

Inverse backscattering for the acoustic equation

Plamen Stefanov*
Institute of Mathematics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

Gunther Uhlmann†
Department of Mathematics
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195, USA

1 Introduction and statement of the results

Consider the acoustic wave equation

$$(\partial_t^2 - c^2(x)\Delta)u = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^3 \quad (1.1)$$

which describes the propagation of sound waves in an inhomogeneous medium with sound speed $c(x)$. We assume throughout the paper that $0 < c(x)$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^3$ and that for some $\rho > 0$ we have

$$c(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq \rho. \quad (1.2)$$

The scattering kernel measures, roughly speaking, the effect of the inhomogeneity on an incident plane wave of the form $\delta(t - x \cdot \theta)$ with $\theta \in S^2$. More precisely, assume that $c \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^3)$ and let $u(t, x, \theta)$ be the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - c^2(x)\Delta)u = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^3, \\ u|_{t \leq 0} = \delta(t - x \cdot \theta). \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

We have that

$$u = \partial_t^3 w,$$

where $w(t, x, \theta)$ solves

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - c^2(x)\Delta)w = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^3, \\ w|_{t \leq 0} = h_2(t - x \cdot \theta), \end{cases}$$

with $h_2(s) = s^2/2$ for $s \geq 0$ and $h_2(s) = 0$ otherwise. We write

$$w = h_2(t - x \cdot \theta) + w_{\text{sc}}.$$

*Partly supported by BSF, Grant MM-407

†Partly supported by NSF Grant DMS-9322619 and ONR Grant N00014-93-1-0295

In the Lax-Phillips theory of scattering [L-P] (see also [C-S], [P]) the *asymptotic wave profile* $w_{\text{sc}}^\#$ of w_{sc} is defined by

$$w_{\text{sc}}^\#(s, \omega, \theta) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t + s) \partial_t w_{\text{sc}}(t, (t + s)\omega, \theta),$$

where the limit exists in $L^2(\mathbf{R}_s \times S_\omega^2)$ for any $\theta \in S^2$. Then the *scattering kernel* is given by

$$S(s, \omega, \theta) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \partial_s^3 w_{\text{sc}}^\#(s, \omega, \theta).$$

We note that the scattering kernel S is closely connected with the Schwartz kernel of the scattering operator \mathcal{S} . In fact, $S(s' - s, \omega', \omega)$ is the Schwartz kernel of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S} - I)\mathcal{R}^{-1}$, \mathcal{R} being the Lax-Phillips translation representation [L-P] (see section 2).

The inverse backscattering problem consists in the determination of $c(x)$ from $S(s, -\theta, \theta)$. That is, roughly speaking, whether we can determine the sound speed by measuring the echoes produced by an incident plane wave in the direction θ . In this paper we show that measuring the echoes is enough to recover the sound speed if it is a priori close to a constant.

Theorem 1.1 *Let S_j be the scattering kernel associated to the sound speed c_j , $j = 1, 2$ satisfying (1.2). Assume further that $c_j \in W^{9, \infty}(\mathbf{R}^3)$. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if*

$$S_1(s, -\theta, \theta) = S_2(s, -\theta, \theta) \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbf{R}, \theta \in S^2$$

and if

$$\|c_j - 1\|_{W^{9, \infty}(\mathbf{R}^3)} < \varepsilon, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

then we have $c_1 = c_2$.

Guillemin proved in [G] that for the case considered here (and in more general situations) \mathcal{S} is a Fourier integral operator and computed its symbol and canonical relation. In particular, $S(s, -\theta, \theta)$ makes sense and is a smooth function of θ with distributional values in the s -variable.

In the stationary approach to scattering one considers the formal Fourier transform of (1.1):

$$(-\Delta + \lambda^2(1 - c^{-2}(x)) - \lambda^2)v(x, \lambda) = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Notice that one can consider (1.4) as a Schrödinger equation with potential

$$q(x) = \lambda^2(1 - c^{-2}(x)).$$

However this is not very useful for the study of the inverse backscattering problem since we must consider high frequencies as well. The inverse scattering problem at a fixed energy has been solved in dimension $n \geq 3$ by Novikov [N]. This problem is in fact closely related to the inverse problem of determining a potential q from its associated Dirichlet to Neumann map. The latter problem was solved in [S-U]. For an account of this relationship see for instance [U].

Given any $\theta \in S^2$ there are solutions of (1.4) of the form

$$v(x, \theta, \lambda) = e^{i\lambda x \cdot \theta} + \frac{e^{i\lambda|x|}}{|x|} a(\lambda, \omega, \theta) + o(|x|^{-1}), \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\omega = x/|x|$. The function a is called the *scattering amplitude*. The relation between a and S is very simple

$$\frac{i\lambda}{2\pi} a(\lambda, \omega, \theta) = \int e^{-is\lambda} S(s, \omega, \theta) ds.$$

Theorem 1.1 has therefore as immediate corollary:

Theorem 1.2 *Let $c_j, j = 1, 2$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Let a_j denote the scattering amplitude associated to $c_j, j = 1, 2$. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if*

$$a_1(\lambda, -\theta, \theta) = a_2(\lambda, -\theta, \theta)$$

and if

$$\|c_j - 1\|_{W^{9,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^3)} < \varepsilon, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

then $c_1 = c_2$.

The high frequency asymptotics of the scattering amplitude has been considered in [G] and [V]. We do not know of any result for the inverse backscattering problem for the acoustic equation. The inverse backscattering problem for the Schrödinger equation has been studied in the papers [E-R], [St II].

