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Apology

There is time only for the theory, and not applications, such as:

• resolution of singularities of 2-dimensional schemes,

• Briançon-Skoda theorems,

• Cohen-Macaulayness in graded algebras,

+ many, many more...
1 Cohomology with supports.

Local cohomology

- $R$: a commutative ring
- $M(R)$: the category of $R$-modules.
- $I$: an $R$-ideal.

\[
\Gamma_I M := \{ m \in M \mid \text{for some } s > 0, I^s m = 0 \},
\]

viewed as a subfunctor of the identity functor of $M(R)$.

Choose for each $M \in M(R)$ an injective resolution, i.e., a complex of injective $R$-modules

\[
E_M^\bullet : \cdots \to 0 \to 0 \to E_M^0 \to E_M^1 \to E_M^2 \to \cdots
\]

together with an $R$-homomorphism $M \to E_M^0$ such that the sequence

\[
0 \to M \to E_M^0 \to E_M^1 \to E_M^2 \to \cdots
\]

is exact.

Then define the local cohomology modules

\[
H^i_I M := H^i(\Gamma_I E_M^\bullet) \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}).
\]

Each $H^i_I$ may be viewed as a functor from $M(R)$ to $M(R)$,
a higher derived functor of $\Gamma_I \cong H^0_I$.

To each “short” exact sequence of $R$-modules

\[
(\sigma) : \quad 0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0
\]

there are naturally associated connecting $R$-homomorphisms

\[
\delta^i_I(\sigma) : H^i_I M'' \to H^{i+1}_I M' \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}),
\]

varying functorially (in the obvious sense) with the sequence $(\sigma)$, and such that the resulting “long” cohomology sequence

\[
\cdots \to H^i_I M' \to H^i_I M \to H^i_I M'' \xrightarrow{\delta^i_I} H^{i+1}_I M' \to H^{i+1}_I M \to \cdots
\]

is exact.
A sequence of functors \((H^i)_{i \geq 0}\), in which \(H^0\) is left-exact, together with connecting maps \(\delta^i\) taking short exact sequences functorially to long exact sequences, as above, is called a cohomological functor.

Local cohomology is characterized up to canonical isomorphism as being a universal cohomological extension of \(\Gamma\) — there is a functorial isomorphism \(H^0 \cong \Gamma\), and for any cohomological functor \((H^i, \delta^i)\), every functorial map \(\phi\): \(H^0 \to H^0\) has a unique extension to a family of functorial maps \((\phi^i): H^i \to H^i\) such that for any short exact \((\sigma)\) as above,

\[
\begin{align*}
H^i(M''') & \xrightarrow{\delta^i(\sigma)} H^{i+1}(M') \\
\phi'(M''') & \xrightarrow{\phi^{i+1}(M')} H^{i+1}(M')
\end{align*}
\]

commutes for all \(i \geq 0\).

Like considerations apply to any left-exact functor on \(\mathbf{M}(R)\).

**Example 1** (Hom and Ext). For a fixed \(R\)-module \(N\) the functors

\[
\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) := H^i \text{Hom}_R(N, E^*_M) \quad (i \geq 0)
\]

with their standard connecting homomorphisms form a universal cohomological extension of \(\text{Hom}_R(N, -)\).

From \(\Gamma E^*_M = \lim_{s \to 0} \text{Hom}_R(R/I^s, E^*_M)\) one gets the canonical identification of cohomological functors

\[
H^i_\sigma M = \lim_{s \to 0} \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I^s, M).
\]

Local cohomology has a global analogue:

**Example 2** (Cohomology with supports). For an abelian sheaf \(M\) on a topological space \(X\), and a closed \(Z \subset X\), let \(\Gamma_Z(X, M)\) be the sheaf associating to an open \(U \subset X\) the group

\[
\Gamma_Z(M)(U) := \{ m \in M(U) \mid m \text{ vanishes on } U \setminus Z \}.
\]

The universal cohomological extension of the functor \(\Gamma_Z\) is the sequence \((H^i_Z)_{i \geq 0}\) — cohomology sheaves supported in \(Z\).

