

BOUNDS ON THE INDIVIDUAL BETTI NUMBERS OF COMPLEX VARIETIES, STABILITY AND ALGORITHMS

SAUGATA BASU AND CORDIAN RIENER

ABSTRACT. We prove graded bounds on the individual Betti numbers of affine and projective complex varieties. In particular, we give for each p, d, r , explicit bounds on the p -th Betti numbers of affine and projective subvarieties of $\mathbb{C}^k$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k$, defined by r polynomials of degrees at most d as a function of p, d and r . Unlike previous bounds these bounds are independent of k , the dimension of the ambient space. We also prove as consequences of our technique certain homological and representational stability results for sequences of complex projective varieties which could be of independent interest. Finally, we highlight differences in computational complexities of the problem of computing Betti numbers of complex as opposed to real projective varieties.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Notation	2
1.2. History and prior results	2
1.3. Upper bounds on ℓ -adic Betti numbers	3
2. Main Results	6
3. Proofs of the main theorems	8
4. Application to stability	12
4.1. Representational stability	12
4.2. Homological stability	13
5. Algorithms	15
References	17

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper we denote by R a real closed field, and C the algebraic closure of R . We can even assume that $R = \mathbb{R}$, and $C = \mathbb{C}$ without any loss of generality, since all the results of the paper follow for arbitrary real closed fields (resp. algebraically closed fields of characteristic 0), from the corresponding result for $\mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}$), by an appropriate use of the Tarski-Seidenberg transfer principle (resp. Lefschetz principle) (see for example [6, Chapter 7]). We also fix a field $\mathbb{F}$

Date: **June 13, 2016.**

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14F25; Secondary 68W30.

Key words and phrases. cohomology, complex varieties, Betti numbers, homological stability, computational complexity.

Basu was also partially supported by NSF grants CCF-1319080 and DMS-1161629.

to which the coefficients of the various cohomology groups considered in the paper will belong.

1.1. Notation. For any field K , and finite subsets $\mathcal{P} \subset K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, let $Z(\mathcal{P}, K^n)$ be the set of common zeros of $\mathcal{P}$ in K^n . Similarly, for finite subsets of homogeneous polynomials $\mathcal{P} \subset K[X_0, \dots, X_n]$, we denote by $Z(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{P}_K^n)$ be the set of common zeros of $\mathcal{P}$ in $\mathbb{P}_K^n$. Given a real or complex variety X , we denote by $H^i(X, \mathbb{F})$ (resp. $H_i(X, \mathbb{F})$, $H_c^i(X, \mathbb{F})$) the i -th cohomology group (resp. i -th homology, i -th cohomology group with compact support) with coefficients in the field $\mathbb{F}$. (We refer the reader to [6, Chapter 6] for the definition of homology/cohomology groups of semi-algebraic sets defined over arbitrary real closed fields, noting that they are isomorphic to the singular homology/cohomology groups in the special case of $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}$.)

We denote by $b^i(X, \mathbb{F})$ (resp. $b_c^i(X, \mathbb{F})$) the dimension of $H^i(X, \mathbb{F})$ (resp. $H_c^i(X, \mathbb{F})$), and by $b(X, \mathbb{F}) = \sum_{i \geq 0} b^i(X, \mathbb{F})$ (resp. $b_c(X, \mathbb{F}) = \sum_{i \geq 0} b_c^i(X, \mathbb{F})$).

1.2. History and prior results. The problem of bounding explicitly the Betti numbers of real and complex varieties have been considered for a long time and there have been many applications of these bounds in combinatorics and discrete geometry (see for example, [5] for a survey).

The first results are due to Oleĭnik and Petrovskii [25], Thom [30] and Milnor [23] who proved the following bounds. Slightly refined bounds of the same asymptotic nature occur in [11] and [8].

Theorem 1. [25, 30, 23] *Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_k]$ be a finite set polynomials of degrees at most d , and let $V = Z(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{R}^k)$. Then,*

$$(1.1) \quad b(V, \mathbb{F}) \leq \text{Aff}_{\mathbb{R}}(k, d) := d(2d - 1)^{k-1} = (O(d))^k.$$

The bound in Theorem 1 also holds in the projective case.

Theorem 2. [25, 30, 23] *Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}[X_0, \dots, X_k]$ be a finite set homogeneous polynomials of degrees at most d , and let $V = Z(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^k)$. Then,*

$$(1.2) \quad b(V, \mathbb{F}) \leq \text{Proj}_{\mathbb{R}}(k, d) := d(2d - 1)^{k-1} = (O(d))^k.$$

The bounds in Theorems 1 and 2 are (for every fixed d) singly exponential in the number of variables k . Moreover, this exponential dependence on k is unavoidable even if the variety V is non-singular hypersurface defined by one polynomial, and we consider just a single Betti number of V (for example $b_0(V, \mathbb{F})$ or $b_{k-1}(V, \mathbb{F})$) instead of their sum, as the following examples show.

Example 1. Let $P = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i^2(X_i - 1)^2 - \varepsilon$, with $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, and P^h denote the homogenization of P . Let $V = Z(P, \mathbb{R}^k)$, and $V^h = Z(P^h, \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^k)$. Now notice that $\deg(P) = 4$, and

$$\begin{aligned} b^0(V, \mathbb{F}) &= b^{k-1}(V, \mathbb{F}) = b^{k-1}(V^h, \mathbb{F}) = 2^k, \\ b^0(V^h, \mathbb{F}) &= 2^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

While Theorems 1 and 2 deal only with real varieties, they can be used to bound the Betti numbers of complex varieties, since every complex affine variety in $\mathbb{C}^k$ defined by r polynomials of degrees bounded by d , can be considered after separating the real and imaginary parts of the defining polynomials as a real affine variety in $\mathbb{R}^{2k}$ defined by $2r$ polynomials of degree at most d . It then follows directly from Theorem 1 that:

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{C}[Z_1, \dots, Z_k]$ be a finite set of polynomials of degrees at most d , and let $V = Z(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{C}^k)$. Then,*

$$(1.3) \quad b(V, \mathbb{F}) \leq \text{Aff}_{\mathbb{C}}(k, d) := d(2d - 1)^{2k-1} = (O(d))^{2k}.$$

Using an argument involving the Hopf fibration and the Gysin exact sequence one also derives a similar bound in the projective case.

