

**DRAFT: THE IMAGE OF THE TRACE
MAP FOR THE DICKSON INVARIANTS**

C.W. WILKERSON

Purdue University

ABSTRACT.

In [Wilkerson], [3] it was explicitly shown that the trace map for the extension of algebras

$$S[V]^{GL(V)} \rightarrow S[V],$$

is nonzero in certain degrees. In particular, for $p = 2$ and $\text{rank}(V) = n$, it was calculated that

$$\text{Trace}\left(\prod_{0 \leq i < n} (x_{i+1})^{2^n - 1 - 2^i}\right) = \left(\prod_{v \neq 0} v\right)^{n-1}.$$

That is, the $(n - 1)$ -th power of the top dimensional generator of the Dickson algebra is in the image of the trace map. The question left unsettled by [3] was the smallest dimension on which the trace was nonzero. This note answers that and more. For convenience we discuss only the $p = 2$ case.

Theorem I. *If the monomial $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{k}} = \prod_{0 < i < n} (x_i)^{k_i}$ has each $k_i < 2^n$ and some k_j has fewer than $n - 1$ ones in its dyadic expansion, then*

$$\text{Trace}(\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{k}}) = 0.$$

The author was supported in part by the National Science Foundation

Corollary II. *The image of the trace is exactly the ideal in $S[V]^{GL(V)}$ generated by*

$$\prod_{v \neq 0} v^{n-1}.$$

The kernel of the trace is spanned as an $S[V]^{GL(V)}$ module by the elements in $S[V]$ of degree less than

$$\sum_{0 \leq i < n} (2^n - 2^i - 1) = (n-1)(2^n - 1).$$

The proof uses a few elementary facts about the trace:

- (1) If the trace into the subring of invariants of a proper subgroup is zero, then the trace into the subring of invariants of the entire group is zero and
- (2) If the trace of an element y in the same orbit as x is zero, then $\text{Trace}(x) = 0$.

There are two easily visualized useful subgroups of $GL(V)$:

- (1) The Borel subgroup B of upper triangular matrices. The action is arranged so that

$$x_1 \rightarrow x_1, x_2 \rightarrow x_2 + b_{12}x_1, \dots, x_n \rightarrow x_n + \sum_{0 < i \leq n-1} b_{in}x_i.$$

And

- (2) The symmetric group Σ_n , embedded as the subgroup of monomial matrices. It permutes the set of monomials into itself.

Proof of Theorem I.

In the orbit of $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{k}}$ under B , the general form of a single translate of $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{k}}$ is

$$x_1^{k_1} (x_2 + b_{12}x_1)^{k_2} \dots (x_n + b_{1n}x_1 \dots + b_{n-1,n}x_{n-1})^{k_n}.$$

Form the symbolic expansion of this, regarding the b_{ij} as commuting formal variables satisfying $b_{ij}^2 = b_{ij}$. Then it is expressible as

$$\sum_{\mathbf{J}} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{J}} P_{\mathbf{J}}$$

where $P_{\mathbf{J}} \in S[V]$ and \mathbf{J} is a multi-index with each term 0 or 1.

The orbit under B will be the the sum of this term over all evaluations of $(\mathbf{F}_p)^{\binom{n}{2}}$. If the $P_{\mathbf{J}}$ for the constant sequence $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is zero in this

expansion, then the trace of $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{k}}$ is zero, since any other monomial in the b_j 's will be nonzero an even number of times on $(\mathbf{F}_p)^{\binom{n}{2}}$. Hence when summing over the B orbit, such terms will cancel. But we can break the analysis of such terms down to the individual

$$(x_i + \dots b_{i-1,i}x_{i-1})^{k_i}$$

The worst case is for the expansion of

$$(x_n + b_{1n}x_1 \dots + b_{n-1,n}x_{n-1})^{k_n}$$

By changing $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{k}}$ by a permutation, it can be assumed that any of the original k_i 's is the exponent for x_n in $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{k}}$. So the question is reduced determining when does the product

$$b_{1n}b_{2n} \dots b_{n-1,n}$$

appears with a nonzero coefficient in the expansion of

$$(x_n + b_{1n}x_1 \dots + b_{n-1,n}x_{n-1})^k$$

. This is equivalent to having some multinomial coefficient

$$\binom{k}{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}} = k/i!i_1! \dots i_{n-1}!$$

where

$$i + i_1 + \dots + i_{n-1} = k$$

be nonzero for some choice of positive i_j 's.

By the usual algorithm for the calculation of binomial coefficients mod 2, this will require that for each position s and k_s the s -th coefficient in the dyadic expansion of k , that

$$\binom{k_s}{(i_1)_s, (i_2)_s, \dots, (i_{n-1})_s} \neq 0.$$

But each i_j must have at least one 1 in its dyadic expansion, so at least $n - 1$ of the k_s must be nonzero.

REFERENCES

1. J. F. Adams and C. W. Wilkerson, *Finite H-spaces and Algebras over the Steenrod Algebra*, Annals of Mathematics **111** (1980), 95–143.
2. Stewart Priddy and C.W. Wilkerson, *Hilbert's Theorem 90 and the Segal conjecture for elementary abelian p -groups*, Amer. J. Math **107**(4) (1985), 775–785.
3. C.W. Wilkerson, *A primer on the Dickson invariants*, Proc. of the Northwestern Homotopy Theory Conference, Contemp. Math. 19, (1983), A.M.S., 421–434.
4. C.W. Wilkerson, *Elements of trace 1 in $S[V](L^{-1})$* , URL <http://hopf.math.purdue.edu/pub/Wilkerson-older/euler.dvi> (1984), 1-3.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47907

PROCESSED FEBRUARY 23, 1996