When we restrict to quasi-coherent sheaves over schemes, with \(Z\) defined by the quasi-coherent ideal \(I\), then

\[
\Gamma_Z(M)(U) = \{ m \in M(U) \mid \text{ for some } s > 0, I^s m = 0 \}
\]

## 2 Generalization to complexes.

**Terminology:**

An \(R\)-complex \(C^\bullet = (C^i, d^i)\) is a sequence of \(R\)-module maps

\[
\cdots \xrightarrow{d^{i-1}} C^{i-1} \xrightarrow{d^i} C^i \xrightarrow{d^{i+1}} C^{i+1} \xrightarrow{d^{i+2}} \cdots \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z})
\]

such that \(d^i d^{i-1} = 0\) for all \(i\). (The differential \(d^i\) is often omitted in the notation.)

The \(i\)-th cohomology \(H^i C^\bullet\) is \(\ker(d^i)/\text{im}(d^{i-1})\).

The translation (or suspension) \(C[1]^\bullet\) of \(C^\bullet\) is the complex such that

\[
C[1]^i := C^{i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad d^i_{C[1]} : C[1]^i \to C[1]^{i+1} \quad \text{is} \quad -d^{i+1}_C : C^{i+1} \to C^{i+2}.
\]

Clearly, \(H^i(C[1]^\bullet) = H^{i+1}(C^\bullet)\).
Terminology (ct’d).

A map of $R$-complexes $\psi: (C^\bullet, d^\bullet) \to (C^\bullet_*, d^\bullet_*)$ is a family of $R$-homomorphisms $(\psi^i: C^i \to C^i_*)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\psi^{i+1} d^i = d^i_\ast \psi^i$ for all $i$.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
... & \longrightarrow & C^i \\
& \psi^i \downarrow & \downarrow \psi^{i+1} \\
... & \longrightarrow & C^i_\ast
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
... & \longrightarrow & C^{i+1} \\
& d^{i+1} & \longrightarrow \\
... & \longrightarrow & C^i
\end{array}
\]

Such a map induces $R$-homomorphisms $H^i(\psi): H^i C^\bullet \to H^i C^\bullet_\ast$.

$\psi$ is a quasi-isomorphism if $H^i(\psi)$ is an isomorphism for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Homotopy.

A homotopy between $R$-complex maps $\psi_1: C^\bullet \to C^\bullet_\ast$ and $\psi_2: C^\bullet \to C^\bullet_\ast$ is a family of $R$-homomorphisms $(h^i: C^i \to C^i_{\ast-1})$ such that $\psi^i_1 - \psi^i_2 = d^i_\ast^{-1} h^i + h^{i+1} d^i$ $(i \in \mathbb{Z})$.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
... & \longrightarrow & C^i \\
& h^i \bigg/ & \bigg/ \psi^i_1 - \psi^i_2 & h^{i+1} \\
... & \longrightarrow & C^i_{\ast-1} \\
& d^{-1}_\ast & \longrightarrow \\
... & \longrightarrow & C^i
\end{array}
\]

$\psi_1$ and $\psi_2$ are homotopic if such $h^i$ exist. This is an equivalence relation, preserved by addition and composition of maps.

Hence the $R$-complexes are the objects of an additive category $K(R)$ whose morphisms are the homotopy-equivalence classes.

Cohomology functors.

Homotopic maps induce identical maps on homology. So it is clear what a quasi-isomorphism in $K(R)$ is.

Each $H^i$ can be thought of as a functor from $K(R)$ to $M(R)$, taking quasi-isomorphisms to isomorphisms.

q-injective complexes.

An $R$-complex $C^\bullet$ is q-injective if any quasi-isomorphism $\psi: C^\bullet \to C^\bullet_\ast$ has a left homotopy-inverse, i.e., $\exists \psi_*: C^\bullet_\ast \to C^\bullet$ such that $\psi_* \psi$ is homotopic to the identity map of $C^\bullet$.

Equivalently:

(#) for any $K(R)$-diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C^\bullet_\ast \\
\uparrow \text{quasi-isomorphism} \\
X^\bullet \\
\longrightarrow \\
\phi \rightarrow C^\bullet
\end{array}
\]

there exists a unique $\phi_*: C^\bullet_\ast \to C^\bullet$ such that $\phi_* \phi = \phi$.

q-injectivity is often called K-injectivity. (“q” connotes “quasi-isomorphism.”)
Example 3. Any bounded-below injective complex \( C^\bullet \) (i.e., \( C^i \) is an injective \( R \)-module for all \( i \), and \( C^i = 0 \) for \( i \ll 0 \)) is \( q \)-injective.

