

# SOME REMARKS ON THE UNIVERSAL COEFFICIENT THEOREM IN KK-THEORY

MARIUS DADARLAT

ABSTRACT. If a nuclear separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  can be approximated by  $C^*$ -subalgebras satisfying the UCT, then  $A$  satisfies the UCT. It is also shown that the validity of the UCT for all separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras is equivalent to a certain finite dimensional approximation property.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the category with objects separable  $C^*$ -algebras and set of morphisms from  $A$  to  $B$  given by the Kasparov group  $KK(A, B)$ . Two  $C^*$ -algebras that are isomorphic in this category are called KK-equivalent. It was shown by Rosenberg and Schochet [13] that the separable  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  KK-equivalent to abelian  $C^*$ -algebras are exactly those satisfying the following universal coefficient exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_*(A), K_{*-1}(B)) \rightarrow KK_*(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_*(A), K_*(B)) \rightarrow 0$$

for any separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ . If  $A$  has this property we say that  $A$  satisfies the UCT.

While not all separable exact  $C^*$ -algebras satisfy the UCT [15], it is an outstanding open question whether all separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras satisfy the UCT. The class of separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras satisfying the UCT is closed under inductive limits [13]. We use recent results in classification theory [9], [11], [5], [2] to prove the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $A$  be a nuclear separable  $C^*$ -algebra. Assume that for any finite set  $\mathcal{F} \subset A$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$  satisfying the UCT and such that  $\text{dist}(a, B) < \epsilon$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $A$  satisfies the UCT.*

Kirchberg proved that the UCT holds true for all nuclear separable  $C^*$ -algebras if and only if any purely infinite simple unital separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra with trivial K-theory is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_2$  [12, Cor. 8.4.6]. We prove an analogous result for tracially AF algebras, with  $\mathcal{O}_2$  replaced by the universal UHF algebra. The class of tracially AF algebras was introduced in [9] and it includes both the class of real rank zero AH algebras studied in [8] and the class of algebras constructed in [4]. A separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is called *residually finite dimensional* (abbreviated *RFD*) if it has a separating sequence of finite dimensional representations. Equivalently,  $A$  embeds in a  $C^*$ -algebra of

---

*Date:* January, 2002.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L35, 46L80; Secondary 19K33, 19K35.

The author was supported in part by NSF Grant #DMS-9970223.

the form  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{k(n)}$ . The suspension of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is denoted by  $SA = C_0(0, 1) \otimes A$ . The  $C^*$ -algebra obtained by adding a unit to  $A$  is denoted by  $\widetilde{A}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *The following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) *Every separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra satisfies the UCT.*
- (ii) *Every separable nuclear simple unital tracially AF algebra  $A$  with  $K_0(A) \cong \mathbb{Q}$  (as scaled ordered groups) and  $K_1(A) = 0$  is isomorphic to the universal UHF algebra.*
- (iii) *For any separable nuclear RFD  $C^*$ -algebra  $D$  with  $K_*(D) = 0$ , for any finite set  $\mathcal{F} \subset D$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist a representation  $\pi : D \rightarrow M_k(\mathbb{C})$  and a finite dimensional  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $M_{k+1}(\widetilde{D})$  such that  $\text{dist}\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \pi(a) \end{pmatrix}, B\right) < \epsilon$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

The distance in the statement is computed in  $M_{k+1}(\widetilde{D})$ .

## 2. REDUCTION TO RESIDUALLY FINITE DIMENSIONAL $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

The following proposition gathers a number of useful facts proven in [13].

**Proposition 2.1.** (1) *A separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  satisfies the UCT if and only if  $A$  is KK-equivalent to a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra.*

(2) *If  $0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$  is a semisplit exact sequence of separable  $C^*$ -algebras and if two of the  $C^*$ -algebras  $J, A$  and  $B$  satisfy the UCT, then so does the third.*

(3) *Let  $(B_i, \eta_i)$  be an inductive system of separable  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $B = \varinjlim (B_i, \eta_i)$  be its inductive limit. If each  $B_i$  satisfies the UCT and  $B$  is nuclear, then  $B$  satisfies the UCT.*

*Definition 2.2.* A sequence  $(A_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $A$  is called *exhausting* if for any finite set  $\mathcal{F} \subset A$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $i$  such that  $\text{dist}(a, A_i) < \epsilon$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ .

