SECOND ORDER LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH A BASIS OF SOLUTIONS HAVING ONLY REAL ZEROS

WALTER BERGWEILER, ALEXANDRE EREMENKO, AND LASSE REMPE

To the victims of the Russian aggression in Ukraine

ABSTRACT. Let A be a transcendental entire function of finite order. We show that if the differential equation w'' + Aw = 0 has two linearly independent solutions with only real zeros, then the order of A must be an odd integer or one half of an odd integer. Moreover, A has completely regular growth in the sense of Levin and Pfluger. These results follow from a more general geometric theorem, which classifies symmetric local homeomorphisms from the plane to the sphere for which all zeros and poles lie on the real axis, and which have only finitely many singularities over finite non-zero values.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

For any entire function A, all solutions of the differential equation

$$(1.1) w'' + Aw = 0$$

are entire. We consider the question when this equation has two linearly independent solutions which have only real zeros. For a polynomial A this is possible only when A is constant [26, Theorem 3]. On the other hand, there are transcendental coefficients A for which this happens. However, we will show that if A has finite order, then this is possible only in special cases. In particular, the order must be an odd integer or one half of an odd integer.

We begin by making some general remarks on the equation (1.1), all of which can be found in [31]. Let w_1 and w_2 be two linearly independent solutions. Then their Wronskian determinant

$$W = W(w_1, w_2) = w_1 w_2' - w_1' w_2$$

is constant. A pair of solutions (w_1, w_2) is called *normalized* if W = 1.

The ratio of two linearly independent solutions $F = w_2/w_1$ satisfies the Schwarz equation

(1.2)
$$\frac{F'''}{F'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{F''}{F'}\right)^2 = 2A.$$

The function F is meromorphic in \mathbb{C} and locally univalent. All meromorphic locally univalent meromorphic functions arise in this way. A normalized pair can be recovered

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 34M10, 34M05, 30D15.

Key words and phrases. meromorphic function, entire function, linear differential equation, Bank– Laine function, Speiser class, quasiconformal surgery, conformal gluing.

from F by the formulae

$$w_1^2 = \frac{1}{F'}, \quad w_2^2 = \frac{F^2}{F'}$$

The product $E = w_1 w_2$ of a normalized pair of solutions of (1.1) has the property

(1.3)
$$E(z) = 0 \Rightarrow E'(z) \in \{\pm 1\}$$

Every entire function satisfying (1.3) is the product of a normalized pair of solutions of (1.1), with A given by

(1.4)
$$4A = -2\frac{E''}{E} + \left(\frac{E'}{E}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{E^2}.$$

Conversely, every entire function E satisfying (1.4) for some entire function A satisfies (1.3). The functions E and F are related by the formula

(1.5)
$$E = \frac{F}{F'}.$$

Note that zeros of E correspond to zeros and poles of F.

We conclude that studying zeros of linearly independent solutions of (1.1) is essentially equivalent to investigating the zeros of functions satisfying (1.3), or the zeros and poles of locally univalent functions. The relation between the coefficient A in (1.1), the function E satisfying (1.3) and the locally univalent function F is given by (1.2), (1.4) and (1.5).

Functions satisfying (1.3) play an important role in the work of Steven Bank and Ilpo Laine [1, 2], and they are now called *Bank-Laine functions*, or BL functions for short. Since this work, there has been a substantial interest in BL functions of finite order. We refer to the surveys [24] and [32] which cover the literature before 2008, and to the introductions of the recent papers [7, 8, 36, 37]. In particular, much attention has been paid to the exponent of convergence $\lambda(E)$ and the order $\rho(E)$ of a BL function E; see [31, p. 7] for the definitions.

When A is transcendental, all solutions w of (1.1) have infinite order. However, it is possible that the product E of two solutions has finite order. For example, when

$$A = p'' - (p')^2 - e^{4p}$$

with a polynomial p, we have a normalized pair of solutions

$$w_{1,2}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(-p(z) \mp \int_0^z e^{2p(t)} dt\right).$$

The order of the corresponding BL function $E = w_1 w_2 = \exp(-2p)$ is the degree of p and hence an integer.

For some time it had been conjectured that all BL functions of finite order corresponding to a transcendental coefficient A are elementary and that their orders are positive integers. Non-elementary examples were constructed for the first time by Langley in [33], and later in [13, 34]. The order of a transcendental BL function is at least 1; see [50], [48, Corollary 1] and [51, Theorem 1]. On the other hand, examples of BL functions of any order in $[1, \infty)$ were constructed in [7, 8].

In the recent papers [36] and [37], Jim Langley started to investigate real BL functions E of finite order for which all zeros are real. So in this case the associated differential equation (1.1) has two linearly independent solutions with only real zeros. As already

mentioned, for a polynomial A this is possible only when A is constant [26, Theorem 3]. In fact, if A has degree n, then the exponent of convergence of the non-real zeros of the product of two linearly independent solutions is equal to (n + 2)/2 [23, Theorem 1]. So it is surprising that there exist non-elementary BL functions of finite order with only real zeros.

Our first result says that instead of assuming that E has finite order, it is enough to assume that A has finite order. Note that we always have $\rho(A) \leq \rho(E)$ by (1.4).

Theorem 1.1. Let A and E be entire functions satisfying (1.4). If E has only real zeros, then $\rho(E) < \infty$ if and only if $\rho(A) < \infty$.

Theorem 1.2 below shows that, under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, we actually have $\rho(A) = \rho(E)$.

Theorem 1.1 says that studying entire coefficients A of finite order for which the differential equation (1.1) has two linearly independent solutions with only real zeros is equivalent to studying BL functions of finite order with real zeros.

A meromorphic function f is called *real* if it maps \mathbb{R} into $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. This is equivalent to $f(\overline{z}) = \overline{f(z)}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Functions (not necessarily analytic) which satisfy this last equality will be called *symmetric*. For other objects, like subsets of the plane, the word *symmetric* will mean invariant under complex conjugation.

We say that an infinite real sequence without finite accumulation points is *one-sided* if it is bounded from above or below, and *two-sided* otherwise.

The results of Langley [36, 37] on BL functions with real zeros can be summarized as follows. Recall that $\lambda(E)$ denotes the *exponent of convergence* of the zeros of E.

Theorem A. Let E be a real Bank-Laine function of finite order with only real zeros and let A be given by (1.4).

- (a) If the zeros of E form an infinite one-sided sequence, then $\lambda(E) \ge 3/2$. Moreover, if $\lambda(E) = 3/2$, then $\rho(E) = \rho(A) = 3/2$.
- (b) If the zeros of E form an infinite two-sided sequence, then either A is constant, or A is transcendental and $\lambda(E) \geq 3$. Moreover, if $\lambda(E) = 3$, then $\rho(E) = \rho(A) = 3$.

Langley's proofs actually yield a more general result, stated as Theorem B below. He also constructed examples for which we have equality in the estimates of $\lambda(E)$ in (a) and (b). Of course, if A is constant, then the possible forms of E can be determined explicitly.

We will strengthen Theorem A as follows.

Theorem 1.2. Let E and A be as in Theorem A.

- (a) If the zeros of E form an infinite one-sided sequence, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$ such that $\lambda(E) = \rho(E) = \rho(A) = n - 1/2$.
- (b) If the zeros of E form an infinite two-sided sequence and A is non-constant, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$ such that $\lambda(E) = \rho(E) = \rho(A) = 2n - 1$.

Remark 1.1. For an arbitrary real BL function E with only real zeros, not necessarily of finite order, there are no restrictions on the exponent of convergence of E. Indeed, a result of Shen [49] says that any set without finite accumulation points is the zero set of a BL function.

Theorem 1.2 will be a corollary of a more general result, stated as Theorem 1.3 below. However, we will also give a direct proof of Theorem 1.2 in section 3. This proof is analytic in nature, while the proof of the more general Theorem 1.3 is geometric. The proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are independent of each other.

To state Theorem 1.3 and Theorem B, we introduce some terminology. All surfaces in this paper are oriented and have countable base. A continuous map of surfaces $F: X \to Y$ is called *topologically holomorphic* if for every point $p \in X$ there are local coordinates at p and at F(p) in which F has the form $z \mapsto z^n$, where n is a positive integer. According to Stoïlow [54], all open discrete maps are topologically holomorphic.

The points where $n \geq 2$ are called *critical points*; their images are called *critical values*. The critical values correspond to the *algebraic singularities* of the inverse F^{-1} . The function F is a local homeomorphism if and only if there are no critical points.

The transcendental singularities of the inverse are defined as follows; cf. [5]. (There it is assumed that F is meromorphic, but the definition extends to topologically holomorphic functions without change.) Let $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$. Suppose that $D \mapsto U(D)$ associates to every topological disk containing a a connected component U(D) of $F^{-1}(D)$, in such a way that $U(D_1) \subset U(D_2)$ when $D_1 \subset D_2$. (Note that $D \mapsto U(D)$ is determined by its values on any base of neighborhoods of a.) If $\bigcap_D U(D) = \emptyset$, then we say that $D \mapsto U(D)$ is a transcendental singularity of F^{-1} over a. In this case, the sets U(D) are called tracts of F over a; any set containing such a tract is called a *neighborhood* of this singularity.

If there exists D such that $F(z) \neq a$ for all $z \in U(D)$ (resp. such that $F: U(D) \rightarrow D \setminus \{a\}$ is a universal covering map), then the singularity, and the tract U(D), are called *direct* (resp. *logarithmic*). We note that there can be more than one transcendental singularity over the same point. The number of transcendental (or direct or logarithmic) singularities over a point a is just the number of different choices $D \mapsto U(D)$. For example, the inverse of $F(z) = \exp \exp z$ has infinitely many logarithmic singularities over both 0 and ∞ , one logarithmic singularity over 1, and no other singularities.

We also note that F^{-1} has a transcendental singularity over a if and only if a is an asymptotic value of F. This means that there exists a curve γ tending to ∞ such that $F(z) \to a$ as $z \to \infty$, $z \in \gamma$. Each neighborhood U(D) then contains a "tail" of this curve γ .

Langley's paper in fact contains the following generalization of Theorem A.

Theorem B. Let E be a real Bank-Laine function of finite order with only real zeros and let A and F be as in (1.4) and (1.5).

If A is non-constant, then the inverse F^{-1} has infinitely many logarithmic singularities over 0 and ∞ , but the number m of singularities over points in $\mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is finite. Moreover, we have the following:

- (a) If the zeros of E form an infinite one-sided sequence, then A is non-constant, $m \ge 2$ and $\lambda(E) \ge m - 1/2$.
- (b) If the zeros of E form an infinite two-sided sequence and A is non-constant, then $m \ge 4$ and $\lambda(E) \ge m 1$.

We will see that we actually have equality in these estimates of $\lambda(E)$.

To state Theorem 1.3, we also recall that an entire function f of order ρ has completely regular growth in the sense of Levin and Pfluger if there exists a 2π -periodic function $h_f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, not vanishing identically, such that

$$\log |f(re^{i\theta})| = h_f(\theta)r^{\rho} + o(r^{\rho})$$

as $r \to \infty$, for $re^{i\theta}$ outside a union of disks $\{z \colon |z - a_j| < r_j\}$ such that

(1.6)
$$\sum_{|a_j| \le r} r_j = o(r)$$

as $r \to \infty$. The function h_f is called the *indicator* of f.

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. Let $F \colon \mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be a symmetric local homeomorphism with all zeros and poles real. Suppose that the number m of singularities of F^{-1} over points in \mathbb{C}^* is finite, but that F^{-1} has infinitely many singularities over 0 or ∞ .

Then there exists a symmetric homeomorphism $\phi \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $F_0 = F \circ \phi$ is a meromorphic function, so that $E = F_0/F'_0$ is entire and has the following properties:

- (i) If F has only finitely many zeros and poles, then $m \ge 1$ and $\rho(E) = m$.
- (ii) If the zeros and poles of F form an infinite one-sided sequence, then $m \ge 2$ and $\lambda(E) = \rho(E) = m 1/2$.
- (iii) If the zeros and poles of F form an infinite two-sided sequence, then m is even, $m \ge 4$ and $\lambda(E) = \rho(E) = m - 1$.
- (iv) The functions E and A in (1.4) have the same order $\rho = \rho(E)$, and they are of completely regular growth in the sense of Levin–Pfluger.

For $|\theta| \leq \pi$, the indicator of E is given in case (i) by $h_E(\theta) = c \cos \rho \theta$ with $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ while in case (ii) we have $h_E(\theta) = c \sin(\rho |\theta|)$ with c > 0 if the zeros are positive and $h_E(\theta) = c \sin(\rho |\theta - \pi|)$ with c > 0 if the zeros are negative. In case (iii), h_E is given by the (now coinciding) formulae of case (ii). In all cases we have

(1.7)
$$h_A = 2\max\{-h_E, 0\}.$$

with some c > 0.

(v) All values of m indicated in (i) - (iii) can actually occur.

Remark 1.2. All assumptions of Theorem 1.3 are of purely topological nature. So Theorem 1.3 contains a parabolic type criterion for a class of surfaces spread over the sphere. It can be compared with the theorem of Nevanlinna [45] describing the conformal type and asymptotic behavior of a locally univalent function F whose inverse has only finitely many singularities. In particular, Nevanlinna showed that a meromorphic function Fwith this property has finite order.

Suppose that F^{-1} has only finitely many singularities over 0 and ∞ , but that F otherwise satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3. Then F^{-1} has only finitely many singularities, so belongs to the class considered by Nevanlinna. A result of Hellerstein, Shen and Williamson [26, Theorem 2] says that if all zeros and poles of a real meromorphic function F of this class are real, then F is a linear-fractional transformation or of the form $F(z) = A \tan(az + b) + B$ with real constants a, b, A, B.

The reality of zeros and poles of F is an essential assumption here and in Theorem 1.3: The results of [8] show in particular that there exist locally univalent meromorphic functions F whose inverses have only one singularity over \mathbb{C}^* , where the order of E = F/F' can take any preassigned value in $(1, \infty]$.

Remark 1.3. Theorem 1.3 is stronger than Theorem 1.2 for several reasons. First, Theorem 1.3 does not require the a priori assumption that E has finite order, but only the assumption that F^{-1} has finitely many singularities over points in \mathbb{C}^* . Second, we obtain a more precise description of the asymptotics of the functions E and A, namely that these functions are of completely regular growth. In particular, the functions are of normal type of the given order, a conclusion that does not follow from our analytic proof of Theorem 1.2; see Remark 3.3 below. Our proof of Theorem 1.3 will in fact give additional insights in the structure of these functions. Finally, the geometric approach also allows us to construct examples showing that all indicated values of m may actually occur. Note that Langley's Theorem A gives such examples for m = 2 in case (*ii*) and for m = 4 in case (*iii*). Some of the underlying ideas of the construction of our examples for general m are similar to his, but the details are quite different. It is plausible that Langley's methods could also be modified to yield examples for arbitrary m.

Remark 1.4. The case of arbitrary (not necessarily real) BL functions E of finite order with all zeros real can be reduced to the case of real BL functions by the following remark of Langley [36, p. 228]: Write $E = \prod e^{P+iQ}$, where Π is a canonical product with real zeros, and P and Q are real polynomials. Then condition (1.3) implies that at every zero z of E we have $\Pi'(z)e^{P(z)+iQ(z)} = \pm 1$. Since $\Pi'(z)$ and P(z) are real, we conclude that $Q(z) \in \pi \mathbb{Z}$ for every zero z of E. So Πe^P is a real BL function with all zeros real. Furthermore, if E is real, then A is also real by (1.4), and F in (1.5) can be chosen real. Thus it suffices to consider only real functions F, E and A.

Remark 1.5. The Speiser class S is defined as the set of all meromorphic functions $F: \mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ for which there exists a finite subset A of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $F: \mathbb{C} \setminus F^{-1}(A) \to \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus A$ is an (unramified) covering. It plays an important role in value distribution theory [22] and holomorphic dynamics [4, 20, 52].

Langley's Theorem B says in particular that if E is a BL function of finite order, then the associated locally univalent function F is in S.

Theorem 1.3 gives a description of real locally univalent functions F of class S with only real zeros and poles, for which the inverses have finitely many logarithmic singularities over values in \mathbb{C}^* , and infinitely many logarithmic singularities over each 0 and ∞ . Since class S is much studied, this is of independent interest.

Remark 1.6. Our proof of Theorem 1.3 uses topological arguments, quasiconformal surgery and the Teichmüller–Wittich–Belinskii theorem. These methods are frequently used to construct *examples* of meromorphic functions. In this paper, we also use this technique to prove a positive result.

We have discussed the equation (1.1) under the hypothesis that there are two solutions with only real zeros. Our final result addresses the case that there are three solutions with this property.

Theorem 1.4. Let A be an entire function and suppose that (1.1) has three pairwise linearly independent solutions which have only real zeros. Then A is constant.