The structure of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we consider some preliminaries and prove Proposition 2.1 which gives a relation between $S_1 - S_2$ and $c_1^{-2} - c_2^{-2}$. In section 3 we construct the singular solution of (1.3). In section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1 by combining the results of section 3 and inverting a generalized Radon transform.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we introduce the scattering kernel $S(s, \omega, \theta)$ and in Proposition 2.1 we prove a formula for the difference $S_1 - S_2$, where $S_j, j = 1, 2$ are related to two sound speeds $c_j \in C^2$ satisfying (1.2). A formula of a similar type related to a potential perturbation of the wave equation was first obtained in [St I].

The natural energy space for equation (1.1) is the completion \mathcal{H} of $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3) \times C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)$ with respect to the energy norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int (|\nabla f_1|^2 + c^{-2}(x)|f_2|^2) dx, \quad f = [f_1, f_2].$$

Throughout this paper we will denote two-dimensional vector functions ${}^t(f_1, f_2)$ by $[f_1, f_2]$. Then \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space and equation (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\partial_t u = -iAu, \quad \text{with } u = [u_1, u_2], \quad A = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ c^2 \Delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

i.e. if u solves (2.1), then $u_2 = \partial_t u_1$, $(\partial_t^2 - c^2 \Delta)u_1 = 0$. Here I stands for the identity map. It is easy to see that A extends to a self-adjoint operator in \mathcal{H} , therefore the solution to (2.1) is given by $u = e^{-itA}f =: U(t)f$, where $f = u|_{t=0}$. By Stone's theorem $U(t)$ forms a strongly continuous group of unitary operators in \mathcal{H} . Setting $c = 1$, we get the unperturbed group $U_0(t)$ in \mathcal{H}_0 related to the unperturbed wave equation $(\partial_t^2 - \Delta)u = 0$. The scattering operator \mathcal{S} is then defined by $\mathcal{S} = W_-^{-1}W_+$, where the wave operators W_{\pm} are defined as the strong limits $W_{\pm} = \text{s-lim}_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} U(t)U_0(-t)$. It is well known that the wave operators exist as bounded operators and moreover, \mathcal{S} is also well defined as a bounded operator in \mathcal{H}_0 [L-P], [R-S].

As in the Introduction, we consider the scattering solution $u(t, x, \theta)$ as the solution to the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - c^2 \Delta)u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbf{R}_t \times \mathbf{R}_x^3, \\ u|_{t \ll 0} = \delta(t - x \cdot \theta). \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Here $\theta \in S^2$ is a parameter giving the direction of the incident plane wave in (2.2). The initial condition above can be replaced by $u|_{t=-\rho} = \delta(-\rho - x \cdot \theta)$, $u_t|_{t=-\rho} = \delta'(-\rho - x \cdot \theta)$. The standard way of constructing a solution of (2.2) is the following. Set $h_j(t) = t^j/j!$ for $t \geq 0$ and $h_j(t) = 0$ otherwise. Then $h'_j = h_{j-1}$, $j \geq 1$ and h_0 is the Heaviside function. If we replace the Dirac delta function δ in (2.2) by h_2 , we get initial data $[h_2(-\rho - x \cdot \theta), h_1(-\rho - x \cdot \theta)]$ for $t = -\rho$, that belong locally to \mathcal{H} and even to $D(A)$. As in the Introduction, consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - c^2 \Delta)w = 0 & \text{in } \mathbf{R}_t \times \mathbf{R}_x^3, \\ w|_{t \ll 0} = h_2(t - x \cdot \theta). \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Then $w = h_2(t - x \cdot \theta) + w_{\text{sc}}$, where $(\partial_t^2 - c^2 \Delta)w_{\text{sc}} = -(1 - c^2)h_0(t - x \cdot \theta)$ and $w_{\text{sc}}|_{t \ll 0} = 0$. Therefore,

$$[w_{\text{sc}}, \partial_t w_{\text{sc}}] = - \int_{-\infty}^t U(t-s)(1 - c^2)[0, h_0(s - x \cdot \theta)] ds. \quad (2.4)$$

Here $1 - c^2$ has compact support thus $(1 - c^2)[0, h_0(s - x \cdot \theta)] \in \mathcal{H}$. Having constructed a solution to (2.3) we can now solve (2.2) by setting

$$u(t, x, \theta) = \partial_t^3 w(t, x, \theta). \quad (2.5)$$

Following Lax-Phillips [L-P] (see also [C-S]), as in the Introduction we define the *asymptotic wave profile* $w_{\text{sc}}^{\#}$ of w_{sc} by

$$w_{\text{sc}}^{\#}(s, \omega, \theta) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t+s) \partial_t w_{\text{sc}}(t, (t+s)\omega, \theta). \quad (2.6)$$

The limit exists in $L^2(\mathbf{R}_s \times S_{\omega}^2)$ for any θ [L-P], [C-S]. Then we define the scattering kernel S by

$$S(s, \omega, \theta) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \partial_s^3 w_{\text{sc}}^{\#}(s, \omega, \theta). \quad (2.7)$$

In some sense S satisfies the asymptotics

$$\partial_t u(t, x, \theta) = \delta'(t - x \cdot \theta) - \frac{2\pi}{|x|} S\left(|x| - t, \frac{x}{|x|}, \theta\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right), \quad \text{as } t, |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

The formula above is a time-dependent analogue of the definition (1.5) of the scattering amplitude via the asymptotics of the solution v of the Lipmann-Schwinger equation for large x .