It is clear that any increasing sequence of  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $A$  whose union is dense in  $A$  is exhausting. Theorem 1.1 can be rephrased as follows.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be a nuclear separable  $C^*$ -algebra. Assume that there is an exhausting sequence  $(A_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $A$  such that each  $A_i$  satisfies the UCT. Then  $A$  satisfies the UCT.*

Each  $A_i$  is exact but not necessarily nuclear.

**Lemma 2.4.** *It suffices to prove Theorem 2.3 under the additional assumptions that  $A = \widetilde{SF}$  and  $A_i = \widetilde{SF}_i$  where  $F$  is a unital RFD algebra and  $(F_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is an exhausting sequence of unital  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $F$  and each  $F_i$  satisfies the UCT.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  and  $(A_i)$  be as in the statement of the Theorem 2.3. The  $C^*$ -algebra  $\widetilde{SA}$  is quasidiagonal and in fact by a result of Voiculescu [16] there is a unital completely positive map  $\theta : \widetilde{SA} \rightarrow \prod M_{k(n)}$  such that the induced quotient map  $\Theta : \widetilde{SA} \rightarrow \prod M_{k(n)} / \sum M_{k(n)}$  is

a unital  $*$ -monomorphism. For each  $i$  consider the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \sum M_{k(n)} & \longrightarrow & \prod M_{k(n)} & \longrightarrow & \prod M_{k(n)} / \sum M_{k(n)} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \ominus \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \sum \widetilde{M}_{k(n)} & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{SA} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \sum M_{k(n)} & \longrightarrow & F_i & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{SA}_i \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

where the second and third row are successive pullbacks of the first row. The  $C^*$ -algebra  $F$  is nuclear as an extension of nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras and  $F$  is RFD since it embeds in  $\prod M_{k(n)}$ . Since both  $\sum M_{k(n)}$  and  $\widetilde{SA}_i$  satisfy the UCT, so does  $F_i$  by Proposition 2.1(2). Here we use the fact that the third row is a semisplit extension being a pullback of a semisplit extension. The sequence  $(\widetilde{SF}_i)$  is exhausting in  $\widetilde{SF}$  hence  $\widetilde{SF}$  and also (by Proposition 2.1 (2))  $F$  will satisfy the UCT by assumption. Finally we obtain that  $\widetilde{SA}$  hence  $A$  satisfy the UCT by applying once more Proposition 2.1(2).  $\square$

### 3. THE CONSTRUCTION $\widetilde{SF}(\Gamma)$

In this section we revisit a construction of [4] which embeds a given RFD algebra into a simple tracially AF algebra.

Let  $F$  be a separable RFD algebra. Let

$$E = \widetilde{SF} = \{a \in C([0, 1], F) : a(0) = a(1) = 0\} + \mathbb{C}1_{\widetilde{F}} \subset C([0, 1], \widetilde{F})$$

and construct a sequence of unital finite dimensional representations  $\sigma_n : E \rightarrow M_{r(n)}(\mathbb{C})$  such that

- (i) $_{\sigma}$   $\sigma_n$  is homotopic to the evaluation map at 0,  $\sigma_n^0 : E \rightarrow M_{r(n)}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\sigma_n^0(a) = a(0)1_{r(n)}$ .
- (ii) $_{\sigma}$  For any  $m$  the set  $\{\sigma_n : n \geq m\}$  separates the elements of  $E$ .
- (iii) $_{\sigma}$  If  $(h(n))$  is defined by  $h(1) = 1$ ,  $h(n+1) = r(n) + 1$ , then  $h(n)$  is divisible by  $n$ .

The sequence  $(\sigma_n)$  can be constructed by taking  $\sigma_n$  to be of the form

$$(1) \quad \sigma_n(a) = \nu_n(a(t_n))$$

with  $\nu_n$  a unital finite dimensional representation of  $\widetilde{F}$ ,  $t_n \in [0, 1]$ . By adding a suitable number of point evaluation maps to  $\sigma_n$  we may arrange that  $h(n)$  is divisible by  $n$ . Using the inclusion  $M_{r(n)}(\mathbb{C}) \subset M_{r(n)}(\mathbb{C}1_E)$  we construct a sequence of unital  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\gamma_n : E \rightarrow M_{h(n+1)}(E)$  by

$$(2) \quad \gamma_n(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_n(a) \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that  $\gamma_n$  is homotopic to a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\gamma_n^0$  where  $\gamma_n^0(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a(0)1_{r(n)} \end{pmatrix}$ .