Remark 1.7. Our starting point was [26, Theorem 3] which says that if A is a polynomial and if (1.1) has a basis of solutions with only real zeros, then A is constant. An extension of this result to linear differential equations of higher order has been given by Brüggemann [12, Theorem 5] and Steinmetz [53, Corollary 2]. It would be of interest to which extent our results generalize to equations of higher order.

This paper is organized as follows. Theorem 1.1 is proved in section 2. In section 3, we give a purely analytic proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof of Theorem 1.3 given in the subsequent sections is independent of this. In section 4 we collect the necessary prerequisites on the pasting-and-gluing techniques and line complexes, to make this paper self-contained. A reader familiar with this technique may pass to section 5, where we construct examples (Part (v) of Theorem 1.3) and outline the proof of all other parts. These parts are then proved in sections 6–8. Theorem 1.4 is proved in section 9.

Acknowledgment. We thank Jim Langley for helpful comments.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We use the standard notation of Nevanlinna theory as given in [22] or [46]. The following result is due to Miles [43].

Lemma 2.1. Let f be an entire function of infinite order and suppose that the zeros of f lie on finitely many rays emanating from the origin. Then there exists a set $L \subset [1, \infty)$ of logarithmic density zero such that

$$\lim_{\substack{r \to \infty \\ r \notin L}} \frac{N(r, 1/f)}{T(r, f)} = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It follows from (1.4) and the definition of the proximity function $m(r, \cdot)$ that

$$2m\left(r,\frac{1}{E}\right) = m\left(r,\frac{1}{E^2}\right) \le m(r,A) + m\left(r,\frac{E''}{E}\right) + 2m\left(r,\frac{E'}{E}\right) + O(1).$$

Suppose that E has infinite order. Lemma 2.1 and the first fundamental theorem yield that there exists a set L of logarithmic density zero such that

$$m\left(r,\frac{1}{E}\right) \sim T(r,E) \quad \text{as } r \to \infty, \ r \notin L.$$

On the other hand, the lemma on the logarithmic derivative [22, Chapter 3, § 1], applied to both E and E', implies that there exists a set M of finite logarithmic measure such that

$$m\left(r, \frac{E''}{E}\right) + 2m\left(r, \frac{E'}{E}\right) = O(\log T(r, E)) + O(\log r) \text{ as } r \to \infty, \ r \notin M.$$

Combining the last three equations we conclude that

$$(2-o(1))T(r,E) \le T(r,A)$$
 as $r \to \infty$, $r \notin L \cup M$.

Since A has finite order by hypothesis, this contradicts the assumption that E has infinite order.

3. Analytic proof of Theorem 1.2

Throughout this section, we consider a Bank-Laine function E and the functions A and F given by (1.4) and (1.5). To prove Theorem 1.2, we wish to establish upper and lower bounds on the behavior of E on the real axis. We begin by proving an upper bound.

Proposition 3.1. Let E be a real Bank-Laine function of finite order. If E has infinitely many positive zeros, then

$$\limsup_{x \to +\infty} \frac{|E(x)|}{x} < \infty.$$

If E has infinitely many negative zeros, then $\limsup_{x\to -\infty} |E(x)/x| < \infty$.

Remark 3.1. Our second, geometric, proof of Theorem 1.2 yields the stronger statement that E(x) itself is bounded as $x \to +\infty$ resp. as $x \to -\infty$. Moreover, this holds not only for the function E, which is the product of two solutions of (1.1), but for any individual solution of having infinitely many zeros. See Remark 8.2 below.

To prove Proposition 3.1, we consider the function G defined by

$$G(z) = \frac{E(z)}{z},$$

and relate the singularities of G^{-1} over ∞ to the singularities of F^{-1} over non-zero finite values. Langley [37, Proposition 2.1, (C)] proved that under the hypotheses of Proposition 3.1 every neighborhood of a transcendental singularity of F^{-1} over a non-zero finite value contains a neighborhood of a singularity of G over ∞ . We strengthen this result as follows.

Proposition 3.2. Let E be a Bank-Laine function of finite order, F a locally univalent function satisfying E = F/F' and G(z) = E(z)/z.

Then there is a bijection between the singularities of G^{-1} over ∞ and the singularities of F^{-1} over values in \mathbb{C}^* , with the following property: Any sequence of points converging to a singularity of G^{-1} over ∞ also converges to the corresponding singularity of F^{-1} .

In order to prove Proposition 3.2 we will use the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. Let F be a meromorphic function and set E := F/F'. Then every neighborhood of a direct transcendental singularity of E^{-1} over ∞ contains an asymptotic path for some asymptotic value $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ of F.

To prove the lemma, we use the following result of Huber [30]; see also [41].

Lemma 3.4. Let $u: \mathbb{C} \to [-\infty, \infty)$ be subharmonic and let $\lambda > 0$. Suppose that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{M(r, u)}{\log r} = \infty$$

Then there exists a path γ tending to ∞ such that

$$\int_{\gamma} e^{-\lambda u(z)} |\,\mathrm{d}z| < \infty.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Let W be a neighborhood of a direct singularity of E over ∞ ; we may choose W as a component of $\{z: E(z) | > K\}$ for some K > 0. Assuming that K is large, W is a direct tract. This implies that the function

$$u(z) = \begin{cases} \log \left| \frac{E(z)}{K} \right| & \text{if } z \in W, \\ 0 & \text{if } z \notin W, \end{cases}$$

satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.4; see [9, Theorem 2.1]. We apply Lemma 3.4 with $\lambda = 1$. It follows that W contains a curve γ tending to ∞ such that

$$\int_{\gamma} \left| \frac{F'(z)}{F(z)} \right| \cdot |dz| = \int_{\gamma} \frac{|dz|}{|E(z)|} < \infty.$$

This means that the image of γ under a branch of $\log F$ has finite Euclidean length, and hence this branch tends to some value $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ as z tends to ∞ along γ . Setting $\alpha := e^{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}^*$ we thus have $F(z) \to \alpha$ as $z \to \infty, z \in \gamma$.

When F is locally univalent, then E is entire and hence every transcendental singularity of E over ∞ is direct. In particular, every neighborhood of a transcendental singularity of G over ∞ is also a neighborhood of a direct singularity of E, and thus contains an asymptotic path of F^{-1} . Under the hypotheses of Proposition 3.2, the corresponding singularity of F^{-1} is logarithmic by [35, Corollary 1.1]. To prove Proposition 3.2, we use an estimate on the derivative of a function having a logarithmic singularity, which is a consequence of Koebe's theorem. Such estimates are useful in other contexts, notably in the study of the class B in complex dynamics, and therefore we state the result in this generality for future reference. (A similar estimate is also used by Langley; see the second displayed formula in the proof of [37, Proposition 2.1].)

Lemma 3.5. Let $H = \{z \colon \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ be the right half-plane and $\phi \colon H \to \mathbb{C}$ be univalent. Let $z_0, z \in H$ with $\operatorname{Re} z \ge \operatorname{Re} z_0$. Then

$$|\phi'(z)| \ge |\phi'(z_0)| \cdot \left(1 + \frac{|z - z_0|}{\operatorname{Re} z_0}\right)^{-4}$$

Proof. Pre- and post-composing by suitable affine maps, we may assume that $z_0 = 1$ and $\phi'(z_0) = 1$. Put M(z) := (z-1)/(z+1). Then M maps H conformally to the unit disk and we have $M'(z) = 2/(z+1)^2$. Set $\psi := \phi \circ M^{-1}$. Since $\psi'(0) = \phi'(1)/M'(1) = 2$, Koebe's distortion theorem yields that

$$|\psi'(w)| \ge 2\frac{1-|w|}{(1+|w|)^3}$$

for |w| < 1. Since $\phi'(z) = \psi'(M(z))M'(z)$ we thus have

$$|\phi'(z)| \ge 2\frac{1-|M(z)|}{(1+|M(z)|)^3} \cdot \frac{2}{|z+1|^2} = 4\frac{|z+1|-|z-1|}{(|z+1|+|z-1|)^3} = 16\frac{\operatorname{Re}(z-1)+1}{(|z+1|+|z-1|)^4}.$$

Since $|z+1| \le |z-1| + 2$ we conclude that

$$|\phi'(z)| \ge \frac{1}{(1+|z-1|)^4}$$

for $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 1$.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Let E, F and G be as in the statement of the Proposition. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ be an asymptotic value of F. As already mentioned, every singularity ξ of F over α is logarithmic.

Claim. If U is a sufficiently small neighborhood of ξ , then |G(z)| is bounded on ∂U . Moreover, for large enough R > 0, the set $\{z : |G(z)| > R\} \cap U$ is unbounded and connected.

To prove the claim, observe first that 1/G(z) is the derivative of $\zeta \mapsto \log F(\exp(\zeta))$, where $\exp \zeta = z$. To study this in more detail, choose β with $\exp \beta = \alpha$ and consider, for small $\varepsilon > 0$, the disk $D = \{z \colon |z - \beta| < \varepsilon\}$ centered at β . Let Ω be the connected component of $F^{-1}(\exp(D))$ that is a neighborhood of ξ . If ε is sufficiently small, then $F \colon \Omega \to \exp(D) \setminus \{\alpha\}$ is a universal covering and $0 \notin \overline{\Omega}$. Let T be a connected component of $\exp^{-1}(\Omega)$. Let λ be the branch of $(\log F) \circ \exp$ on T that takes values in $D \setminus \{\beta\}$; then λ is also a universal covering. If $\zeta \in T$ and $z = \exp(\zeta)$, then

(3.1)
$$\lambda'(\zeta) = \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} = \frac{1}{G(z)}.$$

If ϕ is a conformal map from H onto W, then $\lambda \circ \phi \colon H \to D \setminus \{\beta\}$ is a universal covering. Another universal covering from H onto $D \setminus \{\beta\}$ is given by $w \mapsto \varepsilon \exp(-w) + \beta$. We may normalize ϕ so that these two maps are equal. Thus

$$\lambda(\phi(w)) = \varepsilon \exp(-w) + \beta$$

for $w \in H$. Set $g := G \circ \exp \circ \phi$. Then, by (3.1),

(3.2)
$$|g(w)| = |G(\exp(\phi(w)))| = \frac{1}{|\lambda'(\phi(w))|} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot |\phi'(w)| \cdot \exp(\operatorname{Re} w),$$

for $w \in H$. Since T is disjoint from its $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ -translates, we have

$$(3.3) \qquad \qquad |\phi'(w)| \le \frac{4\pi}{\operatorname{Re} w}$$

for all $w \in H$ by Koebe's theorem. (See [20, Lemma 1], and compare [47].) Thus, by (3.2), |g(w)| is bounded when $\operatorname{Re} w$ is bounded away from 0 and ∞ . Note also that if $w \in H$ with $\operatorname{Re} w = R > 0$, then $z = \exp \phi(w)$ satisfies

$$|(\log F)(z) - \beta| = |\lambda(\phi(w)) - \beta| = \varepsilon \exp(-R).$$

The first part of the Claim follows for the component U of $F^{-1}(\exp(\{z: |z-\beta| < \varepsilon e^{-R}\}))$ contained in Ω , and in fact for every sufficiently small neighborhood U of ξ .

On the other hand, by (3.2) and Lemma 3.5, for $\operatorname{Re} w \geq \operatorname{Re} w_0$,

(3.4)
$$\frac{|g(w)|}{|g(w_0)|} \ge \exp(\operatorname{Re} w - \operatorname{Re} w_0) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{|w - w_0|}{\operatorname{Re} w_0}\right)^{-4}$$

In particular, g(w) tends to infinity along every horizontal line. Moreover, suppose that $\operatorname{Re} w_0 \geq 1$ and w belongs to the sector of opening angle $\pi/2$ based at w_0 ; i.e.,

10

 $\operatorname{Re} w - \operatorname{Re} w_0 > |\operatorname{Im} w - \operatorname{Im} w_0|$. Then the right-hand side of (3.4) is bounded below by $1/(1+\sqrt{2})^4$.

Now let $R > 4\pi e$ and let V be a connected component of

 $\{w \in H \colon \operatorname{Re} w > 1 \text{ and } |g(w)| > R\}.$

Then $\operatorname{Re} w > 1$ for all $w \in \overline{V}$ by (3.2) and (3.3), and hence |g(w)| = R for all $w \in \partial V$. Since g is unbounded on V there is some $w_0 \in V$ with $|g(w_0)| > (1 + \sqrt{2})^4 R$. So V contains a sector based at w_0 as above, and in particular all sufficiently large points at argument between $-\pi/5$ and $\pi/5$. Hence the component V is unique, and the Claim is proved.

To complete the proof, recall that, since E and hence G are of finite order, the number n of singularities of G^{-1} over ∞ is finite. Let $K_0 > 0$ be so large that $\{z : |G(z)| > K_0\}$ has exactly n unbounded components, one component V(S) for each singularity S of G^{-1} . By Lemma 3.3, there is a singularity S' of F^{-1} over some value $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that every neighborhood of S' intersects V(S). By the Claim, every neighborhood of S' is also a neighborhood of S, and hence any sequence of points converging to S also converges to S'. That the map $S \mapsto S'$ is a bijection also follows from the Claim. \Box

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Suppose that E has infinitely many positive zeros, and let Ω be a logarithmic tract of F over a point in \mathbb{C}^* . We may assume that Ω contains no zeros and poles of F and hence no zeros of E. Since a logarithmic tract is simply connected and since a logarithmic tract intersecting the real axis is symmetric, the intersection of Ω with the real axis is connected, and hence bounded from above. So if (x_n) is a sequence tending to $+\infty$, then (x_n) does not converge to a transcendental singularity of F^{-1} . Hence, by Proposition 3.2, a sequence (x_n) tending to $+\infty$ cannot converge to a transcendental singularity of G^{-1} . Thus $|G(x_n)|$ is bounded for such a sequence. In other words, |G| is bounded on the positive real axis, as claimed.

The case that E has infinitely many negative zeros reduces to the case of positive zeros by considering E(-z) instead of E(z).

Having established an upper bound for G and hence E on the real axis, we now prove a lower bound, outside certain neighborhoods of the zeros. We use the following lemma due to Laguerre and Borel; see [42].

Lemma 3.6. Let f be a real entire function of finite genus p with m non-real zeros. Then, in addition to one zero of the derivative f' of f between each pair of adjacent real zeros of f, the derivative f' has at most p + m real and non-real zeros.

Here zeros are counted with multiplicities. The result implies that a real entire function of finite order with only finitely many non-real zeros has only finitely many nonnegative local minima and non-positive local maxima. Moreover, the same is true for all its derivatives. Thus we can apply the following fact to the restriction of E to the interval between any two successive (and sufficiently large) zeros.

Lemma 3.7. Let $f: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be C^2 with the following properties.

(a) f(a) = f(b) = 0.(b) $|f'(a)| = |f'(b)| \ge 1.$

(c) f' has a unique zero c in (a, b).

(d) f'' has at most one zero in [a, c] and at most one in [c, b].

(e) f" has no non-negative local minima or non-positive local maxima. Then

(3.5)
$$|f(x)| > \frac{\min\{x - a, b - x\}}{20}$$

for all $x \in (a, b)$.

Proof. By considering the map $x \mapsto f((b-a)x+a)/(b-a)$, we may assume that a = 0 and b = 1. Replacing f with -f if necessary, we further assume that f(x) > 0 for 0 < x < 1. Then $f'(0) \ge 1$, $f'(1) \le -1$ and $f''(c) \le 0$.

We first claim that

(3.6)
$$f(c) = \max_{0 \le x \le 1} f(x) > \frac{1}{20}$$

Suppose, by contradiction, that $f(c) \leq 1/20$. Choose $\eta \in [0, 1/3]$ by such that $f''(\eta)$ is minimal. Then

$$f'(x) = f'(0) + \int_0^x f''(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \ge 1 + \int_0^x f''(\eta) \, \mathrm{d}t = 1 + f''(\eta)x$$

for $0 \le x \le 1/3$, and hence

$$\frac{1}{20} \ge f(c) \ge f\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = \int_0^{1/3} f'(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \ge \int_0^{1/3} (1 + f''(\eta)t) \,\mathrm{d}t = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{f''(\eta)}{18}$$

Thus $f''(\eta) \leq 18/20 - 6 < -5$. Applying the same argument to $x \mapsto f(1-x)$, we also find $\eta^* \in [2/3, 1]$ with $f''(\eta^*) < -5$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $c \ge 1/2$. Otherwise, replace f by $x \mapsto f(1-x)$. Let $\tau \in [1/3, 1/2]$ be such that $f''(\tau)$ is maximal. For $x \in [1/3, 1/2]$, we have

$$f'(x) \ge f'(x) - f'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = -\int_x^{1/2} f''(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \ge \left(\frac{1}{2} - x\right) \cdot \left(-f''(\tau)\right).$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{20} \ge f(c) \ge f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) > f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$$
$$= \int_{1/3}^{1/2} f'(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \ge -f''(\tau) \int_{1/3}^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - t\right) \, \mathrm{d}t = -\frac{f''(\tau)}{72},$$

and thus $f''(\tau) \ge -72/20 > -5 > \max\{f''(\eta), f''(\eta^*)\}.$

It follows that $f''(\tau)$ takes a local maximum between 1/3 and 2/3. By assumption (e), this maximum value is positive. So the interval $[\eta, \eta^*]$ contains at least two zeros of f'', bounding an interval on which f'' is positive. Since $f''(c) \leq 0$, these zeros either both belong to [0, c] or both to [c, 1], contradicting (d). Thus (3.6) is proved.

