A version of Fabry's theorem for power series with regularly varying coefficients

Alexandre Eremenko*

May 20, 2008

Abstract

For real power series whose non-zero coefficients satisfy $|a_m|^{1/m} \to 1$, we prove a stronger version of Fabry's theorem relating the frequency of sign changes in the coefficients and analytic continuation of the sum of the power series. AMS Subj. Class.: 30B10, 30B40.

For a set Λ of non-negative integers, we consider the counting function

$$n(x, \Lambda) = \#\Lambda \cap [0, x].$$

We say that Λ is *measurable* if the limit

$$\lim_{x\to+\infty} n(x, \Lambda)/x$$

exists, and call this limit the *density* of Λ.

Let $S = \{a_m\}$ be a sequence of real numbers. We say that *a sign change* occurs at the place m if there exists $k < m$ such that $a_m a_k < 0$ while $a_j = 0$ for $k < j < m$.

Theorem A. *Let Δ be a number in $[0, 1]$. The following two properties of a set Λ of positive integers are equivalent:*

(i) Every power series

$$f(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m z^m \tag{1}$$

*Supported by NSF grant DMS-0555279.

of radius of convergence 1*, with real coefficients and such that the changes of sign of* $\{a_m\}$ *occur only for* $m \in \Lambda$*, has a singularity on the arc*

$$I_\Delta = \{e^{i\theta} : |\theta| \leq \pi\Delta\},$$

and

(ii) For every $\Delta' > \Delta$ *there exists a measurable set* $\Lambda' \subset \mathbf{N}$ *of density* Δ' *such that* $\Lambda \subset \Lambda'$*.*

Implication (ii) $\longrightarrow$ (i) is a consequence of Fabry's General Theorem [6, 3], as restated by Pólya. For the implication (i) $\longrightarrow$ (ii) see [9]. Fabry's General theorem takes into account not only the sign changes of coefficients but also the absolute values of coefficients. It has a rather complicated statement and the sufficient condition of the existence of a singularity given by this theorem is not the best possible. The best possible condition in Fabry's General theorem is unknown, see, for example the discussion in [4].

Alan Sokal (private communication) asked what happens if we assume that the power series (1) satisfies the additional regularity condition:

$$\lim_{m \in P, m \to \infty} |a_m|^{1/m} = 1, \tag{2}$$

where $P = \{m : a_m \neq 0\}$. This condition holds for most interesting generating functions. The answer is somewhat surprising:

Theorem 1. *Let* Δ *be a number in* $[0, 1]$*. The following two properties of a set* Λ *of positive integers are equivalent:*

a) Every power series (1) *satisfying* (2)*, with real coefficients and such that the changes of sign of the coefficients* a_m *occur only for* $m \in \Lambda$*, has a singularity on the arc* I_Δ*, and*

b) All measurable subsets $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ *have densities at most* Δ*.*

We recall that the *minimum density*

$$\underline{D}_2(\Lambda) = \lim_{r \to 0+} \liminf_{x \to +\infty} \frac{n((r+1)x, \Lambda) - n(x, \Lambda)}{rx}$$

can be alternatively defined as the sup of the limits

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} n(x, \Lambda')/x \tag{3}$$

over all measurable sets $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$.

Similarly the *maximum density* of Λ is

$$\overline{D}_2(\Lambda) = \lim_{r\to 0+} \limsup_{x\to\infty} \frac{n((r+1)x,\Lambda) - n(x,\Lambda)}{rx},$$

and it equals to the inf of the limits (3) over all measurable sequences of non-negative integers Λ' containing Λ.

For all these properties of minimum and maximum densities see [12].

Thus condition (ii) is equivalent to $\overline{D}_2(\Lambda) \leq \Delta$ while condition b) is equivalent to $\underline{D}_2(\Lambda) \leq \Delta$.

Corollary 1. *The following two properties of a set Λ of positive integers are equivalent:*

A. Every power series

$$\sum_{m\in\Lambda} a_m z^m \tag{4}$$

satisfying (2) *has a singularity on I_Δ,*

A'. Every power series (4) *satisfying* (2) *has a singularity on every closed arc of length $2\pi\Delta$ of the unit circle, and*

B. $\underline{D}_2(\Lambda) \leq \Delta$.

Indeed, all assumptions of A are invariant with respect to the change of the variable $z \mapsto \lambda z$, $|\lambda| = 1$, thus A is equivalent to the formally stronger statement A'.

Now, the number of sign changes of any sequence does not exceed the number of its non-zero terms, thus B implies A by Theorem 1. The remaining implication $A \longrightarrow B$ will be proved in the end of the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. b) $\longrightarrow$ a). Proving this by contradiction, we assume that $\underline{D}_2(\Lambda) \leq \Delta$, and there exists a function f of the form (1) with the property (2) which has an analytic continuation to I_Δ, and such that the sign changes occur only for $m \in \Lambda$.