It turns out that S is closely related to the distribution kernel of the scattering operator \mathcal{S} . Denote by $(Rf)(s, \omega) = \int f(x)\delta(s - x \cdot \omega)dx$ the Radon transform of f and consider the operator \mathcal{R} (the Lax and Phillips translation representation) defined by $\mathcal{R}[f_1, f_2] = \frac{1}{4\pi}(-\partial_s^2 Rf_1 + \partial_s Rf_2)$. Then \mathcal{R} is a unitary map $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow L^2(\mathbf{R} \times S^2)$. A well known fact from the Lax and Phillips theory is that $S(s' - s, w', w)$ is the Schwartz kernel of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S} - I)\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ (see [L-P], [C-S], [P]), i.e. in distribution sense we have

$$\left(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S} - I)\mathcal{R}^{-1}k\right)(s', \omega') = \int_{\mathbf{R} \times S^2} S(s' - s, \omega', \omega)k(s, \omega) ds d\omega. \quad (2.8)$$

Next we will derive a formula for $S_1 - S_2$, where S_j is related to c_j , $j = 1, 2$. Let us first notice that $(2\pi)^{-1}[u(\pm t \pm s, x, \pm\theta), \partial_t u(\pm t \pm s, x, \pm\theta)]$ is the distribution kernel of $U(t)W_{\pm}\mathcal{R}^{-1}$, i.e. for any $k \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \times S^2)$ in distribution sense we have

$$U(t)W_{\pm}\mathcal{R}^{-1}k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R} \times S^2} [u(\pm t \pm s, x, \pm\theta), \partial_t u(\pm t \pm s, x, \pm\theta)]k(s, \theta) ds d\theta. \quad (2.9)$$

Indeed, denote $f = \mathcal{R}^{-1}k$ and consider W_+ . Then $U(t)W_+\mathcal{R}^{-1}k = U(t+T)U_0(-T)f$ for some fixed $T > 0$ depending on $\text{supp } k$. Denote $[v, \partial_t v] = U(t+T)U_0(-T)f$ and denote also the right-hand side of (2.9) by $[\tilde{v}, \partial_t \tilde{v}]$. Both v and \tilde{v} solve (1.1). Next, for $t < -T$ we have $[v, \partial_t v] = U_0(t)f$. On the other hand, for $t \ll 0$ we get for \tilde{v}

$$[\tilde{v}, \partial_t \tilde{v}] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R} \times S^2} [\delta(t + s - x \cdot \theta), \delta'(t + s - x \cdot \theta)]k(s, \theta) ds d\theta = U_0(t)f$$

by the inversion formula for \mathcal{R} (see [L-P]). Therefore, v and \tilde{v} have the same initial data and must coincide. This proves (2.9) for W_+ . The proof for W_- is similar.

Proposition 2.1 *Let $S_j(s, \omega, \theta)$ be the scattering kernel related to $c_j(x) \in C^2$, $j = 1, 2$. Then*

$$(S_1 - S_2)(s, \omega, \theta) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \partial_s^3 \iint (c_1^{-2} - c_2^{-2})u_1(t, x, \theta)u_2(-s - t, x, -\omega) dt dx,$$

where u_j are the scattering solutions related to c_j , $j = 1, 2$ and the integral is to be considered in distribution sense.

Proof. Denote by $U_j(t)$, $j = 1, 2$ the propagators related to c_j . Consider the function $F(t) = U_2(T+t)U_1(-t+T)f$, $f \in D(A_1) = D(A_2)$. Then $F'(t) = -iU_2(T+t)(A_2 - A_1)U_1(-t+T)$ and from $F(T) - F(-T) = \int_{-T}^T F'(t)dt$ we get

$$(U_2(2T) - U_1(2T))f = \int_{-T}^T U_2(T+t)QU_1(-t+T)f dt, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (c_2^2 - c_1^2)\Delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, choose two functions $k, l \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \times S^2)$ and set $f = \mathcal{R}^{-1}k$, $g = \mathcal{R}^{-1}l$. Then by using standard arguments from the Lax-Phillips theory we get that

$$(\mathcal{S}_j f, g)_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \left(U_0(-T)U_j(2T)U_0(-T)f, g \right)_{\mathcal{H}_0}$$

with some large $T > 0$ depending on $\text{supp } k, \text{supp } l$. Therefore, by (2.10)

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathcal{S}_2 - \mathcal{S}_1)f, g)_{\mathcal{H}_0} &= \int_{-T}^T \left(U_0(-T)U_2(T+t)QU_1(-t+T)U_0(-T)f, g \right)_{\mathcal{H}_0} dt \\ &= \int_{-T}^T \left(QU_1(-t+T)U_0(-T)f, U_2(-t-T)U_0(T)g \right)_{\mathcal{H}_2} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Here \mathcal{H}_j , $j = 0, 1, 2$ are related to $c_0 = 1$, c_1 , and c_2 respectively. Next, note that $U_1(-t+T)U_0(-T)f = U_1(-t)W_+^{(1)}f = U_1(-t)W_+^{(1)}\mathcal{R}^{-1}k$. Similarly, $U_2(-t-T)U_0(T)g = U_2(-t)W_-^{(2)}\mathcal{R}^{-1}l$. Using (2.9), we get from (2.11)

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathcal{S}_2 - \mathcal{S}_1)f, g)_{\mathcal{H}_0} &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{-T}^T \int \dots \int (c_2^2 - c_1^2)(\Delta u_1)(-t + s_1, x, \theta_1) \partial_t u_2(t - s_2, x, -\theta_2) \\ &\quad \times k(s_1, \theta_1) l(s_2, \theta_2) c_2^{-2} ds_1 d\theta_1 ds_2 d\theta_2 dx dt \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{-T}^T \int \dots \int (c_1^{-2} - c_2^{-2}) \partial_{s_1}^2 u_1(-t + s_1, x, \theta_1) \partial_t u_2(t - s_2, x, -\theta_2) \\ &\quad \times k(s_1, \theta_1) l(s_2, \theta_2) ds_1 d\theta_1 ds_2 d\theta_2 dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Clearly, the integrand above vanishes for $|t| > T$, so we may integrate in t over the whole real line. According to (2.8),

$$((\mathcal{S}_2 - \mathcal{S}_1)f, g)_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \int_{[\mathbf{R} \times S^2]^2} (S_2 - S_1)(s_2 - s_1, \theta_2, \theta_1) k(s_1, \theta_1) l(s_2, \theta_2) ds_1 d\theta_1 ds_2 d\theta_2. \quad (2.13)$$