To complete the proof of the lemma, we show that

(3.7)
$$\min_{\varepsilon \le x \le 1-\varepsilon} f(x) \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{20}.$$

The minimum on the left-hand side is assumed either at $x = \varepsilon$ or $x = 1 - \varepsilon$; we may assume the former. In particular, $c \ge \varepsilon$. By the mean value theorem, there exists $\xi \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that

(3.8)
$$f'(\xi) = \frac{f(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}.$$

There also exists $\xi^* \in (\varepsilon, c)$ such that

(3.9)
$$f'(\xi^*) = \frac{f(c) - f(\varepsilon)}{c - \varepsilon} \ge \frac{f(c) - f(\varepsilon)}{1 - \varepsilon}.$$

We may assume that $f(\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$ since otherwise there is nothing to prove. Then $f'(\xi) \leq 1$. We show that $f'(\xi^*) \leq f'(\xi)$. In fact, otherwise f' would have a local minimum between 0 and ξ^* and, since f'(c) = 0, a local maximum between ξ and c, contradicting (d). Thus $f'(\xi^*) \leq f'(\xi)$. It now follows from (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$\varepsilon \cdot (f(c) - f(\varepsilon)) \le f(\varepsilon) \cdot (1 - \varepsilon)$$

Thus $\varepsilon f(c) \leq f(\varepsilon)$. Combined with (3.6) this yields (3.7) and hence the conclusion. \Box

Remark 3.2. The lower bound for |f(x)| in (3.5) can certainly be improved, but it suffices for our purposes.

Given an entire function f, a sequence (r_k) is called a sequence of *Pólya peaks of order* $\sigma \in [0, \infty)$ for $\log M(r, f)$, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\log M(tr_k, f) \le (1 + \varepsilon)t^{\sigma} \log M(r_k, f) \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \le t \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$$

for all large k. Put

$$\rho^* = \sup\left\{p \in \mathbb{R} \colon \limsup_{r,t \to \infty} \frac{\log M(tr, f)}{t^p \log M(r, f)} = \infty\right\}$$

and

$$\rho_* = \inf \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R} \colon \liminf_{r, t \to \infty} \frac{\log M(tr, f)}{t^p \log M(r, f)} = 0 \right\}.$$

Drasin and Shea [14] proved that Pólya peaks of order σ exist for all finite $\sigma \in [\rho_*, \rho^*]$ and that we always have

(3.10)
$$0 \le \rho_* \le \mu(f) \le \rho(f) \le \rho^* \le \infty,$$

where $\mu(f)$ denotes the lower order of f.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We will first consider the case that E has infinitely many positive and infinitely many negative zeros; that is, we will prove conclusion (b) of the theorem. The minor modifications to handle conclusion (a) will be discussed at the end of the proof.

We will show that if (r_k) is a sequence of Pólya peaks of some order σ for log M(r, E), then $\sigma = N$ for some odd integer N. In view of (3.10) this yields that $\mu(E) = \rho(E) = N$. We consider the subharmonic functions u_k given by

$$u_k(z) = \frac{\log |E(r_k z)|}{\log M(r_k, E)}.$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ we then have

$$u_k(z) \le (1+\varepsilon)|z|^{\sigma}$$
 for $\varepsilon \le |z| \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$

and large k. This implies (cf. [28, Theorems 4.1.8 and 4.1.9] or [29, Theorems 3.2.12 and (3.2.13]) that, passing to a subsequence if necessary, the limit

(3.11)
$$u(z) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\log |E(r_k z)|}{\log M(r_k, E)}$$

exists and is either $-\infty$ or a subharmonic function in \mathbb{C} . Here the convergence is in the Schwartz space \mathscr{D}' . This implies that we also have convergence in L^1_{loc} . There are a number of papers where entire and meromorphic functions are studied in terms of a subharmonic u obtained as in (3.11); see, e.g., [17] for further details.

The function u is harmonic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and satisfies

(3.12)
$$u(z) \le |z|^{\sigma} \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C}$$

as well as

$$(3.13) M(1,u) = 1,$$

so in particular $u \not\equiv -\infty$. It follows from Proposition 3.1 that

 $u(x) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}.$

We shall show that we also have $u(x) \ge 0$ and thus u(x) = 0 for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In order to do so, suppose that u(x) < 0 for some $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exist $\delta > 0, t > 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $u(z) < -\delta$ for $z \in D(\xi, t)$. It follows that (see [29, (3.2.6)])

$$|E(z)| < 1 \quad \text{for } z \in D(r_k\xi, r_k t),$$

if k is sufficiently large. Hence

$$|E'(z)| = \left|\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta - r_k \xi| = r_k t} \frac{E(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} \,\mathrm{d}\zeta\right| \le \frac{4}{r_k t} < 1 \quad \text{for } z \in D\left(r_k \xi, \frac{1}{2}r_k t\right)$$

provided k is sufficiently large. By the Bank-Laine property (1.3), E has no zeros in $D(r_k\xi, r_kt/2)$.

Suppose that $\xi > 0$, and let (x_n) be the sequence of positive zeros of E. (The case $\xi < 0$ is analogous, replacing positive by negative zeros). We choose n such that $x_n < r_k \xi < x_{n+1}$. Then $x_n \leq r_k \xi - r_k t/2$ while $x_{n+1} \geq r_k \xi + r_k t/2$. Applying Lemma 3.7 to the restriction $E|_{[x_n, x_{n+1}]}$, we see that

$$E(r_k\xi) \ge \frac{r_kt}{40} > 1$$

for sufficiently large k. This contradicts (3.14); thus

$$u(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}$$

Since u is harmonic in the upper half-plane, the reflection principle for harmonic functions yields that

$$v(z) = \begin{cases} u(z) & \text{if } \operatorname{Im} z \ge 0, \\ -u(\overline{z}) & \text{if } \operatorname{Im} z < 0, \end{cases}$$

defines a harmonic function $v \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$. Next there exists an entire function w with $\operatorname{Re} w(0) = 0$ such that $v = \operatorname{Im} w$. It follows from (3.12) that w(0) = 0 and

$$\operatorname{Im} w(z) \le |z|^{\sigma} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This implies that w is a polynomial and in fact that $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w(z) = cz^{\sigma}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence $\mu(E) = \rho(E) = \sigma \in \mathbb{N}$.

By (3.13) we have |c| = 1. Since $u(x) = v(x) = \operatorname{Im} w(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and thus $c = \pm 1$. Hence we have $v(re^{i\theta}) = cr^{\sigma} \sin(\sigma\theta)$ and consequently $u(re^{i\theta}) = cr^{\sigma} \sin(\sigma|\theta|)$ for $|\theta| \leq \pi$. Using that u is subharmonic we actually find that c = 1 and that σ is odd, say $\sigma = 2n - 1$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

(3.15)
$$u(re^{i\theta}) = r^{\sigma} \sin(\sigma|\theta|) = r^{2n-1} \sin((2n-1)|\theta|) \quad \text{for } |\theta| \le \pi.$$

It follows from (3.11) and (3.15) that

$$T(r_k, E) = m(r_k, E) \sim \frac{2n}{(2n-1)\pi} \log M(r_k, E)$$

and

$$m\left(r_k, \frac{1}{E}\right) \sim \frac{2n-2}{(2n-1)\pi} \log M(r_k, E)$$

so that

$$N\left(r_k, \frac{1}{E}\right) \sim \frac{2}{(2n-1)\pi} \log M(r_k, E)$$

as $k \to \infty$. This implies that not only $\mu(E) = \rho(E) = \sigma = 2n - 1$, but also that $\lambda(E) = 2n - 1$. Moreover, it follows from (1.4) and the lemma on the logarithmic derivative [22, Chapter 3, § 1] that

$$m(r, A) = 2m\left(r, \frac{1}{E}\right) + O(\log r).$$

We conclude that we also have $\rho(A) = 2n - 1$. This completes the proof of (b).

Suppose now that all zeros of E are positive. We proceed as above, but in this case u is harmonic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$. We now apply the above reasoning to $u^*(z) = u(z^2)$. Then u^* is harmonic in the upper half-plane and $u^*(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We now find that 2σ is

an odd integer, say $2\sigma = 2n - 1$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and that

$$u^*(re^{i\theta}) = r^{2\sigma}\sin(2\sigma|\theta|) = r^{2n-1}\sin((2n-1)|\theta|) \quad \text{for } |\theta| \le \pi.$$

It follows that

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = r^{\sigma} \sin(\sigma\theta) = r^{n-1/2} \sin\left(\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right) \quad \text{for } 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi.$$

As before we can now conclude that $\lambda(E) = \rho(E) = \rho(A) = n - 1/2$.

Remark 3.3. One can derive from this proof further regularity of the asymptotic behavior of A and E. Indeed, since we established that the possible values of the order σ of the Pólya peaks form a discrete sequence, we conclude that

$$\rho_* = \rho^* = \mu(f) = \rho(f) > 0$$

in (3.10); that is, A and E are of regular growth in the sense of Valiron. Furthermore, following the argument from [17, p. 1210-1211], one can show that

$$\log M(r, E) = r^{\rho} \ell(r),$$

where ℓ is a slowly varying function in the sense of Karamata; that is, $\ell(cr)/\ell(r) \to 1$ as $r \to \infty$ for every c > 1. Moreover,

$$\log |E(re^{i\theta})| \sim \ell(r)h(\theta) \quad \text{as } r \to \infty,$$

outside an exceptional set satisfying (1.6). Similar statements apply to the function A.

However, as we mentioned in Remark 1.3, the method of this section does not allow to show that A and E are of normal type.

4. Preliminaries for the proof of Theorem 1.3

4.1. Gluing of elements. As a general reference for the concepts considered in this section we mention [18]. We consider connected bordered oriented surfaces D, not necessarily compact. The border ∂D is equipped with the standard orientation (such that the interior stays on the left).

An element (D, f) is a pair, where D is a bordered surface and $f: D \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is a topologically holomorphic map. Two pairs (D_1, f_1) and (D_2, f_2) are called equivalent if there is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $\phi: D_1 \to D_2$ such that $f_1 = f_2 \circ \phi$. Of course, we write this as $(D_1, f_1) \sim (D_2, f_2)$.

There is a unique conformal structure on D that makes f holomorphic. Equivalence classes are called *Riemann surfaces spread over the sphere*. By the Uniformization Theorem, each pair (D, f) with open simply connected D_0 is equivalent to a pair (D_0, f_0) where D is the whole plane or an open disk and f_0 is meromorphic in D_0 .

Consider two elements (D_1, f_1) and (D_2, f_2) and suppose that there are two closed arcs $I_j \subset \partial D_j$ which are mapped by f_j onto the same arc homeomorphically, but with opposite orientations. Then there is a homeomorphism $\phi: I_1 \to I_2$ such that $f_1 = f_2 \circ \phi$ on I_1 . By gluing D_1 and D_2 along this homeomorphism we obtain a new element (D, f), where $f = f_j$ on D_j . (For the formal definition of gluing of topological spaces see, for example, [11, § 2.5].) We can apply this procedure when $(D_1, f_1) = (D_2, f_2)$, and glue an element to itself.

The following result says that this gluing operation is compatible with the equivalence relation on elements.

Proposition 4.1. If $(D_1, f_1) \sim (D_2, f_2)$ and $(D_3, f_3) \sim (D_4, f_4)$, and we can glue (D_1, f_1) to (D_3, f_3) along some arcs, then (D_2, f_2) can be glued to (D_4, f_4) along the corresponding arcs, and results of the gluings are equivalent.

Proof. Let $\phi_1: D_1 \to D_2$ and $\phi_3: D_3 \to D_4$ be homeomorphisms as in the definition of equivalence and let $\psi_1: I_1 \to I_3$, where $I_1 \subset \partial D_3$ and $I_3 \subset \partial D_3$, be the gluing homeomorphism. Thus $f_1 = f_2 \circ \phi_1$, $f_3 = f_4 \circ \phi_3$ and $f_1(x) = f_3(\psi_1(x))$, $x \in I_1$. We define

(4.1)
$$\psi_2 = \phi_3 \circ \psi_1 \circ \phi_1^{-1} \colon \phi_1(I_1) \to \phi_3(I_3),$$

and verify that

$$f_2 = f_4 \circ \psi_2 \quad \text{on } \psi_1(I_1).$$

So (D_2, f_2) and (D_4, f_4) can be glued along the arcs $\phi_1(I_1)$ and $\phi_3(I_3)$.

Now the homeomorphism ϕ from the gluing of D_1 and D_2 to the gluing of D_3 and D_4 is given by the formula

$$\phi(z) = \begin{cases} \phi_1(z) & \text{if } z \in D_1, \\ \phi_3(z) & \text{if } z \in D_2. \end{cases}$$

One checks using (4.1) that ψ_1 and ψ_2 match on the arc along which D_1 and D_2 were glued; that is, we have

$$\phi_3 \circ \psi_1(x) = \psi_2 \circ \phi_1(x) \quad \text{for } x \in I_1.$$

If one glues two simply connected surfaces along a connected arc one obtains a simply connected surface. If one glues one simply connected surface to itself along two disjoint arcs, one obtains a doubly connected surface of genus zero which is homeomorphic to a ring in the plane. Every doubly connected open Riemann surface of genus zero is conformally equivalent to a round ring $\{z : r < |z| < R\}$, where $0 \le r < R \le +\infty$.

4.2. Cell decompositions. A cell decomposition of a bordered surface D is a representation of D as a locally finite union of disjoint cells of dimensions 0 (vertices), 1 (edges) and 2 (faces), so that the boundary of each cell is a union of cells of smaller dimension. Here a vertex is a point. An edge is homeomorphic to an open interval and its closure is homeomorphic to a closed interval. A face is homeomorphic to an open disk and its closure is homeomorphic to a closed disk or closed half-plane.

The edges and vertices of a cell decomposition can be of two types: those which belong to ∂D we call *boundary edges (vertices)*, and those which belong to the interior of D we call *inner edges (vertices)*.

Two cell decompositions are *combinatorially equivalent* if there is a bijection p of the set of cells of one of them onto the set of cells of the other, respecting the cell dimensions, and such that $p(\partial c) = \partial p(c)$ for every cell c. This is equivalent to the existence of a homeomorphism of the ambient surfaces which maps each cell homeomorphically. We call such pairs of cell decompositions simply *equivalent*.

4.3. Labeled cell decompositions. Let us consider a cell decomposition C of \mathbb{C} , with two vertices which we denote \times and \circ . Suppose that there are $q \in \mathbb{N}$ edges, with $q \geq 2$, each edge connecting \times to \circ , and q faces. All faces are digons. Suppose that a finite set A containing one point in the interior of each face is given. Let (D, f) be an element and let L be a cell decomposition of D such that f maps every cell of L into a cell of Cof the same dimension, with the following properties:

- (a) The restriction of f onto the closure of each edge of L is a homeomorphism onto the closure of an edge of C,
- (b) For each face c of L, the restriction of f onto $\overline{c} \setminus \{f^{-1}(a)\}$ is a covering of $f(\overline{c}) \setminus \{a\}$, where $\{a\} = A \cap \overline{f(c)}$.

It follows from (b) that each interior edge of L belongs to the boundaries of two distinct faces. A boundary edge evidently belongs to the boundary of one face.

We *label* each vertex of L by \times or \circ , according to its image, and we label each face of L by the element of A which is contained in its image cell. This set of labels defines the image under f of each cell of L. Indeed, a boundary edge can be labeled by the label of the unique face to which it belongs, and an inner edge can be labeled by the pair of labels of two faces to whose boundaries it belongs. Since every two faces of C have at most one common boundary edge, the image of each edge of L is determined by the labels of faces and vertices.

Proposition 4.2. Let C and A be as above, and $(D_1, f_1), (D_2, f_2)$ be two elements with labeled cell decompositions L_1, L_2 of D_1, D_2 satisfying conditions (a) and (b). If L_1 is combinatorially equivalent to L_2 , where the equivalence respects the labels, then $(D_1, f_1) \sim (D_2, f_2)$.