Without loss of generality we assume that $a_0 = 1$, and $\Delta < 1$.

Lemma 1. *For a function f as in* (1) *to have an immediate analytic continuation from the unit disc to the arc I_Δ it is necessary and sufficient that there exists an entire function F of exponential type with the properties*

$$a_m = (-1)^m F(m), \quad \textit{for all} \quad m \geq 0, \tag{5}$$

and

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \frac{\log|F(te^{i\theta})|}{t} \leq \pi b|\sin\theta|, \quad |\theta| < \alpha, \tag{6}$$

with some $b < 1 - \Delta$ and some $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$.

This result can be found in [1], see also [2, 4].

Consider the sequence of subharmonic functions

$$u_m(z) = \frac{1}{m}\log|F(mz)|, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots.$$

This sequence is uniformly bounded from above on every compact subset of the plane, because F is of exponential type. Moreover, $u_m(0) = 0$ because of our assumption that $a_0 = F(0) = 1$. Compactness Principle [8, Th. 4.1.9] implies that from every sequence of integers m one can choose a subsequence such that the limit $u = \lim u_m$ exists. This limit is a subharmonic function in the plane that satisfies in view of (6)

$$u(re^{i\theta}) \leq \pi br|\sin\theta|, \quad |\theta| < \alpha, \tag{7}$$

with some b satisfying

$$0 < b < 1 - \Delta.$$

We use the following result of Pólya [11, footnote 18, p. 703]:

Lemma 2. *Let f be a power series* (1) *of radius of convergence* 1*. Let $\{a_{m_k}\}$ be a subsequence of coefficients with the property*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} |a_{m_k}|^{1/m_k} = 1,$$

and assume that for some $r > 0$ the number of non-zero coefficients a_j on the interval $m_k \leq j \leq (1+r)m_k$ is $o(m_k r)$ as $k \to \infty$. Then f has no analytic continuation to any point of the unit circle.

Lemma 2 also follows from the results of [1] or [4].

Now we show that (2) implies the following:

Lemma 3. *Every limit function has the property $u(x) = 0$ for $x \geq 0$.*

Proof of Lemma 3. Let $U = \{x : x \geq 0, u(x) < 0\}$. This set is open because u is upper semi-continuous. Take any closed interval $J = [c, d] \subset U$.

Then $u(x) \leq -\epsilon, \; x \in J$, with some $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\{m_k\}$ be the sequence of integers such that $u_{m_k} \to u$. Then from the definition of u_m we see that

$$\log |F(m_k x)| \leq -m_k \epsilon/2 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in J$$

and for all large k. Together with (5) and (2) this implies that $a_j = 0$ for all $j \in m_k J$. Let $a_{m_k'}$ be the last non-zero coefficient before cm_k. Applying Lemma 2 to the sequence $\{m_k'\}$ we conclude that f has no analytic continuation from the unit disc. This is a contradiction which proves Lemma 3. □

Now we use the following general fact:

Grishin's Lemma. *Let $u \leq v$ be two subharmonic functions, and μ and ν their respective Riesz measures. Let E be a Borel set such that $u(z) = v(z) > -\infty$ for $z \in E$. Then the restrictions of the Riesz measures on E satisfy*

$$\mu|_E \leq \nu|_E.$$

The references are [13, 7, 5].

In view of Lemma 2, we can apply Grishin's Lemma to u and $v(z) = \pi b |\text{Im } z|$ and $E = [0, \infty) \subset \mathbf{R}$. We obtain that the Riesz measure $d\mu$ of any limit function u of the sequence $\{u_k\}$ satisfies

$$d\mu|_{[0,\infty)} \leq b \; dx. \tag{8}$$

Now we go back to our coefficients and function F. By our assumption, the sign changes occur on a sequence Λ whose minimum density is at most Δ. Choose a number a such that $b < a < 1 - \Delta$. By the first definition of the minimum density, there exist $r > 0$ and a sequence $x_k \to \infty$ such that

$$n((1+r)x_k, \Lambda) - n(x_k, \Lambda) \leq (1-a) r x_k.$$

Lemma 4. *Let $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N)$ be a sequence of real numbers, and f a real analytic function on the closed interval $[0, N]$, such that $f(n) = (-1)^n a_n$. Then the number of zeros of f on $[0, N]$, counting multiplicities, is at least N minus the number of sign changes of the sequence $\{a_n\}$.*

Proof. Consider first an interval (k, n) such that $a_k a_n \neq 0$ but $a_j = 0$ for $k < j < n$. We claim that f has at least

$$n - k - \#(\text{sign changes in the pair } (a_k, a_n))$$

zeros on the open interval (k, n). Indeed, the number of zeros of f on this interval is at least $n - k - 1$ in any case. This proves the claim if there is a sign change in the pair (a_k, a_n). If there is no sign change, that is $a_n a_k > 0$, then $f(n)f(k) = (-1)^{n-k}$. So the number of zeros of f on the interval (n, k) is of the same parity as $n - k$. But f has at least $n - k - 1$ zeros on this interval, thus the total number of zeros is at least $n - k$. This proves our claim.