And if \( C^\bullet \) vanishes in all but one degree, say \( C^j \neq 0 \), then \( C^\bullet \) is \( q \)-injective \( \iff \) this \( C^j \) is an injective \( R \)-module.

**\( q \)-injective resolutions.**

A \( q \)-injective resolution of an \( R \)-complex \( C^\bullet \) is a quasi-isomorphism \( C^\bullet \to E^\bullet \) with \( E^\bullet \) \( q \)-injective.

Such exists for any \( C^\bullet \), with \( E^\bullet \) the total complex of an injective Cartan-Eilenberg resolution of \( C^\bullet \).

Example 4. An injective resolution of an \( R \)-module \( M \) is a \( q \)-injective resolution of the complex \( M^\bullet \) such that \( M^0 = M \) and \( M^i = 0 \) for all \( i \neq 0 \).

In fact a \( q \)-injective resolution exists for any complex in an arbitrary Grothendieck category, i.e., an abelian category with exact direct limits and having a generator. In this generality—for example, in categories of abelian sheaves on topological spaces—Cartan-Eilenberg resolutions don’t suffice.

**Local (hyper)cohomology**

After choosing for each \( R \)-complex \( C^\bullet \) a specific \( q \)-injective resolution \( C^\bullet \to E^\bullet_C \), we can define the **local cohomology modules of** \( C^\bullet \):

\[
H^i_I C^\bullet := H^i(\Gamma_I E^\bullet_C) \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}).
\]

(\#) above \( \Rightarrow \) **for any \( K(R) \)-diagram with** \( \psi_1, \psi_2 \) **\( q \)-injective resolutions,**

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C^\bullet_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & E^\bullet_1 \\
\phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
C^\bullet_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & E^\bullet_2
\end{array}
\]

**there is a unique** \( \phi_* : E^\bullet_1 \to E^\bullet_2 \) **in** \( K(R) \) **such that** \( \phi_* \psi_1 = \psi_2 \phi. \)

Hence \( H^i_I \) can be viewed as a functor from \( K(R) \) to \( M(R) \), independent (up to canonical isomorphism) of choice of resolution, and taking quasi-isomorphisms to isomorphisms.

**Long exact sequences**

It will be explained below, in the context of derived categories, how a short exact sequence of complexes in \( M(R) \), i.e., a sequence \( C^\bullet_1 \to C^\bullet \to C^\bullet_2 \) with \( 0 \to C^i_1 \to C^i \to C^i_2 \to 0 \) exact for every \( i \), gives rise functorially to **connecting maps**

\[
H^i(C^\bullet_2) \longrightarrow H^{i+1}(C^\bullet_1) \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z})
\]

such that the resulting functorial cohomology sequence

\[
\cdots \to H^i_I C^\bullet_1 \to H^i_I C^\bullet \to H^i_I C^\bullet_2 \to H^{i+1}_I C^\bullet_1 \to H^{i+1}_I C^\bullet \to \cdots
\]

is exact.
(Hyper)Ext

Similar considerations lead to the definition of Ext functors of complexes:

$$\text{Ext}^i_R(D^\bullet, C^\bullet) := \text{H}^i \text{Hom}_R^\bullet(D^\bullet, E_C^\bullet) \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z})$$

where for two $R$-complexes $(X^\bullet, d_X^\bullet), (Y^\bullet, d_Y^\bullet)$,

the complex $\text{Hom}_R^\bullet(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet)$ is given in degree $n$ by

$$\text{Hom}_R^n(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet) := \{\text{families of } R\text{-homomorphisms } f = (f_j : X^j \to Y^{j+n})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\}$$

with differential $d^n : \text{Hom}_R^n(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet) \to \text{Hom}_R^{n+1}(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet)$ specified by

$$(d^n f)_j := d_{Y}^{j+n} f_j - (-1)^n f_{j+1} \circ d_X^j \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Local cohomology and Ext (hyper)

As before, from $\Gamma^I_E^\bullet = \lim_{s > 0} \text{Hom}_R(R/I^s, E_C^\bullet)$ one gets the canonical identification, compatible with connecting maps,

$$\text{H}^i_I C^\bullet = \lim_{s > 0} \text{Ext}^i_R(R/I^s, C^\bullet)$$

where $R/I^s$ is thought of as a complex vanishing outside degree 0.

3 Derived categories.

View relations among homologies as shadows of a more basic reality involving complexes (cf. Plato).

