# Chebyshev rational spectral and pseudospectral methods on a semi-infinite interval

Ben-yu Guo<sup>1,†</sup>, Jie Shen<sup>2,\*,‡</sup> and Zhong-qing Wang<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai, People's Republic of China 200234

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802, U.S.A. <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, People's Republic of China 200436

#### SUMMARY

A weighted orthogonal system on the half-line based on the Chebyshev rational functions is introduced. Basic results on Chebyshev rational approximations of several orthogonal projections and interpolations are established. To illustrate the potential of the Chebyshev rational spectral method, a model problem is considered both theoretically and numerically: error estimates for the Chebyshev rational spectral and pseudospectral methods are established; preliminary numerical results agree well with the theoretical estimates and demonstrate the effectiveness of this approach. Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

KEY WORDS: Chebyshev rational polynomials; rational approximation; spectral method; pseudospectral method; semi-infinite interval

### 1. INTRODUCTION

While the spectral-element approximations for PDEs in bounded domains have achieved great success and popularity in recent years (see e.g. References [1-5]), spectral approximations for PDEs in unbounded domains have only received less attention until recently. A number of spectral methods for treating unbounded domains have been proposed: direct approaches using Laguerre polynomials were investigated by Maday *et al.* [6], Furano [7] and Guo and Shen [8]; indirect approaches, e.g. reformulating original problems in unbounded domains to certain singular problems in bounded domains by variable transformations, using Jacobi polynomials have been considered recently by Guo [9, 10]. Note that it is often preferable to use direct

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Jie Shen, Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802, U.S.A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>E-mail: byguo@guomai.sh.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>E-mail:shen@math.psu.edu

Contract/grant sponsor: Chinese key project of basic research; contract/grant number: G1999032804 Contract/grant sponsor: NSF, in part; contract/grant number: 9706951

Contract/grant sponsor: Shanghai Natural Science Foundation; contract/grant number: 00JC14057

approaches such as in exterior problems where the obstacles may become too complicated after variable transformations.

Another effective direct approach for problems in unbounded domains is based on rational approximations: Christov [11] and Boyd [12, 13] developed some spectral methods on infinite intervals by using mutually orthogonal systems of rational functions; most recently, Guo *et al.* [14] developed a Legendre rational spectral method which is based on a weighted orthogonal system consisting of rational functions built from Legendre polynomials under a rational transformation. It is shown in Reference [14] that the Legendre rational method is an attractive alternative for problems in semi-infinite intervals. However, there is no fast transform available for Legendre rational functions, nor for Laguerre polynomials/functions. The purpose of this paper is to introduce the Chebyshev rational functions, for which fast Fourier transform (FFT) is applicable, and to investigate related issues associated with the Chebyshev rational approximations.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we establish basic properties of Chebyshev rational functions and recall some Jacobi approximation results presented in Reference [10]. In Section 3, we study several orthogonal projections. In Section 4, we consider a Chebyshev rational interpolation. The results in these two sections form the mathematical foundation for the Chebyshev rational spectral and pseudospectral methods. In Section 5, we consider a model problem and provide error analysis for the Chebyshev rational spectral and pseudospectral methods. In Section 5, we discuss numerical implementations and present some preliminary numerical results.

### 2. SOME BASIC RESULTS ON CHEBYSHEV RATIONAL FUNCTIONS AND JACOBI POLYNOMIALS

## 2.1. Basic properties of Chebyshev rational functions

Let  $\Lambda = \{x | 0 < x < \infty\}$  and  $\chi(x)$  be a weight function in the usual sense. We define

$$L^{2}_{\chi}(\Lambda) = \left\{ v | v \text{ is measurable and } \|v\|_{L^{2}_{\chi}} = \left( \int_{\Lambda} |v(x)|^{2} \chi(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} < \infty \right\}$$
(1)

We denote by  $(u, v)_{\chi}$  the inner product of the space  $L^2_{\chi}(\Lambda)$ , i.e.

$$(u,v)_{\chi} = \int_{\Lambda} u(x)v(x)\chi(x)\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

For any non-negative integer m, we set

$$H_{\chi}^{m}(\Lambda) = \left\{ v | \partial_{x}^{k} v = \frac{d^{k} v}{dx^{k}} \in L_{\chi}^{2}(\Lambda), 0 \leqslant k \leqslant m \right\}$$

$$\tag{2}$$

equipped with the inner product, the semi-norm and the norm as follows:

$$(u,v)_{m,\chi} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (\partial_x^k u, \partial_x^k v)_{\chi}, \quad |v|_{m,\chi} = \|\partial_x^m v\|_{\chi}, \quad \|v\|_{m,\chi} = (v,v)_{m,\chi}^{1/2}$$

For any real number r > 0, we define the space  $H_{\chi}^{r}(\Lambda)$  with the norm  $||v||_{r,\chi}$  by space interpolation as in Adams [15]. As usual  $\chi$  will be omitted from the notations if  $\chi(x) \equiv 1$ .

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Let  $T_l(y)$  be the Chebyshev polynomial of degree *l*. We recall that  $T_l(y)$  is the eigenfunction of the singular Sturm-Liouville problem

$$\sqrt{1-y^2}\partial_y(\sqrt{1-y^2}\partial_yT_l(y)) + l^2T_l(y) = 0, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(3)

We define the Chebyshev rational function of degree l by

1

$$R_l(x) = T_l\left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right) \tag{4}$$

Thus,  $R_l(x)$  is the *l*th eigenfunction of the singular Sturm–Liouville problem

$$(x+1)\sqrt{x}\partial_x((x+1)\sqrt{x}\partial_xR_l(x)) + l^2R_l(x) = 0, \quad x \in \Lambda$$
(5)

From the recurrence relation of the Chebyshev polynomials, we find that  $R_l(x)$  satisfy the following recurrence formulae:

$$R_{0}(x) = 1, \quad R_{1}(x) = \frac{x-1}{x+1}$$

$$R_{l+1}(x) = 2\frac{x-1}{x+1}R_{l}(x) - R_{l-1}(x), \quad l \ge 1$$
(6)

and

$$(x+1)^2 R_l(x) = \frac{1}{l+1} \partial_x R_{l+1}(x) - \frac{1}{l-1} \partial_x R_{l-1}(x), \quad l \ge 2$$
(7)

Let us denote

$$\omega(x) = \frac{1}{(x+1)\sqrt{x}}, \quad \rho(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-y^2}}, \quad y = \frac{x-1}{x+1}$$
(8)

Then, we have

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{2}{(x+1)^2}, \quad \frac{dx}{dy} = \frac{2}{(1-y)^2}, \quad \omega(x)\frac{dx}{dy} = \rho(y)$$
(9)

Hence, the orthogonality relation of Chebyshev polynomials leads to

$$\int_{\Lambda} R_l(x) R_m(x) \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{c_l \pi}{2} \delta_{l,m} \quad \text{with } c_l = \begin{cases} 2, & l = 0\\ 1, & l \ge 1 \end{cases}$$
(10)

where  $\delta_{l,m}$  is the Kronecker function. Thus, the Chebyshev rational functions  $\mathscr{R}_l(x)$  form a set of orthogonal basis for  $L^2_{\omega}(\Lambda)$ , and the Chebyshev rational expansion of a function  $v \in L^2_{\omega}(\Lambda)$  is

$$v(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \hat{v}_l R_l(x) \quad \text{with } \hat{v}_l = \frac{2}{c_l \pi} \int_{\Lambda} v(x) R_l(x) \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

By virtue of (5) and (10), we find that  $\{\partial_x R_l(x)\}$  are mutually orthogonal in  $L^2_{\omega^{-1}}(\Lambda)$ , namely,

$$\int_{\Lambda} \partial_x R_l(x) \partial_x R_m(x) \omega^{-1}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{c_l \pi}{2} l^2 \delta_{l,m} \tag{11}$$

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Next, we derive some useful inequalities. Let N be any positive integer, and

$$\mathscr{R}_N = \operatorname{span}\{R_0, R_1, \ldots, R_N\}$$

Hereafter, we denote by c a generic positive constant independent of any function and N.