Now let a_k be the first and a_n the last non-zero term of our sequence. As the interval (k, n) is a disjoint union of the intervals to which the above claim applies, we conclude that the number of zeros of f on (k, n) is at least $(n-k)$ minus the number of sign changes of our sequence. On the rest of the interval $[0, N]$ our function has at least $N - n + k$ zeros, so the total number of zeros is at least N minus the number of sign changes. □

Let u be a limit function of the subsequence $\{u_{m_k}\}$ with $m_k = [x_k]$. By Lemma 4, the function F has at least $arx_k - 2$ zeros on each interval $[x_k, (1 + r)x_k]$, which implies that the Riesz measure μ of u satisfies

$$\mu([1, 1 + r]) \geq ar.$$

This contradicts (8) and thus proves the implication b) $\longrightarrow$ a).

a) $\longrightarrow$ b). Suppose that a set Λ of positive integers does not satisfy b). We will construct power series f of the form (4) which has an immediate analytic continuation from the unit disc to the arc I_Δ. This will simultaneously prove the implications a) $\longrightarrow$ b) of Theorem 1 and $A \longrightarrow B$ of Corollary 1.

Let $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ be a measurable set of density $\Delta' > \Delta$. Let S be the complement of Λ' in the set of positive integers. Then S is also measurable and has density $1 - \Delta'$.

Consider the infinite product

$$F(z) = \prod_{t \in S} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{t^2}\right).$$

This is an entire function of exponential type with indicator $\pi(1-\Delta')|\sin\theta|$, and furthermore,

$$\log|F(z)| \geq \pi(1 - \Delta')|\mathrm{Im}\ z| + o(|z|), \tag{9}$$

as $z \to \infty$ outside the set $\{z : \mathrm{dist}(z, S) \leq 1/4\}$. (See [10, Ch. II, Thm. 5] for this result.) Now we use the sufficiency part of Lemma 1, and define the coefficients of our power series by $a_m = (-1)^m F(m)$. Then we have all needed properties, in particular (2) follows from (9).

The author thanks Alan Sokal for many illuminating conversations about Fabry's theorem, and the referee for his valuable remarks.

References

[1] N. U. Arakelyan and V. A. Martirosyan, Localization of singularities on the boundary of the circle of convergence, Izvestia Akademii Nauk Armyanskoi SSR, Mat. vol. 22, No. 1 (1987) 3-21 (Russian) English translation: Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Analysis, 22 (1988) 1–19.

[2] N. Arakelyan, W. Luh and J. Müller, On the localization of singularities of lacunar power series, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, 52 (2007) 651–573.

[3] L. Bieberbach, Analytische Fortsetzung, Springer, Berlin, 1955.

[4] A. Eremenko, Densities in Fabry's theorem, preprint arXiv:0709.2360.

[5] B. Fuglede, Some properties of the Riesz charge associated with a δ-subharmonic function. Potential Anal. 1 (1992) 355–371.

[6] E. Fabry, Sur les séries de Taylor qui ont une infinité de points singuliers, Acta math., 22 (1898) 65–87.

[7] A. F. Grishin, Sets of regular growth of entire functions I, Teor. Funktsii Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. No. 40 (1983) 36–47 (Russian).

[8] L. Hörmander, The analysis of linear partial differential operators, vol. I, Springer, Berlin, 1983.

[9] P. Koosis, The Logarithmic Integral, vol. II Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[10] B. Ya. Levin, Distribution of zeros of entire functions, AMS Providence, RI, 1980.

[11] G. Pólya, Über gewisse notwendige Determinantkriterien fur die Fortsetzbarkeit einer Potenzreiche, Math. Ann. 99 (1928) 687–706.

[12] G. Pólya, Untersuchungen über Lücken und Singularitäten von Potenzreichen, Math. Z., 29 (1929) 549–640.

[13] C. de la Valle-Poussin, Potentiel et probléme généralisé de Dirichlet, Math. Gazette, 22 (1938) 17–36.

Purdue University,
West Lafayette, Indiana, USA
eremenko@math.purdue.edu