Proof. We have to define a homeomorphism $\phi: D_1 \to D_2$ such that $f_1 = f_2 \circ \phi$. Let $c \mapsto c'$ be the bijection between cells of L_1 and L_2 . Since this bijection preserves labels, for each cell c of L_1 , we have $f_1(c) = f_2(c')$. This defines a unique homeomorphism ϕ of the 1-skeleton of L_1 onto the 1-skeleton of L_2 such that $f_1 = f_2 \circ \phi$ on the 1-skeleton. It remains to extend ϕ into faces. Let \overline{c} and $\overline{c'}$ be closures of some corresponding faces of L_1 and L_2 . Let $a \in A$ be the label of c. Let $z_0 \in \partial c$, and $w_0 = \phi(z_0) \in \partial c'$. Let $z \in c$, and choose an open arc γ in \overline{c} from z_0 to z such that $a \notin f_1(\gamma)$. There is a unique lift γ_2 of this curve by f_2 starting at w_0 . The endpoint w of γ_2 determines $\phi(z)$. It is evident that thus defined ϕ is the required homeomorphism.

4.4. Representation of Speiser class functions by line complexes and trees. The definition of the Speiser class S given in Remark 1.5 extends to topologically holomorphic maps. So we say that a topologically holomorphic map $f: \mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ belongs to class S if there is a finite set A such that

$$f\colon \mathbb{C}\setminus f^{-1}(A)\to \overline{\mathbb{C}}\setminus A$$

is a covering. For a linear-fractional transformation, this holds with $A = f(\infty)$, and to avoid trivialities, we assume that f has at least two singular values (critical or asymptotic values), so the set A contains at least two points. For such an $f \in S$, consider a cell decomposition C of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ and a finite set A of its singular values. Let $L_f = f^{-1}(C)$ be the preimage of C. Then L_f is a labeled cell decomposition of \mathbb{C} satisfying the conditions stated in § 4.3.

This labeled cell decomposition L_f is called the *line complex* of f corresponding to C. It has the following properties:

- (a) Its 1-skeleton is a bipartite graph embedded in the plane.
- (b) The cyclic order of face labels around each \times -vertex is the same: it coincides with the cyclic order of face labels around the \times -vertex of C. The cyclic order of face labels around an \circ -vertex is opposite.

For fixed A and C, any cell decomposition of \mathbb{C} with these two properties arises from some topologically holomorphic map of class S.

It follows from Proposition 4.2 that any two functions f and g of class S with the same labeled cell decomposition $L_f = L_g$ (and same A and C) are *equivalent*: $f = g \circ \phi$, where $\phi \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a homeomorphism.

For a local homeomorphism we can choose A to be the set of asymptotic values. When f is a local homeomorphism, all faces of L_f are either digons or ∞ -gons. The restriction of f on each digon is a homeomorphism, while the restriction of f on an ∞ -gon is a universal covering of a face of C minus the element of A which it contains.

For a local homeomorphism f, one can describe the cell decomposition L_f by a simpler object. If for some pair of vertices there is more than one edge connecting them, replace all these edges by a single edge. So digons disappear. We preserve the labels of the remaining faces and the labels of vertices. The resulting cell decomposition $T = T_f$ has the following properties:

- (i) Its 1-skeleton T is a bipartite graph embedded in \mathbb{C} .
- (*ii*) The cyclic order of face labels around each \times -vertex (\circ -vertex) is consistent with (a restriction of) the cyclic order of face labels around the \times -vertex (\circ -vertex) of C.

Given any connected bipartite graph embedded in \mathbb{C} with labeled complementary components satisfying (ii), one can recover the whole line complex L in a unique way (up to equivalence).

In the case that f is a local homeomorphism, T_f is a tree. So equivalence classes of local homeomorphisms f of class S are encoded by trees embedded in \mathbb{C} with labeled vertices and complementary components satisfying (*ii*).

4.5. Symmetric local homeomorphisms. We recall that a local homeomorphism $f: \mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is called *symmetric* if $f(\overline{z}) = \overline{f(z)}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. In this case, the set of asymptotic values is symmetric, that is, we have $\overline{A} = A$. A cell decomposition is called symmetric if the complex conjugation $z \mapsto \overline{z}$ maps each cell onto a cell of the same dimension with complex conjugate label. If f and the cell decomposition C are symmetric, then the line complex L_f and the tree T_f are symmetric. Conversely, to each symmetric C and L (or T) corresponds a symmetric local homeomorphism f.

The complex conjugation acts on the faces of a symmetric cell decomposition. So each face of a symmetric cell decomposition is either symmetric or disjoint from the real line.

Unfortunately, for a symmetric set A, a symmetric cell decomposition C may not exist. (It exists if and only if A contains at most 2 real points.) There are several methods of dealing with this difficulty in the study of symmetric functions of class S;

see, for example, [21, 19]. In this paper we will use a somewhat different approach, by using symmetric sub-decompositions of a generally non-symmetric C.

4.6. Quasiconformal mappings. While the first part of the proof of Theorem 1.3 given in section 7 will only deal with topologically holomorphic mappings, the second part given in section 8 will also use quasiregular and quasiconformal mappings. For the definition and general properties of quasiconformal mappings we refer to [3, 39]. We note that quasiregular mappings are called quasiconformal *functions* in [39].

For a region D and a quasiregular map $f: D \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ we use the notation

$$\mu_f(z) = \frac{f_{\overline{z}}(z)}{f_z(z)}, \quad K_f(z) = \frac{1 + |\mu_f(z)|}{1 - |\mu_f(z)|} \quad \text{and} \quad K(f) = \sup_{z \in D} |K_f(z)|$$

The following result is a consequence of the existence theorem for a quasiconformal mappings with prescribed dilatation [39, \S V.1].

Lemma 4.3. Let $f: \mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be quasiregular. Then there exists a quasiconformal map $\phi: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f \circ \phi$ is meromorphic.

The next result is known as the Teichmüller-Wittich-Belinskii theorem [39, § V.6].

Lemma 4.4. Let U and V be neighborhoods of ∞ and let $\phi: U \to V$ be a quasiconformal map with $\phi(\infty) = \infty$. Suppose that

(4.2)
$$\int_{U} \frac{K_{\phi}(z) - 1}{x^2 + y^2} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y < \infty$$

Then there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that

(4.3)
$$\phi(z) \sim cz \quad as \ z \to \infty.$$

The *logarithmic area* of a measurable subset A of \mathbb{C} is defined by

logarea
$$A = \int_A \frac{\mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y}{|z|^2}.$$

Let $\phi: U \to V$ be as in Lemma 4.4. Then

$$\int_{U} \frac{K_{\phi}(z) - 1}{x^2 + y^2} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \le (K(\phi) - 1) \operatorname{logarea}(\operatorname{supp}(\mu_f)).$$

We conclude that if

 $\log \operatorname{area}(\operatorname{supp}(\mu_f)) < \infty,$

then (4.2) and hence (4.3) hold.

The next lemma is easily proved by direct computation.

Lemma 4.5. Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ be measurable and $\alpha > 0$. Suppose that some branch of $z \mapsto z^{\alpha}$ is injective on A and let A^{α} be the image of A under this branch. Then

$$\log area(A^{\alpha}) = \alpha^2 \log area(A).$$

In particular, $\log area(A^{\alpha})$ is finite if and only if $\log area(A)$ is finite.

5. Construction of examples, and outline of the proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we will prove statement (v) of Theorem 1.3. Note that we only need to construct examples of local homeomorphisms satisfying the assumptions of the theorem with any m as described in (i) - (iii). In order to conclude that all values of m do actually occur for meromorphic functions, we will need the first part of the theorem which says that there is a homeomorphism ϕ such that $F_0 = F \circ \phi$ is a meromorphic function. This part will be proved later.

5.1. Examples without zeros or with one zero. For case (i) in Theorem 1.3, functions with the required properties are easily given. Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$F_m(z) = \exp\left(\int_0^z e^{\zeta^m} \,\mathrm{d}\zeta\right)$$

is a real local homeomorphism with m singularities over \mathbb{C}^* which has no zeros or poles while

(5.1)
$$G_m(z) = z \exp\left(\int_0^z \frac{e^{-t^m} - 1}{t} \, \mathrm{d}t\right) = \exp\left(\int_0^1 \frac{e^{-t^m} - 1}{t} \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_1^z \frac{e^{-t^m}}{t} \, \mathrm{d}t\right)$$

is a real local homeomorphism with m singularities over \mathbb{C}^* and one zero at the origin. The inverses of the functions F_m and G_m , as well as those of all other functions we construct in this section, clearly have infinitely many singularities over 0 and ∞ .

5.2. Infinite one-sided sequence of zeros and poles. The required function with $m \ge 2$ is constructed by gluing two elements. Our first element is (D_1, f_1) where $D_1 = \{z : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ is the right half-plane and $f_1(z) = \tan z$.

The second element is very closely related to the function G_k introduced in (5.1) with k = 2(m-1). For $m \ge 2$ we put

$$c_{2(m-1)} = \lim_{x \to \infty} G_{2(m-1)}(x) = \exp\left(\int_0^1 \frac{e^{-t^{2(m-1)}} - 1}{t} \,\mathrm{d}t + \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-t^{2(m-1)}}}{t} \,\mathrm{d}t\right)$$

and define

(5.2)
$$f_2(z) = \frac{i}{c_{2(m-1)}} G_{2(m-1)}(-iz).$$

Our second element is (D_2, f_2) where $D_2 = \{z \colon \text{Re } z < 0\}$ is the left half-plane.

Both f_1 and f_2 map the imaginary axis homeomorphically onto the interval (i, -i), with the same orientation. Viewed as the boundary of D_1 and D_2 , the imaginary axis has opposite orientations. Thus (D_1, f_1) and (D_2, f_2) can be glued. The resulting function f is locally univalent and has m singularities over \mathbb{C}^* . Moreover, the gluing can be done symmetrically so that the resulting function f is also symmetric, with all zeros and poles on the positive axis. 5.3. Finitely many zeros and poles. As in § 5.2, we consider the function $f_1(z) = \tan z$, define f_2 by (5.2) and put $D_2 = \{z \colon \operatorname{Re} z < 0\}$. However, this time we put $D_1 = \{z \colon 0 < \operatorname{Re} z < n\pi\}$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, we put $D_3 = \{z \colon \operatorname{Re} z > n\pi\}$, $f_3(z) = f_2(z - n\pi)$. Then we can glue (D_2, f_2) and (D_1, f_1) along the imaginary axis and (D_3, f_3) and (D_1, f_1) along the line $\{z \colon \operatorname{Re} z = n\pi\}$.

The resulting function has f has n poles and n + 1 zeros. Of course, 1/f has n + 1 poles and n zeros.

In order to construct an example with the same number n of zeros and poles, one takes $D_1 = \{z : 0 < \text{Re } z < (n - 1/2)\pi\}$ and glues $1/f_2$ to it along the line $\{z : \text{Re } z = (n - 1/2)\pi\}$.

The number of singularities over \mathbb{C}^* in such examples is even. To obtain an odd number greater than 1, we can use functions f_1 and f_3 with different values of m. It seems that there are no examples with m = 1 and a finite number larger than 1 of zeros and poles.

5.4. Infinite two-sided sequence of zeros and poles. These examples use the line complex and the associated tree, as described in section 4. We will have four asymptotic values in \mathbb{C}^* , say $\{i, -i, 2i, -2i\}$.

We consider a symmetric cell decomposition C of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ with two vertices \times and \circ on the real line, such that each face contains exactly one asymptotic value. Then we consider the tree T embedded in \mathbb{C} as shown in Figure 1 for m = 4. This tree satisfies all conditions stated in § 4.4, for the cell decomposition shown in Figure 2, so it defines a local homeomorphism F. Observe that every non-real vertex is adjacent to logarithmic tracts both over 0 and over ∞ , which implies that all of the zeros and poles of F are real; hence F satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 (*iii*), for m = 4.

We may insert additional logarithmic tracts by splitting the tree at any vertex that is adjacent only to a face labeled 0 and a face labeled ∞ (and symmetrically, at its complex conjugate), inserting additional branches to ensure that every non-real vertex is again adjacent to a face labeled 0 and a face labeled ∞ . See Figure 3. Repeating this procedure, we obtain local homeomorphisms with the desired properties for every even $m \geq 4$, as claimed.

5.5. Outline of the proof of Theorem 1.3. We will show that all functions F satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.3 are similar to these examples. To do this, we draw mdisjoint asymptotic curves γ_j corresponding to all distinct singularities of F^{-1} over \mathbb{C}^* . These curves will split the plane into m disjoint sector-like regions G_0, \ldots, G_{m-1} plus a compact set. Assuming that F has infinitely many positive zeros and poles, we enumerate them so that the positive zeros and poles are contained in G_0 and that the whole partition of the plane into G_0, \ldots, G_{m-1} is symmetric. So when there are infinitely many negative zeros and poles, they will lie in $G_{m/2}$.

Then we will show that the restrictions of our function F onto G_j are of two special types described in the next section. One type which we call T_a is symmetric, has infinitely many zeros and poles, but 0 and ∞ are not asymptotic values for this element. This element is similar to the element (D_1, f_1) considered in § 5.2. The second type B_{d_1,d_2} has no zeros and poles, but infinitely many singularities over 0 and ∞ . It is similar to the element (D_2, f_2) of § 5.2, with m = 2.



FIGURE 1. The tree T for m = 4.

In the next section we construct explicit quasiregular representatives of these classes of elements, and show that their quasiconformal dilatation is supported on a small set (of finite logarithmic area). This will allow us to paste them together to reconstruct our function F. All asymptotic properties will follow from the Teichmüller–Wittich–Belinskii theorem (Lemma 4.4).

6. Elements of special type

As explained in § 5.5, we will divide the plane into certain regions G_j such that the restriction of F to G_j is equivalent to one of two special types of elements. These elements (D, f) have the following properties: The domain D is bounded by a curve $\gamma \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\gamma(t)| \to \infty$ as $t \to \pm \infty$. Here γ is oriented in the positive direction so that D is on the left of γ . The function f is such $f(\gamma(t))$ tends radially to certain asymptotic values as $t \to \pm \infty$. This means that there exist $t_{\pm} \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $\theta_{\pm} \in (-\pi, \pi]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

(*) f maps $[t_+, \infty)$ homeomorphically onto $(a_+, a_+ + e^{i\theta_+}\varepsilon]$ and $(-\infty, t_-]$ homeomorphically onto $(a_-, a_- + e^{i\theta_-}\varepsilon]$.

We will only need the cases where $\theta \in \{0, \pm \pi/2, \pi\}$ so that f approaches the asymptotic value parallel to the real or imaginary axis.



FIGURE 2. The cell decomposition for the trees in Figures 1 and 3.

We call the pairs $d_{\pm} := (a_{\pm}, \theta_{\pm})$ oriented asymptotic values. If there exist $t_{\pm} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that (*) holds, then we say that the element (D, f) has the oriented asymptotic values d_{\pm} at $\pm \infty$. We shall assume that if $a_{+} = a_{-}$, then $\theta_{+} = \theta_{-}$, since this suffices for our purposes.

One element will essentially be the restriction of the tangent function to the right half-plane $H := \{z : \text{Re } z > 0\}$. The boundary curve γ_H is then given by $\gamma_H(t) = -it$. Then (*) holds with $a_{\pm} = \mp i$ and $\theta_{\pm} = \pm \pi/2$. Thus (H, \tan) has the oriented asymptotic values $(\mp i, \pm \pi/2)$ at $\pm \infty$. For technical reasons, we will, however, later introduce some modification of the element (H, \tan) . Essentially, we will show that if F has infinitely many zeros and poles in G_j , then (G_j, F) is equivalent to this (modified) element.

But before doing so we will deal with the domains G_j where F has no zeros and poles.

6.1. Elements without zeros and poles. The domains where F maps to \mathbb{C}^* are covered by the following definition.

Definition 6.1. Let D be an unbounded simply-connected domain bounded by a curve $\gamma \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, with $\gamma(t) \to \pm \infty$ as $t \to \pm \infty$, and let $F \colon D \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be a local homeomorphism. Suppose that if $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $F^{-1}(D(c, \delta))$ has no unbounded connected component whose closure is in D.

Let $d_{\pm} = (a_{\pm}, \theta_{\pm})$, where $a_{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\theta_{\pm} \in (-\pi, \pi]$, with $\theta_+ = \theta_-$ if $a_+ = a_-$. If (D, F) has the oriented asymptotic values d_{\pm} at $\pm \infty$, then (D, F) is called of type B_{d_+, d_-} .



FIGURE 3. The tree for m = 6. (Since the tree is symmetric, only its upper part is shown. Unlabeled faces are asymptotic tracts over 0 or ∞ .)

