

Filtration

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

\equiv information up to the present

Next aim: Define a σ -algebra of the future

we let $\mathcal{F}'_n = \sigma(X_k; k > n)$

This \mathcal{F}'_n is in fact a limit. That is set finite # of r.v.

$$\mathcal{H}_{n,j} = \sigma(X_{n+1}, \dots, X_{n+j})$$

Then not a σ -algebra in general

$$\mathcal{F}'_n = \sigma\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{n,j}\right)$$

Side remark.

$\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ σ -algebras $\nRightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$ σ -algebra

Counter example. Take $\mathcal{X} = \{a, b, c\}$
and

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \mathcal{X}\} = \sigma(\{a\})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, \mathcal{X}\}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{A} = \{c\} \notin \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \mathcal{X}\}$$

Tail σ -field

Definition 9.

We consider

- $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$ sequence of random variables
- $\mathcal{F}'_n = \sigma(X_k; k \geq n)$

We set

$$\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}'_n$$

The σ -field \mathcal{T} is called **Tail σ -field**

Interpretation: We have

$A \in \mathcal{T}$ if changing a finite number of X_n 's
does not change the occurrence of A .

Examples of events in \mathcal{T}

General setting: We consider

- $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$ sequence of random variables
- $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$

= $\limsup A_n$, with $A_n = (X_n > 0)$

Then we have

- 1 $(X_n > 0 \text{ i.o.}) \in \mathcal{T}$
- 2 $(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n \text{ exists}) \in \mathcal{T}$
- 3 $(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n > 0) \in \mathcal{T}$
- 4 $(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n > 0) \notin \mathcal{T}$
- 5 $(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a_n} S_n > 0) \in \mathcal{T}$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \infty$

Claim : $\text{Set } A = (\limsup x_n > 0).$
Then $A \in \mathcal{G}$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \bigcup_{m \geq 1} \left(\limsup x_n \geq \frac{1}{m} \right) \\ &= \bigcup_{m \geq 1} \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{k \geq n} \left(x_k \geq \frac{1}{m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$\in \mathcal{F}'_n$

$\in \mathcal{G}$

Claim : Set $B = (\limsup S_n > 0)$
Then $B \notin \mathcal{F}$

Setting : $X_1 \sim B(\frac{1}{2})$, then
 $X_n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$

Then if $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k (= X_1)$,
 $(\limsup S_n > 0) = (X_1 > 0) \in \mathcal{F}_1$
 \downarrow
 $\notin \mathcal{F}$

Note : For $\frac{S_n}{n}$, $(\limsup \frac{S_n}{n} > 0) \in \mathcal{F}$

Kolmogorov's 0-1 law

Theorem 10.

We consider

- $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$ sequence of independent random variables
- The tail σ -field \mathcal{T}

Rmk : Reversed B-C is a special case, with $A = \limsup A_n$

Then \mathcal{T} is trivial, that is:

- 1 If $A \in \mathcal{T}$ we have

$$\mathbf{P}(A) \in \{0, 1\}$$

- 2 If $Y \in \mathcal{T}$, there exists $k \in [-\infty, \infty]$ such that

$$\mathbf{P}(Y = k) = 1$$

Strategy: Based on the \perp of the sequence X_n .

Claim: If $A \in \mathcal{G}$, then

$$A \perp A$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap A) = \mathbb{P}(A)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(A) = (\mathbb{P}(A))^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(A) \in \{0, 1\}$$

Step 1: Prove that $\mathcal{F}_k \perp \mathcal{F}'_k$,
that is if $A \in \mathcal{F}_k$, $B \in \mathcal{F}'_k$, then
 $P(A \cap B) = P(A) P(B)$.

Easy preliminary step.

If $A \in \mathcal{F}_k = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_k)$

$B \in \mathcal{F}_{k,j} = \sigma(X_{k+1}, \dots, X_{k+j})$

then since X_n 's are \perp we have

$$P(A) P(B) = P(A \cap B)$$

$$A \in \mathcal{G}_k = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_k)$$

$$\Rightarrow A \perp\!\!\!\perp B$$

$$B \in \mathcal{J}_{k,j} = \sigma(X_{k+1}, \dots, X_{k+j})$$

inverts?