Theorem 4. *Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{C}[Z_0, \dots, Z_k]$ be a set of homogeneous polynomials of degrees at most $d \geq 2$, and let $V = Z(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k)$. Then,*

$$(1.4) \quad b(V, \mathbb{F}) \leq \text{Proj}_{\mathbb{C}}(k, d) := kd(2d - 1)^{2k+1} = (O(d))^{2k+2}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{S}^{2k+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{k+1} = \mathbb{R}^{2k+2}$ denote the unite sphere defined by $|Z_0|^2 + \dots + |Z_k|^2 = 1$. Consider the Hopf fibration $\phi : \mathbf{S}^{2k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k$, defined by $(z_0, \dots, z_k) \mapsto (z_0 : \dots : z_k)$. We denote by $\tilde{V} = \phi^{-1}(V)$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{V} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbf{S}^{2k+1} \\ \downarrow \phi|_{\tilde{V}} & & \downarrow \phi \\ V & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k \end{array}$$

Note that $\tilde{V}$ is a $\mathbf{S}^1$ -bundle over V . It follows from the Gysin exact sequence [29, page 260] of this bundle that for each $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} b^n(V, \mathbb{F}) &\leq b^{n-2}(V, \mathbb{F}) + b^n(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{F}) \\ &\leq b^{n-4}(V, \mathbb{F}) + b^{n-2}(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{F}) + b^n(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{F}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \sum_{i \geq 0} b^{n-2i}(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{F}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} b(V, \mathbb{F}) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{2k} [(2k - i)/2] b^i(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{F}) \\ &\leq kb(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{F}). \end{aligned}$$

The theorem now follows from Theorem 1. $\square$

Remark 1. With a little more care (for example, using [8, Theorem 32] instead of Theorem 1 as in the proof above), it is possible to prove a bound of $(O(d))^{2k}$ on $b(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{F})$. This would also improve the bound on $b(V, \mathbb{F})$ in Theorem 4 to $(O(d))^{2k}$.

1.3. Upper bounds on ℓ -adic Betti numbers. The bounds mentioned above follow essentially from Morse theoretic considerations by counting critical points. By following a completely different approach, and using bounds on exponential sums due to Bombieri [13], and Adolphson and Sperber [1], Katz [22] gave analogous bounds for ℓ -adic Betti numbers of complex varieties for any prime ℓ .

We first recall here the definition of ℓ -adic cohomology groups of a complex variety V for any prime ℓ . (Note that the notation for the ℓ -adic cohomology is

in conflict with the notation used above for cohomology groups with coefficients in the field $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. However, this abuse of notation is standard in literature.)

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(V, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) &= \text{proj} \lim_n H^*(V, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}), \\ H_c^*(V, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) &= \text{proj} \lim_n H_c^*(V, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}), \\ H^*(V, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) &= H^*(V, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell, \\ H_c^*(V, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) &= H_c^*(V, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell, \end{aligned}$$

and denote

$$\begin{aligned} b^i(V, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) &= \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} H^i(V, \mathbb{Q}_\ell), \\ b_c^i(V, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) &= \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} H_c^i(V, \mathbb{Q}_\ell), \\ b(V, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) &= \sum_i b^i(V, \mathbb{Q}_\ell), \\ b_c(V, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) &= \sum_i b_c^i(V, \mathbb{Q}_\ell). \end{aligned}$$

The following bounds appear in [22].

Theorem 5. [22] *Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_r\} \subset \mathbb{C}[Z_1, \dots, Z_k]$ be a finite set of polynomials of degrees at most d , and let $U = Z(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{C}^k)$. Then,*

$$(1.5) \quad b_c(U, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \leq \text{Aff}_{\mathbb{C}, \ell}(k, r, d) := 5 \cdot 2^{r-2} (4dr + 13)^{k+2} = 2^r (O(rd))^{k+2}.$$

Theorem 6. [22] *Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{C}[Z_0, \dots, Z_k]$ be a set of homogeneous polynomials of degrees at most $d \geq 2$, and let $V = Z(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^k)$. Then,*

$$(1.6) \quad b(V, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \leq \text{Proj}_{\mathbb{C}, \ell}(k, r, d) := 1 + \sum_{j=1}^k \text{Aff}_{\mathbb{C}, \ell}(j, r, d) = 2^r (O(rd))^{k+2}.$$

Remark 2. Notice that unlike bounds in Theorems 3 and 4 (which are in turn derived from Theorem 1), the bounds in Theorems 5, and 6 depend on the number of polynomials r occurring in the definition of the variety.

Like their counterparts in the real case (namely, Theorems 1 and 2) these bounds are singly exponential in k for any fixed d . However, complex varieties differ topologically from real ones in one important respect. The Betti numbers of a *non-singular*, projective variety of dimension ℓ which is a complete intersection are concentrated at dimension ℓ . More precisely, suppose that $V \subset \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^k$ be a complete intersection non-singular variety of dimension $\ell > 0$. Then,

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} b^i(V, \mathbb{F}) &= 0, \text{ if } i > 2\ell \text{ or if } i \neq \ell \text{ and } i \text{ is odd,} \\ &= 1 \text{ if } i \neq \ell \text{ and } i \text{ is even.} \end{aligned}$$

The above behavior regarding the distribution of Betti numbers is thus very different from the real case (cf. Example 1). The concentration of the Betti numbers in the middle dimension as shown in Eqn. (1.7) is clearly not true if V is singular or not a complete intersection. For example, a cubic hypersurface $V_3 \subset \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^4$ having 10 nodes satisfies $b_3(V_3, \mathbb{F}) = 10$ (see [17, §4, Example 4.6]) showing that the odd Betti numbers of such varieties can be non-zero.

Nevertheless, we prove (Theorems 7, 9, 8 and 10) that some of the concentration in the non-singular case extends to singular varieties as well. In fact, we show that for every fixed p and d and r , the p -th Betti numbers of complex affine and projective subvarieties of C^k (resp. $\mathbb{P}_C^k$) can be bounded by an explicit function of d, p and r , *independent* of k .

The proofs of these results rely on well-known results on Lefschetz hyperplane section theorems for singular varieties [18, 19], Poincaré-Lefschetz duality, the Stein property of smooth affine varieties, as well as standard tools from algebraic topology such as the Mayer-Vietoris sequence and the Oleinik-Petrovskiĭ-Thom-Milnor bounds cited earlier.

Aside from the quantitative estimates on the individual Betti numbers of complex varieties, we give two other applications of the method.

The first application (§4 below) is to prove a homological stability theorem which answers in the complex case a question related to representational stability of the cohomology groups of certain symmetric varieties which was raised in [7]. Roughly speaking the question (is still unresolved) asks whether the multiplicities of the Specht modules corresponding to some fixed partition appearing in some fixed dimensional cohomology groups of certain natural sequences of symmetric real varieties V_n are eventually expressible as a polynomial in n . We prove in this paper (Theorem 11 that in the complex projective case, this is trivially true since the cohomology groups, $H^p(V_n, \mathbb{Q})$ become eventually isomorphic as n grows for every fixed p (see Theorem 12). This last statement is not true over the reals (cf. Remark 7).