Let  $H(n) = h(1)h(2)\dots h(n)$ ,  $E_n = M_{H(n)}(E)$  and define  $\Gamma_n, \Gamma_n^0 : E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$  by

$$\Gamma_n = id_{H(n)} \otimes \gamma_n, \quad \Gamma_n^0 = id_{H(n)} \otimes \gamma_n^0.$$

The systems of maps  $(\gamma_n)$  and  $(\gamma_n^0)$  are denoted by  $\underline{\Gamma}$  and  $\underline{\Gamma}^0$ , respectively. Let  $A = E(\underline{\Gamma}) = \varinjlim (E_n, \Gamma_n)$  and  $A^0 = E(\underline{\Gamma}^0) = \varinjlim (E_n, \Gamma_n^0)$ .

Assume now that  $(F_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  is an exhausting sequence of unital C\*-subalgebras of  $F$ . The construction which takes the pair  $E, \underline{\Gamma} = (\gamma_n)$  to  $E(\underline{\Gamma})$  is functorial as described in [4, Remark 4]. Thus since  $\gamma_n$  maps  $\widetilde{SF}_i$  into  $M_{H(n)}(\widetilde{SF}_i)$  we obtain unital embeddings  $A_i = \widetilde{SF}_i(\underline{\Gamma}) \subset A = \widetilde{SF}(\underline{\Gamma})$ . Moreover it is clear that  $(A_i)$  is an exhausting sequence of unital C\*-subalgebras of  $A$ .

#### 4. $F$ SATISFIES THE UCT IF AND ONLY IF $A = \widetilde{SF}(\underline{\Gamma})$ DOES SO

In this section we employ the construction  $\widetilde{SF}(\underline{\Gamma})$  and an argument based on shape theory to reduce the proof of Theorem 2.3 to a certain class of simple tracially AF algebras. Let  $F$  be a separable nuclear RFD C\*-algebra and let  $\underline{\Gamma} = (\gamma_n)$  be constructed as above with  $(\sigma_n)$  verifying the conditions (i $_\sigma$ )–(iii $_\sigma$ ) of Section 3.

**Proposition 4.1.**  *$F$  satisfies the UCT if and only if  $A = \widetilde{SF}(\underline{\Gamma})$  does so.*

*Proof.* The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_n & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_n} & E_{n+1} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ E_n & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_n^0} & E_{n+1} \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy. Therefore  $A$  is shape equivalent to  $A^0 = \widetilde{SF}(\underline{\Gamma}_0)$ . It follows from [3, Theorem 3.9] that  $A$  is isomorphic to  $A^0$  in the asymptotic homotopy category of Connes and Higson. Since these algebras are nuclear, it follows that they are KK-equivalent [1]. Thus  $A$  satisfies the UCT if and only if  $A^0$  does so. We are now going to argue that  $A^0$  satisfies the UCT if and only if  $F$  does so. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{H(n)}(SF) & \longrightarrow & E_n & \xrightarrow{\mu_n} & M_{H(n)}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \Gamma_n^{00} & & \downarrow \Gamma_n^0 & & \downarrow \theta_n \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{H(n+1)}(SF) & \longrightarrow & E_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{n+1}} & M_{H(n+1)}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where  $\theta_n$  is a unital \*-homomorphism,  $\mu_n : E_n = M_{H(n)}(\widetilde{SF}) \rightarrow M_{H(n)}(\mathbb{C})$  is the evaluation map at 0 and there is a permutation unitary  $u_n \in M_{H(n+1)}(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\Gamma_n^{00}(a) = u_n \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_n^*.$$

Passing to the inductive limit we obtain an exact sequence

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow SF \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow A^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\mathcal{U}$  is the universal UHF algebra.