Theorem 2.1 For any  $r \ge 0$ ,

$$\|\phi\|_{r,\omega} \leqslant c N^{2r} \|\phi\|_{\omega}$$

Proof

Let  $y \in I = (-1, 1)$ , x = (1+y)/(1-y). For any  $\phi \in \Re_N$ , we set  $\psi(y) = \phi((1+y)/(1-y))$ . By the definition of  $\Re_N$ , we have  $\psi(y) \in \Re_N$ , which is the set of polynomials of degree at most N. From the the following inverse inequality for Chebyshev polynomials (cf. Reference [2]):

$$\|\partial_{y}^{m}\psi\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(I)} \leq cN^{2m}\|\psi\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(I)}$$

we derive that

$$\|\partial_x \phi\|_{\omega}^2 = \frac{1}{4} \int_I \psi_y^2(y)(1-y)^4 \rho(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \leq 4 \int_I \psi_y^2(y) \rho(y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$\leq cN^4 \int_I \psi^2(y) \rho(y) \, \mathrm{d}y = cN^4 \|\phi\|_{\omega}^2$$

By repeating the above procedure, we deduce that for any non-negative integer m,

 $\|\partial_x^m \phi\|_{L^2_m} \leqslant c N^{2m} \|\phi\|_{L^2_m}$ 

The general result for r > 0 follows from the above inequality and space interpolation.  $\Box$ 

Now, we prove a generalized Poincaré inequality which will play an essential role in the analysis of Chebyshev rational approximations.

Theorem 2.2 If  $v \in H^1_{\omega}(\Lambda)$  and  $(v^2(x)/x)\omega(x) \to 0$  as  $x \to 0$ , then

$$\int_{\Lambda} \frac{v^2}{x^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{x}{x+1} \right) \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \leq \int_{\Lambda} (\partial_x v(x))^2 \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

Proof

By the assumption  $(v^2(x)/x)\omega(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ , we can write

$$\frac{v^2(x)}{x}\omega(x) = \int_0^x \partial_z \left(\frac{v^2(z)}{z}\omega(z)\right) dz$$
$$= \int_0^x \left[2\frac{v(z)}{z}\partial_z v(z)\omega(z) - \frac{v^2(z)}{z^2}\omega(z) + \frac{v^2(z)}{z}\partial_z \omega(z)\right] dz$$
(12)

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng 2002; 53:65-84

Since  $\partial_z \omega(z) = -[(3z+1)/2(z+1)z]\omega(z)$ , we find by using Cauchy–Schwarz inequality that

$$\frac{v^{2}(x)}{x}\omega(x) + \int_{0}^{x} \frac{v^{2}(z)}{z^{2}} \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{z}{z+1}\right) \omega(z) dz \leq 2 \int_{0}^{x} \frac{v(z)}{z} \partial_{z} v(z) \omega(z) dz$$
$$\leq \int_{0}^{x} \frac{v^{2}(z)}{z^{2}} \omega(z) dz + \int_{0}^{x} (\partial_{z} v(z))^{2} \omega(z) dz$$
(13)

Letting  $x \to \infty$ , we obtain the desired result.

### 2.2. Some basic Jacobi approximation results

As we shall see below, the Jacobi approximation results established in Reference [10] play an important role in the analysis of Chebyshev rational methods. Hence, in this subsection, we recall some basic approximation results on Jacobi polynomials presented in Reference [10].

Let us define

$$L^{2}_{\alpha,\beta}(I) = \left\{ u \,|\, \|u\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha,\beta}} = \left( \int_{I} u^{2}(y)(1-y)^{\alpha}(1+y)^{\beta} \,\mathrm{d}y \right)^{1/2} < +\infty \right\}$$
(14)

and

$$a_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(u,w) = \int_{I} \partial_{y} u \partial_{y} w (1-y)^{\alpha} (1+y)^{\beta} \,\mathrm{d}y + \int_{I} u(y) w(y) (1-y)^{\gamma} (1+y)^{\delta} \,\mathrm{d}y \tag{15}$$

We also denote  $H^0_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(I) = L^2_{\gamma,\delta}(I)$  and

$$H^{1}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(I) = \{ u | u \text{ is measurable on } I \text{ and } \| u \|_{1,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} < +\infty \}$$
(16)

where  $||u||_{1,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} = a_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^{1/2}(u,u)$ . For  $0 < \mu < 1$ ,  $H^{\mu}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(I)$  and its norm  $||u||_{\mu,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}$  are defined by space interpolation. We also define

$$H^{r}_{\alpha,\beta,*}(I) = \{ u | u \text{ is measurable on } I \text{ and } \|u\|_{r,\alpha,\beta,*} < +\infty \}$$
(17)

where for non-negative integer r,

$$\|u\|_{r,\alpha,\beta,*}^{2} = A_{r,\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}(u) + A_{r,\alpha,\beta}^{(2)}(u)$$
(18)

with

$$A_{r,\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}(u) = \sum_{k=r-[r/2]+1}^{r} \int_{I} (\partial_{y}^{k} u(y))^{2} (1-y^{2})^{-r+2k-1} (1-y)^{\alpha} (1+y)^{\beta} \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$A_{r,\alpha,\beta}^{(2)}(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{[(r+1)/2]} \int_{I} (\partial_{y}^{k} u(y))^{2} (1-y)^{\alpha} (1+y)^{\beta} \, \mathrm{d}y$$
(19)

The space  $H^r_{\alpha,\beta,*}(I)$  and its norm  $||u||_{r,\alpha,\beta,*}$  for real positive r are defined by space interpolation. Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng 2002; **53**:65–84

Let  $\tilde{P}^1_{N,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}: H^1_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(I) \to \mathscr{P}_N$  be the orthogonal projection operator defined by

$$a_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(\tilde{P}^{1}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}u-u,\psi) = 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \mathscr{P}_{N}$$

$$\tag{20}$$

The following theorem is proved in Reference [10] (cf. pp. 380–381, Theorem 2.5 in Reference [10]).

# Theorem 2.3 For $\alpha \leq \gamma + 2$ , $\beta \leq \delta + 2$ , and for any $u \in H^r_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(I)$ with $r \geq 1$ , we have

$$\|\tilde{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^{1}u - u\|_{1,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^{2} \leqslant cN^{2-2r} \|u\|_{r,\alpha,\beta,*}^{2}$$

$$\tag{21}$$

If in addition,  $\alpha \leq \gamma + 1$ ,  $\beta \leq \delta + 1$  and  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ , then

$$\|\tilde{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^{1}u - u\|_{\mu,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^{2} \leqslant c N^{2\mu-2r} \|u\|_{r,\alpha,\beta,*}^{2}$$

$$\tag{22}$$

In order to deal with the boundary condition at x = 0, we need another result in Reference [10]. Let us define

$$H^{1,L}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(I) = \{ u \in H^1_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(I) \mid u(-1) = 0 \} \quad \mathscr{P}^L_N = \{ u \in \mathscr{P}_N \mid u(-1) = 0 \}$$

and the orthogonal projection  $\tilde{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^{1,L}: H^{1,L}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(I) \to \mathscr{P}_N^L$  by

$$a_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(\tilde{P}^{1,L}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}u-u,\psi) = 0 \quad \forall \phi \in \mathscr{P}^L_N$$
<sup>(23)</sup>

The following result is from Reference [10, Theorem 2.6].