The second application (§5 below) is related to computational complexity theory. The problem of computing the Betti numbers of varieties as well as semi-algebraic sets occupies a very important place in the hierarchy of computational complexity classes especially in the Blum-Shub-Smale (henceforth B-S-S) [12] model of computation (see for example [14, 10, 4]). Indeed, the problem of computing the Poincaré polynomial of the fibers of certain sequences of maps plays a critical role in the definition of the B-S-S analog of classical counting complexity class $\#\mathbf{P}$ (see [10, 4]). It is not a surprise that the problem of computing Betti numbers of real and complex varieties have been investigated thoroughly from both from the point of view of hardness, as well as from the point of view of designing efficient algorithms to solve the problem. Currently, for every fixed degree > 2 , the best algorithm for computing all the (possibly non-zero) Betti numbers of a real or complex variety (affine or projective) has complexity which is doubly exponential in the dimension of the ambient space.

However, since the Betti numbers of varieties are bounded singly exponentially it has been conjectured that there should exist singly exponential complexity algorithms for computing them. Such algorithms exist in certain special situations – for example, for computing the first ℓ (for any constant ℓ) Betti numbers of general semi-algebraic sets [2], or for computing the Betti numbers of smooth complex projective varieties [27]. There also exist algorithms with polynomially bounded complexity for computing the top few Betti numbers of semi-algebraic sets defined by quadratic inequalities, or all the Betti numbers of semi-algebraic sets defined by few quadratic inequalities [3, 9] – reflecting polynomial bounds on these quantities. In this paper, we prove the existence of algorithms with polynomially bounded complexity for computing the first ℓ (i.e. for any constant ℓ) Betti numbers of

sub-varieties of complex projective spaces defined by a constant number of equations (Theorem 13), or more generally by first-order formulas of certain special kind (Theorem 14).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We state the new quantitative bounds on Betti numbers of complex projective and affine varieties in §2. We prove these bounds in §3. We discuss the applications to homological and representational stability in §4. Finally, we discuss the algorithmic results in §5.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We bound the smallest as well as the largest Betti numbers of both projective and affine varieties. In the projective case we have the following bounds.

Theorem 7 (Bounds on the smallest Betti numbers of a projective variety). *Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_r\} \subset \mathbb{C}[X_0, \dots, X_k]$ be a set of homogeneous polynomials of degrees at most $d \geq 2$, and let $V = Z(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^k)$. Then for all $0 \leq p < k - r$ and ℓ prime,*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} b^p(V, \mathbb{F}) &\leq \text{Proj}_\mathbb{C}(p + r, d) \\ &= (O(d))^{2(p+r)+1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} b^p(V, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) &\leq \text{Proj}_{\mathbb{C}, \ell}(p + r, r, d) \\ &= (O(rd))^{p+r+2}. \end{aligned}$$

With the same notation as in Theorem 7 we also have:

Theorem 8 (Bounds on the largest Betti numbers of a projective variety). *For all $0 \leq p < k/2$ and ℓ prime,*

$$\begin{aligned} b^{2k-p}(V, \mathbb{F}) &\leq 2^r + \sum_{j=1}^p \binom{r}{j} (1 + \lceil p/2 \rceil) \text{Aff}_\mathbb{C}(p, jd + 1) \\ &= 2^r \cdot (O(pd))^{2p}, \\ b^{2k-p}(V, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) &\leq 2^r + \sum_{j=1}^p \binom{r}{j} (1 + \lceil p/2 \rceil) \text{Aff}_{\mathbb{C}, \ell}(p, jd + 1, 1) \\ &= 2^r \cdot (O(pd))^{p+2}. \end{aligned}$$

In the affine case we have the following bounds. Notice that the bounds are on Betti numbers with compact support.

For the small Betti numbers we have in fact a vanishing result.

Theorem 9 (Vanishing of the small dimensional cohomology groups with compact support for affine varieties). *Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_r\} \subset \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_k]$ be a set of polynomials of degrees at most $d \geq 2$, and let $U = Z(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{C}^k)$. Then for all $0 \leq p < k - r$ and ℓ prime,*

$$\begin{aligned} b_c^p(U, \mathbb{F}) &= 0, \\ b_c^p(U, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3. Notice that the vanishing interval of the cohomology with compact support implied by Theorem 9 cannot be improved. Take for example the affine part, $C \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, of a non-singular projective curve in $\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^2$ of positive genus. Then, $b_c^1(C, \mathbb{F}) > 0$. In this case $k = 2, r = 1$, and $p = 1 = k - r$.

With the same notation as in Theorem 9 we have:

Theorem 10 (Bounds on the largest Betti numbers with compact support of an affine variety). *For all $0 \leq p < k/2$ and ℓ prime,*

$$\begin{aligned}
b_c^{2k-p}(U, \mathbb{F}) &\leq 2^{r+1} + \sum_{j=1}^p \binom{r}{j} (1 + \lceil p/2 \rceil) \text{Aff}_C(p, jd + 1) + \\
&\quad \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} \binom{r}{j} (1 + \lceil (p+1)/2 \rceil) \text{Aff}_C(p+1, jd + 1) \\
&= 2^r \cdot (O(pd))^{2p}, \\
b_c^{2k-p}(U, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) &\leq 2^{r+1} + \sum_{j=1}^p \binom{r}{j} (1 + \lceil p/2 \rceil) \text{Aff}_{C,\ell}(p, jd + 1, 1) + \\
&\quad \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} \binom{r}{j} (1 + \lceil (p+1)/2 \rceil) \text{Aff}_{C,\ell}(p+1, jd + 1, 1) \\
&= 2^r (O(pd))^{p+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

While Theorems 9 and 10 only provide bounds on the Betti numbers with compact support, in the case the affine variety is smooth we can extend these bounds to the ordinary Betti numbers using Poincaré-Lefschetz duality. We have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_r\} \subset \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_k]$ be a set of polynomials of degrees at most $d \geq 2$, and let $U = Z(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{C}^k)$. Suppose that U is non-singular and of co-dimension q in $\mathbb{C}^k$. Then, for all $0 \leq p < k/2 - 2q$,*

$$(2.3) \quad b^p(U, \mathbb{F}) \leq 2^r (O((2q+p)d))^{2(2q+p)}.$$

Question 1. *Is it possible to extend the bound (2.3) in Corollary 1 to the case of singular affine varieties as well ?*

Remark 4. Notice that the bounds in Theorems 3 and 4 do not hold in the real case. Example 1 shows that for a real hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ defined by a polynomial of degree d , the extremal Betti numbers, $b_c^0(V, \mathbb{F}), b_c^{k-1}(V, \mathbb{F})$, can both grow exponentially in d .

Remark 5. One method that has been used for obtaining bounds on the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -Betti numbers of real varieties is to first bound the sum of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -Betti numbers of the complex varieties defined by the polynomials defining the real variety (such varieties are naturally equipped with an involution – namely complex conjugation), and then use Smith inequalities (see for example [11, 8]). Unfortunately, the bounds in Theorems 7, 8, and 10 do not give any interesting new bound on the middle (i.e. k -Betti number) for complex subvarieties of $\mathbb{C}^k$ or $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k$. As a result applying the bounds in these theorems with $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_2$ do not yield any new bounds on the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -Betti numbers in the real case (because applying Smith inequality to get a bound in the real case invariably involves bounding the middle Betti number amongst others).