From (3) and Proposition 2.1 we see that  $A$  satisfies the UCT if and only if  $F$  does so.  $\square$

Using the extension (3) and its construction we see that  $K_*(A) \cong K_*(A^0) \cong K_*(SA) \oplus K_*(\mathcal{U})$ . The order on  $K_0(A) \cong K_0(SF) \oplus \mathbb{Q}$  is given by

$$(4) \quad K_0(A)^+ = K_0(A^0)^+ = \{(x, r) \in K_0(SF) \oplus \mathbb{Q} : r > 0\} \cup \{0\}.$$

This follows from the observation that if  $p, q$  are projections in (matrices over)  $E_n$  and the rank of  $\mu_n(p)$  is strictly greater than the rank of  $\mu_n(q)$ , then  $\Gamma_{n+r, n}^0(p) \succ \Gamma_{n+r, n}^0(q)$  for some large  $r$ . The description of positive elements is useful when we construct an AH algebra whose ordered K-theory is isomorphic to that of  $A$ .

### 5. $A = \widetilde{SF}(\underline{\Gamma})$ IS ISOMORPHIC TO AN AH ALGEBRA

Let  $F$  and  $(F_i)$  be as in Lemma 2.4 and let  $A = \widetilde{SF}(\underline{\Gamma})$  and  $A_i = \widetilde{SF}_i(\underline{\Gamma})$  be constructed as in the previous section.

Let  $(a_i)$  be a sequence dense in  $A$ . Since the sequence  $(A_i)$  is exhausting, after passing to a subsequence, we may arrange that for each  $i$  there is a set  $\{a_1^{(i)}, a_2^{(i)}, \dots, a_i^{(i)}\} \subset A_i$  such that

$$(5) \quad \|a_j - a_j^{(i)}\| < 1/i, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq i.$$

Assume that we are given a sequence  $\varphi_i : A_i \rightarrow B$  of unital nuclear \*-homomorphisms. By nuclearity, for each  $i$  there are unital completely positive maps  $\mu_i : A_i \rightarrow M_{k(i)}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\nu_i : M_{k(i)}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B$  such that  $\|\varphi_i(a_j^{(i)}) - \nu_i \mu_i(a_j^{(i)})\| < 1/i$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq i$ . By Arveson's extension theorem,  $\mu_i$  extends to a unital completely positive map  $\tilde{\mu}_i : A \rightarrow M_{k(i)}(\mathbb{C})$ . Define  $\phi_i : A \rightarrow B$  by  $\phi_i = \nu_i \tilde{\mu}_i$ . Then

$$(6) \quad \|\phi_i(a_j^{(i)}) - \varphi_i(a_j^{(i)})\| = \|\nu_i \mu_i(a_j^{(i)}) - \varphi_i(a_j^{(i)})\| < 1/i$$

for all  $1 \leq j \leq i$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** (a) If  $x_i \in A_i$ ,  $x \in A$  and  $\|x_i - x\| \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\|\phi_i(x) - \varphi_i(x_i)\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

(b) If  $x, y \in A$ , then  $\|\phi_i(xy) - \phi_i(x)\phi_i(y)\| \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\varphi_i$  are \*-homomorphisms, (b) follows from (a). To prove (a), for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we are going to find  $n$  such that

$$(7) \quad \|\phi_i(x) - \varphi_i(x_i)\| < 8\epsilon \quad \text{for all } i \geq n.$$

Since  $(a_i)$  is dense in  $A$ , there is  $m$  such that  $\|x - a_m\| < \epsilon$ . Let  $n \geq \max(m, 1/\epsilon)$  be such that  $\|x - x_i\| < \epsilon$  for all  $i \geq n$ . If  $i \geq n$ , then from (5), we have

$$\|x_i - a_m^{(i)}\| \leq \|x_i - x\| + \|x - a_m\| + \|a_m - a_m^{(i)}\| < \epsilon + \epsilon + 1/i < 3\epsilon.$$

Using (6), and assuming that  $i \geq n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_i(x) - \varphi_i(x_i)\| &\leq \|\phi_i(x) - \phi_i(x_i)\| + \|\phi_i(x_i) - \phi_i(a_m^{(i)})\| + \\ &\quad \|\phi_i(a_m^{(i)}) - \varphi_i(a_m^{(i)})\| + \|\varphi_i(a_m^{(i)}) - \varphi_i(x_i)\| \leq \\ &\quad \|x - x_i\| + 2\|x_i - a_m^{(i)}\| + 1/i < \epsilon + 6\epsilon + 1/i < 8\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

The reader is referred to [5] for a background discussion on the total K-theory group  $\underline{K}(A)$  of a C\*-algebra  $A$  and the partial maps induced on  $\underline{K}(A)$  by approximate morphisms. The graded group  $\underline{K}(A)$  is acted un by a natural set of coefficient and Bockstein operations [14], denoted here by  $\Lambda$ . We need the following.

