

# Some constants coming from the work of Littlewood

A. Eremenko

October 10, 2002

1. Let

$$\phi(n) = \sup_{\deg p=n} \int_{|z|<1} \frac{|p'|}{1+|p|^2} dm,$$

where the sup is taken over all polynomials of degree  $n$  and  $dm$  stands for the area element. Littlewood [11] gives several equivalent definitions of  $\phi(n)$ . He observed that  $\phi(n) \leq \pi\sqrt{n}$ . Let

$$\alpha = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \phi(n)}{\log n}.$$

Littlewood's conjecture that  $\alpha < 1/2$  was proved by Lewis and Wu [10], who showed that in fact  $\alpha < 2^{-1} - 2^{-264}$ . These authors used an approach from [7, 8] where a weaker result was obtained. Early lower estimates of  $\alpha$  are due to Paley and Hayman, but only in [5] it was proved that  $\alpha > 0$ . The rigorous numerical estimate  $\alpha > 10^{-5}$  is due to Baker and Stallard [1]. Using a computer, Kraetzer [9] showed that in fact the method proposed in [5] gives  $\alpha > 0.242$ . This confirms an earlier computation of Carleson and Jones [3].

2. Let  $E$  be a regular compact subset of the plane and  $G$  the Green function of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K$  with the pole at infinity. For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $l(\epsilon)$  be the length of the level curve  $\{z : G(z) = \epsilon\}$ . Put

$$\beta_E = \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log l(\epsilon)}{-\log \epsilon},$$

and

$$\beta = \sup \beta_E$$

over all *connected* compact sets. It is known that  $.17 < \beta < .49$ . The upper bound is due to Clunie and Pommerenke [4] and the lower bound to Pommerenke [12]. The problem of estimating  $\beta$  comes from Littlewood's work on univalent functions. He proved that  $\beta > 0$ . Computer experiments of Carleson and Jones [3] and Kraetzer [9] indicate that  $\beta > .242$ , which seems to confirm the conjecture Carleson and Jones that  $\beta = 1/4$ .

One can ask the same question about  $\sup \beta_E$  over all regular compact sets, not necessarily connected. I conjecture that the sup is attained on connected sets. Paper [6] gives some evidence of this.

3. All lower estimates in [1, 3, 5, 9] are all based on iteration theory, more precisely on "thermodynamic formalism". Let  $p_c(z) = z^2 + c$  be a hyperbolic polynomial ("hyperbolic" means that the trajectory of 0 under the iterates of  $p_c$  tends to an attracting cycle, possibly to infinity). Denote the  $n$ -th iterate of  $p_c$  by  $p_c^n$ . The number

$$P_c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{z: p_c^n(z)=1} |(p_c^n)'|^{-1}$$

is called the *pressure*, corresponding to  $|(p_c^n)'|^{-1}$ . The existence of the limit and positivity of  $P_c$  for  $c \neq 0$  was shown by Ruelle [13] who also proved that  $c \mapsto P_c$  is a real analytic function on every component of the set of parameters  $c$  where  $p_c$  is hyperbolic. It can be shown [5] that  $\alpha \geq P_c / \log 2$  for all such  $c$ . Similarly, it is shown in [3] that  $\beta \geq P_c / \log 2$  for all  $c$  such that the trajectory of 0 tends to a finite attracting cycle.

4. I do not know of any evidence in favor or against the Carleson and Jones conjecture, except the computer experiments mentioned above. But there are several questions which seem to be easier:

- A. Is there any connection between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ? Is it true that  $\alpha = \beta$ ?
- B. How to obtain better estimates of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\sup_c P_c$ , even with the help of a computer?
- C. Are the polynomials  $P_c^n$  extremal or nearly extremal for  $\alpha$ ?
- D. For which  $c$  is the pressure  $P_c$  close to its supremum?

*Remark added on March 17 2015* Beliaev and Smirnov [2] claim that they proved  $\alpha = \beta$ . However their argument is based on an unpublished result of Binder and Jones, which is still not available (March 2015).

## References

- [1] I. N. Baker and G. Stallard, Error estimate in a calculation of Ruelle, *Compl. Var.*, 29 (1996), 141–159.
- [2] D. Beliaev and S. Smirnov, On Littlewood’s constants, *Bull London Math. Soc.*, 37 (2005) 5 719–726.
- [3] L. Carleson and P. Jones, On coefficient problems for univalent functions and conformal dimension, *Duke Math. J.*, 66 (1992), 169–206.
- [4] J. Clunie and Ch. Pommerenke, On the coefficients of univalent functions, *Mich. Math. J.*, 14 (1967) 71–78.
- [5] A. Eremenko, Lower estimate in Littlewood’s conjecture on the mean spherical derivative of a polynomial and iteration theory, *Proc. AMS*, 112 (1991) 713–715.
- [6] A. Eremenko and W. Hayman, On the length of lemniscates, *Mich. M. J.*, 46 (1999) 409–415.
- [7] A. Eremenko and M. Sodin, A hypothesis of Littlewood and the distribution of values of entire functions, *Funct. Anal. and Appl. (Moscow)* 20 (1986) 60–62.
- [8] A. Eremenko and M. Sodin, Proof of a conditional theorem of Littlewood on the distribution of values of entire functions, *Math USSR Izvestiya*, 30 (1988) 395–402.
- [9] Ph. Kraetzer, Algorithmische Methoden der konformen Abbildungen auf fraktale Gebiete, Dissertation, Berlin 2000.
- [10] J. Lewis and J. M. Wu, On conjectures of Arakelyan and Littlewood, *J. Anal. Math.*, 50 (1988) 259–283.
- [11] J. E. Littlewood, On some conjectural inequalities with application to the theory of integral functions, *J. LMS*, 27 (1952) 387–393.
- [12] Ch. Pommerenke, On the coefficients of univalent functions, *J. London math. Soc.*, 42 (1967) 471–474.
- [13] D. Ruelle, Repellers for real analytic maps, *Erg. Th. and Dyn. Syst.*, 2 (1982), 99–108.