The exponents in the bounds in the above Theorems are probably not tight. However, unlike in the non-singular projective case, the fact that these bounds go to infinity with d is necessary as shown in the following example.

Example 2. Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k$ be the union of d generic hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k$. Then a standard argument involving weight purity and the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence gives:

$$(2.4) \quad b^{2k-2}(V, \mathbb{F}) = d,$$

which clearly grows polynomially with d , and is independent of k .

3. PROOFS OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

We prove the theorems only in the case of coefficients in $\mathbb{F}$. The proofs in the ℓ -adic cases are identical except that instead of using the bounds $\text{Aff}_{\mathbb{C}}(k, d)$ and $\text{Proj}(k, d)$ (defined in Eqns. (1.1) and (1.2) above), one has to use the corresponding bounds in the ℓ -adic case – namely, $\text{Aff}_{\mathbb{C}, \ell}(k, d, r)$ and $\text{Proj}_{\mathbb{C}, \ell}(k, d, r)$ (defined in Eqns. (1.5) and (1.6) above).

We first prove Theorem 9 which gives the vanishing of the lowest cohomology groups with compact support for affine varieties.

Proof of Theorem 9. For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let

$$\begin{aligned} U_i &= Z(P_i, \mathbb{C}^k), \\ W_i &= \mathbb{C}^k \setminus U_i, \\ W &= \mathbb{C}^k \setminus U. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \bigcap_{i=1}^r U_i, \\ W &= \bigcup_{i=1}^r W_i. \end{aligned}$$

We have the exact sequence (see [21, page 185, (7.6)] or [19, Theorem 1.2]):

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_c^i(W, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_c^i(\mathbb{C}^k, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_c^i(U, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_c^{i+1}(W, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_c^{i+1}(\mathbb{C}^k, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since,

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^i(\mathbb{C}^k, \mathbb{F}) &\cong \mathbb{F}, \text{ for } i = 2k, \\ &= 0, \text{ otherwise,} \end{aligned}$$

it follows that for $0 \leq i < 2k$,

$$(3.1) \quad b_c^i(U, \mathbb{F}) \leq b_c^{i+1}(W, \mathbb{F}).$$

For $I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$, we denote by $W_I = \bigcap_{i \in I} W_i$.

The $E_2^{p,q}$ term of Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence corresponding to the covering of W by the open subsets $(W_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ is given by

$$(3.2) \quad E_2^{p,q} \cong \bigoplus_{I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}, \text{card}(I)=p+1} H^p(W_I, \mathbb{F}),$$

and moreover,

$$(3.3) \quad H^n(W, \mathbb{F}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=n} E_{\infty}^{p,q}.$$

Notice that it follows from (3.2) that

$$(3.4) \quad E_2^{p,q} = 0, \text{ for } p \geq r.$$

Now let $I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$.

Notice that W_I is homeomorphic to a *smooth* affine variety $\widetilde{W}_I \subset \mathbb{C}^{k+\text{card}(I)}$ defined by the polynomial equations,

$$T_i P_i(X_1, \dots, X_k) - 1 = 0, i \in I.$$

Using Poincaré-Lefschetz duality [21, page 282, Theorem 6.6] (with $Z = X = \widetilde{W}$) we have for $0 \leq i \leq 2k$,

$$(3.5) \quad H_{2k-i}(\widetilde{W}, \mathbb{F}) \cong H_c^i(\widetilde{W}, \mathbb{F}).$$

Furthermore, since $\widetilde{W}_I$ being a complex affine algebraic variety is a Stein space of complex dimension k , we have that [24]:

$$(3.6) \quad H_j(\widetilde{W}_I, \mathbb{F}) = 0 \text{ for } j > k.$$

It follows from (3.6), (3.2), and (3.3), that

$$(3.7) \quad H_j(W, \mathbb{F}) = 0, j > k + r - 1.$$

Hence, for all $i < k - r + 1$, we have using (3.5)

$$(3.8) \quad H_c^i(W, \mathbb{F}) \cong H_c^i(\widetilde{W}, \mathbb{F}) \cong H_{2k-i}(\widetilde{W}, \mathbb{F}) = 0.$$

It now follows from (3.8) and (3.1) that

$$H_c^i(U, \mathbb{F}) = 0, \text{ for } 0 \leq i < k - r.$$

The proof in the ℓ -adic case is similar and omitted. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 7. For $0 \leq i \leq k$, we denote by H_i the linear space defined by $X_0 = \dots = X_{k-i-1} = 0$, and we denote

$$V_i = V_k \cap H_i, U_i = V_i \setminus V_{i-1}.$$

In particular, $V_{k-1} = V_k \cap H_{k-1}$, where H_{k-1} is the hyperplane defined by $X_0 = 0$, and $U_k = V_k - V_{k-1}$.

We have the following exact sequence (see [21, page 185, (7.6)] or [19, Theorem 1.2]):

$$(3.9) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_c^i(U_k, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_c^i(V_k, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_c^i(V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_c^{i+1}(U_k, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_c^{i+1}(V_k, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Consequently, we have the inequalities

$$(3.10) \quad b_c^i(V_k, \mathbb{F}) \leq b_c^i(V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}) + b_c^i(U_k, \mathbb{F}).$$

We identify the affine space $\mathbb{C}^k$ with $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k \setminus H_{k-1}$, and notice that $U_k \subset \mathbb{C}^k$.

Using Theorem 9 we have that for all $0 \leq i < k - r$,

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} b_c^i(V_k, \mathbb{F}) &\leq b_c^i(V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}) + b_c^i(U_k, \mathbb{F}) \\ &\leq b_c^i(V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $0 \leq p < k - r$. Using (3.11) repeatedly we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad b_c^p(V_k, \mathbb{F}) \leq b_c^p(V_{p+r}, \mathbb{F}).$$

Now notice that since each $V_i \subset \mathbb{P}_C^i$ is compact, $H_c^*(V_i, \mathbb{F}) \cong H^*(V_i, \mathbb{F})$, and $V_{p+r} \subset \mathbb{P}_C^{p+r}$ is a projective variety defined by r homogeneous polynomials of degree $\leq d$. Using Theorem 4 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_c^p(V_{p+r}, \mathbb{F}) &= b^p(V_{p+r}, \mathbb{F}) \\
 (3.13) \quad &\leq \text{Proj}_C(p+r, d) \\
 &= (p+r)d(2d-1)^{2(p+r)+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The theorem now follows from (3.12) and (3.13). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 8. We use the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 7, and we first consider the case $r = 1$.