**Theorem 5.2.** [5] *Let  $A$  be a unital separable C\*-algebra. Suppose that there is an exhausting sequence  $(A_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  of unital C\*-subalgebras of  $A$  such that each  $A_i$  is simple, exact, tracially AF, and satisfies the UCT. Then for any finite subset  $\mathcal{F} \subset A$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\underline{K}$ -triple  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{G}, \delta)$  with the following property. For any unital simple infinite-dimensional tracially AF algebra  $B$ , and any two unital nuclear completely positive contractions  $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$  which are  $\delta$ -multiplicative on  $\mathcal{G}$ , with  $\varphi_{\sharp}(p) = \psi_{\sharp}(p)$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , there exists a unitary  $u \in U(B)$  such that  $\|u\varphi(a)u^* - \psi(a)\| < \epsilon$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A = A_i$  for some  $i$  the result was proved in [5]. To derive Theorem 5.2, it suffices to apply [5, Thm. 6.7] for a finite set  $\mathcal{F}'$  that approximates  $\mathcal{F}$  and is contained in some  $A_i$ . □

The classification of separable simple unital nuclear tracially AF algebras satisfying the UCT was completed by Lin [11], who succeeded in proving a key lifting result. Lin's lifting result extends to certain exact C\*-algebras as follows (the case when  $A$  is nuclear is due to Lin).

**Theorem 5.3.** [2] *Let  $A, B$  be infinite dimensional separable simple unital tracially AF C\*-algebras. Suppose that  $A$  is exact and satisfies the UCT. Then for any  $\alpha \in \text{KK}(A, B)$  such that the induced map  $\alpha_* : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$  is order preserving and  $\alpha_*[1_A] = [1_B]$  there is a nuclear unital \*-homomorphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\varphi_*(x) = \alpha_*(x)$  for all  $x \in \underline{K}(A)$ . If  $\psi : A \rightarrow B$  is another nuclear \*-homomorphism with  $\psi_* = \varphi_* : \underline{K}(A) \rightarrow \underline{K}(B)$ , then there is a sequence of unitaries  $u_n \in B$  such that  $\|\varphi(a) - u_n\psi(a)u_n^*\| \rightarrow 0$  for all  $a \in A$ .*

By Theorem 5.2, if  $\psi : A \rightarrow B$  is another \*-homomorphism with  $\psi_* = \varphi_* : \underline{K}(A) \rightarrow \underline{K}(B)$ , then there is a sequence of unitaries  $u_n \in B$  such that  $\|\varphi(a) - u_n\psi(a)u_n^*\| \rightarrow 0$  for all  $a \in A$ .

Let  $F'$  be an abelian C\*-algebra that has the same K-theory groups as  $F$  and construct as in the previous section a C\*-algebra  $B = \widehat{SF}'(\underline{\Gamma}')$  with exactly the same integers  $r(n)$ . This is certainly possible since all the irreducible representations of an abelian C\*-algebra are one-dimensional. Reasoning as above  $K_0(B) \cong K_0(SF') \oplus \mathbb{Q}$  with  $K_0(SF) \cong K_0(SF')$  and

$$(8) \quad K_0(B)^+ = \{(x, r) \in K_0(SF') \oplus \mathbb{Q} : r > 0\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Therefore there is an isomorphism of groups  $\beta_* : K_*(B) \rightarrow K_*(A)$  whose  $K_0$ -component is an isomorphism of scaled ordered groups.

**Proposition 5.4.** *The  $C^*$ -algebra  $A = \widetilde{SF}(\underline{\Gamma})$  is isomorphic to the AH algebra  $B = \widetilde{SF}'(\underline{\Gamma}')$ ; hence it satisfies the UCT.*

