We note that instead of the inequality $\left|t_{p}(\omega)\right| \leqslant 2 \sqrt{p}$, whose proof is very involved, one could use the weaker inequality $\left|t_{p}(\omega)\right| \leqslant p^{4 / 4}+p^{1 / s}$, proved in [6] by comparatively elementary means.
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## MEROMORPHIC SOLUTIONS OF FIRST-ORDER ALGEBRAIC

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
A. E. Eremenko

UDC 517.92

Let $K$ be the field of germs of meromorphic functions at $\infty$, $M$ be the field of functions which are meromorphic for $r<|z|<\infty$ ( $r$ depends on the function). By $K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$, $K\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$, respectively, we denote the ring of polynomials and field of rational functions in $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ over $K$. Let $y \in M$ be a solution of the differential equation $F(y, y)=$ $0, F \in K\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$. We study the order of the function $y$, i.e., the order of growth of the Nevanlinna characteristic $T(r, y)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The finiteness of the order is proved in [1], and it is established in [2] that the order is a rational number. The history of the question is described in $[2,3]$. We note that in [2], instead of $K$ the field $c(z)$ is considered, and instead of $M$, the field of functions, meromorphic in $C$. All the results and their proofs from [2] remain valid for the fields $K$ and $M$ also.

THEOREM. Let the function $y \in M$ satisfy a first order differential equation with coefficients from $K$. Then the order of the function $y$ is a number of the form $k / 2$ or $k / 3$, with $k$ a nonnegative integer.

For equations of the special form $\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{m}=R(y), R \in K(t)$ this result is found in [3]. Examples from [3] show that all numbers of the form indicated can occur.

Proof. Since functions from $K$ have order zero, one can assume that $y \in M \backslash K$. We consider the field $\mathfrak{M}=K\left(y^{\prime}, y\right) \subset M$. This field is of transcendence degree 1 over $K$, and is closed with respect to differentiation. By Theorem 6 of [2], the field $\dot{\mathscr{U}}$ is Fuchsian, so its genus is equal to 0 or 1 (cf. [2, Sec. 5]). We note that the orders of all elements of $2 \backslash$ are identical [2].

Let the field $\mathfrak{A}$ be of genus 0 over $K$. By a theorem of Lang [4, Chap. II, Paragraph 3.3 c] $\mathfrak{A}=K(w)$ holds for some $w \in \mathscr{A}$. We have $w^{\prime}=R(w), R \in K(t)$. From the Fuchs conditions [2, 5], it follows that $R$ is a quadratic polynomial, i.e., $w$ is a solution of a Riccati equation with coefficients which are meromorphic at infinity. It is known that the order of any transcendental solution of such an equation is a number of the form $k / 2, k \in N[6]$, which proves the theorem in case of genus 0 .

Now let the field $\left\{\right.$ be of genus 1 over $K$. We set $\mathfrak{A}_{1}=\vec{K}\left(y^{\prime}, y\right)$, where $\bar{K}$ is the algebraic closure of the field $K$, i.e., the field of germs of functions which are algebraic at infinity. It is known [5, 7] that a Fuchsian differential field of genus 1 over an algebraically closed field $\overline{\mathrm{K}}$ has the form $\mathfrak{A}_{1}=\bar{K}(w, x)$, where
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$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{2}=\left(x-e_{1}\right)\left(x-e_{2}\right)\left(x-e_{3}\right)=x^{3}+A x+B \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$e_{i} \in C$ are pairwise distinct, $e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=\lambda w, \quad \lambda \in \bar{K}, \quad \lambda(z) \sim \text { const } z^{\alpha}, \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{Q} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (1), (2) that $x(z)=8\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{z_{0}}^{z} \lambda(t) d t\right)$, so $\alpha \geqslant-1$, and the order of the function $x$ is equal to $2(1+\alpha)[2, \operatorname{Sec} .5]$. We shall prove that the number $\alpha$ is a multiple of $1 / 4$ or $1 / 6$. For any $v \in \mathscr{A}_{1}$, we denote by $\sigma v$ the result of analytic continuation of $v$ along a curve going once around $\infty$. It follows from the obvious equation $\sigma y=y$ that $\sigma$ is an automorphism of the field $\mathscr{M}_{1}$. We note that $\sigma$ commutes with differentiation. The general form of automorphisms of fields of genus 1 determined by (1) is known. Let $\Delta=4 A^{3} /\left(4 A^{3}+27 B^{2}\right)$. Any automorphism of the field $\mathfrak{A}_{1}$ is given by [8]

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}=\mu\left\{(w-b)^{2} /(x-a)^{2}-x-a\right\},  \tag{3}\\
& w_{1}=v\left\{(w-b) /(x-a)\left[-(w-b)^{2} /(x-a)^{2}+x+a\right]-(x b-w a) /(x-a)\right\},  \tag{4}\\
& a, b \in \bar{K}, \quad b^{2}=\left(a-e_{1}\right)\left(a-e_{2}\right)\left(a-e_{3}\right), \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu=1, \nu^{2}=1$ if $\Delta \neq 0,1 ; \mu^{3}=1, v^{2}=1 \quad$ if $\Delta=0, \mu^{2}=1, \nu^{4}=1 \quad$ if $\Delta=1$.
Now let $x_{1}=\sigma x, w_{1}=w$. We write the conditions on $a$ and $b$ under which the automorphism (3), (4) commutes with differentiation. For this we apply $\sigma$ to (2) and into the formula obtained $\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}=(\sigma \lambda) \mathrm{w}_{1}$ we substitute the expressions for $\mathrm{x}_{1}$, $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ found from (3), (4). First let $\mathrm{b} \neq 0$. After transformations considering (1), (2), (5), we get

$$
\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\left(\mu^{-1} v \sigma \lambda-\lambda\right)^{2}\left(a-e_{1}\right)\left(a-e_{2}\right)\left(a-e_{3}\right)
$$