Applying the affine hyperplane section theorem [18, Theorem 5] repeatedly starting with the smooth affine hypersurface $\widetilde{W}_k \subset \mathbb{C}^{k+1}$, we obtain that for all $p, 0 \leq p \leq k$, we have that the restriction homomorphism, $H^p(\widetilde{W}_k, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H^p(\widetilde{W}_p, \mathbb{F})$ induced by the inclusion $\widetilde{W}_p \hookrightarrow \widetilde{W}_k$, is injective (here $\widetilde{W}_p$ is the intersection of $\widetilde{W}_k$ with a generic affine subspace in $\mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ of dimension $p+1$). Notice that for each $p, 0 \leq p \leq k$, $\widetilde{W}_p$ is an affine hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^{p+1}$ defined by r polynomials of degrees bounded by $d+1$.

This implies furthermore that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 b^p(W_k, \mathbb{F}) &\leq b^p(\widetilde{W}_p, \mathbb{F}) \\
 (3.14) \quad &\leq \text{Aff}_C(p+1, d+1).
 \end{aligned}$$

(using Theorem 3).

It now follows from inequality (3.14) and Poincaré-Lefschetz duality that for $0 \leq p \leq k$,

$$(3.15) \quad b_c^{2k-p}(\widetilde{W}_k, \mathbb{F}) = b^p(\widetilde{W}_p, \mathbb{F}).$$

Using inequalities (3.1), and (3.10), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_c^{2k-p}(V_k, \mathbb{F}) &\leq b_c^{2k-p}(V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}) + b_c^{2k-p}(U_k, \mathbb{F}) \\
 (3.16) \quad &\leq b_c^{2k-p}(V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}) + b_c^{2k-p+1}(\widetilde{W}_k, \mathbb{F})
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.16) iteratively we obtain for $0 < p < k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
b_c^{2k-p}(V_k, \mathbb{F}) &\leq b_c^{2k-p}(V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}) + b_c^{2k-p+1}(\widetilde{W}_k, \mathbb{F}) \\
&\leq b_c^{2k-p}(V_{k-2}, \mathbb{F}) + b_c^{2k-p+1}(\widetilde{W}_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}) + b_c^{2k-p+1}(\widetilde{W}_k, \mathbb{F}) \\
&\vdots \\
&\leq b_c^{2k-p}(V_{k-\lceil p/2 \rceil}, \mathbb{F}) + \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil p/2 \rceil} b_c^{2k-p+1}(\widetilde{W}_{k-i}, \mathbb{F}) \\
&= 0 + \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil p/2 \rceil} b_c^{2k-p+1}(\widetilde{W}_{k-i}, \mathbb{F}) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil p/2 \rceil} b_c^{2(k-i)-(p-2i-1)}(\widetilde{W}_{k-i}, \mathbb{F}) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil p/2 \rceil} b^{p-2i-1}(\widetilde{W}_{k-i}, \mathbb{F}) \text{ (using Poincaré-Lefschetz duality)} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil p/2 \rceil} b^{p-2i-1}(\widetilde{W}_{p-2i-1}, \mathbb{F}) \text{ (using (3.15))} \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil p/2 \rceil} \text{Aff}_C(p-2i, d+1) \text{ (using (3.14))} \\
(3.17) \quad &\leq (1 + \lceil p/2 \rceil) \text{Aff}_C(p, d+1)
\end{aligned}$$

noticing that $p-2i-1 < k-i$ whenever $0 \leq i < \lceil p/2 \rceil$ and $0 \leq p \leq k/2$, and hence (3.15) is applicable.

It follows that

$$b_c^{2k-p}(V_k, \mathbb{F}) = b_c^{2k-p}(V_k, \mathbb{F}) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil p/2 \rceil} \text{Aff}_C(p-2i, d+1) \leq (1 + \lceil p/2 \rceil) \text{Aff}_C(p, d+1),$$

for $0 \leq p < k/2$.

The proof in the case of $r > 1$, follows from the case $r = 1$, and the Mayer-Vietoris inequality ([6, Proposition 7.33 b)).

More precisely, let for $j \in [1, r]$,

$$V_k^j = Z(P_j, \mathbb{P}_C^k),$$

and for $J \subset [1, r]$, let

$$V_k^J = \bigcup_{j \in J} V_k^j.$$

Note that for each $J \subset [1, r]$,

$$V_k^J = Z\left(\prod_{j \in J} P_j, \mathbb{P}_C^k\right),$$

and thus V_k^J is a projective hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}_C^k$ defined by one polynomial of degree bounded by $\text{card}(J) \cdot d$.

Using Mayer-Vietoris inequality ([6, Proposition 7.33 b]) we have that,

$$\begin{aligned} b^{2k-p}(V_k, \mathbb{F}) &\leq \binom{r}{p} + \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{J \subset [1, r], \text{card}(J)=j} b^{2k-(p-j+1)}(V_k^J, \mathbb{F}) \\ &\leq 2^r + \sum_{j=1}^p \binom{r}{j} (1 + \lceil p/2 \rceil) \text{Aff}_C(p, jd+1) \text{ (using (3.17))}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 10. Let $\mathcal{P}^h \subset \mathbb{C}[X_0, \dots, X_k]$ denote the homogenization of $\mathcal{P}$, and let $V_k = Z(\mathcal{P}^h, \mathbb{P}_C^k)$. Let $V_{k-1} = V_k \cap H_{k-1}$, where H_{k-1} is the hyperplane defined by $X_0 = 0$, and $U = U_k = V_k - V_{k-1}$.

We have from the exact sequence (3.9) that

$$(3.18) \quad b_c^i(U_k, \mathbb{F}) \leq b^i(V_k, \mathbb{F}) + b^{i-1}(V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}).$$

Since V_k (resp. V_{k-1}) is a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_C^k$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}_C^{k-1}$) defined by r homogeneous polynomials of degrees at most d , we have using Theorem 7 that for $p < k/2$,

$$(3.19) \quad b_c^{2k-p}(V_k, \mathbb{F}) \leq 2^r + \sum_{j=1}^p \binom{r}{j} \left(1 + \left\lceil \frac{p}{2} \right\rceil\right) \text{Aff}_C(p, jd+1),$$

$$(3.20) \quad b_c^{2k-p-1}(V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}) \leq 2^r + \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} \binom{r}{j} \left(1 + \left\lceil \frac{p+1}{2} \right\rceil\right) \text{Aff}_C(p+1, jd+1).$$

The theorem follows from (3.18), (3.19), and (3.20). □

Proof of Corollary 1. Since the variety U is smooth of (complex) dimension $k - q$, it follows from Poincaré-Lefschetz duality that for $0 \leq i \leq 2(k - q)$, $H_i(U, \mathbb{F}) \cong H_c^{2(k-q)-i}(U, \mathbb{F})$. Now (2.3) follows from Theorem 10. □

4. APPLICATION TO STABILITY

One of the motivation for us to revisit the results on explicit bounds on the Betti numbers of varieties is to understand better the complex analog of the following question related to representational stability raised in [7].