# Theorem 2.4 If $\alpha \leq \gamma + 2$ , $\beta \leq 0$ and $\delta \geq 0$ , then for any $u \in H^r_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(I) \cap H^{1,L}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(I)$ , we have

$$\|\tilde{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^{1,L}u - u\|_{1,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^2 \leqslant cN^{2-2r} \|u\|_{r,\alpha,\beta,*}^2$$

$$\tag{24}$$

and if in addition,  $\alpha \leq \gamma + 1$ ,  $\beta \leq \delta + 1$  and  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ , then

$$\|\tilde{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^{1,L}u - u\|_{\mu,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^2 \leq c N^{2\mu-2r} \|u\|_{r,\alpha,\beta,*}^2$$

$$\tag{25}$$

#### 3. CHEBYSHEV RATIONAL POLYNOMIAL APPROXIMATIONS

For the sake of error analysis, we need to investigate several orthogonal projections.

We define the  $L^2_{\omega}(\Lambda)$ -orthogonal projection  $P_N: L^2_{\omega}(\Lambda) \to \mathscr{R}_N$  by

$$(P_N v - v, \phi)_\omega = 0 \quad \forall \phi \in \mathscr{R}_N$$

In order to estimate  $||P_N v - v||_{\omega}$ , we introduce the space

$$H^{r}_{\omega,A}(\Lambda) = \{ v | v \text{ is measurable and } \|v\|_{r,\omega,A} < \infty \}$$
(26)

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng 2002; 53:65-84

where for non-negative integer r,

$$\|v\|_{r,\omega,A} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{r} \|(x+1)^{r/2+k} \partial_x^k v\|_{\omega}^2\right)^{1/2}$$
(27)

For any real r > 0, the space  $H^r_{\omega,A}(\Lambda)$  is defined by space interpolation. Let A be the Sturm-Liouville operator in (5), namely,

$$Av(x) = -\omega^{-1}(x)\partial_x(\omega^{-1}(x)\partial_x v(x)) = -x(x+1)^2 \partial_x^2 v(x) - \frac{1}{2}(3x+1)(x+1)\partial_x v(x)$$
(28)

Lemma 3.1

 $A^m$  is a continuous mapping from  $H^{2m}_{\omega \mathcal{A}}(\Lambda)$  to  $L^2_{\omega}(\Lambda)$ .

### Proof

One can easily prove by induction that

$$A^{m}v(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{2m} (x+1)^{m+k} p_{k}(x) \partial_{x}^{k} v(x)$$
(29)

where  $p_k(x)$  are some rational functions which are bounded uniformly on the whole interval A. The desired result follows from (29) and (27). 

Theorem 3.1 For any  $v \in H^r_{\omega,A}(\Lambda)$  and  $r \ge 0$ ,

$$||P_N v - v||_{\omega} \leq c N^{-r} ||v||_{r,\omega,A}$$

Proof

We first consider the case r = 2m. By virtue of (5), (10) and integration by parts,

$$\hat{v}_{l} = \frac{2}{c_{l}\pi} \int_{\Lambda} v(x)R_{l}(x)\omega(x) dx = \frac{2}{c_{l}\pi l^{2}} \int_{\Lambda} v(x)AR_{l}(x)\omega(x) dx$$

$$= -\frac{2}{c_{l}\pi l^{2}} \int_{\Lambda} v(x)\partial_{x}(\omega^{-1}(x)\partial_{x}R_{l}(x)) dx = \frac{2}{c_{l}\pi l^{2}} \int_{\Lambda} \omega^{-1}(x)\partial_{x}v(x)\partial_{x}R_{l}(x) dx$$

$$= -\frac{2}{c_{l}\pi l^{2}} \int_{\Lambda} \partial_{x}(\omega^{-1}(x)\partial_{x}v(x))R_{l}(x) dx = \frac{2}{c_{l}\pi l^{2}} \int_{\Lambda} Av(x)R_{l}(x)\omega(x) dx$$

$$= \dots = \frac{2}{c_{l}\pi l^{2m}} \int_{\Lambda} A^{m}v(x)R_{l}(x)\omega(x) dx \qquad (30)$$

Therefore, we derive from (29), (30) and the definition of  $H^r_{\omega,A}(\Lambda)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_N v - v\|_{\omega}^2 &= \sum_{l=N+1}^{\infty} \hat{v}_l^2 \|R_l\|_{\omega}^2 \leqslant c N^{-4m} \sum_{l=N+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\int_{\Lambda} A^m v(x) R_l(x) \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x}{\|R_l\|_{\omega}^2} \right)^2 \|R_l\|_{\omega}^2 \\ &\leqslant c N^{-4m} \|A^m v\|_{\omega}^2 \leqslant c N^{-4m} \|v\|_{r,\omega,A}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Next, we consider the case r = 2m + 1. By (5) and integration by parts,

$$\hat{v}_l = \frac{2}{c_l \pi l^{2m}} \int_{\Lambda} A^m v(x) R_l(x) \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= -\frac{2}{c_l \pi l^{2m+2}} \int_{\Lambda} A^m v(x) \partial_x (\omega^{-1}(x) \partial_x R_l(x)) \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \frac{2}{c_l \pi l^{2m+2}} \int_{\Lambda} \partial_x (A^m v(x)) \partial_x R_l(x) \omega^{-1}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

Owing to (11) and (29),

$$\begin{split} \|P_{N}v - v\|_{\omega}^{2} &= \sum_{l=N+1}^{\infty} \hat{v}_{l}^{2} \|R_{l}\|_{\omega}^{2} = \sum_{l=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{c_{l}\pi l^{4m+4}} \left( \int_{\Lambda} \partial_{x} (A^{m}v) \partial_{x} R_{l}(x) \omega^{-1}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{2} \\ &= \sum_{l=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \|\partial_{x} R_{l}\|_{\omega^{-1}}^{2}}{c_{l}\pi l^{4m+4}} \left( \frac{\int_{\Lambda} \partial_{x} (A^{m}v) \partial_{x} R_{l}(x) \omega^{-1}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x}{\|\partial_{x} R_{l}\|_{\omega^{-1}}^{2}} \right)^{2} \|\partial_{x} R_{l}\|_{\omega^{-1}}^{2} \\ &\leq c N^{-2(2m+1)} \sum_{l=N+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\int_{\Lambda} \partial_{x} (A^{m}v) \partial_{x} R_{l}(x) \omega^{-1}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x}{\|\partial_{x} R_{l}\|_{\omega^{-1}}^{2}} \right)^{2} \|\partial_{x} R_{l}\|_{\omega^{-1}}^{2} \\ &\leq c N^{-2(2m+1)} \|\partial_{x} (A^{m}v)\|_{\omega^{-1}}^{2} \leqslant c N^{-2(2m+1)} \|\partial_{x} (A^{m}v)\|_{\omega^{-1}}^{2} \leqslant c N^{-2(2m+1)} \|v\|_{r,\omega,A}^{2} \end{split}$$

The general result follows from the previous results and space interpolation.