We will show that these elements can be represented in a particular form, similar to the ones considered in § 5.1. We begin with the case where $a_{\pm} > 0$ and $\theta_{\pm} = 0$. Here and in the following we put $H^+ = \{z \colon \text{Im } z > 0\}$.

Proposition 6.2. Let $a_{\pm} > 0$ and put $d_{\pm} = (a_{\pm}, 0)$. Let (D, F) be of type $B_{d_{+},d_{-}}$. Then there exist compact sets K and K_0 , a symmetric rational function R_0 with at most one pole and $\xi, c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that with

(6.1)
$$F_0(z) = \exp\left(\int_{\xi}^{z} R_0(t)e^{-t^2} dt + c_0\right)$$

we have $(D \setminus K, F) \sim (H^+ \setminus K_0, F_0)$.

To prove Proposition 6.2, we will use the following lemma. We omit its proof, but note that it can easily be deduced from a result of Morse and Heins [44, Theorem 20.4].

Lemma 6.3. Let 0 < t < T and let $f: \{z: |z| > t\} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be a topologically holomorphic map. Then there exists a topologically holomorphic map $F: \mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ such that F(z) = f(z) for |z| > T.

The map F can be chosen to have at most one pole, which is located at 0. Moreover, if f is symmetric, then F can be chosen to be symmetric.

The following result is due to Lindelöf [22, Chapter 5, Lemma 1.2].

Lemma 6.4. Let $f: \{z: \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0\} \to \mathbb{C}$ be continuous and bounded. Suppose that f is holomorphic in $\{z \colon \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ and that there exist $a_{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(it) \to a_{\pm}$ as $t \to \pm \infty$. Then $a := a_+ = a_-$ and $f(z) \to a$ as $|z| \to \infty$.

We will also use the following result.

Lemma 6.5. Let f be a meromorphic function with only finitely many zeros and poles. Suppose that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each R > 0 the set $\{z : |f(z)| > R\}$ has at most N unbounded components. Then f has the form

(6.2)
$$f(z) = Q(z)e^{P(z)}$$

where Q is rational and where P is a polynomial of degree at most N.

Proof. It is clear that f has the form (6.2) with a rational function Q and an entire function P. We have to prove that P is a polynomial of degree at most N.

Let

$$u(z) = \log |f(z)| = \operatorname{Re} P(z) + \log |Q(z)|.$$

If K is sufficiently large, then the unbounded components of the sets

$$A = \{z \colon u(z) > K\}$$
 and $B = \{z \colon u(z) < -K\}$

do not contain zeros and poles of f. By [55, 41] each unbounded component of A contains a path γ such that $u(z)/\log|z| \to \infty$ as $z \to \infty, z \in \gamma$, while each unbounded component of B contains a path γ such that $u(z)/\log|z| \to -\infty$ as $z \to \infty, z \in \gamma$.

Thus the "tail" of such a curve γ is contained in a component of

$$A' = \{z \colon \operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0\}$$
 or $B' = \{z \colon \operatorname{Re} P(z) < 0\},\$

respectively. (Note that the components of A' and B' are always unbounded.) Conversely, the components of A' and B' contain curves whose tails are contained in unbounded components of A and B, respectively.

It follows from Lindelöf's Lemma 6.4 that "between" two unbounded components of A there is a component of B, and vice versa. This also holds with A and B replaced by A' and B'. Overall we see that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the unbounded components of A and the components of A'.

By hypothesis, A and hence A' have at most N components. Let now $w \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} w > 0$ such that w is not a critical value of P and let $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $P(z_1) = P(z_2) = w$. We will show that if $z_1 \neq z_2$, then z_1 and z_2 are contained in different components of A. Thus w has at most N preimages. Since this holds for every such w with $\operatorname{Re} w > 0$ which is not a critical value of P, the conclusion follows.

Thus suppose that $z_1 \neq z_2$ and let φ_1 and φ_2 be branches of P^{-1} such that $\varphi_1(w) = z_1$ and $\varphi_2(w) = z_2$. By the Gross star theorem [46, p. 292] there exists $t \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ such that both φ_1 and φ_2 can be continued analytically along the ray $\{w + re^{it} : r \ge 0\}$. For j = 1, 2, let γ_j be the image of this ray under φ_j . Then γ_j is a curve connecting z_j with ∞ .

Suppose that now that z_1 and z_2 are in the same component U of A. We connect z_1 and z_2 by a simple curve γ_0 in U which intersects γ_1 and γ_2 only in z_1 and z_2 . Then the curves γ_0 , γ_1 and γ_2 bound a subdomain V of U. Its image P(V) is an unbounded domain whose boundary is contained in the union of the ray $\{w+re^{it}: r \ge 0\}$ with $P(\gamma_0)$. This implies that P(V) contains points with negative real part. This is a contradiction since $V \subset U$ and U is a component of A.

Proof of Proposition 6.2. Without loss of generality we may assume that F is holomorphic, $D = H^+$ and $\gamma(t) = t$. Since (D, F) has the oriented asymptotic values $(a_{\pm}, 0)$ at $\pm \infty$, there exists a compact interval I such that, by reflection, F extends to a map holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus I$. By Lemma 6.3 there exist R > 0 and a symmetric, topologically holomorphic map $F_1 \colon \mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ with no poles in \mathbb{C}^* such that $F_1(z) = F(z)$ for |z| > R. Next, there exists a homeomorphism $\phi_1 \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $F_0 = F_1 \circ \phi_1$ is meromorphic in \mathbb{C} . Here ϕ_1 can be chosen to be symmetric so that F_0 is also symmetric and has no poles in \mathbb{C}^* .

The function F_0 has only finitely many zeros and no poles in \mathbb{C}^* . Thus there exist a symmetric rational function Q and a symmetric entire function g such that

$$F_0(z) = Q(z)e^{g(z)}.$$

Moreover, F_0 has only finitely many critical points. Thus

$$L(z) := \frac{F'_0(z)}{F_0(z)} = g'(z) + \frac{Q'(z)}{Q(z)}$$

has only finitely many zeros. Hence there exist a symmetric rational function R_0 with no poles in \mathbb{C}^* and a symmetric entire function h such that

(6.3)
$$L(z) = R_0(z)e^{h(z)}.$$

Note that F_0 has the form

(6.4)
$$F_0(z) = \exp\left(\int_1^z L(t) \, \mathrm{d}t + c_0\right)$$

for some $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. The conclusion thus follows if we can show that h in (6.3) is a quadratic polynomial, since once this is known, we can achieve by an affine change of variable that $h(z) = -z^2$. This will change the lower limit in the integral in (6.4) from 1 to some $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

For K > 0, consider a component U of

(6.5)
$$\{z \colon |L(z)| < e^{-K}\} = \{z \colon \operatorname{Re} h(z) + \log |R_0(z)| < -K\}$$

which does not contain any zeros of L. Huber's [30] Lemma 3.4 yields that U contains a curve Γ tending to ∞ such that

$$\int_{\Gamma} |L(z)| \cdot |\mathrm{d}z| < \infty.$$

Hence there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that if z is a point on Γ and if Γ_z denotes the part of Γ which connects the starting point of Γ with z, then

$$\int_{\Gamma_z} L(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_{\Gamma_z} \frac{F'_0(t)}{F_0(t)} \, \mathrm{d}t \to \alpha \quad \text{as } z \to \infty, \ z \in \Gamma.$$

Hence there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $F_0(z) \to \infty, z \in \Gamma$.

By hypothesis, the inverse of F and hence that of F_0 have no singularities over values in \mathbb{C}^* except for the two asymptotic values a_{\pm} , for which the positive and negative real axis are asymptotic paths.

This implies (cf. Proposition 3.2) that the set considered in (6.5) has at most two components which do not contain a zero of L. Lemma 6.5 implies that h is a polynomial of degree at most 2. In fact, since the positive and negative axis are asymptotic paths, the degree of h is exactly 2.

Proposition 6.2 required that $a_{\pm} > 0$ and $\theta_{\pm} = 0$. We will now lift this restriction. In our applications, it will be convenient to work with the slit plane $\Omega^0 := \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ instead of the upper half-plane H^+ . Note that $z \mapsto z^2$ maps H^+ onto Ω^0 . The boundary of Ω^0 is parametrized by the curve $\gamma_{\Omega} \colon \mathbb{R} \to \partial \Omega$ which is given by $\gamma_{\Omega}(t) = -t$ for t < 0and $\gamma_{\Omega}(t) = t$ for $t \ge 0$. So we consider the bordered surface Ω whose interior is Ω^0 and the border $\partial\Omega$ is the curve γ_{Ω} . We put $\Delta = \{z \colon |z| \ge 1\}$.

Proposition 6.6. Let (D, F) be of type B_{d_+,d_-} . Then there exist compact sets K and K', a local homeomorphism $B: \Omega \setminus K' \to \mathbb{C}$ and $t_0 > 0$ such that $(\Omega \setminus K', B) \sim (D \setminus K, F)$ and

(6.6)
$$B(\gamma_{\Omega}(t)) - a_{\pm} = e^{i\theta_{\pm}} \exp(-|t|) \quad for \ |t| \ge t_0.$$

The map B is quasiregular with

(6.7)
$$\log \operatorname{area}(\operatorname{supp}(\mu_B) \cap \Delta) < \infty$$

and there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}$ with $2d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that, as $z \to \infty$,

(6.8)
$$B(z) - a_{\pm} \sim cz^d \exp(-z)$$

in any closed subsector of the first or fourth quadrant, respectively, while

(6.9)
$$\log B(z) \sim cz^d \exp(-z)$$

in any closed subsector of the left half-plane.

Proof. As already mentioned, we will reduce this result to Proposition 6.2. Since this proposition is phrased for functions defined in the half-plane H^+ , we will first prove a "half-plane version" of our conclusion. We will show that there exist compact sets K and K_1 , a local homeomorphism $F_1: H^+ \setminus K_1 \to \mathbb{C}$ and $x_0 > 0$ such that $(H^+ \setminus K_1, F_1) \sim (D \setminus K, F)$ and

(6.10)
$$F_1(x) - a_{\pm} = e^{i\theta_{\pm}} \exp(-x^2) \text{ for } \pm x \ge x_0.$$

Here F_1 is quasiregular with

(6.11)
$$\log \operatorname{area}(\operatorname{supp}(\mu_{F_1}) \cap \Delta) < \infty$$

Moreover, there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that if $\delta > 0$, then, as $z \to \infty$,

(6.12) $F_1(z) - a_{\pm} \sim cz^d \exp(-z^2)$

for $\delta \leq \arg z \leq \pi/4 - \delta$ and $3\pi/4 + \delta \leq \arg z \leq \pi - \delta$, respectively, while

$$\log F_1(z) \sim cz^d \exp(-z^2)$$

for $\pi/4 + \delta \leq \arg z \leq 3\pi/4 - \delta$. Defining B by $B(z^2) = F_1(z)$ we then see that B has the properties stated.

In order to construct the function F_1 we note that if $a_+ \neq a_-$, then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a quasiconformal map $\psi \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\psi(z) = \begin{cases} z & \text{if } |z| \le \varepsilon \text{ or } |z| \ge 1/\varepsilon, \\ a_{\pm} + 2^{\mp 1} e^{i\theta_{\pm}} (z - 2^{\pm 1}) & \text{if } |z - 2^{\pm 1}| \le \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

If $a_+ = a_- := a$ and thus $\theta_+ = \theta_- =: \theta$, then we choose ψ such that

$$\psi(z) = \begin{cases} z & \text{if } |z| \le \varepsilon \text{ or } |z| \ge 1/\varepsilon, \\ a + e^{i\theta} (z - 1) & \text{if } |z - 1| \le \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

In the first case $(D, \psi^{-1} \circ F)$ has the oriented asymptotic values $(2^{\pm 1}, 0)$ at $\pm \infty$, in the second case $(D, \psi^{-1} \circ F)$ has the oriented asymptotic value (1, 0) at $\pm \infty$.

In both cases, Proposition 6.2 is applicable to $(D, \psi^{-1} \circ F)$ and we conclude that there exists a function F_0 as given in (6.1) and compact sets K and K_0 such that $(D \setminus K, \psi^{-1} \circ F) \sim (H^+ \setminus K_0, F_0)$. This means that $(D \setminus K, F) \sim (H^+ \setminus K_0, \psi \circ F_0)$.

In order to prove (6.10) and (6.11), we restrict to the case $a_+ \neq a_-$. The other case is analogous. Then F_0 has the oriented asymptotic value (2,0) at $+\infty$ and thus

$$\exp\left(\int_{\xi}^{\infty} R_0(t)e^{-t^2}\,\mathrm{d}t + c_0\right) = 2.$$

For large x, say $x \ge x_0$, we then have

$$\psi(F_0(x)) = a_+ + \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_+}(F_0(x) - 2) = a_+ + e^{i\theta_+}\left(\exp\left(-\int_x^\infty R_0(t)e^{-t^2}\,\mathrm{d}t\right) - 1\right).$$

Similarly,

$$\psi(F_0(x)) = a_- + e^{i\theta_-} \left(\exp\left(-\int_{-\infty}^x R_0(t)e^{-t^2} dt\right) - 1 \right)$$

for negative x of large modulus, and we may choose x_0 such that this holds for $x \leq -x_0$. Note that since F and $\psi \circ F_0$ have the oriented asymptotic values (a_{\pm}, θ_{\pm}) , this implies that $R_0(x) < 0$ if |x| is large. We may assume that this holds for $|x| \geq x_0$.

We put

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\int_x^\infty R_0(t)e^{-t^2} \, \mathrm{d}t\right) - 1 & \text{if } x \ge x_0, \\ \exp\left(-\int_{-\infty}^x R_0(t)e^{-t^2} \, \mathrm{d}t\right) - 1 & \text{if } x \le -x_0, \end{cases}$$

so that

(6.14)
$$\psi(F_0(x)) = a_{\pm} + e^{i\theta_{\pm}}u(x) \text{ if } \pm x \ge x_0.$$

We have u(x) > 0 and can thus define $h: (-\infty, -x_0] \cup [x_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

(6.15)
$$\exp(-h(x)^2) = u(x)$$

with h(x) > 0 for $x \ge x_0$ and h(x) < 0 if $x \le -x_0$. It is easy to see that for $x \ge x_0$ we have

$$u(x) \sim -\int_{x}^{\infty} R_{0}(t)e^{-t^{2}} dt \sim -\frac{R_{0}(x)}{2x}e^{-x^{2}} \text{ as } x \to +\infty.$$

Similarly

$$u(x) \sim \frac{R_0(x)}{2x} e^{-x^2}$$
 as $x \to -\infty$.

It follows that

$$h(x)^{2} = x^{2} - \log \left| \frac{R_{0}(x)}{2x} \right| + o(1)$$

and hence

(6.16) $h(x) = x + o(1) \quad \text{as } x \to \pm \infty.$

Moreover, a computation shows that

$$(6.17) h'(x) \to 1 \quad \text{as } x \to \pm \infty.$$

Increasing the value of x_0 if necessary, we can extend h to a diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R} . We now define $\tau \colon H^+ \to H^+$,

$$\tau(x+iy) = \begin{cases} x+iy+(1-y)(h(x)-x) & \text{if } 0 < y \le 1, \\ x+iy & \text{if } y > 1. \end{cases}$$

For 0 < y < 1 we have

(6.18)
$$\mu_{\tau}(z) = \frac{(1-y)(h'(x)-1) - i(h(x)-x)}{2 + (1-y)(h'(x)-1) + i(h(x)-x)}$$

Using (6.16) and (6.17) we see that τ is quasiconformal.

We now put $F_1 = \psi \circ F_0 \circ \tau^{-1}$. Since $\tau(x) = h(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we deduce from (6.14) and (6.15) that

$$F_1(x) - a_{\pm} = \psi(F_0(\tau^{-1}(x))) - a_{\pm} = e^{i\theta_{\pm}}u(\tau^{-1}(x))$$
$$= e^{i\theta_{\pm}}\exp(-h(\tau^{-1}(x))^2) = e^{i\theta_{\pm}}\exp(-x^2)$$

for $\pm x \ge x_0$. Thus we have (6.10).

To prove (6.11) let

$$M = \left\{ z \colon \varepsilon \le |z| \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \ \left| z - 2^{\pm 1} \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Recall here that F_0 has logarithmic singularities over $2^{\pm 1}$, as well as over 0 and ∞ . Thus M is disjoint of the set of singular values. To prove (6.11) it suffices to prove that

(6.19)
$$\log \operatorname{area}(F_0^{-1}(M) \cap \Delta) < \infty.$$

In the terminology of [16, Definition 1.5] we thus have to show that F_0 has the *area* property.

