

In the following discussion
consider:

$$\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$$

We proved last class that

$C^\infty(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega)$
is dense in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

(A)

For $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ we also have:

Corollary: If $1 \leq p < \infty$, the space $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$
is dense in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Proof: We can show that

(B) $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

(with respect to the Sobolev norm).

The Corollary follows from (A) and (B).

11.267

Let now $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set satisfying $\lambda_n(\partial\Omega) = 0$

Sobolev functions are only defined almost everywhere.

What does it mean for a Sobolev function to be zero on $\partial\Omega$?

Def: Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an arbitrary open set. The space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is defined as the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ relative to the Sobolev norm. Thus, $f \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ if and only if there is a sequence of functions $f_k \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ such that:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_k - f\|_{1,p;\Omega} = 0$$

Remark 1: If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, then $W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, since $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 2 : If $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded open set, then

11.268

$$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega)$$

and we now proceed to characterize the space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Trace Theorem : Assume Ω is a bounded open set and $\partial\Omega$ is C^1 . Then there exists a bounded linear operator:

$$T: W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\partial\Omega)$$

such that:

$$(i) \quad Tu = u|_{\partial\Omega} \quad \text{if } u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$$

$$(ii) \quad \|Tu\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)},$$

for each $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, with the constant C depending only on p and $\partial\Omega$.

Note: $C(\bar{\Omega}) = \{f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is uniformly continuous}\}$

If $f \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, then f continuously extends to $\bar{\Omega}$.

Trace-zero functions in $W^{1,p}$:

11.269

Assume Ω is bounded and $\partial\Omega$ is C^1 . Suppose $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then

$$u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \iff Tu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

We will later prove one of the most useful estimates in the theory of Sobolev functions, which is the Sobolev Imbedding Theorem.

Sobolev Imbedding Theorem: Let

$1 \leq p < n$ and let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose $f \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Then we have the estimate:

$$\|f\|_{q;\Omega} \leq C \|\nabla f\|_{p,\Omega},$$

$$\text{for each } q \in [1, p^*], \quad p^* = \frac{np}{n-p}.$$

The constant C depends only on p, q, n and Ω .

A useful characterization of $W^{1,p}$.

11.270

Recall that if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then:

$$\|f(x+h) - f(x)\|_p \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0$$

We can prove a similar result
for Sobolev functions.

Theorem: Let $1 < p < \infty$ and suppose
 $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open. If $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and
 $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$, the $\frac{\|f(x+h) - f(x)\|_{p;\Omega'}}{|h|}$ remains

bounded for all sufficiently small h .

Conversely, if $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ and

$$\frac{\|f(x+h) - f(x)\|_{p;\Omega'}}{|h|}$$

remains bounded for all sufficiently
small h , then $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega')$.

Proof:

Let $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$

Let $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$.

$\Rightarrow \exists \{f_k\}$, $f_k \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ such that:

$$\|f_k - f\|_{1,p;\Omega} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

For $g \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g(x+h) - g(x)}{|h|} &= \frac{1}{|h|} \int_0^{|h|} \nabla g\left(x + t \frac{h}{|h|}\right) \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{|h|} \int_0^{|h|} \frac{d}{dt} g\left(x + t \frac{h}{|h|}\right) dt \end{aligned}$$

By Jensen's inequality:

$$\left| \frac{g(x+h) - g(x)}{h} \right|^p \leq \frac{1}{|h|} \int_0^{|h|} |\nabla g\left(x + t \frac{h}{|h|}\right)|^p dt,$$

for $x \in \Omega'$, $h < \delta := \text{dist}(\partial\Omega', \partial\Omega)$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(x+h) - g(x)\|_{p;\Omega'}^p &\leq \frac{|h|^p}{|h|} \int_0^{|h|} \int_{\Omega'} |\nabla g\left(x + t \frac{h}{|h|}\right)|^p dx dt \\ &\leq |h|^{p-1} \int_0^{|h|} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g(x)|^p dx dt \\ &= |h|^p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g|^p dx \end{aligned}$$

11.272

 \Rightarrow

$$\|g(x+h) - g(x)\|_{p; \Omega'} \leq |h| \|\nabla g\|_{p; \Omega},$$

for $|h| < \delta$.

Hence, we have proved:

$$\frac{\|f_k(x+h) - f_k(x)\|_{p; \Omega'}}{|h|} \leq \|\nabla f_k\|_{p; \Omega}$$

$$\leq C, \quad |h| < \delta$$

(Since $\|\nabla f_k - \nabla f\|_{p; \Omega} \rightarrow 0$)Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\frac{\|f(x+h) - f(x)\|_{p; \Omega'}}{|h|} \leq C, \quad |h| < \delta.$$

We now prove the converse:

Let $e_i = \{0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0\}$

By assumption:

$$\frac{\|f(x + \frac{e_i}{k}) - f(x)\|_{p; \Omega'}}{1/k} \leq C, \quad \text{large } k$$

 $\therefore \exists$ a subsequence of $\left\{ \frac{f(x + e_i/k) - f(x)}{1/k} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$
(denoted by the full sequence) and $f_i \in L^p(\Omega')$

such that:

$$\frac{f(x + e_i/k) - f(x)}{1/k} \rightarrow f_i \text{ weakly in } L^p(\Omega').$$

Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega')$

11.273

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \int_{\Omega'} f_i \psi \, dx &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega'} \left[\frac{f(x + e_i/k) - f(x)}{1/k} \right] \psi(x) \, dx \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega'} f(x) \left[\frac{\psi(x - e_i/k) - \psi(x)}{1/k} \right] \, dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega'} f(x) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \, dx \quad ; \quad \text{by} \\ & \quad \text{Dominated} \\ & \quad \text{Convergence} \\ & \quad \text{Theorem.} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = f_i$, in the sense of distributions

$\therefore f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega')$