Now, we consider the  $H^1_{\omega}(\Lambda)$ -orthogonal projection  $P^1_N: H^1_{\omega}(\Lambda) \to \mathscr{R}_N$  which is defined by

$$(P_N^1 v - v, \phi)_{1,\omega} = 0 \quad \forall \phi \in \mathscr{R}_N$$

In order to estimate  $||P_N^1 v - v||_{1,\omega}$ , we introduce

$$H^{r}_{\omega,B}(\Lambda) = \{ v \mid v \text{ is measurable on } \Lambda \text{ and } \|v\|_{r,\omega,B} < +\infty \}$$
(31)

for any non-negative integer r with

$$\|v\|_{r,\omega,B} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{r} \|(x+1)^{r/2+k-1/2} \partial_x^k v\|_{\omega}^2\right)^{1/2}$$
(32)

As usual, for any r > 0, the space  $H^r_{\omega,B}(\Lambda)$  and its norm are defined by space interpolation.

#### Theorem 3.2

For any  $v \in H^r_{\omega,B}(\Lambda)$  with  $r \ge 1$ ,

$$||P_N^1 v - v||_{1,\omega} \leq c N^{1-r} ||v||_{r,\omega,B}$$

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng 2002; 53:65-84

Proof

By definition,  $||P_N^1 v - v||_{1,\omega} \leq ||\phi - v||_{1,\omega}$  for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{R}_N$ . Let y = (x-1)/(x+1), u(y) = v((1+y)/(1-y)). By taking  $\phi = \tilde{P}_{N,3/2,-1/2,-1/2}^1 u(y)|_{y=(x-1)/(x+1)}$  (cf. (20)), a direct computation together with (21)  $(\alpha = \frac{3}{2}, \beta = \gamma = \delta = -\frac{1}{2})$  leads to

$$\begin{split} \|\phi - v\|_{1,\omega}^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \int_I (\partial_y \tilde{P}_{N,3/2,-1/2,-1/2,-1/2}^{1} u(y) - \partial_y u(y))^2 (y-1)^4 \rho(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &+ \int_I (\tilde{P}_{N,3/2,-1/2,-1/2,-1/2}^{1} u(y) - u(y))^2 \rho(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &\leqslant \int_I (\partial_y \tilde{P}_{N,3/2,-1/2,-1/2,-1/2}^{1} u(y) - \partial_y u(y))^2 (y-1)^2 \rho(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &+ \int_I (\tilde{P}_{N,3/2,-1/2,-1/2,-1/2}^{1} u(y) - u(y))^2 \rho(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &= \|\tilde{P}_{N,3/2,-1/2,-1/2,-1/2}^{1} u - u\|_{1,3/2,-1/2,-1/2}^{2} \leqslant c N^{2-2r} \|u\|_{r,3/2,-1/2,*}^{2} \end{split}$$

Note that 1 - y = 2/(x + 1),  $1 - y^2 = 4x/(x + 1)^2$  and one can show easily by induction that

$$\partial_{y}^{k}u(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} p_{j}(x)(x+1)^{k+j}\partial_{x}^{j}v(x)$$
(33)

where  $p_j(x)$  are some rational polynomials which are uniformly bounded on  $\Lambda$ . Thus, for any non-negative integer r, using (33) and the fact that  $1 + y \leq 2$  for all  $y \in [-1, 1]$ , we find

$$A_{r,\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}(u) \leq c \sum_{k=r-[r/2]+1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{\Lambda} (x+1)^{r+2j-\alpha-1} (\partial_{x}^{j} v(x))^{2} dx$$
  
$$\leq c \sum_{k=r-[r/2]+1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{\Lambda} (x+1)^{r+2j-\alpha+1/2} (\partial_{x}^{j} v(x))^{2} \omega(x) dx$$
  
$$\leq c \sum_{j=1}^{r} \|(x+1)^{r/2+j-\alpha/2+1/4} \partial_{x}^{j} v\|_{\omega}^{2}$$
(34)

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{r,\alpha,\beta}^{(2)}(u) &\leq c \sum_{k=1}^{[(r+1)/2]} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{\Lambda} (x+1)^{2k+2j-\alpha-2} (\partial_{x}^{j} v(x))^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=1}^{r} \int_{\Lambda} (x+1)^{r+2j-\alpha-1} (\partial_{x}^{j} v(x))^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=1}^{r} \| (x+1)^{r/2+j-\alpha/2+1/4} \partial_{x}^{j} v \|_{\omega}^{2} \end{aligned}$$
(35)

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Therefore,

$$A_{r,3/2,-1/2}^{(1)}(u) \leq c \|v\|_{r,\omega,B}^{2}$$
$$A_{r,3/2,-1/2}^{(2)}(u) \leq c \|v\|_{r,\omega,B}^{2}$$

This fact together with space interpolation completes the proof.

When we apply the Chebyshev rational spectral method to partial differential equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions at x = 0, we need another orthogonal projection. Let us denote

$$H_{0,\omega}^{1}(\Lambda) = \left\{ v \mid v \in H_{\omega}^{1}(\Lambda), \ v(0) = 0 \text{ and } \frac{v^{2}(x)}{x} \omega(x) \to 0, \text{ as } x \to \infty \right\}$$
  
$$\mathscr{R}_{N}^{0} = \left\{ \phi \in \mathscr{R}_{N} \mid \phi(0) = 0 \right\}$$
  
$$a_{\omega}^{v}(u,v) = (\partial_{x}u, \partial_{x}(v\omega)) + v(u,v)_{\omega}$$
  
(36)

We define the  $H^1_{0,\omega}(\Lambda)$ -orthogonal projection  $P^{1,0}_N : H^1_{0,\omega}(\Lambda) \to \mathscr{R}^0_N$  by

$$a_{\omega}^{v}(P_{N}^{1,0}v-v,\phi)=0 \quad \forall \phi \in \mathscr{R}_{N}^{0}$$

*Lemma 3.2* For  $v > \frac{14}{27}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\omega}^{v}(v,v) &\ge \min(\frac{1}{16}, v - \frac{14}{27}) \|v\|_{1,\omega}^{2} \quad \forall v \in H_{0,\omega}^{1}(\Lambda) \\ |(\partial_{x}u, \partial_{x}(v\omega))| &\le \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \|\partial_{x}u\|_{\omega} \|\partial_{x}v\|_{\omega} \quad \forall u, v \in H_{0,\omega}^{1}(\Lambda) \end{aligned}$$

Proof

Since

$$\partial_x \omega(x) = -\frac{3x+1}{2(x+1)x}\omega(x), \quad \partial_x^2 \omega(x) = \frac{15x^2+10x+3}{4x^2(x+1)^2}\omega(x)$$
(37)

using integration by parts and that  $(v^2(x)/x)\omega(x) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we find

$$(\partial_x v, \partial_x (v\omega)) = \int_{\Lambda} ((\partial_x v(x))^2 \omega(x) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x (v^2(x)) \partial_x \omega(x)) dx$$
  
= 
$$\int_{\Lambda} (\partial_x v(x))^2 \omega(x) dx - \int_{\Lambda} \frac{1}{2} v^2(x) \partial_x^2 \omega(x) dx$$
  
= 
$$\int_{\Lambda} (\partial_x v(x))^2 \omega(x) dx - \frac{1}{8} \int_{\Lambda} \frac{v^2(x)}{x^2} \frac{15x^2 + 10x + 3}{(x+1)^2} \omega(x) dx$$

Let us first prove the following elementary inequality:

$$\frac{1}{8} \frac{15x^2 + 10x + 3}{(x+1)^2} \leqslant \frac{14}{27}x^2 + \frac{15}{32} \quad \forall x \ge 0$$
(38)

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng 2002; 53:65-84

74

We denote

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{8} \frac{15x^2 + 10x + 3}{(x+1)^2} - \frac{14}{27}x^2 - \frac{15}{32}$$

Then by direct computation, we have

$$f'(x) = \frac{5x+1}{2(x+1)^3} - \frac{28}{27}x, \quad f'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0$$
$$f''(x) = -\frac{5x+1}{(x+1)^4} - \frac{28}{27} < 0 \quad \forall x \ge 0$$

Hence,  $\frac{1}{2}$  is the only root of f'(x) in  $[0, +\infty)$ . Thus,

$$f(x) \leqslant f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) < 0 \quad \forall x \ge 0$$

which implies (38).