Comparing (2.12) and (2.13), we conclude that

$$(S_1 - S_2)(s_2 - s_1, \theta_2, \theta_1) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \iint (c_1^{-2} - c_2^{-2}) \partial_{s_1}^2 u_1(-t + s_1, x, \theta_1) \partial_t u_2(t - s_2, x, -\theta_2) dx dt.$$

The right-hand side above as a function of s_1, s_2 depends merely on $s_2 - s_1$ and setting $s = s_2 - s_1$, $\tilde{t} = -t + s_1$ we complete the proof of the proposition. \square

3 Singular decomposition of the scattering solution

In this section we prove that the scattering solution $u(t, x, \theta)$ admits a singular decomposition of the type $u(t, x, \theta) = \alpha(x, \theta)\delta(t - \phi(x, \theta)) + \beta(x, \theta)h_0(t - \phi(x, \theta)) + r(t, x, \theta)$, where ϕ is a suitable phase function and the remainder $r(t, \cdot, \theta)$ belongs to $H^1 \cap L^\infty$, $\partial_t r \in L^2$. Such decompositions are in principle known for that kind of problems (see e.g. [V] for a high frequency asymptotics of the solution v of (1.4) given by (1.5)). Our goal here is to prove estimates on the remainder which are uniform in $c(x)$ under the assumption of a finite smoothness of c . As in Theorem 1.1 we assume that c is close to $c = 1$ in the $W^{m, \infty}$ topology

for some m . It turns out that in our proof we need estimates on the remainder for t belonging to a finite interval only. This fact simplifies considerably our analysis. On the other hand, in principle one could obtain estimates on the remainder for large t which are also uniform in c . This is related to the problem of finding estimates of the remainder in the high-frequency asymptotics of the solution v of (1.4) defined in (1.5) (see [V]) which are uniform in c or finding estimates on the resolvent of $c^2\Delta + \lambda^2$. The latter problems are more delicate ones. In fact one of the main reasons for working with time dependent methods is the advantage we get by dealing with bounded t 's only.

We start with analysis of the phase function ϕ related to (1.1). We define $\phi(x, \theta)$ as the solution to the eikonal equation

$$\begin{cases} (\nabla\phi)^2 &= c^{-2}(x), \\ \phi|_{x\cdot\theta\ll 0} &= x\cdot\theta. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Throughout this section we assume that c satisfies (1.2) and that

$$\|c - 1\|_{W^{m,\infty}} < \varepsilon \quad (3.2)$$

with some $\varepsilon > 0$ and $m \geq 2$. We need to solve (3.1) in B_ρ . Fix $\theta \in S^2$. We may assume that $\theta = {}^t(1, 0, 0)$. Then (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} (\nabla\phi)^2 &= c^{-2}(x), \\ \phi|_{x_1=-\rho} &= -\rho, \\ \partial_{x_1}\phi|_{x_1=-\rho} &= 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

The Hamiltonian system associated with (3.3) is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{ds}x &= 2\xi, & \frac{d}{ds}\xi &= \nabla c^{-2}, \\ x|_{s=0} &= {}^t(-\rho, \eta), & \xi|_{s=0} &= {}^t(1, 0, 0), \end{cases} \quad \eta \in \mathbf{R}^2. \quad (3.4)$$

Notice that the solution to (3.4) in the case $c = 1$ is $x = {}^t(2s - \rho, \eta)$, $\xi = {}^t(1, 0, 0)$. On the other hand, for general $c(x)$ the solution of (3.4) exists for any s (see [V]).

Lemma 3.1 *Fix $a > 0$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for the solution $x = x(s, \eta)$, $\xi = \xi(s, \eta)$ of (3.4) we have*

$$\|x - {}^t(2s - \rho, \eta)\|_{W^{m,\infty}([0,a]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} + \|\xi - {}^t(1, 0, 0)\|_{W^{m,\infty}([0,a]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

The proof of the lemma is based on a comparison theorem for ODE and will be omitted here.

In particular, Lemma 3.1 implies that under the smallness assumption (3.2) the Hamiltonian flow is non-trapping for small ε , more precisely, $x(s, \eta) \notin B_\rho = \{x; |x| < \rho\}$ for $s > a$ with some $a > 0$. Moreover, the mapping ${}^t(s, \eta) \mapsto x(s, \eta)$ is a $W^{m,\infty}$ -diffeomorphism on $[0, a] \times \{\eta \in \mathbf{R}^2; |\eta| \leq 2\rho\}$ and its range covers B_ρ provided that ε is small enough. We will need in fact to work in a larger domain, so let us assume that ε and a are such that

${}^t(s, \eta) \mapsto x(s, \eta)$ maps $[0, a] \times \{\eta \in \mathbf{R}^2; |\eta| \leq 5\rho\}$ into a compact covering $B_{4\rho}$. The phase function ϕ solving (3.3) is defined in $B_{4\rho}$ by (see [V])

$$\phi = -\rho + 2 \int c^{-2}(x) ds,$$

where the integration is taken over the shortest characteristics $x = x(s, \eta)$ joining the plane $x_1 = -\rho$ and x . The change of coordinates $x \mapsto {}^t(s, \eta)$ is ε -close to $x = {}^t(2s - \rho, \eta)$ in $W^{m, \infty}$, which easily implies that ϕ must be close to $\phi = x_1$. So far θ was fixed. One can also examine easily the dependence of ϕ on $\theta \in S^2$. Thus we get

Lemma 3.2 *Assume that (3.2) holds with $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. Then there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\|\phi(x, \theta) - x \cdot \theta\|_{W^{m, \infty}(B_{4\rho} \times S^2)} \leq C_0 \varepsilon.$$

Now we are ready to prove the principal result of this section about the scattering solution $u(t, x, \theta)$ introduced in (2.2). Denote

$$T = \rho + C_0 \varepsilon, \tag{3.5}$$

where C_0 is the constant in Lemma 3.2. Note that $\max\{|\phi(x, \theta)|; x \in B_\rho, \theta \in S^2\} \leq T$.