Put

$$g(z) = \int_{\xi}^{z} R_0(t) e^{-t^2} dt + c_0$$

so that $F_0 = \exp g$. Let $T_1 = \{x + iy : 0 \le y \le x - 1\}$, $T_2 = \{x + iy : y \ge |x| + 1\}$ and $T_3 = \{x + iy : 0 \le y \le -x - 1\}$. We will show that

(6.20)
$$\log \operatorname{area}(F_0^{-1}(M) \cap T_j) < \infty$$

for $1 \leq j \leq 3$. This easily yields (6.19).

Since $F_0(x) \to 2$ as $x \to \infty$ we find that $g(x) \to \log 2$ as $x \to \infty$. Similarly as before we find that there exists r_0 such that

$$g(z) - \log 2 = -\int_{z}^{\infty} R_0(t) e^{-t^2} dt \quad \text{for } 0 \le \arg z \le \frac{\pi}{4}, \ |z| \ge r_0$$

Here the path of integration connects z with the positive axis, and then runs through ∞ through it. To be definite, let the path of integration be the segments [z, 2|z|] and $[2|z|, \infty)$. Integration by parts (cf. [27, Lemma 4.1]) shows that

(6.21)
$$g(z) - \log 2 \sim \frac{R_0(z)}{2z} e^{-z^2} \text{ as } z \to \infty, \ z \in T_1.$$

For $z = x + iy \in T_1$ we have $\operatorname{Re}(z^2) = x^2 - y^2 \ge 2x + 1 \ge x$ and hence $|\exp(-z^2)| \le e^{-x}$. It follows that $g(z) \to \log 2$ as $z \to \infty$, uniformly for $z \in T_1$. Hence $F_0(z) \to 2$ as $z \to \infty$, uniformly for $z \in T_1$. Thus $F_0^{-1}(M) \cap T_1$ is bounded so that (6.20) holds for j = 1. An analogous argument shows that (6.20) holds for j = 3.

To show that (6.20) also holds for j = 2, we note that $z \mapsto p(z) := i\sqrt{z}$ maps the right half-plane H conformally onto $\{z: \pi/4 < \arg z < 3\pi/4\}$. Put $g_0 = g \circ p$ and $G_0 = F_0 \circ p = \exp g_0$. In view of Lemma 4.5 it thus suffices to show that with $T'_2 = p^{-1}(T_2)$ we have

(6.22)
$$\log \operatorname{area}(G_0^{-1}(M) \cap T_2') < \infty.$$

With $K = \log(1/\varepsilon)$ we have

$$G_0^{-1}(M) \subset A_K := \{ z \colon |\operatorname{Re} g_0(z)| < K \}.$$

Thus (6.22) will follow if we show that

(6.23)
$$\log \operatorname{area}(A_K \cap T'_2) < \infty.$$

Integration by parts yields that

(6.24)
$$g(z) \sim -\frac{R_0(z)}{2z} e^{-z^2} \text{ as } z \to \infty, \ z \in T_2.$$

Thus there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$g_0(z) \sim -\frac{R_0(i\sqrt{z})}{2i\sqrt{z}}e^z \sim \alpha z^\beta e^z$$
 as $z \to \infty, \ z \in T'_2$.

For $z \in T'_2$ of sufficiently large modulus we may thus write $g_0(z) = \exp \varphi_0(z)$ with a map φ_0 satisfying

$$\varphi_0(z) = z + \beta \log z + \log \alpha + o(1)$$
 as $z \to \infty, z \in T'_2$.

With $T_2'' = \{z \in T_2' \colon \operatorname{dist}(z, \partial T_2') \ge 1\}$ this yields that

$$\varphi'_0(z) \to 1 \quad \text{as } z \to \infty, \ z \in T''_2.$$

Hence for $C \subset T_2''$ we have logarea $\varphi_0(C) < \infty$ if and only if logarea $C < \infty$. To prove (6.23) it thus suffices to show that

$$C = \{z \colon \operatorname{Re} z > 1, \ |\operatorname{Re}(e^z)| \le K\}$$

has finite logarithmic area. In order to do so we put, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$S_k = \{x + iy \colon x \ge 1, \ k\pi \le y \le (k+1)\pi\}$$

For $z = x + iy \in S_k$ we have $z \in C$ if $|\cos y| = |\sin(y - (k + 1/2)\pi)| \le e^{-x}$. Hence

$$S_k \cap C \subset S'_k := \left\{ x + iy \colon \left| y - \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) \pi \right| \le e^{-x} \right\}.$$

For $k \ge 1$ and $z = x + iy \in S'_k$ we have $x^2 + y^2 \ge y^2 \ge k^2$. Thus

$$\log \operatorname{area}(S'_k) = \int_{S'_k} \frac{\mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y}{x^2 + y^2} \le \frac{1}{k^2} \int_1^\infty \int_{\pi/2 + \pi k - e^{-x}}^{\pi/2 + \pi k + e^{-x}} \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{2}{k^2} \int_1^\infty e^{-x} \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{2}{ek^2}.$$

The same argument yields that if $k \leq -2$, then $\log area(S'_k) \leq 2/(e(k+1)^2)$. Overall we obtain

$$\log \operatorname{area} C \leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \log \operatorname{area}(S'_k) \leq \frac{4}{e} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} + \log \operatorname{area}\{x + iy \colon x \geq 1, \ |y| \leq \pi\} < \infty.$$

This completes the proof of (6.23) and hence (6.22), finishing the proof of (6.10).

Finally, it follows from the definition of F_1 and (6.21) that

$$F_1(z) - a_+ = \psi(F_0(z)) - a_+ = \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_+}(F_0(z) - 2) = e^{i\theta_+}(\exp(g(z) - \log 2) - 1)$$
$$\sim e^{i\theta_+}(g(z) - \log 2) \sim -e^{i\theta_+}\frac{R_0(z)}{2z}e^{-z^2} \quad \text{as } z \to \infty, \ z \in T_1.$$

This yields the asymptotics for $F_1(z) - a_+$ given in (6.12). Those for $F_1(z) - a_-$ are obtained analogously. Finally, (6.13) follows from (6.24) in the same fashion. This completes the proof of Proposition 6.6.

6.2. Elements with infinitely many zeros and poles. We want to glue the element $(\Omega \setminus K', B)$ from Proposition 6.6 to (a modification of) the tangent map. Instead of the tangent we will, for $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, consider the restriction of

(6.25)
$$v_a(z) = \tan\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) \operatorname{Im} a + \operatorname{Re} a$$

to the right half-plane H. The element (H, v_a) has the oriented asymptotic values $(a, -\operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} a)\pi/2)$ at $-\infty$ and $(\overline{a}, \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} a)\pi/2)$ at $+\infty$. This yields that (H, v_a) can be glued to $(\Omega \setminus K', B)$ if

(6.26)
$$d_{+} = (a, -\operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} a)\pi/2) \text{ or } d_{-} = (\overline{a}, \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} a)\pi/2).$$

To make this gluing explicit we modify the map v_a . Note that

(6.27)
$$v_a(iy) = a + \left(\tan\left(\frac{iy}{2}\right) - i\right) \operatorname{Im} a = a - i\frac{2\operatorname{Im} a}{e^y + 1}$$

as well as

$$v_a(iy) = \overline{a} + \left(\tan\left(\frac{iy}{2}\right) + i \right) \operatorname{Im} a = \overline{a} + i \frac{2 \operatorname{Im} a}{e^{-y} + 1}.$$

Our aim is to construct a quasiconformal map ϕ such that if (6.26) holds, then there exists $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that

$$(v_a \circ \phi)(\gamma_H(-t))) - a = v_a(\phi(it)) - a = B(\gamma_\Omega(t)) - a_+ \quad \text{for } t \ge t_1$$

or

$$(v_a \circ \phi)(\gamma_H(-t))) - \overline{a} = v_a(\phi(it)) - \overline{a} = B(\gamma_\Omega(t)) - a_- \quad \text{for } t \le -t_1,$$

respectively.

To construct the map ϕ , put $y_a = \max\{1, -\log |\operatorname{Im} a|\}$ and define

(6.28)
$$q_a \colon [y_a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad q_a(y) = \log(2|\operatorname{Im} a|e^y - 1).$$

Then

(6.29)
$$q_a(y) = y + \log(2|\operatorname{Im} a|) + o(1) \quad \text{as } y \to +\infty$$

and

(6.30)
$$q'_a(y) = 1 + o(1) \text{ as } y \to +\infty.$$

Thus there exists a diffeomorphism $Q_a \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

(6.31)
$$Q_a(y) = \begin{cases} q_a(y) & \text{if } y \ge y_a, \\ -q_a(-y) & \text{if } y \le -y_a. \end{cases}$$

We now define $\phi = \phi_a \colon H \to H$,

(6.32)
$$\phi_a(x+iy) = \begin{cases} x+iy+i(1-x)(Q_a(y)-y) & \text{if } 0 < x \le 1, \\ x+iy & \text{if } x > 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus $\phi_a(iy) = iQ_a(y)$. A computation analogous to (6.18) shows together with (6.29) and (6.30) that ϕ_a is quasiconformal.

We now define $T_a \colon H \to \mathbb{C}$,

(6.33)
$$T_a(z) = v_a(\phi_a(z)).$$

Definition 6.7. An element (D, F) is of type T_a if there exist compact sets K and K' such that $(D \setminus K, F) \sim (H \setminus K', T_a)$.

Of course, if (D, F) is of type T_a , then also $(D \setminus K, F) \sim (H \setminus K'', v_a)$ for some compact set K''.

Lemma 6.8. Let $d_{\pm} = (a_{\pm}, \theta_{\pm})$, (D, F), B and t_0 be as in Proposition 6.6 and let T_a be defined by (6.33). Let $t_1 = \max\{t_0, y_a\}$.

(i) If $d_{+} = (a, -\text{sign}(\text{Im } a)\pi/2)$, then

$$T_a(\gamma_H(-t))) - a = B(\gamma_\Omega(t)) - a_+ \quad for \ t \ge t_1.$$

(*ii*) If $d_{-} = (\overline{a}, \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} a)\pi/2)$, then

$$T_a(\gamma_H(-t))) - \overline{a} = B(\gamma_\Omega(t)) - a_- \quad for \ t \le -t_1.$$

Proof. To prove (i) we note that if $t \ge t_1$, then by (6.33), (6.32), (6.31), (6.27), (6.28) and (6.6) we have

$$T_{a}(\gamma_{H}(-t)) - a = T_{a}(it) - a = (v_{a} \circ \phi_{a})(it) - a = v_{a}(iQ_{a}(t)) - a$$
$$= v_{a}(iq_{a}(t)) - a = -i\frac{2\operatorname{Im} a}{\exp q_{a}(t) + 1} = -i\operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} a)\exp(-t)$$
$$= e^{-i\operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} a)\pi/2}\exp(-t) = B(\gamma_{\Omega}(t)) - a_{+}.$$

The proof of (ii) is analogous.

Finally we note that because T_a is conformal except in the vertical strip $\{z: 0 < \text{Re } z < 1\}$, we have

(6.34)
$$\log \operatorname{area}(\operatorname{supp}(\mu_{T_a}) \cap \Delta) < \infty.$$

7. Beginning of the proof of Theorem 1.3: Cutting into pieces

Let F be a symmetric local homeomorphism of class S with a finite number m of singularities of F^{-1} over \mathbb{C}^* .

Suppose first that m = 0. Then $F \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^*$ is a covering. This leads easily to the following result.

Lemma 7.1. Let $F: \mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be a local homeomorphism such that the inverse F^{-1} has no singularities over points in \mathbb{C}^* . Then $(\mathbb{C}, F) \sim (\mathbb{C}, \exp)$.

Since the inverse of the exponential function has only one singularity over 0 and ∞ , while our hypothesis says that F^{-1} has infinitely many singularities over 0 and ∞ , we deduce that the case m = 0 does not occur. Thus $m \ge 1$.

To each asymptotic value $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ we associate a semi-open segment $\ell_a = (a, a + e^{i\theta(a)}\varepsilon]$. Here $\varepsilon > 0$ is chosen so small that the disks $D(a, 2\varepsilon)$ are disjoint and do not contain 0. The choice of $\theta(a) \in \{0, \pm \pi/2, \pi\}$ will be fixed later.

For an asymptotic value $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, there exists at least one unbounded component Uof $F^{-1}(D(a, 2\varepsilon))$. For each such component U, the map $F: U \to D(a, 2\varepsilon) \setminus \{a\}$ is a universal cover. Hence U contains a component γ_U of $F^{-1}(\ell_a)$. Clearly γ_U is curve tending to ∞ in U. For each component U we fix such a curve γ_U . So overall there are m such curves and they are disjoint. If U is symmetric, then we may choose γ_U as a subset of \mathbb{R} . Then $\theta(a) \in \{0, \pi\}$. If symmetry interchanges two components, then we choose the corresponding angles and curves such that $\theta(\overline{a}) = -\theta(a)$ and $\gamma_{\overline{U}} = \overline{\gamma_U}$.

If F has a two-sided sequence of zeros and poles, then no such curve γ_U can be contained in \mathbb{R} . Hence the set of these curves splits into complex conjugate pairs. It follows that the number m must be even in this case.

Since the curves γ_U tend to ∞ , there is a cyclic order on the set of these curves. We enumerate them counterclockwise. This enumeration is independent of the choice of the angles $\theta(a)$. If there are infinitely many positive zeros and poles, we do it in such a way that the positive ray is between γ_m and γ_1 . Let a_j be the asymptotic value along γ_j . By symmetry, we have $a_j = \overline{a_{m-j+1}}$ and $\gamma_j = \overline{\gamma_{m-j+1}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. We put $\gamma_0 = \gamma_m$ and $a_0 = a_m$.



FIGURE 4. The symmetric cell decomposition C_0 in the proof of Lemma 7.2.

Lemma 7.2. If F has infinitely many positive zeros and poles, then $a_1 \neq a_m$ so that $a_1 = \overline{a_m} \notin \mathbb{R}$ and $m \geq 2$.

If, in addition, F has infinitely many negative zeros and poles, then m is even, $a_{m/2} \neq a_{m/2+1}$ and $a_{m/2} = \overline{a_{m/2+1}} \notin \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Suppose that $a_1 = a_m$ so that $a := a_1 = \overline{a_m} = \overline{a_1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider a symmetric cell decomposition C_0 of the sphere $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ with two vertices $\times = i$ and $\circ = -i$, three edges and three faces containing $0, \infty$ and a, respectively; see Figure 4. We may assume that the edges do not intersect any of the disks $D(a_j, 2\varepsilon)$. Thus all these disks are contained in some face of C_0 . Let $L_0 = F^{-1}(C_0)$.

Since F is real and locally univalent, F is either always decreasing or always increasing between two adjacent poles. This implies that if x_0 is the smallest positive pole and if (x_k) denotes the sequence of all poles, zeros and a-points greater than or equal to x_0 ,

ordered such that $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \ldots$, then x_k is a pole if k is divisible by 3, and either x_k is a zero if $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and an *a*-point if $k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, or vice versa.

Let X_k be the face of L_0 containing x_k . For $1 \leq j \leq m$, let U_j be the component of $F^{-1}(D(a, 2\varepsilon))$ containing γ_j . Then at most m of the X_k can contain one of the domains U_j .

For all other k, the map F is a covering from $X_k \setminus \{x_k\}$ to the corresponding face of C_0 , with the point $F(x_k) \in \{0, a, \infty\}$ deleted. It follows that F maps X_k univalently to the corresponding face of C_0 . This implies X_k is a digon for such k. In particular, X_k is a digon for all sufficiently large k. Let K be such that this holds for all $k \ge K$. Now X_K shares an edge with X_{K+1} , and these two edges end at the same vertices. Next, X_{K+1} shares an edge with X_{K+2} , and again these two edges end at the same vertices. We conclude that the edges of X_K , X_{K+1} and X_{K+2} end all at the same vertices. This is a contradiction, since only three edges can meet at a vertex.

The conclusion that $a_{m/2} \neq a_{m/2} + 1$ if F has infinitely many negative zeros and poles follows by considering F(-z) instead of F(z).

Let $\theta_j = \theta(a_j)$. Lemma 7.2 says that if F has infinitely many positive zeros and poles, then $\operatorname{Im} a_1 = -\operatorname{Im} a_m \neq 0$. In order to apply Lemma 6.8, we choose $\theta_1 = -\operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} a_1)\pi/2$ and $\theta_m = -\theta_1$. Similarly, if F has infinitely many negative zeros and poles, then we choose $\theta_{m/2} = -\operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} a_{m/2})\pi/2$ and $\theta_{m/2+1} = -\theta_{m/2}$. If γ_j is contained in the real axis, then, as already mentioned, we have $\theta_j = 0$ or $\theta_j = \pi$. For all other jthe choice of θ_j is irrelevant, but to be definite we choose $\theta_j = 0$ for these j. We put $d_j = (a_j, \theta_j)$.