*Proof.* By construction of  $B$ , there is an isomorphism of groups  $\beta_* : K_*(B) \rightarrow K_*(A)$  whose  $K_0$ -component is an isomorphism of scaled ordered groups. Since  $B$  satisfies the UCT,  $\beta_*$  lifts to an element  $\beta \in KK(B, A)$ . Since  $B$  is also nuclear, by Theorem 5.3 there is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi : B \rightarrow A$  with  $\psi_* = \beta_* : \underline{K}(B) \rightarrow \underline{K}(A)$ . Let  $\alpha_* \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(B))$  be defined by  $\alpha_* = \psi_*^{-1}$ . Let  $\alpha_*^{(i)} \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(A_i), \underline{K}(B))$  be obtained by composing  $\alpha_*$  with the map  $\underline{K}(A_i) \rightarrow \underline{K}(A)$  induced by the inclusion  $A_i \hookrightarrow A$ . We have that  $\alpha_*^{(i)}[1] = [1]$  and  $\alpha_*^{(i)} : K_0(A_i) \rightarrow K_0(B)$  is positive since it is given by the composition of  $\psi_*^{-1} : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$  with  $K_0(A_i) \rightarrow K_0(A)$ . Since  $A_i$  is exact and satisfies the UCT, by Theorem 5.3 there is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi_i : A_i \rightarrow B$  with  $\varphi_{i*} = \alpha_*^{(i)} : \underline{K}(A_i) \rightarrow \underline{K}(B)$ . Let  $(\phi_i)$  be constructed as discussed before Lemma 5.1. We claim that

$$(9) \quad \phi_{i, \#}(p) \rightarrow \alpha_*[p] \quad \text{for all } p \in \text{Proj}_\infty(A \otimes C),$$

where  $C$  is a fixed unital abelian  $C^*$ -algebra with  $\underline{K}(A) = K_0(A \otimes C)$ . For simplicity we are going to prove (9) only for  $p \in A$  a projection. The general case is similar. Let  $p_i \in A_i$  be a sequence of projections with  $\|p_i - p\| \rightarrow 0$ . Then  $\|\phi_i(p) - \varphi_i(p_i)\| \rightarrow 0$  by Lemma 5.1. Therefore there is  $n$  such that  $\|p_i - p\| < 1$  and  $\|\chi(\phi_i(p)) - \varphi_i(p_i)\| < 1$ , where  $\chi$  is the characteristic map of the interval  $[2/3, 1]$ , and  $i \geq n$ . Consequently, if  $i \geq n$ , then

$$(10) \quad \phi_{i, \#}(p) = [\chi(\phi_i(p))] = [\varphi_i(p_i)] = \varphi_{i*}[p_i] = \alpha_*^{(i)}[p_i] = \alpha_*[p_i] = \alpha_*[p].$$

Using Theorems 5.3 and 5.2 we construct a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\varphi_* = \alpha_*$ . This goes as follows. Let  $\mathcal{F}_n = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  and let  $\epsilon_n = 2^{-n}$ . Let  $(\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{G}_n, \delta_n)$  be a  $\underline{K}(A)$ -triple given by Theorem 5.2 for the input  $\mathcal{F}_n, \epsilon_n$ . One may assume that  $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_n \subset \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  and  $\delta_n > \delta_{n+1}$ . Passing to a subsequence of  $(\phi_i)$  we may further assume that  $\phi_{n, \#}(p) = \alpha_*[p]$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and all  $n$ . By Theorem 5.2 there is a sequence of unitaries  $(u_n)$  in  $B$  such that  $\|u_n^* \phi_n(a) u_n - \phi_{n+1}(a)\| < \epsilon_n$  for  $a \in \mathcal{F}_n$ . It follows that  $\Phi_n = u_1 u_2 \dots u_{n-1} \phi_n u_{n-1}^* \dots u_2^* u_1^*$  is a sequence of unital completely positive and asymptotically multiplicative maps such that  $(\Phi_n(a))$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $a \in \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ , a dense set in  $A$ . Thus  $\Phi_n$  converges to a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  with  $\varphi_* = \alpha_* : \underline{K}(A) \rightarrow \underline{K}(B)$ . Now  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are  $*$ -homomorphisms such that  $\psi \circ \varphi$  is approximately unitarily equivalent to  $id_A$  and  $\varphi \circ \psi$  is approximately unitarily equivalent to  $id_B$  by Theorem 5.2. One concludes that  $A \cong B$  by Elliott's intertwining argument [7].  $\square$

## 6. CONCLUSION OF PROOFS

*Proof of Theorems 1.1, 2.3.*

The result follows by putting together Lemma 2.4, Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 5.4.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.*