4.1. Representational stability. In [7], the authors study the isotypic decomposition of cohomology modules of symmetric real varieties into irreducible representations. Certain natural sequences of symmetric varieties occur in this study. The following sequence is a key example.

Let $K = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, and $F_1, \dots, F_r \in K[Z_1, \dots, Z_d]$ be weighted homogeneous polynomials with weight vector $(1, \dots, d)$.

Further, for each $j > 0$, set

$$\psi_j^{(n)} = X_0^j + X_1^j + \dots + X_n^j,$$

and for each $n > 0$, we define for each $1 \leq i \leq r$, the polynomial

$$P_i^{(n)} = F_i(\psi_1^{(n)}, \dots, \psi_d^{(n)}).$$

The polynomials $P_i^{(n)}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$ define for each $n > 0$ a projective variety

$$V_n = Z(\{P_1^{(n)}, \dots, P_r^{(n)}\}, \mathbb{P}_K^n).$$

Taking $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$, this yields for each fixed p a sequence $(H^p(V_n, \mathbb{Q}))_{n \geq 0}$ of the corresponding cohomology modules. Notice, that since the polynomials $\psi_j^{(n)}$ are symmetric, it follows that for all $n > 0$, the cohomology module $H^p(V_n, \mathbb{Q})$ is an $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ -representation, which can be decomposed into irreducible $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ -representations. To be more precise, for any partition $\lambda \vdash n+1$, there exists a unique irreducible representation $\mathbb{S}^\lambda$ (the so called Specht-module), and the number

$$m_{p,\lambda}(V_n) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}}(\mathbb{S}^\lambda, H^p(V_n, \mathbb{Q}))$$

is the multiplicity of $\mathbb{S}^\lambda$ in the module $H^p(V_n, \mathbb{Q})$. Now starting with a fixed partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell) \vdash n_0$ one can define for all $n \geq n_0 + \mu_1$ the unique partition

$$(4.1) \quad \{\mu\}_n = (n - n_0, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_\ell) \vdash n.$$

It is interesting to observe that the dimensions of the irreducible representations corresponding to the partitions $\{\mu\}_n$ are connected to the dimension of $\mathbb{S}_\mu$ via the so called hook-length formula as

$$(4.2) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}^{\{\mu\}_n}) = \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_\mu)}{|\mu|!} P_\mu(n),$$

where $P_\mu(T)$ is a monic polynomial having distinct integer roots, and $\deg(P_\mu) = |\mu|$ (see [16, 7.2.2]).

The following question was asked in [7] (albeit in the real affine case).

Question 2. *Does there exist a polynomial $P_{F,p,\mu}(n)$ such that for all sufficiently large n , $m_{p,\{\mu\}_n}(V_n) = P_{F,p,\mu}(n)$?*

Remark 6. Note that it follows from the results in [7], that in the case $K = \mathbb{R}$, that there exists a polynomial $P_{F,p,\mu}(n)$ of degree $O(d^2)$, with the property that

$$m_{p,\{\mu\}_n}(V_n, \mathbb{F}) \leq P_{F,p,\mu}(n)$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

While Question 2 was asked for $K = \mathbb{R}$, it is natural to try to first resolve it in the case $K = \mathbb{C}$. The techniques of the current paper show that in the case $K = \mathbb{C}$, and for projective varieties, the answer to Question 2 is positive and follows from a stronger more general homological stability result explained below. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 11. *With the notation defined above we have that for every fixed p , the sequence*

$$(i_{n,n+1}^p : H^p(V_{n+1}, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H^p(V_n, \mathbb{F}))_{n \geq 0}$$

are eventually isomorphisms.

Before proving Theorem 11 we first prove a homological stability result that might be of independent interest.

4.2. Homological stability. The topic of homological stability of sequences of spaces and its connection with representational stability – particularly sequences of configurations spaces $(\operatorname{Conf}_n(M))_{n \geq 0}$ of some fixed manifold M is an extremely active area of research (see for example [15]). Recently algebro-geometrical methods have been used to prove homological stability of $(\operatorname{Conf}_n(M))_{n \geq 0}$ for $M = \mathbb{C}^d$ for some fixed d (see for example [20]). The sequences of varieties that we consider

below are very natural but are not isomorphic to the sequence of configuration spaces of any fixed manifold M .

Let K be a field, and let $A_n(K)$ denote the polynomial ring $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, and for $m > n$, let $\phi_{m,n} : A_m(K) \rightarrow A_n(K)$ the homomorphism defined by,

$$\phi_{m,n}(f) = f(X_1, \dots, X_n, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Let $A(K) = \varinjlim_n A_n(K)$, and $\phi_n : A(K) \rightarrow A_n(K)$ the corresponding homomorphisms. We say that $f \in A(K)$ is homogeneous of degree d if each polynomial $\phi_n(f)$ is homogeneous and of degree d . We say that an ideal $I \subset A(K)$ is homogeneous and finitely generated if there exists $f_1, \dots, f_r \in A(K)$ such that $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$, and each f_i is homogeneous.

Notice that in this case for each $k > 0$, $I_n = \phi_n(I)$ is a homogeneous ideal of $A_n(K)$. Denote by $V_n(I) \subset \mathbb{P}_K^{n-1}$ the projective variety defined by I_n . Notice that for $n < m$, there is a natural inclusion $i_{n,m} : V_n(I) \hookrightarrow V_m(I)$.

We have the following stability result.

Theorem 12. *Let $K = \mathbb{C}$, and $I \subset A(K)$ be a f.g. homogeneous ideal of $A(K)$. Then for every $p \geq 0$, there exists some $N = N(I, p) \geq 0$, such that for $N < n \leq m$, the restriction homomorphisms, $i_{n,m}^p : H^p(V_m(I), \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H^p(V_n(I), \mathbb{F})$ are isomorphisms.*

Proof. Let I be generated by r homogeneous elements of $A(K)$. Following the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 7 we have for each $k > 1$ the exact sequence

$$(4.3) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_c^i(U_k, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_c^i(V_k, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_c^i(V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_c^{i+1}(U_k, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_c^{i+1}(V_k, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \dots$$

We also have from Theorem 9 that

$$(4.4) \quad H_c^i(U_k, \mathbb{F}) = 0 \text{ for all } i < k - r.$$

It follows from the exact sequence (4.3) and (4.4) that the restriction homomorphism $i_{k-1,k}^j : H_c^j(V_k, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_c^j(V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F})$ is an isomorphism for all j satisfying $0 \leq j < k - r$, and hence $i_{n,m}^p : H^p(V_m(I), \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H^p(V_n(I), \mathbb{F})$ are isomorphisms for all m, n satisfying

$$p + r + 1 = N(I, p) < n \leq m.$$

□

Remark 7. In the case, $K = \mathbb{R}$, the stability statement in Theorem 12 is demonstratively false. Consider for example the ideal $I = (P) \subset A(K)$, where

$$\phi_n(P) = \sum_{j=2}^n X_j^2 (X_1 - X_j)^2.$$

Then, for each $n > 0$,

$$b_0(V_n(I), \mathbb{F}) = 2^{n-1}.$$

Proof of Theorem 11. For $i \geq 1$, let $\Psi_i \in A(K)$ denote the element defined by, $\phi_n(\Psi_i) = \psi_i^{(n)}$, where

$$\psi_i^{(n)} = X_1^i + \dots + X_n^i.$$

Setting $I = (F_1(\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_d), \dots, F_r(\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_d)) \subset A(K)$. Applying Theorem 12 we obtain that for sufficiently large n , the restriction homomorphism $i_{n,n+1}^p : H^p(V_{n+1}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^p(V_n, \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism.

