

## Chapter 5

# Divisibility and Congruences

Given two integers  $a$  and  $b$ , we say  $a$  divides  $b$  or that  $b$  is a multiple of  $a$  or  $a|b$  if there exists an integer  $q$  with  $b = aq$ . Some basic properties divisibility are given in the exercises. It is a much subtler relation than  $\leq$ . A natural number  $p$  is called *prime* if  $p \geq 2$  and if the only natural numbers dividing are 1 and  $p$  itself.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Every natural number  $n \geq 2$  is divisible by a prime.*

*Proof.* Let  $D = \{m | m|n \text{ and } m \geq 2\}$ .  $D$  is nonempty since it contains  $n$ . Let  $p$  be the smallest element of  $D$ . If  $p$  is not prime, there exists  $d|p$  with  $2 \leq d < p$ . Then  $d \in D$  by exercise 1 of this chapter, but this contradicts the minimality of  $p$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.2.** *(Euclid) There are infinitely many primes.*

*Proof.* Suppose that are only finite many primes, say  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ . Then consider  $N = p_1 p_2 \dots p_n + 1$ . Then  $N$  must be divisible by a prime which would have to be one of the primes on the list. Suppose it's  $p_k$ . Then by exercise 2,  $1 = N - p_1 p_2 \dots p_n$  would be divisible by  $p_k$ , but this is impossible.  $\square$

The following is half of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic. What's missing is the uniqueness statement and this will be proved later.

**Corollary 5.3.** *Every natural number  $n \geq 2$  is a product of primes.*

The statement will be proved by induction on  $n$ . Note that we have to start the induction at  $n = 2$ . This does not entail any new principles, since we can change variables to  $m = n - 2$ , and do induction on  $m \geq 0$ .

*Proof.*  $n = 2$  is certainly prime. By induction, we assume that the statement holds for any  $2 \leq n' < n$ . By the lemma,  $n = pn'$  with  $p$  prime and  $n'$  a natural

number. If  $n = p$  then we are done. Otherwise  $n' \geq 2$ , so that it can be written as a product of primes. Therefore the same goes for  $n = pn'$ .  $\square$

The proofs can be turned into a method, or algorithm, for factoring an integer. In fact, it's the obvious one. Start with  $n$ , try to divide by  $2, 3, 4, \dots, n-1$ . If none of these work, then  $n$  is prime. Otherwise, record the first number, say  $p$ , which divides it; it's a prime factor. Replace  $n$  by  $n/p$  and repeat. Similarly, we get an algorithm for testing whether  $n$  is prime, by repeatedly testing divisibility by  $2, 3, 4, \dots, n-1$ . Note that we can do slightly better (ex. 3)

Fix a positive integer  $n$ . For doing computations in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  with paper and pencil, it's very convenient to introduce the  $\equiv$  symbol. We will say that  $a \equiv_n b$  if  $a - b$  is divisible by  $n$ , or equivalently if  $a$  and  $b$ . One can work with  $\equiv$  symbol as one would for  $=$  thanks to:

**Proposition 5.4.** *The follow hold:*

1.  $\equiv_n$  is reflexive, i.e.  $x \equiv_n x$ .
2.  $\equiv_n$  is symmetric, i.e.  $x \equiv_n y$  implies  $y \equiv_n x$ .
3.  $\equiv_n$  is transitive, i.e.  $x \equiv_n y$  and  $y \equiv_n z$  implies that  $x \equiv_n z$ .
4. If  $a \equiv_n b$  and  $c \equiv_n d$  then  $a + c \equiv_n b + d$ .

*Proof.* We prove the transitivity (3). The other statements are left as an exercise. Suppose that  $x \equiv_n y$  and  $y \equiv_n z$ , then  $x - y = na$  and  $y - z = nb$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $x - z = x - y + y - z = n(a + b)$ , which proves that  $x \equiv_n z$ .  $\square$

A relation satisfying the first three properties above is called an *equivalence relation*.