We connect the endpoints of γ_j to some point in \mathbb{R} by curves σ_j which are pairwise disjoint except for their common endpoint in \mathbb{R} . Moreover, we assume that σ_j intersects γ_j only in its endpoint, and does not intersect any other γ_k . Then, for $1 \leq j \leq m$, there exists an unbounded domain G_j whose boundary is formed by the curves γ_{j-1} , γ_j , σ_{j-1} and σ_j .

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is split into two parts: The first part (given in this section) is a purely topological statement, and the second part (in the next section) deals with the asymptotic behavior.

The topological statement is the following.

Theorem 7.3. Let $F: \mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be a symmetric local homeomorphism such that the inverse F^{-1} has a finite, non-zero number m of singularities over points in \mathbb{C}^* . Then:

- (i') If F has only finitely many zeros and poles, then (G_j, F) is of type $B_{d_j, d_{j+1}}$ for all j.
- (ii') If F has infinitely many zeros and poles, all of them positive, then $m \ge 2$ and (G_0, F) is of type T_{a_1} while (G_j, F) is of type $B_{d_j, d_{j+1}}$ for $1 \le j \le m-1$.
- (iii') If F has a two-sided sequence of zeros and poles, all of them real, then m is even and $m \ge 2$. Moreover, (G_0, F) is of type T_{a_1} and $(G_{m/2}, F)$ is of type $T_{a_{m/2+1}}$ while (G_j, F) is of type $B_{d_j, d_{j+1}}$ for all other j.

Proof. Definition 6.1 says that if F has no zeros and poles in G_j , then (G_j, F) is of type $B_{d_j,d_{j+1}}$. This already proves (i') and also shows that in case (ii') the (G_j, F) are of the stated type if $j \neq 0$ and that in case (iii') they are of the stated type if $j \neq 0$ and $j \neq m/2$.

To deal with (G_0, F) in cases (ii') and (iii'), we consider a symmetric cell decomposition C_1 of the sphere with two vertices \times and \circ on the real line, four edges and four faces containing $0, \infty, a \coloneqq a_1$ and $\overline{a} = a_m$, respectively, see the left part of Figure 5. According to Lemma 7.2, we have $a_1 \neq a_m$, which justifies this construction.



FIGURE 5. A cell decomposition for singular values 0, a, \overline{a} and ∞ .

We may assume that if a_j is an asymptotic value with $a_j \notin \{a, \overline{a}\}$, then the disk $D(a_j, 2\varepsilon)$ is contained in one of the faces labeled 0 and ∞ . Let $L_1 = F^{-1}(C_1)$. Then the restriction of F to an unbounded face of L_1 labeled by a or \overline{a} is a universal cover from this unbounded face to the corresponding face of C_1 punctured at a or \overline{a} , respectively.

The same argument as in the proof of Lemma 7.2 shows that all sufficiently large positive poles and zeros of F must belong to digons of L_1 . Each such digon has both vertices on the positive ray. Conversely, every sufficiently large positive vertex belongs to two digons, one labeled 0 and one labeled ∞ .

Thus there is a one-sided chain of the form $\times = \circ = \times = \circ = \times = \ldots$ infinite in the positive direction, consisting of faces labeled 0 and ∞ alternatively. Consideration of the cyclic order of face labeling around vertices of these digons containing zeros and poles shows that immediately above and below this chain we must have ∞ -gons labeled a and \overline{a} ; cf. the right part of Figure 5.

These ∞ -gons must contain γ_1 and γ_m , since there are no other asymptotic curves γ_j with asymptotic values in \mathbb{C}^* between γ_m and γ_1 in the sense of the counterclockwise cyclic order at ∞ . Let D be the region consisting of these two ∞ -gons and the closure of digons of the chain.

Recall that T_{a_1} is defined in (6.33) by $T_{a_1} = v_{a_1} \circ \phi_{a_1}$, with v_{a_1} given by (6.25) and a quasiconformal map ϕ_{a_1} . The cell decomposition $v_{a_1}^{-1}(C_1)$ consists of a two-sided infinite chain of digons the form $\ldots = \times = \circ = \times = \circ = \ldots$ and two ∞ -gons. Removing the closure of the left part of this infinite chain, we obtain a region D' with a cell decomposition combinatorially equivalent to the restriction of the cell decomposition L_1 on D. Therefore, the restriction of F to D is equivalent to the restriction of v_{a_1} to D', that is $v_{a_1} = F \circ \psi$, where $\psi \colon D' \to D$ is a homeomorphism. Since F and v_{a_1} are symmetric, ψ can also be chosen to be symmetric. Moreover, since $\gamma_m = \overline{\gamma_1}$ and since Fmaps the curves γ_1 and γ_m onto the segments $(a_1, a_1 + e^{i\theta_1}\varepsilon] = (a, a - \text{sign}(\text{Im } a)i]$ and $(a_m, a_m + e^{i\theta_m}\varepsilon] = (\overline{a}, \overline{a} + \text{sign}(\text{Im } a)i]$, we see that there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\psi^{-1}(\gamma_1)$ and $\psi^{-1}(\gamma_m)$ are contained in the line $\{z \colon \text{Re } z = k\pi\}$. There is no loss of generality to assume that they are on the imaginary axis. Now we extend the curves γ_1 and γ_m until they hit some vertices on the boundary of their ∞ -gons. This can be done in such a way that $\psi^{-1}(\gamma_1)$ and $\psi^{-1}(\gamma_m)$ are contained in the right half-plane. These extended curves cut from D a region D_0 which contains a positive ray. The restriction of F on D_0 is equivalent to the restriction of v_{a_1} onto the region $\psi^{-1}(D_0)$, and hence the restriction of T_{a_1} onto $\phi^{-1}(\psi^{-1}(D_0))$. This yields that (G_0, F) is of type T_{a_1} . This completes the proof of (ii') and also handles the case of (G_0, F) in case (iii').

To complete the proof in case (*iii'*) we only have to note that considering F(-z) instead of F(z) corresponds to interchanging G_0 and $G_{m/2}$ as well as a_1 and $a_{m/2+1}$. \Box

8. Completion of the proof of Theorem 1.3: Gluing pieces together

Let (i') - (iii') be the cases considered in Theorem 7.3. Of course, these correspond to the cases (i) - (iii) of Theorem 1.3. We divide the plane into m sectors S_0, \ldots, S_{m-1} , enumerated counterclockwise and such that S_0 is bisected by the positive real axis. Let σ_j be the opening angle of S_j . We choose ρ and the opening angles σ_j as follows:

Case (i'): $\rho = m$ and $\sigma_j = 2\pi/\rho$ for all j.

Case (*ii'*): $\rho = m - 1/2$, $\sigma_0 = \pi/\rho$ and $\sigma_j = 2\pi/\rho$ for $1 \le j \le m - 1$.

Case (*iii'*): $\rho = m - 1$, $\sigma_0 = \sigma_{m/2} = \pi/\rho$ and $\sigma_j = 2\pi/\rho$ for all other j.

Recall here that m is even in case (*iii'*) by Theorem 7.3. We call a sector S_j large if $\sigma_j = 2\pi/\rho$ and small if $\sigma_j = \pi/\rho$.

If S_j is a large sector, then there exists $e_j \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|e_j| = 1$ such that $z \mapsto e_j z^{\rho}$ maps S_j conformally onto Ω . In fact, we have $e_j = -1$ for all j in case (i') and $e_j = -i$ for all j in case (ii'). In case (iii') we have $e_j = -i$ for $1 \leq j \leq m/2 - 1$ and $e_j = i$ for $m/2 + 1 \leq j \leq m - 1$.

If S_0 is a small sector, then $z \mapsto z^{\rho}$ maps S_0 onto the right half-plane H. If $S_{m/2}$ is a small sector, which happens only in case (*iii'*), then $z \mapsto -z^{\rho}$ maps S_0 onto the right half-plane. Putting $e_0 = 1$ and $e_{m/2} = -1$ we see that if S_j is a small sector and thus $j \in \{0, m/2\}$, then $z \mapsto e_j z^{\rho}$ maps S_j to H. Let $p_j \colon S_j \to \mathbb{C}, p_j(z) = e_j z^{\rho}$. Then $p_j(S_j) = \Omega$ or $p_j(S_j) = H$, depending on whether S_j is large or small.

Let $d_j = (a_j, \theta_j)$ be as in section 7 and let G_0, \ldots, G_{m-1} be the domains defined before Theorem 7.3. By Theorem 7.3, each element (G_j, F) is of one of two types. If it is of type $B_{d_j,d_{j+1}}$, we choose the map B_j and compact sets K_j and K'_j according to Proposition 6.6 so that $(\Omega \setminus K'_j, B_j) \sim (G_j \setminus K_j, F)$. Otherwise there are compact sets K_j and K'_j such that so that $(H \setminus K'_j, T_{a_{j+1}}) \sim (G_j \setminus K_j, F)$. Note that our labeling of the sectors is such that

(8.1)
$$(G_j \setminus K_j, F) \sim \begin{cases} (\Omega \setminus K'_j, B_j) & \text{if } S_j \text{ is large,} \\ (H \setminus K'_j, T_{a_{j+1}}) & \text{if } S_j \text{ is small.} \end{cases}$$

We consider the map $F_1: \bigcup_{j=0}^{m-1} S_j \to \mathbb{C}$, which for $z \in S_j$ of sufficiently large modulus is defined by

(8.2)
$$F_1(z) = \begin{cases} B_j(p_j(z)) & \text{if } S_j \text{ is large,} \\ T_{a_{j+1}}(p_j(z)) & \text{if } S_j \text{ is small.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 6.6 and Lemma 6.8 yield that, apart from some bounded set, the expressions defining F_1 match on the boundaries of the sectors. Thus there exists R > 0 such that (8.2) defines a quasiregular map $F_1: \{z: |z| > R\} \to \mathbb{C}$.

By (8.1) there exist homeomorphisms $\phi_j : p_j(S_j) \setminus K'_j \to G_j \setminus K_j$ such that

(8.3)
$$F(\phi_j(z)) = \begin{cases} B_j(z) & \text{if } S_j \text{ is large,} \\ T_{a_{j+1}}(z) & \text{if } S_j \text{ is small.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\tau_j = \phi_j \circ p_j$. Then

$$F(\tau_j(z)) = F(\phi_j(p_j(z))) = \begin{cases} B_j(p_j(z))) & \text{if } S_j \text{ is large} \\ T_{a_{j+1}}(p_j(z))) & \text{if } S_j \text{ is small} \\ = F_1(z) & \text{for } z \in S_j \setminus p_j^{-1}(K_j) \end{cases}$$

by (8.2) and (8.3). Hence the τ_j can be glued together to yield compact sets K and K' and a homeomorphism $\tau : \mathbb{C} \setminus K' \to \mathbb{C} \setminus K$ such that

$$F(\tau(z)) = F_1(z)$$
 for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus K'$.

On the other hand, as explained in § 4.1, the Uniformization Theorem yields that there exists $0 < R \leq \infty$, a homeomorphism $\phi_0 \colon \mathbb{C} \to D(0, R)$ and a meromorphic function $F_0 \colon D(0, R) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $F = F_0 \circ \phi_0$. With $\alpha = \phi_0 \circ \tau$ we thus have

$$F_0(\alpha(z)) = F_1(z) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus K'$$

Since F_0 is meromorphic and F_1 is quasiregular, we find that α is quasiconformal. Since a quasiconformal map distorts the modulus of an annulus only by a bounded factor, this implies that $R = \infty$.

The set where α is not conformal agrees with the set where F_1 is not meromorphic. By Lemma 4.5, (6.7) and (6.34) this set has finite logarithmic area. It thus follows from the Teichmüller–Wittich–Belinskii theorem (Lemma 4.4) that there exists $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that

$$\alpha(z) \sim az \quad \text{as } z \to \infty.$$

It will be convenient to consider the inverse $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$. With b = 1/a we then have

(8.4)
$$F_0(z) = F_1(\beta(z)) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus K,$$

with

(8.5)
$$\beta(z) \sim bz \quad \text{as } z \to \infty.$$

As F_0 and F_1 are symmetric, β is also symmetric. This implies that b is real. In fact, we may assume that b > 0.

It follows from the definition of the T_{a_j} and B_j , (8.2), (8.4) and (8.5) that

$$\log m(r, F_0) = O(r^{\rho})$$

as $r \to \infty$. Moreover, we find that if F_0 has infinitely many zeros and poles, then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$N(r, F_0) \sim Cr^{\rho}$$
 and $N\left(r, \frac{1}{F_0}\right) \sim Cr^{\rho}$

as $r \to \infty$. In fact, we have $C = b^{\rho}/(2\pi)$ if the sequence of zeros and poles is one-sided and $C = b^{\rho}/\pi$ if it is two-sided. It follows from these equations and the lemma on the logarithmic derivative [22, Chapter 3, § 1] that F'_0/F_0 and hence $E = F_0/F'_0$ have order ρ . Moreover, it follows that $\lambda(E) = \rho$ in cases (*ii*) and (*iii*).

To prove that E is of completely regular growth, we first consider a large sector S_j . Let S'_j be a subsector of S_j which is mapped to a subsector of the left half-plane under p_j . By (6.9) we have

$$\log F_0(z) = \log B_j(p_j(\beta(z)))) \sim cp_j(\beta(z))^d \exp(-p_j(\beta(z))) \quad \text{as } z \to \infty, \ z \in S'_j$$

and thus

$$\log \log F_0(z) \sim -p_j(\beta(z)) \sim -e_j(bz)^{\rho}$$
 as $z \to \infty, \ z \in S'_j$.

Replacing, without changing notation, S'_j by a smaller subsector we find that

$$\frac{F'_0(z)}{F_0(z)\log F_0(z)} \sim -e_j\rho b^{\rho} z^{\rho-1} \quad \text{as } z \to \infty, \ z \in S'_j.$$

Hence

$$E(z) = \frac{F_0(z)}{F'_0(z)} \sim -\frac{e_j \rho b^{\rho} z^{\rho-1}}{\log F_0(z)} \text{ as } z \to \infty, \ z \in S'_j$$

so that $\log E(z) \sim -\log \log F_0(z)$ and hence

(8.6)
$$\log E(z) \sim e_j (bz)^{\rho} \text{ as } z \to \infty, \ z \in S'_j.$$

Let now S_j be a large sector and let S'_j be a subsector which is mapped by p_j to a subsector of the first quadrant $\{z \colon \operatorname{Re} z > 0, \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$. By (6.8) we have

$$F_0(z) - a_j = \log B_j(p_j(\beta(z))) - a_j \sim cp_j(\beta(z))^d \exp(-p_j(\beta(z)))$$
 as $z \to \infty, z \in S'_j$
Similarly as above this yields

(8.7)
$$\log(F_0(z) - a_j) \sim -p_j(\beta(z)) \sim -e_j(bz)^{\rho} \quad \text{as } z \to \infty, \ z \in S'_j$$

and thus, passing to a smaller subsector,

$$\frac{F_0'(z)}{F_0(z) - a_j} \sim -e_j \rho b^{\rho} z^{\rho-1} \quad \text{as } z \to \infty, \ z \in S_j'.$$

We conclude that

$$E(z) = \frac{F_0(z)}{F'_0(z)} \sim \frac{a_j}{F'_0(z)} \sim -\frac{1}{e_j \rho b^{\rho} z^{\rho-1} (F_0(z) - a_j)} \quad \text{as } z \to \infty, \ z \in S'_j.$$

Thus $\log E(z) \sim -\log(F_0(z) - a_j)$ and (8.7) yields that (8.6) holds again.

The case that S'_j is mapped by p_j to a subsector of the fourth quadrant $\{z \colon \text{Re } z > 0, \text{Im } z < 0\}$ is analogous. Then the above equations hold with a_j replaced by a_{j+1} , and again we obtain (8.6).