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $A$  be as in (ii). By assumption  $A$  satisfies the UCT and it has the same ordered K-theory as the universal UHF algebra  $\mathcal{U}$ . By the isomorphism theorem of [9] or [5],  $A$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{U}$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Assume that  $D$  is a nuclear separable RFD C\*-algebra and  $K_*(D) = 0$ . Construct a simple tracially AF algebra  $\tilde{D}(\underline{\Gamma})$  as in Section 3 where  $\Gamma = (\gamma_n)$ ,  $\gamma_n : \tilde{D} \rightarrow M_{r(n)+1}(\tilde{D})$ ,  $\gamma_n(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_n(a) \end{pmatrix}$  and  $(\sigma_n)$  is a sequence of unital finite dimensional representations of  $\tilde{D}$  satisfying the conditions (ii $_{\sigma}$ )–(iii $_{\sigma}$ ) of Section 3. By construction  $\tilde{D}(\underline{\Gamma})$  has the same ordered K-theory as the universal UHF algebra  $\mathcal{U}$ . By (ii)  $\tilde{D}(\underline{\Gamma})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{U}$ , hence  $D$  has the desired approximation property.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) By [13] it suffices to prove that all nuclear separable C\*-algebras  $A$  with  $K_*(A) = 0$  satisfy the UCT. Fix such an  $A$  and argue as in the proof of Lemma 2.4 to produce an extension

$$(11) \quad 0 \rightarrow \sum M_{k(n)} \xrightarrow{\theta} F \rightarrow SA \rightarrow 0$$

with  $F$  RFD. Since  $K_*(A) = 0$ ,  $\theta$  induces an isomorphism of groups  $\theta_* : K_*(\sum M_{k(n)}) \rightarrow K_*(F)$ . The mapping cone C\*-algebra  $C_{\theta} = \{(f, x) \in C_0[0, 1) \otimes F \oplus \sum M_{k(n)} : f(0) = \theta(x)\}$  is RFD since both  $\sum M_{k(n)}$  and  $F$  are so. The boundary map  $\delta : K_*(\sum M_{k(n)}) \rightarrow K_{*-1}(SF)$  associated with the exact sequence

$$(12) \quad 0 \rightarrow SF \rightarrow C_{\theta} \rightarrow \sum M_{k(n)} \rightarrow 0$$

is an isomorphism since it identifies with  $\theta_*$ , modulo the isomorphism  $K_{*-1}(SF) \cong K_*(F)$ . This shows that  $K_*(C_{\theta}) = 0$ . Set  $D = SC_{\theta}$  and construct a C\*-algebra  $\tilde{D}(\underline{\Gamma})$  as in Section 3 by using a sequence of unital finite dimensional representations  $\sigma_n : \tilde{D} \rightarrow M_{r(n)}(\mathbb{C}1_{\tilde{D}})$  satisfying the conditions (i $_{\sigma}$ )–(iii $_{\sigma}$ ) of Section 3 and the following

(iv $_{\sigma}$ ) There is a sequence of finite dimensional C\*-algebras  $B_n \subset M_{r(n)+1}(\tilde{D})$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_n(a) \end{pmatrix}, B_n\right) = 0, \quad \text{for all } a \in \tilde{D}.$$

The existence of the sequence  $(\sigma_n)$  follows from our assumptions in condition (iii) of Theorem 1.2 and the following discussion. Let  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\pi$  and  $B$  be as in condition (iii). Since  $D$  is RFD, after adding to  $\pi$  a finite dimensional representation we may assume that  $\|\pi(a)\| \geq \|a\|(1 - \epsilon)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ . By replacing  $\pi$  by  $\pi \oplus \pi \circ \iota$  where  $\iota : D = SC_{\theta} \rightarrow D$  is given by  $\iota(a)(t) = a(1 - t)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we may arrange that  $\pi$  is null-homotopic. If  $h > k$  and  $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{D} \rightarrow M_h(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{D}})$  is the unitalization of  $\pi$ , then  $\tilde{\pi}$  is homotopic to the evaluation map  $\sigma^0(a + \lambda 1) = \lambda 1_h$ ,  $a \in D$  and

$$\text{dist}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\pi}(\tilde{a}) \end{pmatrix}, B + \mathbb{C}1\right) < \epsilon, \quad \text{for all } \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{F} + \mathbb{C}1.$$

By applying this construction for an increasing sequence of finite sets  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$  whose union is dense in  $D$  and  $\epsilon_n = 1/n$ , we obtain a sequence  $\sigma_n = \tilde{\pi}_n$  with the desired properties.

