

MA 553 Notes on Separability

Notations • $K \subset L$ an algebraic field extension, char. $p > 0$. $L^p = \{x^p \mid x \in L\}$.

Remark If E, F are fields between K and L , then $EF := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n e_i f_i \mid e_i \in E, f_i \in F, n \geq 0 \right\}$ is a subfield of L . Indeed, if $x \in E(F)$, then $x \in E(F_\circ)$ for some F_\circ such that $[F_\circ : K] < \infty$ (why?), so $x \in E(F_\circ) = E[F_\circ] \subset EF$. Hence $E(F) = EF$.

Definition. A positive-degree irreducible polynomial $f \in K[X]$ is separable if it factors into distinct linear factors over its splitting field.

- $a \in L$ is separable over K if its minimum poly $\text{Irr}(a, K)$ is separable.
- L/K is separable if every $a \in L$ is separable.

Example (i) Char 0. (ii) char p : an irred f is inseparable $\Leftrightarrow f = g(X^p)$. PERFECT FIELDS.

Proposition a separable over $K \Leftrightarrow K(a) = K(a^p)$.

Proof: Suppose a separable, $f = \text{Irr}(a, K(a^p))$. Since a is root of $X^p - a^p$, $\therefore f \mid X^p - a^p$ (over $K(a^p)$). $\therefore f \mid (X-a)^p$ over K , and so $f = (X-a)^e$ for some e . But f separable $\Rightarrow e=1 \Rightarrow a \in K(a^p)$. Conversely if a not separable, say $\text{Irr}(a, K) = X^{np} + a_1 X^{p(n-1)} + a_2 X^{p(n-2)} + \dots$, then a^p is a root of $X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + a_2 X^{n-2} + \dots$, $\Rightarrow [K(a) : K] = np$, $[K(a^p) : K] \leq n \Rightarrow K(a) \neq K(a^p)$.

Remark Since $[K(a) : K] = [K(a) : K(a^p)][K(a^p) : K] \leq p[K(a^p) : K]$ we see that if $a \notin K(a^p)$ then $[K(a) : K(a^p)] = p$, and $\text{Irr}(a, K(a^p)) = X^p - a^p$. Thus:

(because $[K(a) : K] \leq n$) Corollary. If $b \notin K^p$, then $X^p - b$ is irreducible in $K[X]$. (PF Adjoin a root a of $X^p - b$ to K , and apply Remark)

Proposition L/K separable $\Rightarrow L = KL^p$. Converse holds if $[L : K] < \infty$.

Proof L/K separable, $a \in L \Rightarrow a \in K(a^p) \subset KL^p$. Conversely, if $[L : K] < \infty$, and $L = KL^p$, then $[L : K(a)] = [L^p : K^p(a^p)] \geq [KL^p : K(a^p)] = [L : K(a)][K(a) : K(a^p)] \Rightarrow K(a) = K(a^p)$.

① holds via the isomorphism $x \mapsto x^p$ of L onto L^p (check!).

②: If E, F as above and (ξ_i) is a basis of F/K , then clearly every element of EF is a linear combn of the ξ_i with coeff in E ; hence $[EF : E] \leq [F : K]$.

Corollary a separable over $K \Rightarrow K(a) = K(a^p) \Rightarrow K(a)$ separable over K .

Corollary $K \subset E \subset L$, E/K sep., L/E sep. $\Rightarrow L/K$ sep.

Pf Let $a \in L$, set $\text{Irr}(a, E) = X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + a_2 X^{n-2} + \dots$, $E_\circ = K(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, $L_\circ = E_\circ(a)$.

Then E_\circ/K sep., L_\circ/E_\circ sep., $[L_\circ : K] < \infty$ and $L_\circ = L_\circ^p E_\circ = L_\circ^p E_\circ^p K = L_\circ^p K \Rightarrow a$ sep over K .

Corollary If $b_1, \dots, b_n \in L$ are sep over K , then $K(b_1, \dots, b_n)/K$ is sep. (PF. Induction on n)

Corollary K perfect (i.e. $K = K^p$), L/K algebraic $\Rightarrow L$ perfect. (PF L/K sep $\Rightarrow L = L^p K = L^p K^p = L^p$).
First show L/K separable.

Primitive Element Theorem (any characteristic).

Let $L = K(a, b_1, \dots, b_n)$ with each b_i sep. over K (and a algebraic). Then $\exists \alpha \in L$ such that $L = K(\alpha)$.

Proof If K is finite, then so is L , and can take $\gamma = \text{generator of cyclic group } L^*$.

So assume K infinite. By an obvious induction, reduce to case $n=1$. Look for $c \in K$ s.t. $\alpha = a + cb$ works. ($b := b_1$). Let f (resp. g) be min poly of a (resp b). b is a root of the polynomials $g(x)$ and $f(\alpha - cx)$ in $K(\alpha)[x]$, so $x=b$ is a common factor. If it's the g.c.d. then it's a linear combination, whence $b \in K(\alpha)$, whence $a = \alpha - cb \in K(\alpha)$, whence $K(a, b) \subset K(\alpha) \subset K(a, b)$, q.e.d.

To make sure there is no other common factor of $g(x)$ and $f(\alpha - cx)$, i.e., that if $b = \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$ are the roots of g (distinct, by separability) then no β_i with $i \geq 2$ is a root of $f(\alpha - cx)$, we just need that $\alpha - c\beta_i$ is not one of the roots $a = a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$ of f , i.e., $a + c(b - \beta_i) \neq a_j$, i.e. $c \neq \frac{a_j - a}{b - \beta_i}$. Since K is infinite, such c abound. This completes the proof.

Example (From old qualifier). Let $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$. Show that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n, \zeta_m) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n + \zeta_m)$.

Solution In the above proof, take $a = \zeta_n$, $b = \zeta_m$ and show that $c=1$ works.

Since $\zeta_n^n = 1$, $\therefore f(x) | x^n - 1$, so any root a_j of f satisfies $a_j^n = 1$, i.e., $a_j = \zeta_n^c$ for some c with $1 \leq c < n$. Similarly $\beta_i = \zeta_m^d$. So need to show

$$\zeta_n + (\zeta_m - \zeta_m^d) \neq \zeta_n^c \text{ unless } d=1, \text{ i.e. } \zeta_m - \zeta_m^d \neq \zeta_n^c - \zeta_n$$

Drawing these numbers as points on the unit circle, you see that if $d \neq \pm 1$, then

$$\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_m - \zeta_m^d) < 0 < \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_n^c - \zeta_n)$$

Hence $\{\zeta_m - \zeta_m^d = \zeta_n^c - \zeta_n \text{ and } d \neq 1\} \Rightarrow \{d=-1 \text{ and } \zeta_m - \zeta_m^{-1} = \zeta_n^{-1} - \zeta_n\}$
But looking at imaginary parts, you see this to be impossible. q.e.d.