Leads to derived category $\mathbf{D}(R)$ of $\mathbf{M}(R)$ (or in general, of any abelian category):

1. Factor out homotopy (which respects homology), i.e., start with $\mathbf{K}(R)$.
2. Make quasi-isomorphisms into isomorphisms (since they “preserve” homology), by formally adjoining an inverse for each such map. (Cf. localization in commutative algebra.)

Get a new category $\mathbf{D}(R)$, same objects as $\mathbf{K}(R)$, but a morphism $C \to C'$ is an equivalence class, denoted $f/s$, of $\mathbf{K}(R)$-diagrams $C \xrightarrow{s} X \xleftarrow{f} C'$ with $s$ a quasi-isomorphism, the equivalence relation being the least such that $f/s = f_{s_1}/s_{s_1}$ for all $f, s$, and quasi-isomorphisms $s_1 : X_1 \to X$.

For details, in particular how to compose “fractional morphisms,” see, e.g., the reference notes.
Characterization of D(R) by a universal property.

∃ a canonical functor Q: K(R) → D(R) taking any complex to itself, and the K(R)-map f: C → C' to the D(R)-map f/1_C (1_C := identity of C).

Q takes any quasi-isomorphism f to an isomorphism: (f/1_C)^{-1} = 1_C/f.

(D(R), Q) has the following universal property:

For any category L, F ↦ F ◦ Q is an isomorphism of the category of functors from D(R) to L onto the category of functors from K(R) to L that take quasi-isomorphisms to isomorphisms.

(If F: K(R) → L takes quasi-isomorphisms to isomorphisms then the corresponding functor F_D: D(R) → L satisfies F_D(f/s) = F(f)◦F(s)^{-1}.)

D(R) has a unique additive-category structure such that Q is additive.

To add two maps f_1/s_1, f_2/s_2 with same source and target, rewrite them with a common denominator—always possible—then add the numerators.

The universal property of (D(R), Q) stays valid when restricted to additive functors into additive categories.

Cohomology functors from D(R) to M(R).

Example 5. The cohomology functors H^i take quasi-isomorphisms to isomorphisms and may therefore be viewed as additive functors from D(R) to M(R).

Then, in accordance with the initial motivation, one has

A D(R)-map α is an isomorphism ⇐⇒ the homology maps H^i(α) (i ∈ Z) are all isomorphisms.

Example 6. If T: K(R) → K(R) is the functor taking C to C[1], then T respects homotopy and takes quasi-isomorphisms to isomorphisms (since H^iC[1] = H^{i+1}C), whence QT takes quasi-isomorphisms to isomorphisms.

The universal property ensures there is a functor T: D(R) → D(R) taking C to C[1] (i.e., TQ = QT).

More examples

One can embed M(R) into D(R):

Example 7. The functor taking any R-module M to the complex that is M in degree zero and 0 elsewhere, and doing the obvious thing to R-module maps, is an equivalence of M(R) with the full subcategory of D(R) having as objects the complexes with homology vanishing in all nonzero degrees.

A quasi-inverse for this equivalence is given by the functor H^0.

Example 8. When R is a field, any R-complex (C^•, d^•) is D(R)-isomorphic to

\[ \cdots \rightarrow H^{-1}C \rightarrow H^0C \rightarrow H^1C \rightarrow H^{i+1}C \rightarrow \cdots \]

Hence, \( C \mapsto \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H^iC \) from D(R) to graded R-vector spaces is an equivalence of categories.
4 Triangles.

As we’ve seen, exact sequences of complexes play an important role in the discussion of derived functors. But \( \mathbf{D}(R) \) is not an abelian category, so it does not support a notion of exactness. Instead, \( \mathbf{D}(R) \) carries a supplementary structure given by certain diagrams of the form \( E \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow E[1] \), called triangles, occasionally represented in the typographically inconvenient form

\[
\begin{array}{c}
  G \\
  \downarrow \alpha \\
  F \\
  \downarrow \\
  E
\end{array}
\]