Thus, as an $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ representation

$$(4.5) \quad H^p(V_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong_{\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}} \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{n+2}}(H^p(V_{n+1}, \mathbb{Q})).$$

Now since the dimension of $H^p(V_n, \mathbb{Q})$ does not grow with n , the only irreducible representations that can occur in $H^p(V_n, \mathbb{Q})$ are the trivial or the sign representations (the dimensions of all other irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ grows with n by the hook-length formula). Since the trivial (resp. sign) representation of $\mathfrak{S}_{n+2}$ restricts to the trivial (resp. sign) representation of $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$, it follows from (4.5) that the multiplicity of the trivial (resp. sign) representation in $H^p(V_n, \mathbb{Q})$ are eventually constant for large enough n , and the multiplicities of all other irreducible representations are zero. This proves the theorem. $\square$

5. ALGORITHMS

As mentioned in the Introduction, the algorithmic problem of computing the Betti numbers of real and complex varieties has been studied from the point of view computational complexity [14].

In the classical Turing machine model the problem of computing Betti numbers (indeed just the number of connected components) of a real variety defined by a polynomial of degree 4 is **PSPACE**-hard. On the other hand it follows doubly exponential algorithms for semi-algebraic triangulation (see [6] for definition) of real varieties, it follows that there exists a doubly exponential complexity algorithm for computing the Betti numbers of real varieties. More precisely, there exists an algorithm in the B-S-S model over $\mathbb{R}$, that computes the Betti numbers of the real sub-variety of $\mathbb{R}^k$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^k$) defined by a polynomial (homogeneous polynomial) in $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_k]$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}[X_0, \dots, X_k]$) whose degree is bounded by d with complexity $d^{2^{O(k)}}$ [28].

There are algorithms with better complexity in certain restricted situations. For example, for every fixed $\ell > 0$, there exists an algorithm with singly exponential complexity for computing the first ℓ Betti numbers of a real (projective or affine) variety [2]. There exists an algorithm with polynomially bounded complexity for computing the Betti numbers of a real affine or projective variety defined by some constant number of quadratic polynomials [9].

By separating a complex polynomial into real and imaginary parts, the above results hold true even for complex varieties in the real B-S-S model. The problem of computing the Betti numbers of a complex projective variety is **PSPACE**-hard [26].

We have the following theorems.

Theorem 13. *For every fixed ℓ and r , there exists an algorithm (for a B-S-S machine over $\mathbb{R}$) with polynomially bounded complexity, that takes as input a finite set $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_r\}$, where each $P_i \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, \dots, X_k]$ (each coefficient of P_i being given as $a + b\sqrt{-1}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$), and computes $b^i(Z(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k), \mathbb{Q})$, $0 \leq i \leq \ell$.*

Proof. For $0 \leq i \leq k$, we denote by H_i the linear space defined by $X_0 = \dots = X_{k-i-1} = 0$, and we denote

$$V_i = V_k \cap H_i, U_i = V_i \setminus V_{i-1}.$$

For $n < m$ let $i_{n,m} : V_n \hookrightarrow V_m$ denote the inclusion map.

It follows from the exact sequence (4.3) and (4.4) that the restriction homomorphism $i_{k-1,k}^j : H_c^j(V_k, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_c^j(V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F})$ is an isomorphism for all j satisfying $0 \leq j < k - r - 1$, and $i_{n,m}^p : H^p(V_m, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H^p(V_n, \mathbb{F})$ are isomorphisms for all m, n satisfying

$$p + r < n \leq m.$$

Thus, it suffices to compute $b^i(V_{\ell+r}, \mathbb{Q}), 0 \leq i \leq \ell$. Since, $V_{\ell+r}$ is a projective variety in $\mathbb{P}_C^{\ell+r}$ defined by r homogeneous polynomials of degrees bounded by d , the Betti numbers of $V_{\ell+r}$ can be computed via semi-algebraic triangulation with complexity $d^{2^{O(\ell+r)}}$. $\square$

Before stating the next theorem we first introduce a notation.

Notation 1 (Realization). For a first order formula $\Phi(X_0, \dots, X_k)$ whose atoms are of the form $P = 0, P \in C[X_0, \dots, X_k], P$ homogeneous, we will denote

$$\mathcal{R}(\Phi, \mathbb{P}_C^k) = \{(x_0 : \dots : x_k) \in \mathbb{P}_C^k \mid \Phi(x_0, \dots, x_k) = \text{True}\}.$$

Remark 8. Notice that if Φ is negation free then $\mathcal{R}(\Phi, \mathbb{P}_C^k)$ is a projective variety.

Theorem 14. *For every fixed ℓ, d, r, s , there exists an algorithm in the real B-S-S model that takes as input a first-order formula Φ either of the form*

$$(5.1) \quad \bigwedge_{i=1}^r \bigvee_{j=1}^n (P_{i,j} = 0)$$

or of the form

$$(5.2) \quad \bigvee_{i=1}^s \bigwedge_{j=1}^r (P_{i,j} = 0),$$

where each $P_{i,j} \in C[X_0, \dots, X_k]$ is homogeneous of degree at most d , and computes $b^i(\mathcal{R}(\Phi, \mathbb{P}_C^k), \mathbb{Q}), 0 \leq i \leq \ell$. The complexity of the algorithm is bounded by a polynomial in n and k .

Proof. If the formula Φ is of the form (5.1), then $\mathcal{R}(\Phi, \mathbb{P}_C^k) = Z(\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{P}_C^k)$, where $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_r\}$, and

$$Q_j = \prod_{i=1}^n P_{i,j}, 1 \leq j \leq r.$$

We have that $\deg(Q_j) \leq nd, 1 \leq j \leq r$. Now apply the algorithm from Theorem 13 to compute the first ℓ Betti numbers of $Z(\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{P}_C^k)$. The complexity is bounded by $(nd)^{2^{O(\ell+r)}} + O(nk^d)$.