Proposition 3.1 *Assume that (3.2) holds with $m \geq 9$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that for $|t| < 3T$, and for any $\theta \in S^2$ we have*

$$u(t, x, \theta) = \alpha(x, \theta)\delta(t - \phi(x, \theta)) + \beta(x, \theta)h_0(t - \phi(x, \theta)) + r(t, x, \theta),$$

where

$$\|\alpha - 1\|_{W^{m-2, \infty}(B_{4\rho} \times S^2)} \leq C\varepsilon, \quad |\beta(x, \theta)| \leq C\varepsilon, \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\|r(t, \cdot, \theta)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_t r(t, \cdot, \theta)\|_{L^2} \leq C\varepsilon. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Let us look for u of the form

$$u(t, x, \theta) = \alpha(x, \theta)\delta(t - \phi(x, \theta)) + \beta(x, \theta)h_0(t - \phi(x, \theta)) + \gamma(x, \theta)h_1(t - \phi(x, \theta)) + \tilde{r}(t, x, \theta).$$

Then $\alpha = 1 + \tilde{\alpha}$, β , γ solve the transport equations

$$(2\nabla\phi \cdot \nabla + \Delta\phi)\tilde{\alpha} = -\Delta\phi, \quad \tilde{\alpha}|_{x \cdot \theta = -\rho} = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

$$(2\nabla\phi \cdot \nabla + \Delta\phi)\beta = \Delta\alpha, \quad \beta|_{x \cdot \theta = -\rho} = 0, \tag{3.9}$$

$$(2\nabla\phi \cdot \nabla + \Delta\phi)\gamma = \Delta\beta, \quad \gamma|_{x \cdot \theta = -\rho} = 0, \tag{3.10}$$

while \tilde{r} solves

$$(c^{-2}\partial_t^2 - \Delta)\tilde{r} = (\Delta\gamma)h_1(t - \phi), \quad \tilde{r}|_{t \ll 0} = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Note that we need to solve (3.8) — (3.10) in the compact $x \cdot \theta \geq -\rho$, $\phi(x, \theta) \leq 3T$, $|\eta| < \rho$ ($\eta = \eta(x)$ is determined by $x = x(s, \eta)$) and for ε sufficiently small this compact is contained

in $B_{4\rho}$, where ϕ is well defined. The first equation (3.8) can be solved in $B_{4\rho}$ and (3.6) follows directly from Lemma 3.2. The estimate (3.6) for α follows easily from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2. Next, since $\Delta\alpha = O(\varepsilon)$, we get (if $m \geq 4$) (3.6) for β as well. Similarly, if $m \geq 6$, then $|\gamma| = O(\varepsilon)$ as well. Finally, for \tilde{r} we get by (3.11)

$$[\tilde{r}, \partial_t \tilde{r}] = \int_{-\rho}^t U(t-s)[0, (\Delta\gamma)h_1(s-\phi)] ds.$$

We get as above that $(\Delta\gamma)h_1(s-\phi)$ is supported in $B_{4\rho}$ for $-\rho \leq s \leq t$, $|t| < 3T$ and moreover $\|[0, (\Delta\gamma)h_1(s-\phi)]\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C\varepsilon$ (if $m \geq 8$). Note that the norm in \mathcal{H} depends on $c(x)$, but is uniformly bounded when c satisfies (3.2) with $\varepsilon < 1$. So we get

$$\|[\tilde{r}, \partial_t \tilde{r}]\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C(t+\rho)\varepsilon, \quad -\rho \leq t \leq T \quad (3.12)$$

(and $\tilde{r} = 0$ for $t < -\rho$). Next, $[\tilde{r}, \partial_t \tilde{r}] \in D(A)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} A[\tilde{r}, \partial_t \tilde{r}] &= [\partial_t \tilde{r}, c^2 \Delta \tilde{r}] = \int_{-\rho}^t U(t-s)A[0, (\Delta\gamma)h_1(s-\phi)] ds \\ &= \int_{-\rho}^t U(t-s)[(\Delta\gamma)h_1(s-\phi), 0] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|[(\Delta\gamma)h_1(s-\phi), 0]\|_{\mathcal{H}} = O(\varepsilon)$ (here we need $m = 9$), we get as above that

$$\|[\partial_t \tilde{r}, c^2 \Delta \tilde{r}]\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C(t+\rho)\varepsilon, \quad -\rho \leq t \leq T. \quad (3.13)$$

By (3.12) and (3.13),

$$\|\nabla \tilde{r}\| + \|\Delta \tilde{r}\| + \|\partial_t \tilde{r}\| + \|\nabla \partial_t \tilde{r}\| \leq C\varepsilon,$$

where $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2}$. Moreover, \tilde{r} is compactly supported (uniformly in $\varepsilon < 1$, $|t| < 3T$) because of the finite speed of propagation for (1.1). Therefore, by the Poincaré inequality (see e.g. [L-P]), we get $\|\tilde{r}\| = O(\varepsilon)$ as well. Thus,

$$\|\tilde{r}\|_{H^2} + \|\partial_t \tilde{r}\|_{H^1} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem this yields $\|\tilde{r}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_t \tilde{r}\|_{L^2} = O(\varepsilon)$ and combining this with (3.6), we get (3.7) for $r = \gamma h_1(t-\phi) + \tilde{r}$. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 are fulfilled and denote by u_j the scattering solutions related to c_j , $j = 1, 2$. Then, by Proposition 2.1