Owing to (38) and Theorem 2.2, we have

$$a_{\omega}^{\nu}(v,v) \ge \int_{\Lambda} (\partial_{x}v)^{2} \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - \frac{15}{32} \int_{\Lambda} \frac{v^{2}(x)}{x^{2}} \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x + \left(v - \frac{14}{27}\right) \int_{\Lambda} v^{2}(x) \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{16} \int_{\Lambda} (\partial_{x}v)^{2} \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x + \left(v - \frac{14}{27}\right) \int_{\Lambda} v^{2}(x) \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \tag{39}$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we find

$$\left| \int_{\Lambda} \partial_x u(x) v(x) \partial_x \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right| = \int_{\Lambda} \partial_x u(x) \frac{v(x)}{x} \frac{3x+1}{2(x+1)} \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$\leq \frac{3}{2} \left( \int_{\Lambda} (\partial_x u(x))^2 \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\Lambda} \frac{v^2(x)}{x^2} \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2}$$

The second result is then a direct consequence of the above inequality and Theorem 2.2.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 3.3 For any  $v \in H^r_{\omega,B}(\Lambda) \cap H^1_{0,\omega}(\Lambda)$ ,  $v > \frac{14}{27}$  and  $r \ge 1$ ,

$$||P_N^{1,0}v - v||_{1,\omega} \leq cN^{1-r} ||v||_{r,\omega,B}$$

*Proof* By Lemma 3.2, for any  $\phi \in \mathscr{R}_N^0$ ,

$$\begin{split} \|P_N^{1,0}v - v\|_{1,\omega}^2 &\leqslant ca_{\omega}^v(P_N^{1,0}v - v, P_N^{1,0}v - v) \\ &= ca_{\omega}^v(P_N^{1,0}v - v, \phi - v) \\ &\leqslant c\|P_N^{1,0}v - v\|_{1,\omega}\|\phi - v\|_{1,\omega} \end{split}$$

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Therefore,

$$\|P_{N}^{1,0}v - v\|_{1,\omega} \leqslant c \inf_{\phi \in \mathscr{R}_{N}^{0}} \|\phi - v\|_{1,\omega}$$
(40)

Next, let x = (1 + y)/(1 - y), u(y) = v((1 + y)/(1 - y)) and take  $\phi = \tilde{P}_{N,3/2,-1/2,-1/2,-1/2}^{1,1/2}$  $u(y)|_{y=(x-1)/(x+1)}$  in (40) (cf. (23)). Then, the desired result follows from (24) and a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

In order to study the Chebyshev rational interpolation approximations, we need to consider another orthogonal projection. Let

$$\hat{a}_{\omega}(u,v) = \int_{\Lambda} \partial_x u(x) \partial_x v(x)(x+1) \sqrt{x} \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Lambda} u(x) v(x) \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \tag{41}$$

The orthogonal projection  $\hat{P}_N^1: H^1_{\omega,A}(\Lambda) \to \mathscr{R}_N$  is a mapping such that for any  $v \in H^1_{\omega,A}(\Lambda)$ ,

$$\hat{a}_{\omega}(\hat{P}_{N}^{1}v-v,\phi)=0 \quad \forall \phi \in \mathscr{R}_{N}$$

$$\tag{42}$$

Theorem 3.4 For any  $v \in H^r_{\omega,A}(\Lambda)$  and  $r \ge 1$ ,

$$\|\hat{P}_{N}^{1}v - v\|_{\omega} \leq cN^{-r} \|v\|_{r,\omega,A}$$
$$\|x^{1/4}(x+1)^{1/2} \partial_{x} (\hat{P}_{N}^{1}v - v)\| \leq cN^{1-r} \|v\|_{r,\omega,A}$$

*Proof* Let us denote

$$u(y) = v((1+y)/(1-y)), \quad u_N^*(y) = \hat{P}_N^1 v(x)|_{x=(1+y)/(1-y)}$$

By definition, we have

$$\int_{I} \partial_{y}(u_{N}^{*}(y) - u(y))\partial_{y}\psi(y)\sqrt{1 - y^{2}} \,\mathrm{d}y + \int_{I}(u_{N}^{*}(y) - u(y))\psi(y)\rho(y) \,\mathrm{d}y = 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \mathscr{P}_{N}$$
(43)

Thus,  $u_N^*(y) = \tilde{P}_{N,1/2,1/2,-1/2,-1/2}^1 u(y)$  (cf. (20)). Under the transform x = (1 + y)/(1 - y), we have

$$\int_{\Lambda} (\hat{P}_N^1 v - v)^2 \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tilde{\Lambda}} (u_N^* - u)^2 (1 - y^2)^{-1/2} \, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$\int_{\Lambda} x(x+1)^2 [\partial_x (\hat{P}_N^1 v - v)]^2 \omega(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\tilde{\Lambda}} [\partial_y (u_N^* - u)]^2 (1 - y^2)^{1/2} \, \mathrm{d}y$$

Therefore, we derive from (22) with  $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\gamma = \delta = -\frac{1}{2}$  that

$$\|\hat{P}_{N}^{1}v - v\|_{\omega}^{2} \leqslant \|u_{N}^{*} - u\|_{0,1/2,1/2,-1/2,-1/2}^{2} \leqslant cN^{-2r} \|v\|_{r,1/2,1/2,*}^{2}$$
(44)

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng 2002; 53:65-84

and

$$||x^{1/4}(x+1)^{1/2} \hat{o}_x(\hat{P}_N^1 v - v)||^2 \leq ||u_N^* - u||_{1,1/2,1/2,-1/2}^2 \leq cN^{2-2r} ||v||_{r,1/2,1/2,*}^2$$
(45)

A direct computation using (34)-(35) leads to

$$A_{r,1/2,1/2}^{(1)}(u) \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{r} \|(x+1)^{r/2+j} \partial_x^j v\|_{\omega}^2 \leq c \|v\|_{r,\omega,A}^2$$

Similarly,

$$A_{r,1/2,1/2}^{(2)}(u) \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{r} \|(x+1)^{r/2+j} \partial_x^j v\|_{\omega}^2 \leq c \|v\|_{r,\omega,A}^2$$

The above estimates imply the desired results.

