

THE PROOFS FOR SOME FACT IN SECTION 7.1, 7.2

Question 1. Suppose that A is an $n \times n$ matrix, and the characteristic polynomial of A is

$$p(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)^{k_1} \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_r)^{k_r},$$

where λ_i are different eigenvalues with multiplicity k_i .

The space of eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_i is called the eigenspace of λ_i , denoted by E_i .

Assume that $\dim E_i = \dim N(\lambda_i I_n - A) = m_i$. Prove that $1 \leq m_i \leq k_i$

Proof. Since $\lambda_i I_n - A$ is singular, the fact that $1 \leq m_i$ is straightforward. We will prove $m_i \leq k_i$ by contradiction.

If assume, to the contrary, that $m_i > k_i$, then let $P = \{v_1, \cdots, v_{m_i}, v_{m_i+1}, \cdots, v_n\}$. Here $\{v_1, \cdots, v_{m_i}\}$ is a basis for $N(\lambda_i I_n - A)$, i.e, linearly independent eigenvectors with respect to λ_i , and $\{v_1, \cdots, v_n\}$ forms a basis for \mathbb{R}^n .

An easy computation shows that $AP = PD$, where D is of the form

$$[\lambda_i e_1 \quad \cdots \quad \lambda_i e_{m_i} \quad B],$$

where e_1, \cdots, e_n are the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n and B is an $n \times (n - m_i)$ matrix. Therefore,

$$P^{-1}AP = D.$$

Using cofactor expansion, we can compute the characteristic polynomial of D . We can find that λ_i is a eigenvalue of D of multiplicity $m_i > k_i$. But since A is similar to B , they have the same eigenvalues and the even the multiplicity of these eigenvalues are the same. So $m_i > k_i$ is impossible and a contradiction. Therefore, $m_i \leq k_i$. \square

Question 2. Assume that the conditions in **Question 1** still hold true. More precisely, $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_r$ are different eigenvalues of A and $\{v_i, \cdots, v_{i,m_i}\}$ is the basis for $E_i = N(\lambda_i I_n - A)$. Then

$$\cup_{i=1}^r \{v_i, \cdots, v_{i,m_i}\} \text{ are linearly independent.}$$

Proof. For simplicity, we assume that A has only two different eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 , and we will show that the eigenvectors v_1, v_2 with respect to λ_1, λ_2 are linearly independent.

If

$$a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 = 0, \tag{1}$$

multiplying both side by A

$$0 = a_1 Av_1 + a_2 Av_2 = a_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + a_2 \lambda_2 v_2.$$

On the other hand, by multiplying both sides of (1) by λ_1 , we have

$$0 = a_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + a_2 \lambda_1 v_2.$$

Substract the above two equalities.

$$0 = a_2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)v_2.$$

Since $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and $v_2 \neq 0$, we infer that

$$a_2 = 0.$$

Similarly, we can conclude that

$$a_1 = 0.$$

Thus v_1, v_2 are linearly independent. □