$$\iint q(x)u_1(t, x, \theta)u_2(s-t, x, \theta) dx dt = 0, \quad q := c_1^{-2} - c_2^{-2}. \quad (4.1)$$

for any $s \in \mathbf{R}$, $\theta \in S^2$. Let us apply now Proposition 3.1 and substitute u_j , $j = 1, 2$ in (4.1) by its singular expansion. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int q \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \delta(s - \phi_1 - \phi_2) dx \\
&= \int q \left[\alpha_2 \beta_1 h_0(s - \phi_1 - \phi_2) + \alpha_1 \beta_2 h_0(s - \phi_1 - \phi_2) + \alpha_2 r_1(s - \phi_2) + \alpha_1 r_2(s - \phi_1) \right] dx \\
&\quad + \iint q \left[\beta_1 \beta_2 h_0(t - \phi_1) h_0(s - t - \phi_2) + r_1(t) r_2(s - t) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \beta_1 h_0(t - \phi_1) r_2(s - t) + \beta_2 h_0(s - t - \phi_2) r_1(t) \right] dx dt. \tag{4.2}
\end{aligned}$$

Here $r_1(t) = r_1(t, x, \theta)$, $\phi_1 = \phi_1(x, \theta)$ etc. Denote $\phi(x, \theta) = \phi_1(x, \theta) + \phi_2(x, \theta)$, $a(x, \theta) = \alpha_1(x, \theta) + \alpha_2(x, \theta)$. Since by Lemma 3.2, $\phi(x, \theta)$ is close to $2x \cdot \theta$ and $a(x, \theta)$ is close to 1, the left-hand side of (4.2) reminds us of the Radon transform Rq of q . Let us recall, that we have the following Parseval's equality for the Radon transform $\|\partial_s Rf\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R} \times S^2)} = 4\pi \|f\|_{L^2}$. Bearing this in mind, let us differentiate (4.2) with respect to s .

$$-\partial_s \int q a \delta(s - \phi) dx = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4, \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int q (\alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2) \delta(s - \phi) dx, \\
I_2 &= \int q [\alpha_2 \partial_s r_1(s - \phi_2) + \alpha_1 \partial_s r_2(s - \phi_1)] dx \\
I_3 &= \int q [\beta_1 \beta_2 h_0(s - \phi) + \beta_1 r_2(s - \phi_1) + \beta_2 r_1(s - \phi_2)] dx \\
I_4 &= \iint q r_1(t) \partial_s r_2(s - t) dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

The left-hand side of (4.3) vanishes for $|s| > 2T$ (see Lemma 3.2 and (3.5)). Therefore, so does the right-hand side above, but this is not necessarily true for each term I_j . Let us estimate the norm in $L^2([-2T, 2T] \times S^2)$ of each term in (4.3). For the left-hand side in (4.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_s \int q(x) a(x, \theta) \delta(s - \phi(x, \theta)) dx\|_{L^2([-2T, 2T] \times S^2)} \\
&= (2\pi)^{-1/2} \|k \int e^{ik\phi(x, \theta)} a(x, \theta) q(x) dx\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_k \times S_\theta^2)}. \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us extend $\phi(x, \xi)$, $a(x, \theta)$ for $\xi \notin S^2$ by $\phi(x, \xi) = |\xi| \phi(x, \xi/|\xi|)$, $a(x, \xi) = a(x, \xi/|\xi|)$. Then Lemma 3.2 implies

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta (\phi(x, \xi) - 2x \cdot \xi) \right| \leq C_1 \varepsilon |\xi|^{1-|\beta|} \quad \text{for } |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq m, x \in B_{4\rho}, \xi \neq 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Similarly, (3.6) implies

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta (a(x, \xi) - 1) \right| \leq C_1 \varepsilon |\xi|^{-|\beta|} \quad \text{for } |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq m - 2, x \in B_{4\rho}, \xi \neq 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Since q is real-valued, the square integral of the expression in the right-hand side of (4.4) over $\mathbf{R}_k \times S^2$ equals twice the square integral over $\mathbf{R}_k^+ \times S_\theta^2$. Setting $\xi = k\theta$, $k > 0$, $\theta \in S^2$, we obtain from (4.4)

$$\|\partial_s \int q(x)a(x, \theta)\delta(s - \phi(x, \theta)) dx\|_{L^2([-2T, 2T] \times S^2)} = \sqrt{2}(2\pi)^{-1/2}\|Pq\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_\xi^3)}, \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$(Pq)(\xi) = \int e^{i\phi(x, \xi)}a(x, \xi)q(x) dx. \quad (4.8)$$

Our plan is the following. First we will show that $C_1\|q\| \leq \|Pq\| \leq C_2\|q\|$ with some $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$ independent of ε . Next we are going to estimate the norms in $L^2([-2T, 2T] \times S^2)$ of each term $I_j = I_j(s, \theta)$ in (4.3) and will show that $I_j = O(\varepsilon\|q\|)$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then (4.3), (4.7) would imply that $C_1\|q\| \leq \|Pq\| \leq C\varepsilon\|q\|$, hence $q = 0$.