If the formula Φ is of the form (5.2), then $\mathcal{R}(\Phi, \mathbb{P}_C^k) = Z(\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{P}_C^k)$, where $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_I \mid I \in [1, s]^{[1, r]}\}$, and

$$Q_I = \prod_{j=1}^r P_{j, I(j)}, I \in [1, s]^{[1, r]}.$$

Now apply the algorithm in the previous case, noting that $\text{card}(\mathcal{Q}) = s^r$, and the degree of each polynomial in $\mathcal{Q}$ is bounded by rd . $\square$

Theorems 13 and 14 should be contrasted with the **PSPACE**-hardness of the general problem of computing all the Betti number of a given projective varieties (with no restrictions on the number of equations) [26], as well the **PSPACE**-hardness of the problem of even computing the zero-th Betti number of a real variety.

Also notice that the algorithms described in the proofs of Theorems 13 and 14 are deterministic and do not require any randomness (for example, for choosing generic projections or sections).

REFERENCES

1. Alan Adolphson and Steven Sperber, *On the degree of the L-function associated with an exponential sum*, Compositio Math. **68** (1988), no. 2, 125–159. MR 966577 (90b:11134) 3
2. S. Basu, *Computing the first few Betti numbers of semi-algebraic sets in single exponential time*, J. Symbolic Comput. **41** (2006), no. 10, 1125–1154. MR 2262087 (2007k:14120) 5, 15
3. ———, *Computing the top few Betti numbers of semi-algebraic sets defined by quadratic inequalities in polynomial time*, Found. Comput. Math. **8** (2008), no. 1, 45–80. 5
4. ———, *A complex analogue of Toda’s Theorem*, Found. Comput. Math. (2011). 5
5. S. Basu, R. Pollack, and M.-F. Roy, *Betti number bounds, applications and algorithms*, Current Trends in Combinatorial and Computational Geometry: Papers from the Special Program at MSRI, MSRI Publications, vol. 52, Cambridge University Press, 2005, pp. 87–97. 2
6. ———, *Algorithms in real algebraic geometry*, Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics, vol. 10, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006 (second edition). MR 1998147 (2004g:14064) 1, 2, 11, 12, 15
7. S. Basu and C. Riener, *On the isotypic decomposition of cohomology modules of symmetric semi-algebraic sets: polynomial bounds on multiplicities*, ArXiv e-prints (2015). 5, 12, 13
8. S. Basu and A. Rizzie, *Multi-degree bounds on the Betti numbers of real varieties and semi-algebraic sets and applications*, ArXiv e-prints (2015). 2, 3, 7
9. Saugata Basu, Dmitrii V. Pasechnik, and Marie-Françoise Roy, *Computing the Betti numbers of semi-algebraic sets defined by partly quadratic systems of polynomials*, J. Algebra **321** (2009), no. 8, 2206–2229. MR 2501518 (2010a:14092) 5, 15
10. Saugata Basu and Thierry Zell, *Polynomial hierarchy, Betti numbers, and a real analogue of Toda’s theorem*, Found. Comput. Math. **10** (2010), no. 4, 429–454. MR 2657948 5
11. Riccardo Benedetti, François Loeser, and Jean-Jacques Risler, *Bounding the number of connected components of a real algebraic set*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **6** (1991), no. 3, 191–209. MR 1090179 (92e:14053) 2, 7
12. L. Blum, F. Cucker, M. Shub, and S. Smale, *Complexity and real computation*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998, With a foreword by Richard M. Karp. MR 1479636 (99a:68070) 5
13. E. Bombieri, *On exponential sums in finite fields. II*, Invent. Math. **47** (1978), no. 1, 29–39. MR 0506272 (58 #22072) 3
14. P. Bürgisser and F. Cucker, *Variations by complexity theorists on three themes of Euler, Bézout, Betti, and Poincaré*, Complexity of computations and proofs (Jan Krajíček, ed.), Quad. Mat., vol. 13, Dept. Math., Seconda Univ. Napoli, Caserta, 2004, pp. 73–151. MR 2131406 (2006c:68053) 5, 15
15. Thomas Church and Benson Farb, *Representation theory and homological stability*, Adv. Math. **245** (2013), 250–314. MR 3084430 13
16. P. Deligne, *La catégorie des représentations du groupe symétrique S_t , lorsque t n’est pas un entier naturel*, Algebraic groups and homogeneous spaces, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2007, pp. 209–273. MR 2348906 (2009b:20021) 13
17. A. Dimca, *Singularities and topology of hypersurfaces*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992. MR 1194180 (94b:32058) 4
18. Helmut A. Hamm, *Lefschetz theorems for singular varieties*, Singularities, Part 1 (Arcata, Calif., 1981), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 40, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983, pp. 547–557. MR 713091 5, 10
19. Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic de Rham cohomology*, Manuscripta Math. **7** (1972), 125–140. MR 0313255 5, 8, 9

20. Q. P. Ho, *Free factorization algebras and homology of configuration spaces in algebraic geometry*, ArXiv e-prints (2015). [13](#)
21. Birger Iversen, *Cohomology of sheaves*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. MR 842190 (87m:14013) [8](#), [9](#)
22. Nicholas M. Katz, *Sums of Betti numbers in arbitrary characteristic*, Finite Fields Appl. **7** (2001), no. 1, 29–44, Dedicated to Professor Chao Ko on the occasion of his 90th birthday. MR 1803934 (2002d:14028) [3](#), [4](#)
23. J. Milnor, *On the Betti numbers of real varieties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 275–280. MR 0161339 (28 #4547) [2](#)
24. R. Narasimhan, *On the homology groups of Stein spaces*, Invent. Math. **2** (1967), 377–385. MR 0216525 (35 #7356) [9](#)
25. I. G. Petrovskii and O. A. Oleĭnik, *On the topology of real algebraic surfaces*, Izvestiya Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. **13** (1949), 389–402. MR 0034600 (11,613h) [2](#)
26. Peter Scheiblechner, *On the complexity of deciding connectedness and computing Betti numbers of a complex algebraic variety*, J. Complexity **23** (2007), no. 3, 359–379. MR 2330991 (2009d:14020) [15](#), [17](#)
27. ———, *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and computing the de Rham cohomology of smooth projective varieties*, Found. Comput. Math. **12** (2012), no. 5, 541–571. MR 2970850 [5](#)
28. J. Schwartz and M. Sharir, *On the piano movers’ problem ii. general techniques for computing topological properties of real algebraic manifolds*, Adv. Appl. Math. **4** (1983), 298–351. [15](#)
29. Edwin H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966. MR 0210112 (35 #1007) [3](#)
30. R. Thom, *Sur l’homologie des variétés algébriques réelles*, Differential and Combinatorial Topology (A Symposium in Honor of Marston Morse), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1965, pp. 255–265. MR 0200942 (34 #828) [2](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47906, U.S.A.

E-mail address: sbasu@math.purdue.edu

AALTO SCIENCE INSTITUTE, AALTO UNIVERSITY, ESPOO, FINLAND

E-mail address: cordian.riener@aalto.fi