## 4. CHEBYSHEV RATIONAL INTERPOLATION APPROXIMATION

In actual computations, it is convenient to use interpolations. We will only deal with the Chebyshev–Gauss–Radau rational interpolation. The interpolation using rational Chebyshev–Gauss points can be considered in a similar fashion. We denote  $\sigma_{N,j} = \cos 2j\pi/(2N+1)$  which are the N + 1 Chebyshev–Gauss–Radau points, and

$$\zeta_{N,j} = (1 + \sigma_{N,j})(1 - \sigma_{N,j})^{-1}$$
(46)

The Chebyshev-Gauss-Radau formula implies that

$$\int_{\Lambda} \phi(x)\omega(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = \int_{I} \phi\left(\frac{1+y}{1-y}\right)\rho(y) \,\mathrm{d}y = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \phi(\zeta_{N,j})\omega_{j} \quad \forall \phi \in \mathscr{R}_{2N}$$
(47)

where  $\omega_0 = \pi/(2N+1)$  and  $\omega_j = \pi/(N+1)$  for  $1 \le j \le N$ . The discrete inner product and the discrete norm associated with the Chebyshev–Gauss–Radau rational interpolation points are

$$(u,v)_{\omega,N} = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} u(\zeta_{N,j}) v(\zeta_{N,j}) \omega_j, \quad \|v\|_{\omega,N} = (v,v)_{\omega,N}^{1/2}$$

Owing to (47),

$$(\phi,\psi)_{\omega,N} = (\phi,\psi)_{\omega} \quad \forall \phi \cdot \psi \in \mathscr{R}_{2N}$$

$$\tag{48}$$

The Chebyshev–Gauss–Radau rational interpolation operator  $I_N v(x) : C(\bar{\Lambda}) \to \mathscr{R}_N$  is such that

$$I_N v(\zeta_{N,j}) = v(\zeta_{N,j}), \quad 0 \leq j \leq N$$

The following theorem is related to the stability of the Chebyshev–Gauss–Radau rational interpolation.

Theorem 4.1 For any  $v \in H^1_{\omega,A}(\Lambda)$ ,

$$||I_N v||_{\omega} \leq c(||v||_{\omega} + N^{-1}||(x+1)^{1/2}x^{1/4}\partial_x v||)$$

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Proof

Let  $x = (1 + \cos \theta)/(1 - \cos \theta)$  and  $\hat{v}(\theta) = v((1 + \cos \theta)/(1 - \cos \theta))$ . Let us also denote  $K_j = [2j\pi/(2N+1), (2j+1)\pi/(2N+1)]$  for j = 0, ..., N. Then, by (48),

$$\|I_N v\|_{\omega}^2 = \|I_N v\|_{\omega,N}^2 = \sum_{j=0}^N v^2(\zeta_{N,j})\omega_j$$
  
=  $\sum_{j=0}^N \hat{v}^2(\theta_{N,j})\omega_j \leqslant \frac{\pi}{N+1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sup_{\theta \in K_j} \hat{v}^2(\theta)$  (49)

We recall the following inequality (see (13.7) in Reference [4]):

$$\max_{a \le x \le b} |f(x)| \le c \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{b-a}} \|f\|_{L^2(a,b)} + \sqrt{b-a} \|\partial_x f\|_{L^2(a,b)} \right) \quad \forall f \in H^1(a,b)$$
(50)

and apply it for each of the interval  $K_j$ , using the following relations:

$$\cos\theta = \frac{x-1}{x+1}, \quad \frac{dx}{d\theta} = -\frac{2\sin\theta}{(1-\cos\theta)^2} = \frac{1}{2}(x+1)\sqrt{x},$$
 (51)

we find that

$$\begin{split} \|I_{N}v\|_{\omega}^{2} &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left( \|\hat{v}(\theta)\|_{L^{2}(K_{j})}^{2} + N^{-2} \|\partial_{\theta}\hat{v}(\theta)\|_{L^{2}(K_{j})}^{2} \right) \\ &\leq c \left( \|\hat{v}(\theta)\|_{L^{2}(0,\pi)}^{2} + N^{-2} \|\partial_{\theta}\hat{v}(\theta)\|_{L^{2}(0,\pi)}^{2} \right) \\ &\leq c \left( \|v(x)\|_{L^{2}_{\omega}(\Lambda)}^{2} + N^{-2} \|(x+1)^{1/2}x^{1/4}\partial_{x}v(x)\|_{L^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} \right) \end{split}$$
(52)

which implies the desired result.

Theorem 4.2 For any  $v \in H^r_{\omega,A}(\Lambda)$  and  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1 \leq r$ ,

$$||I_N v - v||_{\mu,\omega} \leq c N^{2\mu - r} ||v||_{r,\omega,A}$$

*Proof* Since  $I_N(\hat{P}_N^1 v) = \hat{P}_N^1 v$ , we have from Theorems 3.4 and 4.1 that

$$\|I_N v - \hat{P}_N^1 v\|_{\omega} \leq c(\|\hat{P}_N^1 v - v\|_{\omega} + N^{-1}\|(x+1)^{1/2} x^{1/4} \partial_x (\hat{P}_N^1 v - v)\|)$$
  
$$\leq c N^{-r} \|v\|_{r,\omega,A}$$
(53)

Using Theorem 3.4 again,

$$\|I_N v - v\|_{\omega} \leq \|\hat{P}_N^1 v - v\|_{\omega} + \|I_N v - \hat{P}_N^1 v\|_{\omega}$$
  
$$\leq c N^{-r} \|v\|_{r,\omega,A}$$
(54)

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng 2002; 53:65-84

Furthermore, by (53), and Theorems 2.1 and 3.4,

$$|I_{N}v - v|_{1,\omega} \leq |\hat{P}_{N}^{1}v - v|_{1,\omega} + |I_{N}v - \hat{P}_{N}^{1}v|_{1,\omega}$$

$$\leq ||\hat{P}_{N}^{1}v - v||_{1,\omega} + cN^{2}||I_{N}v - \hat{P}_{N}^{1}v||_{\omega}$$

$$\leq c||\hat{P}_{N}^{1}v - v||_{1,\omega} + cN^{2-r}||v||_{r,\omega,A}$$

$$\leq cN^{2-r}||v||_{r,\omega,A}$$
(55)

Finally, we get the desired result by (53), (55) and space interpolation.

#### 5. ERROR ESTIMATES FOR A MODEL PROBLEM

We consider the following model problem:

$$-\partial_x^2 U(x) + vU(x) = f(x), \quad 0 < x < \infty$$
$$U(0) = 0, \quad \frac{U^2(x)}{x} \omega(x) \to 0, \quad \text{as } x \to \infty$$
(56)

where f(x) is a given function, and for the sake of simplicity, we assume  $v > \frac{14}{27}$ . For  $0 < v \le \frac{14}{27}$ , we may use the variable transformation  $t = \alpha x$  with  $\alpha < \sqrt{27\nu/14}$  to rescale Equation (56). A weak formulation of (56) with  $v > \frac{14}{27}$  is to find  $U \in H^1_{0,\omega}(\Lambda)$  such that

$$a_{\omega}^{\nu}(U,v) = (f,v)_{\omega} \quad \forall v \in H^{1}_{0,\omega}(\Lambda)$$
(57)

If  $f \in (H^1_{0,\omega}(\Lambda))'$ , then by Lemma 3.2 and the Lax-Milgram Lemma, (5.7) with  $v > \frac{14}{27}$  has a unique solution in  $H^1_{0,\omega}(\Lambda)$ .