Proposition 4.1 *If c_j , $j = 1, 2$ satisfy (3.2) with $m = 9$ and if $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, then $P : L^2(B_\rho) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbf{R}_\xi^3)$ is a bounded operator. Moreover there exist two constants $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$ independent of ε (small enough), c_1, c_2 , such that*

$$C_1\|f\| \leq \|Pf\| \leq C_2\|f\| \quad \text{for any } f \in L^2(B_\rho).$$

Proof. We will show that the estimate above follows from the fact that $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$ is close to $2x \cdot \theta$ (see Lemma 3.2) and a is close to 1 (see 4.6). This does not necessarily implies that P (see (4.8)) is close to the Fourier transform, but one can expect that P^*P is close to cI with some constant c . We have

$$(P^*Pf)(x) = \iint e^{-i(\phi(x, \xi) - \phi(y, \xi))}a(x, \xi)a(y, \xi)f(y) dy d\xi. \quad (4.9)$$

The phase function above admits the representation

$$\phi(x, \xi) - \phi(y, \xi) = 2(x - y) \cdot \eta(x, y, \xi),$$

where

$$\eta(x, y, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\nabla_x \phi)(x + t(x - y), \xi) dt. \quad (4.10)$$

To prove (4.10) it is enough to apply the identity $g(1) - g(0) = \int_0^1 g'(t)dt$ to the function $g(t) = \phi(x + t(x - y))$. By Lemma 3.2, $\eta(x, y, \xi)$ belongs to $W^{m-1, \infty}$ and is homogeneous with respect to ξ of order one. Moreover,

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma (\eta(x, y, \xi) - \xi) \right| \leq C\varepsilon |\xi|^{1-|\gamma|} \quad \text{for } |\alpha| + |\beta| + |\gamma| \leq m - 1, x \in B_{4\rho}, y \in B_{4\rho}, \xi \neq 0.$$

The equation $\eta = \eta(x, y, \xi)$ can be solved for ξ provided that ε is sufficiently small. The Jacobian $J := |D\eta/D\xi|$ satisfies the estimates

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma (J(x, y, \xi) - 1) \right| \leq C\varepsilon |\xi|^{-|\gamma|} \quad \text{for } |\alpha| + |\beta| + |\gamma| \leq m - 2, x \in B_{4\rho}, y \in B_{4\rho}, \xi \neq 0. \quad (4.11)$$

Let us perform the change of variables $\xi \rightarrow \eta$ in (4.9).

$$P^*P f = \iint e^{-2i(x-y)\cdot\eta} b(x, y, \eta) f(y) \tilde{J}(x, y, \eta) dy d\eta, \quad (4.12)$$

where $\tilde{J}(x, y, \eta) = J^{-1}(x, y, \xi)|_{\xi=\xi(x,y,\eta)}$, $b(x, y, \eta) = a(x, \xi)a(y, \xi)|_{\xi=\xi(x,y,\eta)}$. The principal part of the integral above is

$$\iint e^{-2i(x-y)\cdot\eta} f(y) dy d\eta = \pi^3 f,$$

so from (4.12) we get

$$(P^*P - \pi^3 I) f = \iint e^{-2i(x-y)\cdot\eta} f(y) \left((b\tilde{J})(x, y, \eta) - 1 \right) dy d\eta. \quad (4.13)$$

We are going to apply Theorem A.1 (see the Appendix below) to (4.13). By (4.11), (3.6),

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \left((b\tilde{J})(x, y, \eta) - 1 \right) \right| \leq C\varepsilon \quad \text{for } |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq m - 2, x \in B_{4\rho}, y \in B_{4\rho}, \eta \neq 0. \quad (4.14)$$

Let us extend the operator $P^*P - \pi^3 I$, defined a priori on $L^2(B_\rho)$ to an operator Q in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)$ by (4.13) with $\tilde{J} - 1$ replaced by $\chi(x)(\tilde{J} - 1)\chi(y)$, where $\chi \in C_0^\infty$, $\text{supp } \chi \subset B_{2\rho}$, $\chi = 1$ on B_ρ . Then if $m - 2 = 7$, Theorem A.1 yields $\|Q\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbf{R}^3))} \leq C\varepsilon$, which implies

$$\|P^*P - \pi^3 I\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(B_\rho))} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Thus, for any $f \in L^2(B_\rho)$ we have

$$\left| \|Pf\|^2 - \pi^3 \|f\|^2 \right| = \left| (P^*P f - \pi^3 f, f) \right| \leq C\varepsilon \|f\|^2,$$

and this completes the proof of Proposition 4.1 for ε small enough. \square

We proceed now with estimating the norms of I_j , $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ in $L^2([-2T, 2T] \times S^2)$. By (3.6) and (4.7) we get for I_1

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_1\|_{L^2([-2T, 2T] \times S^2)} &\leq C\varepsilon \left\| \int |q| \delta(s - \phi) dx \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R} \times S^2)} \\ &\leq C'\varepsilon \|\partial_s \int |q| \delta(s - \phi) dx\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R} \times S^2)} \\ &\leq C'' \|P_0 |q|\| \leq C''' \|q\|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Here P_0 is the operator (4.8) with $a = 1$. In order to prove (4.15), we have approximated $|q|$ with smooth functions and have used the fact that for any $f \in C^1(\mathbf{R})$ with $f = 0$ outside some finite interval $[-a, a]$, we have $\|f\|_{L^2} \leq C(a) \|f'\|_{L^2}$.

To estimate I_2 , I_3 and I_4 , observe that

$$I_2 + I_3 + I_4 = \int K(s, \theta, x) q(x) dx \quad (4.16)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
K &= \alpha_2 \partial_s r_1(s - \phi_2) + \alpha_1 \partial_s r_2(s - \phi_1) + \beta_1 \beta_2 h_0(s - \phi) \\
&\quad + \beta_1 r_2(s - \phi_1) + \beta_2 r_1(s - \phi_2) + \int_{-\rho}^{\rho+2T} r_1(t) \partial_s r_2(s - t) dt. \tag{4.17}
\end{aligned}$$

When $|s| < 2T$ and $x \in B_\rho$, we have $|s - \phi_2| \leq 3T$, $|s - \phi_1| \leq 3T$. Next, in the integral term in (4.17) we have $|T| < 3T$, $-\rho \leq s - t \leq \rho + 2T < 3T$. Therefore, in (4.17) the argument of $r_j(t)$, $j = 1, 2$ always belongs to the interval $|t| \leq 3T$ thus we can apply Proposition 3.1 to get

$$\int_{B_\rho} \int_{S^2} \int_{-2T}^{2T} |K(s, \theta, x)|^2 ds d\theta dx \leq (C\varepsilon)^2.$$