Mapping cone

Specifically, triangles—in \( \mathbf{K}(R) \) or \( \mathbf{D}(R) \)—are those diagrams which are isomorphic (in the obvious sense) to diagrams of the form

\[
X \xrightarrow{\alpha} Y \xleftarrow{\beta} C_{\alpha} \rightarrow X[1]
\]

with \( \alpha \) an ordinary map of \( R \)-complexes and \( C_{\alpha} \) the mapping cone of \( \alpha \): as a graded group, \( C_{\alpha} := Y \oplus X[1] \), and the differential \( C_{\alpha}^{n} \rightarrow C_{\alpha}^{n+1} \) is the sum of the differentials \( d_{Y}^{n} \) and \( d_{X[1]}^{n} \), plus \( \alpha^{n+1} : X^{n+1} \rightarrow Y^{n+1} \).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
  C_{\alpha}^{n+1} = Y^{n+1} \oplus X^{n+2} \\
  \downarrow d_{C_{\alpha}} \\
  C_{\alpha}^{n+1} = Y^{n} \oplus X^{n+1} \\
  \downarrow d_{Y} \\
  \alpha \\
  \downarrow -d_{X}
\end{array}
\]

Long exact sequence of a triangle

For any exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\alpha} Y \xrightarrow{\beta} Z \rightarrow 0 \tag{\tau}
\]

of \( R \)-complexes, the composite map of graded groups \( C_{\alpha} \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\beta} Z \) turns out to be a quasi-isomorphism of complexes, and so becomes an isomorphism in \( \mathbf{D}(R) \). Thus we get a \( \mathbf{D}(R) \)-triangle

\[X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X[1].\]

Up to isomorphism, these are all the triangles in \( \mathbf{D}(R) \).

Applying the \( i \)-fold translations \( T^{i} \) (\( i \in \mathbb{Z} \)) to a triangle

\[E \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow E[1] \tag{\triangle}\]

and then taking homology, one gets a long homology sequence

\[
\cdots \rightarrow H^{i}E \rightarrow H^{i}F \rightarrow H^{i}G \rightarrow H^{i}E[1] = H^{i+1}E \rightarrow \cdots
\]

This sequence is exact, as one need only verify for mapping cones.

If \( \triangle \) is the triangle coming from the exact sequence \( \tau \), then this homology sequence is, after multiplication of the connecting maps \( H^{i}G \rightarrow H^{i+1}E \) by \(-1\), just the usual long exact sequence associated to \( \tau \).
Triangle-preserving functors

Let $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ be abelian categories. From these, one gets triangulated derived categories $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}_1), \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}_2)$, in the same way as $\mathbf{D}(R)$ from $\mathbf{M}(R)$.

Denote the respective translation functors by $T_1, T_2$.

A $\Delta$-functor $\Phi: \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}_1) \to \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}_2)$ is an additive functor which “preserves translation and triangles,” in the following sense:

$\Phi$ comes equipped with a functorial isomorphism $\theta: T_1 \Phi \cong T_2 \Phi$

such that for any triangle $E \overset{u}{\rightarrow} F \overset{v}{\rightarrow} G \overset{w}{\rightarrow} E[1] = T_1 E$

in $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}_1)$, the corresponding diagram $E \overset{\Phi u}{\rightarrow} \Phi F \overset{\Phi v}{\rightarrow} \Phi G \overset{\theta \circ \Phi w}{\rightarrow} (\Phi E)[1] = T_2 \Phi E$

is a triangle in $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}_2)$.

Summary

The derived-category functors that appear in what follows can always be equipped in some natural way with a $\theta$ making them into $\Delta$-functors.

If $\Phi: \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}_1) \to \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}_2)$ is a $\Delta$-functor, then to any short exact sequence of complexes in $\mathcal{A}_1$

$$0 \to X \overset{\alpha}{\rightarrow} Y \overset{\beta}{\rightarrow} Z \to 0 \quad (\tau_1)$$

there is naturally associated a long exact homology sequence in $\mathcal{A}_2$

$$\cdots \to \mathbf{H}^i(\Phi X) \to \mathbf{H}^i(\Phi Y) \to \mathbf{H}^i(\Phi Z) \to \mathbf{H}^{i+1}(\Phi X) \to \cdots,$$

that is, the homology sequence of the triangle in $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}_2)$ gotten by applying $\Phi$ to the triangle given by $(\tau_1)$.

5 Right-derived functors. $\mathbf{RHom}$ and $\mathbf{Ext}$.

Local cohomology as a $\Delta$-functor

Here’s an example of lifting focus from homology functors to $\Delta$-functors.

Example 9. For each $R$-complex $C$, choose a $q$-injective resolution $q_{\mathcal{C}}: C \to E_C$. Set

$$\mathbf{R} \Gamma_i C := \Gamma_i E_C.$$ 

Then

$$\mathbf{H}^i C = \mathbf{H}^i \mathbf{R} \Gamma_i C.$$ 

The point is that $\mathbf{R} \Gamma_i$ can be made into a $\Delta$-functor from $\mathbf{D}(R)$ to $\mathbf{D}(R)$.