Next we consider the case that S_j is a small sector. Again, let S'_j be a subsector which is mapped by p_j to a subsector of the first quadrant. Then

$$F_0(z) = \tan\left(\frac{p_j(\beta(z))}{2}\right) \operatorname{Im} a_{j+1} + \operatorname{Re} a_{j+1} \quad \text{for } z \in S'_j,$$

provided |z| is sufficiently large. We conclude that $F_0(z) \to a_{j+1}$ as $z \to \infty$, $z \in S'_j$, and passing as before without change of notation to a smaller sector,

$$F'_{0}(z) = \frac{p'_{j}(\beta(z))\beta'(z)}{2\cos^{2}\left(\frac{p_{j}(\beta(z))}{2}\right)} \operatorname{Im} a_{j+1} \sim \frac{e_{j}\rho b^{\rho} z^{\rho-1} \operatorname{Im} a_{j+1}}{2\exp(-ip_{j}(\beta(z)))} \quad \text{as } z \to \infty, \ z \in S'_{j}.$$

It follows that

$$E(z) \sim \frac{2a_{j+1}\exp(-ip_j(\beta(z)))}{e_j\rho b^\rho z^{\rho-1}\operatorname{Im} a_{j+1}}$$

and hence

(8.8)
$$\log E(z) \sim -ip_j(\beta(z)) \sim -ie_j(bz)^{\rho} \text{ as } z \to \infty, \ z \in S'_j.$$

An analogous argument shows that if S'_j is mapped by p_j to a subsector of the fourth quadrant, then

(8.9)
$$\log E(z) \sim i p_j(\beta(z)) \sim i e_j(bz)^{\rho} \quad \text{as } z \to \infty, \ z \in S'_j.$$

Suppose now that we are in case (*ii*). Then (8.6) holds for $1 \leq j \leq m-1$. If j = 0and S'_0 is a subsector of S_0 which is contained in the upper half-plane, then $p_j(S'_0)$ is contained in the first quadrant and thus we have (8.8) with j = 0. Recalling that $e_0 = 1$ and $e_j = -i$ for all other j in case (*ii*), we find that if T is any closed subsector of the upper half-plane whose image under $z \mapsto z^{\rho}$ does not intersect the real or imaginary axis, then

(8.10)
$$\log E(z) \sim -ib^{\rho} z^{\rho} \text{ as } z \to \infty, \ z \in T.$$

With $c = b^{\rho}$ this yields that

$$\log|E(z)| \sim \operatorname{Re}\left(-ib^{\rho}r^{\rho}e^{i\rho t}\right) = cr^{\rho}\cos\left(\rho t - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = cr^{\rho}\sin(\rho t) \quad \text{as } r \to \infty, \ re^{it} \in T.$$

Since E is symmetric, an analogous result holds for subsectors of the lower half-plane. Thus E is of completely regular growth on every ray except for finitely many. Since the set of rays of completely regular growth is closed [40, § III.1], E is of completely regular growth in the plane, with indicator as stated.

An analogous reasoning can be made in case (*iii*). In this case a subsector of S_m which is contained in the upper half-plane is mapped to the fourth quadrant. Thus we have to use (8.9) instead of (8.8) if j = m/2. But since $e_m = -1$ we again find that (8.10) holds for any subsector T of the upper half-plane whose image under $z \mapsto z^{\rho}$ does not intersect the real or imaginary axis. As before we can conclude that E has completely regular growth, with indicator as stated.

Finally, to prove that A has completely regular growth, we note that it follows from (8.10) that if T is a closed sector containing no zeros of E, then there exists a constant c' such that

(8.11)
$$-2\frac{E''(z)}{E(z)} + \left(\frac{E'(z)}{E(z)}\right)^2 \sim c' z^{2\rho-2} \quad \text{as } z \to \infty, \ z \in T$$

Since E has completely regular growth this implies together with (1.4) that A has completely regular growth, with indicator given by (1.7).

Remark 8.1. It follows from (8.11) that if E has infinitely many positive zeros, then

(8.12)
$$A(z) \sim \frac{1}{4}c'z^{2\rho-2} \quad \text{as } z \to \infty, \ z \in T,$$

for any closed subsector T of $S_0 \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Lemma 6.4, applied to $A(z)/z^{2\rho-2}$, shows that (8.12) in fact holds for any closed subsector T of S_0 . An analogous result holds is E has infinitely many negative zeros.

Thus we actually have a much more precise description of the asymptotics of A than given by (1.7): In the sectors corresponding to the intervals where $h_A = 0$ we have (8.12).

In particular is follows from (8.12) that A is non-constant. But this can also be deduced directly from the hypothesis that F^{-1} has infinitely many singularities over 0 or ∞ .

Remark 8.2. Suppose that E has infinitely many positive zeros. Since between two positive zeros of a solution w of (1.1) there is positive local maximum or a negative local minimum of w, we deduce from (1.1) that c' > 0 in (8.12). This implies that A'(x) > 0for all large positive x. It follows (see [10] or [25, Chapter XIV, Part I, Theorem 3.1]) that all solutions of (1.1) are bounded on the positive real axis. In particular, E is bounded there. Alternatively, this can be obtained from $F_0(z) = T_{a_1}(p_j(\beta(z)))$, which holds for z of sufficiently large modulus in any subsector of S_0 . Again an analogous result holds if E has infinitely many negative zeros.

Since E' has only finitely many non-real critical points by Lemma 3.6, this yields that the set of critical values of E is bounded. Moreover, by the Denjoy-Carleman-Ahlfors theorem [22, Chapter 5, § 1], E has only finitely many asymptotic values. We conclude that E is in the class B consisting of all entire functions for which the set of critical and (finite) asymptotic values is bounded. As the Speiser class, it plays an important role in value distribution and holomorphic dynamics [52].

9. Proof of Theorem 1.4

We will use the following result [6, Theorem 1].

Lemma 9.1. Let F be a meromorphic function such that the preimage of three points belongs to the real line. Then F maps the real line into a circle, unless

(9.1)
$$F(z) = L\left(\frac{1 - e^{i(a_1 z - b_1)}}{1 - e^{i(a_2 z - b_2)}}\right),$$

where L is a linear-fractional transformation and $a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let \mathbb{D} be the unit disk. A meromorphic function $F: \mathbb{D} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is called *normal* if the family $\{F \circ S: S \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})\}$ is normal, where $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ denotes the set of biholomorphic maps from \mathbb{D} to \mathbb{D} . The following result is due to Lehto and Virtanen [38, Theorem 2].

Lemma 9.2. Let $F: \mathbb{D} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be a normal meromorphic function. Suppose that there exist $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ and a curve γ ending at point $P \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ such that $F(z) \to a$ as $z \to P$, $z \in \gamma$. Then f has the angular limit a at P.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let w_1, w_2, w_3 be pairwise linearly independent solutions of (1.1) with only real zeros. Without loss of generality we may assume that $w_3 = w_1 - w_2$, since otherwise we can replace w_1 and w_2 by suitable multiples. As before we put $F = w_2/w_1$. Then F is a locally univalent meromorphic function which has only real zeros, 1-points and poles.

In view of (1.2) we have to show that the Schwarzian derivative of F is constant. This is the case if F has the form (9.1). Using Lemma 9.1 we may thus assume that F maps \mathbb{R} to a circle C.

The Schwarzian derivative of a linear-fractional transformation is 0. So we may assume that F is not a linear-fractional transformation. Then the inverse of F has a singularity. Since F is locally univalent, this means that F has an asymptotic value a. Let γ be an asymptotic path for a. Then $\overline{\gamma}$ is an asymptotic path for a^* , the point symmetric to awith respect to the circle C. If γ crosses the real axis infinitely often, then $a = a^*$. In this case we can build from γ and $\overline{\gamma}$ an asymptotic path which is contained in the upper half-plane H^+ . If $a \neq a^*$ is non-real, then (the tail of) one of the curves γ or $\overline{\gamma}$ is in H^+ anyway, and we may assume without loss of generality that this holds for γ . Thus Fhas the asymptotic value a with an asymptotic path in the upper half-plane.

As F omits the values 0, 1 and ∞ in the upper half-plane, F is normal there. Lemma 9.2 yields that $F(z) \to a$ as $|z| \to \infty$, $\varepsilon < \arg z < \pi - \varepsilon$. In particular, F has only one asymptotic value which has an asymptotic path in the upper half-plane. Overall we see that F has at most two asymptotic values, namely a and a^* . Thus the inverse of F has at most two singularities. In fact, this also shows that $a \notin C$ so that $a \neq a^*$, since otherwise F^{-1} would have only one singularity, which is only possible for a linear-fractional map. We conclude that $F: \mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{a, a^*\}$ is a covering. With $L(z) = (z - a)/(z - a^*)$ we deduce that $L \circ F: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^*$ is a covering. Thus there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $d \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $(L \circ F)(z) = \exp(cz + d)$. It follows that the Schwarzian of $L \circ F$ and hence of F is constant. Thus A is constant.

References

- [1] Steven B. Bank and Ilpo Laine, On the oscillation theory of f'' + Af = 0 where A is entire. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 6 (1982), no. 1, 95–98.
- [2] Steven B. Bank and Ilpo Laine, On the oscillation theory of f'' + Af = 0 where A is entire. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 273 (1982), no. 1, 351–363.
- [3] P. P. Belinskii, General properties of quasiconformal maps (Russian). Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1974.
- [4] Walter Bergweiler, Iteration of meromorphic functions. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 29 (1993), no. 2, 151–188.
- [5] Walter Bergweiler and Alexandre Eremenko, On the singularities of the inverse to a meromorphic function of finite order. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 11 (1995), no. 2, 355–373.
- [6] Walter Bergweiler and Alexandre Eremenko, Meromorphic functions with linearly distributed values and Julia sets of rational functions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), no. 7, 2329–2333.

- [7] Walter Bergweiler and Alexandre Eremenko, On the Bank-Laine conjecture. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 19 (2017), no. 6, 1899–1909.
- [8] Walter Bergweiler and Alexandre Eremenko, Quasiconformal surgery and linear differential equations. J. Anal. Math. 137 (2019), no. 2, 751–812.
- [9] Walter Bergweiler, Philip J. Rippon and Gwyneth M. Stallard, Dynamics of meromorphic functions with direct or logarithmic singularities. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 97 (2008), no. 2, 368–400.
- [10] M. Biernacki, Sur l'équation différentielle x'' + A(t)x = 0. Prace Mat.-Fiz. 40 (1933), 163–171.
- [11] N. Bourbaki, Éléments de mathématique, III. Topologie générale, Chap. I. Structures topologiques. Hermann, Paris 1971.
- [12] Franz Brüggemann, On solutions of linear differential equations with real zeros; proof of a conjecture of Hellerstein and Rossi. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 113 (1991), no. 2, 371–379.
- [13] D. Drasin and J. K. Langley, Bank–Laine functions via quasiconformal surgery. A tribute to Noel Baker. In "Transcendental dynamics and complex analysis," pp. 165–178; Eds.: P. J. Rippon and G. M. Stallard, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [14] David Drasin and Daniel F. Shea, Pólya peaks and the oscillation of positive functions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 34 (1972), no. 2, 403–411.
- [15] Gustav Elfving, Uber eine Klasse von Riemannschen Flächen und ihre Uniformisierung. Acta Soc. Sci. Fennicae, N. Ser. A 2, no. 3, 1–60 (1934).
- [16] Adam Epstein and Lasse Rempe-Gillen, On invariance of order and the area property for finite-type entire functions. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 40 (2015), no. 2, 573–599.
- [17] A. Eremenko, Meromorphic functions with small ramification. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 42 (1993), no. 4, 1193–1218.
- [18] Alexandre Eremenko, Geometric theory of meromorphic functions. In "In the Tradition of Ahlfors and Bers, III," pp. 221-230; Eds.: W. Abikoff and A. Haas, Contemp. Math. 355, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. An expanded version is available at https://www. math.purdue.edu/~eremenko/dvi/mich.pdf
- [19] Alexandre Eremenko, Andrei Gabrielov and Boris Shapiro, Zeros of eigenfunctions of some anharmonic oscillators. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 58 (2008), no. 2, 603–624.
- [20] A. E. Eremenko and M. Yu. Lyubich, Dynamical properties of some classes of entire functions. Ann. Inst. Fourier 42 (1992), no. 4, 989–1020.
- [21] A. Eremenko and S. Merenkov, Nevanlinna functions with real zeros, Illinois J. Math. 49 (2005), no. 4, 1093–1110.
- [22] Anatoly A. Goldberg and Iossif V. Ostrovskii, Value distribution of meromorphic functions. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 236. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [23] Gary G. Gundersen, On the real zeros of solutions of f'' + A(z)f = 0 where A(z) is entire. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 11 (1986), no. 2, 275–294.
- [24] G. G. Gundersen, The mathematical work of Ilpo Laine. In "Proceedings of the Workshop on Complex Analysis and its Applications to Differential and Functional Equations," pp. 1–26; Eds.: J. Gröhn, J. Heittokangas, R. Korhonen and J. Rättyä, University of Eastern Finland, 2014.
- [25] Philip Hartman, Ordinary differential equations. Corrected reprint. S. M. Hartman, Baltimore, Md., 1973.
- [26] Simon Hellerstein, Li-Chien Shen and Jack Williamson, Real zeros of derivatives of meromorphic functions and solutions of second order differential equations. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 285 (1984), no. 2, 759–776.
- [27] Jan-Martin Hemke, Recurrence of entire transcendental functions with simple post-singular sets. Fund. Math. 187 (2005), no. 3, 255–289.
- [28] Lars Hörmander, The analysis of linear partial differential operators I. Second edition. Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 256. Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [29] Lars Hörmander, Notions of convexity. Progress in Mathematics, 127. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994.
- [30] Alfred Huber, On subharmonic functions and differential geometry in the large. Comment. Math. Helv. 32 (1957), 13–72.

- [31] Ilpo Laine, Nevanlinna theory and complex differential equations. De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 15, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin – New York, 1993.
- [32] Ilpo Laine and Kazuya Tohge, The Bank–Laine conjecture a survey. In "Some topics on value distribution and differentiability in complex and p-adic analysis", pp. 398–417; Eds.: A. Escassut, W. Tutschke and C. C. Yang, Sci. Press, Beijing, 2008.
- [33] J. K. Langley, Quasiconformal modifications and Bank-Laine functions. Arch. Math. (Basel) 71 (1998), no. 3, 233–239.
- [34] J. K. Langley, Bank-Laine functions with sparse zeros. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2001), no. 7, 1969–1978.
- [35] J. K. Langley, Transcendental singularities for a meromorphic function with logarithmic derivative of finite lower order. Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 19 (2019), no. 1, 117–133.
- [36] J. K. Langley, Bank-Laine functions, the Liouville transformation and the Eremenko-Lyubich class. J. Anal. Math. 141 (2020), no. 1, 225–246.
- [37] J. K. Langley, Bank–Laine functions with real zeros. Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 20 (2020), no. 3-4, 653–665.
- [38] Olli Lehto and K. I. Virtanen, Boundary behaviour and normal meromorphic functions. Acta Math. 97 (1957), 47–65.
- [39] O. Lehto and K. I. Virtanen, Quasiconformal mappings in the plane. Second edition. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 126. Springer, New York, Heidelberg, 1973.
- [40] B. Ya. Levin, Distribution of zeros of entire functions. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 5. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1980.
- [41] John Lewis, John Rossi and Allen Weitsman, On the growth of subharmonic functions along paths. Ark. Mat. 22 (1984), no. 1, 109–119.
- [42] Morris Marden, On the derivative of an entire function. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 1045– 1051.
- [43] Joseph Miles, On entire functions of infinite order with radially distributed zeros. Pacific J. Math. 81 (1979), no. 1, 131–157.
- [44] Marston Morse, Lectures on topological methods in the theory of functions of a complex variable. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 15, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1947.
- [45] Rolf Nevanlinna, Uber Riemannsche Flächen mit endlich vielen Windungspunkten. Acta Math. 58 (1932), no. 1, 295–373.
- [46] Rolf Nevanlinna, Eindeutige analytische Funktionen. Springer, Berlin, Göttingen, Heidelberg, 1953.
- [47] Lasse Rempe, The Eremenko-Lyubich constant, Preprint arXiv:2105.09053 (2021).
- [48] John Rossi, Second order differential equations with transcendental coefficients. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1986), no. 1, 61–66.
- [49] Li-Chien Shen, Construction of a differential equation y'' + Ay = 0 with solutions having the prescribed zeros. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1985), no. 4, 544–546.
- [50] Li-Chien Shen, Solution to a problem of S. Bank regarding exponent of convergence of zeros of the solutions of differential equation f'' + Af = 0. Kexue Tongbao (English Ed.) 30 (1985), 1579–1585.
- [51] Li-Chien Shen, Proof of a conjecture of Bank and Laine regarding the product of two linearly independent solutions of y'' + Ay = 0. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1987), no. 2, 301–308.
- [52] David J. Sixsmith, Dynamics in the Eremenko–Lyubich class. Conform. Geom. Dyn. 22 (2018), 185–224.
- [53] Norbert Steinmetz, Linear differential equations with exceptional fundamental sets. II. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 (1993), no. 2, 355–358.
- [54] S. Stoïlow, Leçons sur les principes topologiques de la théorie des fonctions analytiques. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1938.
- [55] M. N. M. Talpur, On the growth of subharmonic functions on asymptotic paths. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 32 (1976), no. 2, 193–198.

46 WALTER BERGWEILER, ALEXANDRE EREMENKO, AND LASSE REMPE

Mathematisches Seminar, Christian–Albrechts–Universität zu Kiel, 24098 Kiel, Germany

E-mail address: bergweiler@math.uni-kiel.de

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907, USA *E-mail address*: eremenko@math.purdue.edu

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZL, UK

E-mail address: l.rempe@liverpool.ac.uk