Therefore, by (4.16) we have

$$\|I_2 + I_3 + I_4\|_{L^2([-2T, 2T] \times S^2)} \leq C\varepsilon \|q\|. \tag{4.18}$$

Combining (4.3), (4.7), (4.15) and (4.18), we get

$$\|Pq\| \leq C\varepsilon \|q\|. \tag{4.19}$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 4.1 we conclude that

$$C_1 \|q\| \leq \|Pq\|. \tag{4.20}$$

For ε small enough (4.19) and (4.20) imply $q = 0$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

A Appendix

We prove here a theorem for the boundedness of $a(x, y, D)$ in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if a is smooth of finite order. Under the assumption that $a = a(x, \xi)$ is independent of y , Theorem 18.1.11' in [H] says that if $\int |\partial_x^\alpha a(x, \xi)| dx \leq M$ for all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and for $|\alpha| \leq n+1$, then $\|a(x, D)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} \leq CM$ with $C > 0$ an absolute constant. Following the proof of that theorem in [H], we obtain a generalization for amplitudes a depending on y as well.

Theorem A.1 *Let A be the operator*

$$Af = (2\pi)^{-n} \iint e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} a(x, y, \xi) f(y) dy d\xi.$$

If

$$\int \left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta a(x, y, \xi) \right| dx dy \leq M \quad \text{for } |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2n + 1, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

then $\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} \leq CM$ with $C > 0$ an absolute constant.

Proof. We have

$$Af = (2\pi)^{-2n} \iint e^{ix \cdot \xi} \tilde{a}(x, \xi - \zeta, \xi) \hat{f}(\zeta) d\zeta d\xi,$$

where $\tilde{a}(x, \zeta, \xi) = \int e^{-i\zeta \cdot y} a(x, y, \xi) dy$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{Af}(\eta) &:= \int e^{-i\eta \cdot x} (Af)(x) dx = (2\pi)^{-2n} \iiint e^{-ix \cdot (\eta - \xi)} \tilde{a}(x, \xi - \zeta, \xi) \hat{f}(\zeta) d\zeta d\xi dx \\ &= (2\pi)^{-2n} \iint \tilde{\tilde{a}}(\eta - \xi, \xi - \zeta, \xi) \hat{f}(\zeta) d\zeta d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\tilde{a}}(\eta, \zeta, \xi) = \int e^{-i\eta \cdot x} \tilde{a}(x, \zeta, \xi) = \int e^{-i(\eta \cdot x + \zeta \cdot y)} a(x, y, \xi) dx dy$. Therefore, $\widehat{Af} = B\hat{f}$, where B is an integral operator with kernel

$$b(\eta, \zeta) = (2\pi)^{-2n} \int \tilde{\tilde{a}}(\eta - \xi, \xi - \zeta, \xi) d\xi.$$

We claim that $\int |b(\eta, \zeta)| d\eta \leq CM$, $\int |b(\eta, \zeta)| d\zeta \leq CM$. It is well known that this implies that B is bounded with norm not exceeding CM .

$$\int |b(\eta, \zeta)| d\eta \leq (2\pi)^{-2n} \iint |\tilde{\tilde{a}}(\eta - \xi, \xi - \zeta, \xi)| d\xi d\eta.$$

The assumptions of the theorem imply $|\tilde{\tilde{a}}(\eta, \zeta, \xi)| \leq CM(1 + |\eta| + |\zeta|)^{-2n-1}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int |b(\eta, \zeta)| d\eta &\leq C'M \iint (1 + |\eta - \xi| + |\xi - \zeta|)^{-2n-1} d\eta d\xi \\ &= C'M \iint (1 + |\eta| + |\xi - \zeta|)^{-2n-1} d\eta d\xi \\ &= C'M \iint (1 + |\eta| + |\xi|)^{-2n-1} d\eta d\xi \\ &= C''M < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way we treat $\int |b(\eta, \zeta)| d\zeta$. □

References

- [C-S] J. COOPER AND W. STRAUSS, Scattering of waves by periodically moving bodies, *J. Funct. Anal.* **47**(1982), 180–229.
- [E-R] G. ESKIN AND J. RALSTON, The inverse backscattering problem in 3 dimensions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **124**(1989), 169–215.
- [G] V. GUILLEMIN, Sojourn times and asymptotic properties of scattering matrix, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **12**(1976), 69–88.
- [H] L. HÖRMANDER, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III*, Springer, 1985.
- [L-P] P. LAX AND R. PHILLIPS, *Scattering Theory*, Revised edition, Academic Press, 1989.
- [N] R. G. NOVIKOV, Multidimensional inverse spectral problems for the equation $-\Delta\psi + (v(x) - Eu(x))\psi = 0$, *Funct. Anal. and Appl.* **22**(1988), 263–272.

- [P] V. PETKOV, Scattering Theory for Hyperbolic Operators, North-Holland, 1989.
- [R-S] M. REED AND B. SIMON, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. 3, Academic Press, 1979.
- [St I] P. STEFANOV, A uniqueness result for the inverse back-scattering problem, *Inverse Probl.* **6**(1990), 1055–1064.
- [St II] P. STEFANOV, Generic uniqueness for two inverse problems in potential scattering, *Comm. P.D.E.* **17**(1992), 55–68.
- [S-U] J. SYLVESTER AND G. UHLMANN, Global uniqueness for an inverse boundary value problem, *Ann. Math.* **125**(1987), 153–169.
- [U] G. UHLMANN, Inverse boundary value problems and applications, *Astérisque* **207**(1992), 153–221.
- [V] B. R. VAINBERG, Asymptotic Methods in Equations of Mathematical Physics, Gordon and Breach Sci. Publ., New York, 1988.