For, $q$-injective resolutions are $\mathbf{D}(R)$-isomorphisms, whence any $\mathbf{D}(R)$-map $\phi/\psi: C \to C'$ is isomorphic to a $\mathbf{D}(R)$-map $\Phi/\Psi: E_C \to E_C'$; and the characterization (#) of $q$-injectivity implies that taking $\phi/\psi$ to $\Gamma_i \Phi/\Gamma_i \Psi: \mathbf{R} \Gamma_i C \to \mathbf{R} \Gamma_i C'$ is a well-defined operation. This operation respects identities and composition, making $\mathbf{R} \Gamma_i$ into a functor.

The $\Delta$-structure on $\mathbf{R} \Gamma_i$ is left to the reader,
5. Right-derived functors

Elaborating on the preceding example, extend \( \Gamma_I \) to a \( \Delta \)-functor from \( K(R) \) to \( K(R) \). (Recall, triangles in \( K(R) \) are diagrams isomorphic to those coming from mapping cones, which are preserved by additive functors.)

There is a \( \Delta \)-functorial (i.e., commuting with the respective \( \Delta \)-structures) map \( \zeta: Q\Gamma_I \to R\Gamma_I Q \) such that for each \( C \in K(R) \),

\[
\zeta(C) = Q\Gamma_I(q_C): \Gamma_I C \to \Gamma_I E_C.
\]

The pair \( (R\Gamma_I, \zeta) \) is a right-derived \( \Delta \)-functor of \( \Gamma_I \), characterized up to canonical isomorphism by the initial-object property:

every \( \Delta \)-functorial map \( Q\Gamma_I \to \Gamma \), where \( \Gamma: K(R) \to D(R) \) takes quasi-isomorphisms to isomorphisms, factors uniquely as \( Q\Gamma_I \xrightarrow{\zeta} R\Gamma_I Q \to \Gamma \).

Informally, among such \( \Gamma \), \( R\Gamma_I Q \) is the one nearest (on the right) to \( Q\Gamma_I \).

Similarly, one has via q-injective resolutions a right-derived \( (R\mathcal{F}, \zeta\mathcal{F}) \) for any \( \Delta \)-functor \( \mathcal{F}: K(R) \to K(R) \). Such \( \mathcal{F} \) arise most often as extensions of additive functors from \( \mathcal{M}(R) \) to \( \mathcal{M}(R) \).

\[\text{RHom and Ext}\]

In the foregoing, \( \Gamma_I \) can be replaced by any additive functor between arbitrary abelian categories—though for existence one needs further assumptions, for example that the source be a Grothendieck category.

**Example 10.** For any \( R \)-complex \( D \) one has the functor \( \text{RHom}^\bullet_R(D, -) \) with

\[
\text{RHom}^\bullet_R(D, C) = \text{Hom}^\bullet_R(D, E_C)
\]

and then,

\[
\text{Ext}^i_R(D, C) = H^i\text{RHom}^\bullet_R(D, C).
\]

With some caution regarding signs, \( \text{RHom}^\bullet_R(D, C) \) can also be made into a contravariant \( \Delta \)-functor in the first variable.

\[\text{Exts as derived-category maps}\]

Another characterization of q-injectivity of an \( R \)-complex \( E \) is that for every \( R \)-complex \( D \) the natural map is an isomorphism

\[
\text{Hom}^\bullet_{K(R)}(D, E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}^\bullet_{D(R)}(D, E).
\]

**Example 11.** There are simple natural isomorphisms

\[
H^i\text{Hom}^\bullet(D, C) \cong H^0\text{Hom}^\bullet(D, C[i]) \cong \text{Hom}^\bullet_{K(R)}(D, C[i]).
\]

Replacing \( C \) by \( E_C \), one gets natural isomorphisms

\[
\text{Ext}^i_R(D, C) = H^i\text{RHom}^\bullet(D, E_C) = H^i\text{Hom}^\bullet(D, E_C) \\
\quad \cong \text{Hom}^\bullet_{K(R)}(D, E_C[i]) \\
\quad \cong \text{Hom}^\bullet_{D(R)}(D, E_C[i]) \cong \text{Hom}^\bullet_{D(R)}(D, C[i]).
\]