**Lemma 5.5.** *Given an integer  $x$  and a positive integer  $n$ , there exist a unique element  $(x \bmod n) \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  such that  $x \equiv_n (x \bmod n)$*

A warning about notation. Typically, in most math books, they would write  $x \equiv y \pmod{n}$  instead of  $x \equiv_n y$ .

*Proof.* First suppose  $x \geq 0$ . In this case, there are no surprises. The division algorithm gives  $x = qn + r$  with  $r \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ .  $x \equiv_n r$  since  $n$  divides  $x - r$ . So we can take  $x \bmod n = r$ .

Suppose that  $x < 0$ . If  $x$  is divisible by  $n$ , then we take  $x \bmod n = 0$ . Suppose  $x$  is not divisible by  $n$ , then applying the division algorithm to  $-x$  yields  $-x = qn + r$  with  $0 < r < n$ . Therefore

$$x = -qn - r = -qn - n + n - r = -(q+1)n + (n-r)$$

with  $0 < n - r < n$ . So we can take  $x \bmod n = n - r$ . We leave it as an exercise to check the uniqueness.  $\square$

$x \rightarrow x \bmod n$  can be visualized as follows when  $n = 3$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} -3 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{array}$$

The rule for adding in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is now quite easy with this notation. Given  $x, y \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$

$$x \oplus y = (x + y) \bmod n$$

Now we can finally prove:

**Theorem 5.6.**  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +, 0)$  is an Abelian group.

*Proof.* We assume that the variables  $x, y, z \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Let's start with the easy properties first.

$$x \oplus y = (x + y) \bmod n = (y + x) \bmod n = y \oplus x$$

$$x \oplus 0 = (x + 0) \bmod n = x$$

Set

$$\ominus x = (-x) \bmod n$$

Then, either  $x = 0$  in which case

$$x \oplus (\ominus x) = 0 + 0 \bmod n = 0,$$

or else  $x \neq 0$  in which case  $\ominus x = n - x$  so that

$$x \oplus (\ominus x) = (x + n - x) \bmod n = 0.$$

Finally, we have to prove the associative law. We have

$$y + z \equiv_n (y + z \bmod n) = y \oplus z$$

by definition. Therefore by proposition 5.4

$$x + (y + z) \equiv_n x + (y \oplus z) \equiv_n (x + (y + z \bmod n)) \bmod n = x \oplus (y \oplus z)$$

On the other hand

$$x + y \equiv_n (x + y \bmod n) = x \oplus y$$

so that

$$(x + y) + z \equiv_n (x \oplus y) + z \equiv_n ((x \oplus y) + z) \bmod n = (x \oplus y) \oplus z$$

Since  $x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$ , we can combine these congruences to obtain

$$x \oplus (y \oplus z) \equiv_n (x \oplus y) \oplus z$$

We can conclude that the two numbers are the same by the uniqueness statement of lemma 5.5.

□

## 5.7 Exercises

1. Prove that  $|$  is transitive, and that  $a|b$  implies that  $a \leq b$  provided that  $b > 0$ .
2. Prove that  $a|b$  and  $a|c$  implies  $a|(b'b + c'c)$  for any pair of integers  $b', c'$ .

Let  $b \geq 2$  be an integer. A base  $b$  expansion of a natural number  $N$  is a sum  $N = a_n b^n + a_{n-1} b^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$  where each  $a_i$  is an integer satisfying  $0 \leq a_i < b$ . Base 10 (decimal) expansions are what we normally use, but  $b = 2, 8, 16$  are useful in computer science.

- 3 Show that  $a_0$  is the remainder of division of  $N$  by  $b$ .
- 4 For any  $b \geq 2$ , prove that any natural number  $N$  has a base  $b$  expansion by induction. (Use the division algorithm.)
- 5 Turn the proof around to find a method for calculating the coefficients  $a_i$ . Find a base 8 expansion of 1234.
- 6 Finish the proof of proposition 5.4.