The Chebyshev rational spectral scheme for (56) is to find  $u_N \in \mathscr{R}^0_N$  such that

$$a_{\omega}^{\nu}(u_{N},\phi) = (f,\phi)_{\omega} \quad \forall \phi \in \mathscr{R}_{N}^{0}$$
(58)

Theorem 5.1 If  $U \in H^r_{\omega,B}(\Lambda) \cap H^1_{0,\omega}(\Lambda)$ ,  $v > \frac{14}{27}$  and  $r \ge 1$ , then

$$||u_N - U||_{1,\omega} \leq cN^{1-r} ||U||_{r,\omega,B}$$

*Proof* Let  $U_N = P_N^{1,0} U$ . By (57),

$$a_{\omega}^{\nu}(U_{N},\phi) = (f,\phi)_{\omega} \quad \forall \phi \in \mathscr{R}_{N}^{0}$$

$$\tag{59}$$

Thus,

$$a_{\omega}^{\nu}(u_n - U_N, \phi) = 0 \quad \forall \phi \in \mathscr{R}_N^0 \tag{60}$$

Therefore,  $u_N = U_N$  and the desired result follows from Theorem 3.3. 

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng 2002; 53:65-84

We now consider the Chebyshev-Guass-Radau rational pseudospectral scheme for (56). Let

$$a_{\omega,N}^{\mathsf{v}}(v,\phi) = -(\partial_x^2 v,\phi)_{\omega,N} + v(v,\phi)_{\omega,N}$$

Note that

$$\partial_x R_l(x) = \frac{2}{(x+1)^2} T_l'\left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right) = \frac{1}{2} (1-y)^2 T_l'(y)|_{y=(x-1)/(x+1)} \in \mathcal{R}_{l+1}$$

Hence, for any  $v \in \mathscr{R}_l$ , we have  $\partial_x^2 v \in \mathscr{R}_{l+2}$ . Therefore, owing to (48),

$$a_{\omega,N}^{\nu}(\phi,\psi) = a_{\omega}^{\nu}(\phi,\psi) \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in \mathscr{R}_{N-1}$$
(61)

A Chebyshev rational pseudospectral method for (56) is to find  $u_N \in \mathscr{R}^0_{N-1}$  such that

$$a_{\omega,N}^{\nu}(u_{N},\phi) = (f,\phi)_{\omega,N} \quad \forall \phi \in \mathscr{R}_{N-1}^{0}$$

$$\tag{62}$$

Theorem 5.2

If  $U \in H^r_{\omega,B}(\Lambda) \cap H^1_{0,\omega}(\Lambda)$ ,  $f \in H^{r-1}_{\omega,A}(\Lambda)$ ,  $v > \frac{14}{27}$  and  $r \ge 1$ , then  $||u_N - U||_{1,\omega} \leq cN^{1-r}(||U||_{r,\omega,B} + ||f||_{r-1,\omega,A})$ 

Proof

We derive from (48) and Theorem 2.2 that for any  $\phi \in \mathscr{R}_{N-1}$ ,

$$\begin{split} |(f,\phi)_{\omega,N}| &= \left| \left( I_N((x+1)f), \frac{\phi}{x+1} \right)_{\omega,N} \right| = \left| \left( I_N((x+1)f), \frac{\phi}{x+1} \right)_{\omega} \right| \\ &\leq \|I_N((x+1)f)\|_{\omega} \left\| \left| \frac{\phi}{x+1} \right| \right\|_{\omega} \leq c \|I_N((x+1)f)\|_{\omega} \|\phi\|_{1,\omega} \end{split}$$

Thanks to the Lax-Milgram Lemma and Lemma 3.2, we assert that (62) has a unique solution such that

 $||u_N||_{1,\omega} \leq c ||I_N((x+1)f)||_{\omega}$ 

Let  $U_N = P_{N-1}^{1,0} U$ . Then by (61) and (62), we have for any  $\phi \in \mathscr{R}_{N-1}^0$ ,

$$a_{\omega}^{\nu}(U_{N},\phi) = (f,\phi)_{\omega}$$

$$a_{\omega}^{\nu}(u_{N},\phi) = (I_{N}f,\phi)_{\omega}$$
(64)

Therefore,

$$a_{\omega}^{\nu}(U_{N}-u_{N},\phi)=(f-I_{N}f,\phi)_{\omega}\quad\phi\in\mathscr{R}_{N-1}^{0}$$

Let  $\delta = v - \frac{14}{27}$ . Taking  $\phi = U_N - u_N$  and using Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\delta \|u_{N} - U_{N}\|_{\omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{16} |u_{N} - U_{N}|_{1,\omega}^{2} \leqslant a_{\omega,N}^{v} (u_{N} - U_{N}, u_{N} - U_{N})$$

$$= (f - I_{N}f, U_{N} - u_{N})_{\omega} \leqslant \|f - I_{N}f\|_{\omega} \|U_{N} - u_{N}\|_{\omega}$$

$$\leqslant \frac{2}{\delta} \|f - I_{N}f\|_{\omega}^{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} \|U_{N} - u_{N}\|_{\omega}^{2}$$
(65)

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng 2002; 53:65-84

(63)

Hence, by Theorems 3.3 and 4.2,

$$\|u_{N} - U\|_{1,\omega} \leq \|U_{N} - U\|_{1,\omega} + \|u_{N} - U_{N}\|_{1,\omega}$$
  
$$\leq cN^{1-r}(\|U\|_{r,\omega,B} + \|f\|_{r-1,\omega,A})$$
(66)

#### 6. NUMERICAL IMPLEMENTATIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

We now present some implementation details for solving (56) by using the rational pseudospectral scheme (62). As is shown in Reference [16] (see also Reference [17]), it is advantageous to use *compact combinations* of rational Chebyshev polynomials as basis functions. Indeed, setting  $\psi_i(x) = R_i(x) + R_{i+1}(x)$ , we have  $\psi(0) = 0$ . Hence,

$$\mathscr{R}_{N-1}^{0} = \operatorname{span}\{\psi_{j}: \ j = 0, 1, \dots, N-2\}$$
(67)

Therefore, setting

$$b_{kj} = (\psi_j, \psi_k)_{\omega,N} = (\psi_j, \psi_k)_{\omega}, \quad a_{kj} = a^v_{\omega,N}(\psi_j, \psi_k) = -(\partial^2_x \psi_j, \psi_k)_{\omega}$$

$$u_N = \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} x_j \psi_j(x), \quad \bar{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-2})^t$$

$$\bar{f} = (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{N-2})^t \quad \text{with} \ f_k = (f, \psi_j)_{\omega,N}$$
(68)

the Rational Chebyshev pseudospectral approximation (62) is reduced to

$$(vB+A)\bar{x} = \bar{f} \tag{69}$$

Setting x = (1 + y)/(1 - y) and  $\phi_j(y) = \psi_j(x)$ , one verify easily that

$$b_{kj} = \int_{-1}^{1} (T_j(y) + T_{j+1}(y))(T_k(y) + T_{k+1}(y))\rho(y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$a_{kj} = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{-1}^{1} (1-y)^2 \partial_y \{(1-y)^2 \partial_y \phi_j(y)\} \phi_k(y)\rho(y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \int_{-1}^{1} (1-y)^2 \partial_y \phi_j(y) [(1-y)^2 \partial_y \phi_k(y) - \frac{(1-y)(2+y)}{1+y} \phi_k(y)]\rho(y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$
(70)

By using the orthogonality of Chebyshev polynomials, one find immediately that  $B = (b_{kj})$  is a symmetric tridiagonal matrix, and that  $a_{kj} = 0$  for k < j-3. By using the orthogonal relation of the Chebyshev polynomials, it is possible to derive explicit formulae for  $a_{kj}$ . However, this process is rather tedious. Alternatively, one can easily compute  $a_{kj}$  numerically by using a suitable Gaussian integration formula. Note that for problems with variable coefficients, a preconditioned iterative method should be used with a preconditioner obtained from a suitable problem with constant coefficients, see, for instance, References [16, 17].

