## MA 511, Session 22

## More on Vector Subspaces

Let V and W be subspaces of U, and let us define their <u>sum</u>,

 $V + W = \{ u = v + w \in U, w \in V, w \in W \}.$ 

It is easy to see that the intersection of V and  $W, V \cap W$ , is a subspace of U, while, in general, their union,  $V \cup W$  in not. For example, let  $U = \mathbb{R}^2$ , V the *x*-axis and W the *y*-axis. Then  $V \cup W$  is not a subspace since  $(1,0)^T$  and  $(0,1)^T$  are in  $V \cup W$  but their sum  $(1,1)^T$  is not.

**Theorem:**  $V \cap W$  is a subspace of U.

<u>Proof</u>: (i) Assume  $u_1, u_2 \in V \cap W$ . Then,  $u_1, u_2 \in V \Rightarrow u_1 + u_2 \in V$  and  $u_1, u_2 \in W \Rightarrow u_1 + u_2 \in W$ . Hence,  $u_1 + u_2 \in V \cap W$ .

(ii) Assume  $u \in V$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $cu \in W$ and  $cu \in W$ . Hence,  $cu \in V \cap W$ . **Example:** Let  $V = \mathcal{M}_{3\times 3}$  be the vector space of  $3 \times 3$  matrices with real coefficients. Let L be the subspace of lower triangular matrices and U be the subspace of upper triangular matrices. Then,

$$L \cap U = \{ \text{diagonal matrices} \},\$$
  
 $L + U = \mathcal{M}_{3 \times 3}.$ 

**Example:** Let  $U = \mathbb{R}^4$ , V be the subspace of vectors with fourth component equal to the sum of the first two components, and W be the subspace of vectors with fourth component equal to the sum of the first and third components. Then,  $V + W = \mathbb{R}^4$ . <u>Proof</u>: Note that

$$V = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ x+y \end{pmatrix}, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$
$$W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ a+c \end{pmatrix}, a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

and then, as the system

$$x + a = b_1$$
$$y + b = b_2$$
$$z + c = b_3$$
$$x + y + a + c = b_4$$

is solvable (consistent) for **any**  $b \in \mathbb{R}^4$ , it follows that  $V + W = \mathbb{R}^4$ .

**Example:** Let now A be a  $k \times n$  matrix and B be a  $l \times n$  matrix. Consider now  $V = \mathcal{C}(A^T)$  and  $W = \mathcal{C}(B^T)$ . Then,

$$\dim(V+W) = \operatorname{rank} C,$$

where C is the  $(k+l) \times n$  matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ .

<u>Proof</u>: By definition, the row space of C consists of all linear combinations of rows of A and rows of B, which is V + W (sums of linear combinations of rows of A with linear combinations of rows of B). Also,  $\dim \mathcal{C}(C^T) = \dim \mathcal{C}(C) = \operatorname{rank} C$  is the number of linearly independent rows (and columns) in C, and thus  $\dim(V + W) = \operatorname{rank} C$ .

**Example:** Similarly, let A be a  $m \times k$  matrix and B be a  $m \times l$  matrix. Consider V = C(A) and W = C(B). Then,

$$\dim(V+W) = \operatorname{rank} D,$$

where D is the  $m \times (k+l)$  matrix  $(A \quad B)$ .

Suppose now that V and W are subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ of dimensions k and l, respectively. Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  be a basis for V and  $w_1, \ldots, w_l$  be a basis for W. Let  $D = (v_1 \ \ldots \ v_k \ w_1 \ \ldots \ w_l),$ 

a  $m \times (k+l)$  matrix. We just saw that

$$\dim(V+W) = \operatorname{rank} D.$$

**Theorem:** (Dimension formula)

 $\dim(V+W) + \dim(V \cap W) = \dim V + \dim W.$ 

<u>Proof</u>: Let us prove that  $\dim(V \cap W) = \dim \mathcal{N}(D)$ .

To see this, let  $x \in \mathcal{N}(D) \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+l}$ . Then, Dx = 0 means

 $x_1v_1 + \dots + x_kv_k + x_{k+1}w_1 + \dots + x_{k+l}w_l = 0,$ that is,

 $y = x_1v_1 + \dots + x_kv_k = -x_{k+1}w_1 - \dots - x_{k+l}w_l.$ Then  $y \in V \cap W$  and we have a one-to-one correspondence

$$x \in \mathcal{N}(D) \longleftrightarrow y \in V \cap W.$$

This correspondence defines linear transformations  $L: \mathcal{N}(D) \to V \cap W$  and  $T: V \cap W \to \mathcal{N}(D)$ such that LT and TL are identity transformations of  $\mathcal{N}(D)$  and  $V \cap W$ , respectively. Choosing bases in  $\mathcal{N}(D)$  and  $V \cap W$ , one can represent L and T by matrices A and B so that  $AB = \mathbb{I}$  and  $BA = \mathbb{I}$ . Hence A and B are invertible square matrices. This shows that  $\dim(V \cap W) = \dim \mathcal{N}(D)$ , as needed. Finally,  $\dim \mathcal{C}(D) + \dim \mathcal{N}(D) = k + l = \dim V + \dim W$ 

completes the proof.

**Example:** Let V and W be as in the previous example in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Then,

$$V = \mathcal{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad W = \mathcal{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It follows that

$$\dim(V+W) = 4 = \operatorname{rank} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $\dim(V \cap W) = \dim V + \dim W - \dim(V + W) = 2$ . A basis for  $V \cap W$  is, e.g.  $(1, 0, 0, 1)^T$ ,  $(0, 1, 1, 1)^T$ . **Theorem:** Let A be a  $m \times n$  matrix and B be a  $n \times p$  matrix. Then,

(i) 
$$\mathcal{N}(B) \subset \mathcal{N}(AB),$$

(ii)  $\mathcal{C}(AB) \subset \mathcal{C}(A),$ 

- (iii)  $\mathcal{N}(A^T) \subset \mathcal{N}((AB)^T),$
- (iv)  $\mathcal{C}((AB)^T) \subset \mathcal{C}(B^T).$

<u>Proof</u>: (i) Let  $x \in \mathcal{N}(B)$ . Then,  $Bx = 0 \Rightarrow ABx = A0 = 0$ , that is  $x \in \mathcal{N}(AB)$ .

(ii) The columns of AB are linear combinations of the columns of A.

(iii) and (iv) are analogous, working with the transposes of A, B, AB.

**Corollary:** Taking dimensions, we immediately see that

(i)  $\operatorname{rank}(AB) \leq \operatorname{rank} A$ ,

(ii)  $\operatorname{rank}(AB) \leq \operatorname{rank} B$ ,

(iii)  $\dim \mathcal{N}(AB) \ge \dim \mathcal{N}(B)$ .

**Definition:** Given a  $m \times n$  matrix A, and positive integers k, l such that  $1 \leq k \leq m$  and  $1 \leq l \leq n$ , a  $(k \times l)$  submatrix of A is obtained by deleting any m - k rows and any n - l columns of A.

**Theorem:** Suppose A is of rank r. Then,

(i) Every submatrix of A has rank  $\leq r$ .

(ii) At least one  $r \times r$  submatrix of A has rank r.

**Example:** Find the rank and a largest invertible submatrix of  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \end{pmatrix}$ . Solution: We take A to its row echelon form.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & -4 & -8 & -12 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see that rank A = 2 and the largest invertible submatrix is  $2 \times 2$ . Any  $2 \times 2$  submatrix of A is invertible, for example

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$