Using the approximation property  $(iv_\sigma)$  it is not hard to see that  $\tilde{D}(\underline{\Gamma})$  is isomorphic to an AF algebra (see the discussion below), and so it satisfies the UCT. By Proposition 4.1 (with  $F = C_\theta$ ), if  $\tilde{D}(\underline{\Gamma})$  satisfies the UCT, then  $D = SC_\theta$  does so. Using (11) and (12) we obtain that  $F$  and hence  $A$  satisfy the UCT by Proposition 2.1. To verify that  $\tilde{D}(\underline{\Gamma})$  is AF it suffices to show that for any  $r \geq 1$ , any finite subset  $\mathcal{F} \subset M_{H(r)}(\tilde{D})$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $n \geq r$  such that

$$\Gamma_{n+1,r}(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\epsilon B$$

for some finite dimensional  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $M_{H(n+1)}(\tilde{D})$ ; (we write  $\mathcal{G} \subset_\epsilon \mathcal{G}'$  if  $\text{dist}(a, \mathcal{G}') < \epsilon$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{G}$ .) By  $(iv_\sigma)$  we find  $n \geq r$  such that

$$(id_{H(r)} \otimes \gamma_n)(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\epsilon M_{H(r)}(B_n) \subset M_{H(r)h(n+1)}(\tilde{D}).$$

Note that

$$\Gamma_{n,r}(\mathcal{F}) \subset u \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F} & 0 \\ 0 & M_{H(n)-H(r)}(\mathbb{C}1_{\tilde{D}}) \end{pmatrix} u^*$$

for some unitary  $u \in M_{H(n)}(\tilde{D})$ . Therefore

$$\Gamma_{n+1,r}(\mathcal{F}) = (id_{H(n)} \otimes \gamma_n)(\Gamma_{n,r}(\mathcal{F})) \subset_\epsilon B \subset M_{H(n+1)}(\tilde{D})$$

where  $B$  is isomorphic to  $M_{H(r)}(B_n) \oplus M_{H(n+1)-H(r)h(n+1)}(\mathbb{C}1)$ . □

*Acknowledgement.* The author is grateful to the referee for a number of useful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Connes and N. Higson, *Déformations, morphismes asymptotiques et K-théorie bivariante*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **311** (1990), no. 2, 101–106.
- [2] M. Dadarlat, *Notes on the classification of tracially AF algebras*, in preparation.
- [3] ———, *Shape theory and asymptotic morphisms for  $C^*$ -algebras*, Duke Math. J. **73** (1994), no. 3, 687–711.
- [4] ———, *Nonnuclear subalgebras of AF algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **122** (2000), no. 3, 581–597.
- [5] M. Dadarlat and S. Eilers, *On the classification of nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **85**, (2002) no. 3, 168–210.
- [6] M. Dadarlat and T. A. Loring, *A universal multicoefficient theorem for the Kasparov groups*, Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), no. 2, 355–377.
- [7] G. A. Elliott, *On the classification of  $C^*$ -algebras of real rank zero*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **443** (1993), 179–219.
- [8] G. A. Elliott and G. Gong, *On the classification of  $C^*$ -algebras of real rank zero, II*, Ann. of Math. **144** (1996), 497–610.
- [9] H. Lin, *Tracially AF  $C^*$ -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 2, 693–722.
- [10] ———, *Classification of simple tracially AF  $C^*$ -algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **53** (2001), no. 1, 161–194.
- [11] ———, *Classification of simple  $C^*$ -algebras of tracial topological rank zero*, MSRI preprint, 2000.

- [12] M. Rørdam and E. Størmer, *Classification of Nuclear  $C^*$ -Algebras; Entropy in Operator Algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Springer Verlag, 2002.
- [13] J. Rosenberg and C. Schochet, *The Künneth Theorem and the Universal Coefficient Theorem for Kasparov's generalized  $K$ -functor*, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), no. 2, 431–474.
- [14] C. Schochet, *Topological methods for  $C^*$ -algebras. IV. Mod  $p$  homology*, Pacific J. Math. **114** (1984), no. 2, 447–468.
- [15] G. Skandalis, *Une notion de nucléarité en  $K$ -théorie*,  $K$ -theory **1** (1988), no. 5, 549–573.
- [16] D. Voiculescu, *A note on quasidiagonal  $C^*$ -algebras and homotopy*, Duke Math. J. **62** (1991), no. 2, 267–271.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE IN 47907  
*E-mail address:* mdd@math.purdue.edu