(Compare [7, Sec. 13], where this calculation is made in the Jacobi notation.) Since the function $a$ is algebraic at $\infty$, and $a \neq e_{i}, i=1,2,3$ (by (5) and the assumption that $b \neq 0$ ), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{-1} v \sigma \lambda(z)-\lambda(z)=o\left(z^{-1}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $b=0$, analogous calculations give $\mu^{-1} \nu \sigma \lambda=\lambda$, so in any case (6) holds. The number $\mu^{-1} \nu$ is a root of one of degree 4 or 6 . Hence it follows from (6) that the number $\alpha$ is a multiple of $1 / 4$ or $1 / 6$, which is what had to be proved.

Now we consider an equation whose coefficients have an essential singularity at $\infty$, namely $F\left(y^{\prime}, y\right)=0, F \in M\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$. The solution of this equation $y \in M$ is called admissible, if for any coefficient $a$ of the polynomial $F$ one has $T(r, a)=o(T(r, y))$. In contrast with many results on first order algebraic differential equations (cf., e.g., the survey in [2]), the theorem proved does not have an analog for admissible solutions. In fact, in [9] A. A. Gol'dberg constructed an entire function $w$ of preassigned order $\rho>0$ with the following properties. The function $N(r)$, counting simple roots of the equation $w^{2}(z)=1$ in the disc $\{z:|z| \leqslant r\}$ has order zero. All remaining roots of this equation have multiplicity 2.

We considex the meromorphicfunction $a=\left(w^{\prime 2} /\left(w^{2}-1\right)\right.$. The functionscounting poles of this function, obviously has order zero. Moreover, by the lemma on the logarithmic derivative [10], for the Nevanlinna approximation function $m(r, a)$ one has

$$
m(r, a) \leqslant m\left(r, w^{\prime} /(w-1)\right)+m\left(r, w^{\prime} /(w+1)\right)=O(\ln r)
$$

Thus, the function $w$ has order zero, and the entire function wof preassigned order $\rho>0$ is an admissible solution of the differential equation $\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}=a\left(w^{2}-1\right)$.

The author thanks A. A. Gol'dberg and V. G. Drinfel'd for helpful discussion, and the referee for valuable remarks.
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CONSTRUCTION OF CANONICAL COORDINATES ON ORBITS
OF THE COADJOINT REPRESENTATION OF GRADED LIE GROUPS
S. A. Kamalin and A. M. Perelomov

UDC 519.46

In this note we construct canonical coordinates on the simplest Ad*-orbits of $Z_{+}$-graded Lie algebras. Using a theorem of $P$. van Mörbecke, completely integrable systems on certain orbits of Borel subalgebras of simple Lie algebras are produced.

1. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\sum_{k \geqslant 0} \mathfrak{g}_{k}$ be a $Z_{+}$-graded Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{g}^{*}=\sum_{k \geqslant 0} g_{-k}^{*}$ be the dual space with the dual grading $g_{-k}^{*}=\left(\sum_{i \neq k} g_{i}\right)^{\perp}$. Obviously, for $f \equiv g_{-k}^{*}$ the stationary subalgebra $g_{f}$ is graded, $\mathfrak{g}_{f}=\sum \mathfrak{g}_{f, i}, \mathfrak{g}_{f, i}=\mathfrak{g}_{f} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{i}$, and for even $k$ the space $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{k} / 2}$ is orthogonal to $\sum_{i \neq k / 2} \mathfrak{g}_{i}$ with respect to the form <f, [.,.]〉.

THEOREM 1. $g=\sum_{i \geqslant 0} g_{i}$ is a $Z_{+}$-graded Lie algebra. Suppose for $f \in g_{-k}^{*}, k>0$ there exists a $g_{f, o}$-invariant maximal isotropic subspace $g_{k / 2}^{\prime}$ of the space $g_{k / 2}$ with respect to the form $\langle f,[,, \mathrm{~J}\rangle(\mathrm{gk} / 2=0$ for odd k$)$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{p}=\sum_{i<k / 2} \mathfrak{g}_{f, i}+\mathfrak{g}_{k / 2}^{\prime}+\sum_{j>k / 2} \mathfrak{g}_{j}
$$

is a polarization satisfying Pukanskii's condition.
Remarks. 1. If all operators of the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{f, 0} \mid \mathfrak{g}_{k / 2}$ are nilpotent, the hypotheses of the theorem hold.
2. If the algebra $g$ is completely solvable and the grading is compatible with the filtration by derived series, the polarization $p$ can be constructed with the help of the construction of Vergne [7, 8], described in [1, 2].
3. The hypotheses of the theorem hold for Borel subalgebras of semisimple Lie algebras. This immediately gives the following

[^0]
[^0]:    Moscow State University. Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics. Translated from Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya, Vol. 18, No. 3, pp. 80-81, July-September, 1984. Original article submitted May 25, 1983.

