

V-filtration

---

---

---

---

---



# D-modules on a Disk

(1)

## 1. Setup

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be a disk centered at 0

$$\mathbb{D}^* = \mathbb{D} - \{0\}$$

$i: \mathbb{D}^* \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ ,  $i: 0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}$  inclusions

z the coordinate

$\hat{\mathbb{D}}^* \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbb{D}$  the universal cover which  
we can be identified with  $|z| < r > 0$   
 $z = e^{-\rho} (\cos \epsilon, \sin \epsilon)$

Our goal is to describe regular  
holonomic (analytic) D-modules  $M$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Since  $M$  is generically an integrable  
connection, there is no loss in  
assuming  $M|_{\mathbb{D}^*} = V$  is an integrable  
connection. Let us now start with  
a vector bundle  $V$  on  $\mathbb{D}^*$  with a  
(integrable) connection  $\nabla$ .  $V$  is necessarily  
trivial, so we can identify  $\nabla$  with

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + A(z)$$

(2)

We assume  $D$  is regular which means solutions to  $\nabla f = 0$  have moderate growth near 0 (are  $O(\frac{1}{|z|^n})$  for some  $n$ )

Fuchs' criterion implies we can (and will) assume  $A(z) = \underbrace{A_{-1}}_{\text{called residue of } D} \frac{1}{z} + A_0 + \dots$

called residue of  $D$ .

At the moment  $V$  is  $D_A$ -modul.

We can extend it to a  $D_{A^*}$ -modul by taking the direct image  $j_+^* V$

However it would not be quasi-coher.

So we let

$$j_+^n V \subset j_+^* V$$

consist of sheaves with moderate growth

near 0. This is a sub  $D$ -modul which is colimit of  $\mathcal{O}_A^{(n)}$ , at finen  
quasi-colimit of  $\mathcal{O}_A$

## 2. Simple Objects

The category of regular holonomic module -  $D$  is Artinian, so can get a good (partial) understanding by

(3)

describing the simple objects. There are  
3 types

$$1) \quad D_{\Delta} / \cong_{D_{\Delta}} = \int_{\sim}^{\circ} C_0$$

$$2) \quad C_{\Delta}$$

3) Take a rank one vector bundle  $V$   
with a connection  $\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{a}{x}$ ,  $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\text{Form } M = \bigcup_{x=1}^{\infty} V$$

It is not hard to check this is sing.

The fact that these are all of the sing  
objects is harder but follows from  
results stated previously about simple  
objects arising from intermediate extensions.

Given a regular connection  $V$  on  $\mathbb{A}^1$ ,

the intermediate extension

$$j_{!} : V$$

is a regular holonomic  $D_{\Delta}$ -module  
with no subquotients supported on 0.  
(For this reason, it also called the  
minimal extension.)

### 3. Intermediate Extension

(4)

We want to describe intermediate extensions in general. Naively, one might fit  $y \in V$ , but it can have subgadgets supported at 0.

To start from the beginning a solution to  $T f = 0$  is usually multi-valued, since it lives on  $\tilde{G}^+$ . If  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  is a basis of solns.

$$\text{then } \tilde{F}(e_{\alpha}) = T \tilde{f}(e)$$

for some matrix called monodromy

$$\text{Prop } T = \exp(-2\pi i \cdot \text{Res}_\Gamma)$$

In writing the previous formulae, we implicitly chose an extension of  $V$  to a trivial vector bundle  $\bar{V}$  and  $\bar{T}$  to an operator  $\bar{\mathcal{T}} : \bar{V} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{\alpha}^1 (\log \sigma) \otimes \bar{V}$ .

The pair  $(\bar{V}, \bar{\mathcal{T}})$  is not uniquely determined. The last proposition explains the ambiguity, which amounts to choosing  $\log \bar{\tau}$ .

(5)

An extension  $\bar{V}$  amounts to a choice of a suitable  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -submodule of  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^m V$ .

An explicit choice of  $\bar{V}$  due to Deligne is the submodule  $V^{>0} \subset \underline{\mathbb{C}}^m V$  generated by sections with logarithmic growth, or more precisely which grows like  $O((\log(z))_+^N)$ . This can also be characterized as the extension for which the logarithm connection  $D^{>0}$  has a residue with real parts of eigenvalues in  $[0, 1]$ . More generally for each  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can consider the extension  $V^{>r}$  ( $=_{\text{def}} V^{>r}$ ) where real parts lie in  $[r, r+1]$  ( $\text{resp. } (r, r+1]$ ).

Lemma if  $r > s$ , then  $V^{>r} \subseteq V^{>s}$   
and  $V^{>r} \subseteq V^{>s}$

(6)

Here is heuristic explanation:

The condition to  $L \perp V^{\geq 0}$  is that

the fil.  $V_0 / \cong V_0$  at is spanned by generalized eigenv. for  $\geq 0$  w.h. eigenval. has real part in  $(s, s+1)$ . If  $m\Gamma(V^{\geq 0})$  is the left of any such eigenv. then, at least, finally  $\mathbb{C}^{r-s} v$  is an eigenv. with real part  $= (\tau, \tau+1)$ .

Thus we get an  $R$ -indexed filtration  
 $- j_{!+}^m V$  called the Kashwara-Malgrange or  $V$ -filtration. We'll say more later

Then  $j_{!+}^m V \subseteq j_{+}^m V$

is the  $D$ -modul generated by  
 $V > -1$

#### 4. Perverse Sheaves on disk

To understand the structure of neg.

holonomic sheaves -  $\mathcal{A}$ , we can look

here in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and Riemann-Hilbert

Since  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is trivial, we can identify

the de Rham cycles with

$$\mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}(n) = M \xrightarrow{\quad \text{deg } -1 \quad} M = L$$

We see that

st  $\begin{cases} \mathcal{H}^i(L) = 0 & i > 0 \\ \mathcal{H}^0(L) \text{ is supported at } 0 \end{cases}$

Using the dual module  $\mathcal{D}M$ , we find that the same conditions hold for the Verdier dL.

$$\mathcal{D}L = R\text{H}^0(L, \mathcal{C}[-2])$$

A complex  $L \in \mathcal{D}^b_c(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$  with these properties is called a "perverse sheaf".

For example, if  $f$  is a local system  $\mathcal{A}$ , the  $\mathcal{I}_! f_!(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_+ f_!(\mathcal{C})$  and  $R\mathcal{I}_! f_!(\mathcal{C})$  are all perverse.

(8)

The structure of the category of  
Personae above is not easy to  
understand from the definition. A  
better description is by ranking  
cycles. We will do this in  
part II