbf{F})_T | \mathbf{X}_T = \mathbf{x}]$$

Corollary

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{S}_\varphi^T f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq \|\varphi(\mathbf{F})_T\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq (p^* - 1) \|\mathbf{F}_T\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \\ &= (p^* - 1) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

Proposition

$$\widehat{S_\varphi^T} f(\xi) = \mathcal{M}_T(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_T(\xi) &= \left(e^{2T\rho(\xi)} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{\rho(\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos(z \cdot \xi)) \varphi(z) \nu(dz) \\ &= \left(e^{2T\rho(\xi)} - 1 \right) \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos(z \cdot \xi)) \varphi(z) \nu(dz)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\cos(z \cdot \xi) - 1) \nu(dz)} \end{aligned}$$

With $\mathcal{M}_T(\xi) = 0$ whenever $\rho(\xi) = 0$.

Lemma (A "Littlewood-Paley" type identity)

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} S_\varphi^T f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx = E[\phi(F)_T \overline{G_T}] \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ \overline{u_g(x+y+z, T-s)} - u_g(x+y, T-s) \right\} \times \\ &\quad \left\{ u_f(x+y+z, T-s) - u_f(x+y, T-s) \right\} \varphi(z) d\nu(z) p_T(dy) dx ds \end{aligned}$$

Recall

$$\hat{u}_f(\xi, t) = \widehat{T}_t f(\xi) = e^{t\rho(\xi)} \hat{f}(\xi)$$

Do the $\{dx p_T(dy)\}$ integral first. Change variables $t = T - s$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ \overline{u_g(x+z, T-s) - u_g(x, T-s)} \right\} \times \\ & \left\{ u_f(x+z, T-s) - u_f(x, T-s) \right\} dx \varphi(z) d\nu(z) ds \\ = & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ \overline{u_g(x+z, t) - u_g(x, t)} \right\} \left\{ u_f(x+z, t) - u_f(x, t) \right\} dx \varphi(z) d\nu(z) dt \\ & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ \overline{e^{iz \cdot \xi} \hat{g}(\xi) - \hat{g}(\xi)} \right\} e^{t\rho(\xi)} \left\{ e^{iz \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{f}(\xi) \right\} e^{t\rho(\xi)} d\xi \varphi(z) d\nu(z) dt \\ & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |e^{iz \cdot \xi} - 1|^2 \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} e^{2t\rho(\xi)} d\xi \varphi(z) d\nu(z) dt \\ = & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 2(1 - \cos(z \cdot \xi)) \left(e^{2T\rho(\xi)} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{2\rho(\xi)} \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} d\xi \varphi(z) d\nu(z) \\ = & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(e^{2T\rho(\xi)} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{\rho(\xi)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos(z \cdot \xi)) \varphi(z) d\nu(z) \right) \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} d\xi \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1

This was all for finite measures ν . Another limiting procedure extends results to general symmetric Lévy measures.

Remark 2

If $\rho(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\cos \xi \cdot z - 1) \nu(dz) = 0$ for $\xi \neq 0$, then $\text{supp } \nu \subset A_\xi$, where

$$A_\xi = \{z : \xi \cdot z = 2k\pi \text{ for some integer } k\}.$$

In particular, A_ξ is discrete in the direction of ξ . By Fubini's theorem $\{\xi : \rho(\xi) = 0\}$ has zero Lebesgue measure. Thus our convention that $\mathcal{M}(\xi) = 0$ when $\rho(\xi) = 0$, does not influence the definition of \mathcal{M} on L^2 or L^p .