We now present some numerical experiments using the above scheme to solve (56) with v = 1. Three illustrative examples involving three typical decaying behaviours are considered.

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.





Figure 1. Convergence rates of the rational pseudospectral approximation: Example 1.

Figure 2. Convergence rates of the rational pseudospectral approximation: Example 2.

# Example 1 $U(x) = \sin kxe^{-x}$ .

Here, the function decays exponentially at infinity, so Theorem 5.2 predicts that  $H^1_{\omega}$ -errors of rational pseudospectral approximation will decrease faster than any algebraic rate. In Figure 1, we plot the  $\log_{10}$  of  $H^1_{\omega}$ -errors vs  $\sqrt{N}$ . The two near straight lines corresponding to k = 1, 2 indicate that the errors decay like  $e^{-c\sqrt{N}}$ .

### Example 2 $U(x) = x/(1+x)^h$ .

The second example decays algebraicly at infinity without essential singularity. One can check directly that  $||U||_{r,\omega,B} + ||f||_{r-1,\omega,A}$  is finite for  $r < 2h - \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence, according to Theorem 5.2, we can expect a convergence rate for the  $H^1_{\omega}$ -norm to be of the order  $2h - \frac{3}{2} - \varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The observed convergence rate for the  $H^1_{\omega}$ -norm plotted in Figure 2 is about 2h-4/3. Note that when *h* is a positive integer, the exact solution will be a rational polynomial so its pseudospectral approximation with  $N \ge h + 2$  will be exact.

Example 3  $U(x) = \sin \frac{2x}{(1+x)^h}$ .

The third example decays algebraicly at infinity but with an essential singularity at infinity. One can check directly that  $||U||_{r,\omega,B} + ||f||_{r-1,\omega,A}$  is finite for  $r < \frac{2}{3}h + \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence, according to Theorem 5.2, we can expect a convergence rate of order  $\frac{2}{3}h - \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , which agrees well with the observed convergence rate for the  $H_{\omega}^{-1}$ -errors plotted in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Convergence rates of the Chebyshev rational approximation: Example 3.

#### 7. CONCLUDING REMARKS

For the above three examples, it is observed that the behaviours of  $H^1_{\omega}$ -errors are essentially the same as that of the Legendre rational approximations presented in Reference [14]. More precisely, as measured in  $H^1_{\omega}$  norm (with different  $\omega(x)$ !), the convergence order of the Chebyshev rational method is slightly smaller than that of the Legendre rational method. This slightly smaller order of convergence in  $H^1_{\omega}$  norm is due to the fact that the weight function  $1/(x + 1)\sqrt{x}$  in the Chebyshev rational case is slight stronger than the weight function  $1/(x + 1)^2$  in the Legendre rational case.

As for the implementation, the Chebyshev rational method presented here allows the use of fast Fourier transforms, so it could result in substantial savings in CPU times for multidimensional applications; on the other hand, the linear system arising from Legendre rational method for PDEs with constant-coefficients is sparse while that from Chebyshev rational method is essentially full. However, this advantage of Legendre rational method disappears for problems with variable coefficients.

In order to apply the Legendre or Chebyshev rational spectral method to realistic engineering problems, it is often necessary to couple it with a spectral element or finite element method. There is already an important body of work in the finite element community on the so-called infinite element method (see, e.g. References [18–20]). It can be expected that the new Legendre or Chebyshev rational method coupled with a spectral element method will be an effective tool for solving problems on unbounded domains. In addition, the nice convergence and resolution properties of the Legendre or Chebyshev rational polynomials can also be exploited to within the framework of the infinite element method.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work of Ben-yu Guo is supported in part by the Chinese State key project of basic research G1999032804 and the Shanghai Natural Science Foundation 00JC14057; the work of Jie Shen is supported in part by NSF grant 9706951.

Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Gottlieb D, Orszag SA. Numerical Analysis of Spectral Methods: Theory and Applications. SIAM-CBMS: Philadelphia, PA, 1977.
- 2. Canuto C, Hussaini MY, Quarteroni A, Zang TA. Spectral Methods in Fluid Dynamics. Springer: Berlin, 1987.
- 3. Maday Y, Patera T. Spectral-element methods for the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations. In *State-of-the-art Surveys in Computational Mechanics*, Noor AK. (ed.). ASME, New York 1989; 71–143.
- 4. Bernardi C, Maday Y. Spectral method. In *Handbook of Numerical Analysis*, Ciarlet PG, Lions LL (eds). Vol. 5 (Part 2). North-Holland: Amsterdam, 1997.
- 5. Karniadakis GE, Sherwin SJ. Spectral/hp Element Methods for CFD. Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1999.
- 6. Maday Y, Pernaud-Thomas B, Vandeven H. Reappraisal of Laguerre type spectral methods. La Recherche Aerospatiale 1985; 6:13–35.
- 7. Funaro D. Computational aspects of pseudospectral Laguerre approximations. *Applied Numerical Mathematics* 1990; **6**:447–457.
- Benyu Guo, Jie Shen. Laguerre–Galerkin method for nonlinear partial differential equations on a semi-infinite interval. Numerical Mathematics 2000; 86:635–654.
- 9. Benyu Guo. Gegenbauer approximation and its applications to differential equations on the whole line. *Journal* of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 1998; **226**:180–206.
- 10. Benyu Guo. Jacobi approximations in certain Hilbert spaces and their applications to singular differential equations. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 2000; **243**:373–408.
- 11. Christov CI. A complete orthogonal system of functions in  $l^2(-\infty,\infty)$  space. SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics 1982; 42:1337–1344.
- 12. Boyd JP. Orthogonal rational functions on a semi-infinite interval. *Journal of Computational Physics* 1987; **70**:63–88.
- 13. Boyd JP. Spectral methods using rational basis functions on an infinite interval. *Journal of Computational Physics* 1987; **69**:112–142.
- 14. Benyu Guo, Jie Shen, Zhongqing Wang. A rational approximation and its applications to differential equations on the half line. *Journal of Scientific Computing* 2000; **15**:117–148.
- 15. Adams RA. Soblov Spaces. Academic Press: New York, 1975.
- 16. Jie Shen. Efficient Chebyshev-Legendre Galerkin methods for elliptic problems. In *Proceedings of ICOSAHOM'95*, Ilin AV, Scott R. (eds). Houston Journal of Mathematics 1996; 233-240.
- 17. Jie Shen. Efficient spectral-Galerkin method I. direct solvers for second- and fourth-order equations by using Legendre polynomials. SIAM Journal of Science Computing 1994; 15:1489–1505.
- 18. Leis R. Initial Boundary Value Problems in Mathematical Physics. Teubner: Stuttgurt, 1996.
- Burnett DS. A three-dimensional acoustic infinite element based an a prolate spheroidal multipole expansion. Journal of Acoustical Society of America 1994; 96:2798–2816.
- Demkowicz L, Gerdes K. Convergence of the infinite element methods for the Helmholtz equation in separable domains. *Numerical Mathematics* 1998; 79:11–42.