We have $A \perp\!\!\!\perp B$ if $B \in \bigcup_{j \geq 1} \mathcal{J}_{k,j}$

Recall

π -system \mathcal{P} : If $A, B \in \mathcal{P}$, $A \cap B \in \mathcal{P}$

λ -system \mathcal{L} : $\Omega \in \mathcal{L}$, $A \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{L}$
 $A_i \in \mathcal{L}$, If $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ when $i \neq j$ Then

$$\bigcup_{i \geq 1} A_i \in \mathcal{L}$$

$$A \in \mathcal{G}_k = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_k)$$

$$\Rightarrow A \perp\!\!\!\perp B$$

$$B \in \mathcal{H}_{k,j} = \sigma(X_{k+1}, \dots, X_{k+j})$$

increments?

We have $A \perp\!\!\!\perp B$ if $B \in \bigcup_{j \geq 1} \mathcal{H}_{k,j}$

Take $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} \mathcal{H}_{k,j}$.

If $A_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{k,j_1}$, $A_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{k,j_2}$, $j_2 > j_1$

then

$$A_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{k,j_2}$$

$$A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{k,j_2}$$

Thus \mathcal{P} stable by \cap

\Rightarrow π -system

$$A \in \mathcal{F}_k = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_k)$$

$$\Rightarrow A \perp\!\!\!\perp B \quad (*)$$

$$B \in \mathcal{J}_{k,j} = \sigma(X_{k+1}, \dots, X_{k+j})$$

independent?

We have $A \perp\!\!\!\perp B$ if $B \in \bigcup_{j \geq 1} \mathcal{J}_{k,j}$

\mathcal{L} -system: Take $A \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and

$$\mathcal{L} = \{ B ; \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \mathbb{P}(B) \}$$

\hookrightarrow check that this is a \mathcal{L} -system

and $(*) \Rightarrow$ any $B \in \bigcup_{j \geq 1} \mathcal{J}_{k,j}$ is in \mathcal{L}

Dynkin

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L} \supset \sigma\left(\bigcup_{j \geq 1} \mathcal{J}_{k,j}\right) = \mathcal{F}'_k$$

Partial conclusion:

$$\mathcal{F}_k \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathcal{F}'_k$$

Claim 2: If $A \in \sigma(X_1, \dots)$ $\Rightarrow A \perp\!\!\!\perp B$
 $B \in \mathcal{G}$

If this is true then take $A \in \mathcal{G}$.
we have

$$A \in \sigma(X_1, \dots), A \in \mathcal{G}$$

$$\Rightarrow A \perp\!\!\!\perp A$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{P(A) \in \{0, 1\}}$$

Easy to prove: If $A \in \mathcal{F}_k$
 $B \in \mathcal{G} = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}'_n$,

in particular, $B \in \mathcal{F}'_k \xRightarrow{\mathcal{F}_k \perp \mathcal{F}'_k} A \perp B$

We obtain: $A \perp B$ if

$$A \in \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_k \quad B \in \mathcal{G}$$

↳ If we want to have $A \perp B$ with

$$A \in \sigma(x_1, x_2, \dots), \quad B \in \mathcal{G}$$

we use Π -systems and Δ -systems

Recalling π -systems and λ -systems

π -system: Let \mathcal{P} family of subsets of Ω . \mathcal{P} is a π -system if:

$$A, B \in \mathcal{P} \implies A \cap B \in \mathcal{P}$$

λ -system: Let \mathcal{L} family of subsets of Ω . \mathcal{L} is a λ -system if:

- 1 $\Omega \in \mathcal{L}$
- 2 If $A \in \mathcal{L}$, then $A^c \in \mathcal{L}$
- 3 If for $j \geq 1$ we have:
 - ▶ $A_j \in \mathcal{L}$
 - ▶ $A_j \cap A_i = \emptyset$ if $j \neq i$

Then $\bigcup_{j \geq 1} A_j \in \mathcal{L}$

Recalling Dynkin's π - λ lemma

Proposition 11.

Let \mathcal{P} et \mathcal{L} such that:

- \mathcal{P} is a π -system
- \mathcal{L} is a λ -system
- $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{L}$

Then $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{L}$

Proof of Theorem 10 (1)

Strategy: For $A \in \mathcal{T}$,

- i We will prove $A \perp\!\!\!\perp A$
- ii If $A \perp\!\!\!\perp A$, then

$$\mathbf{P}(A)^2 = \mathbf{P}(A), \quad \text{thus} \quad \mathbf{P}(A) \in \{0, 1\}$$

Proof of Theorem 10 (2)

Step 1: We will prove that

$$A \in \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_k), \quad B \in \sigma(X_{k+1}, \dots) \quad \implies \quad A \perp\!\!\!\perp B$$

Proof of Theorem 10 (3)

Proof of Step 1: We have

- Let $\mathcal{K}_{k,j} = \sigma(X_{k+1}, \dots, X_{k+j})$. Then $\cup_{j \geq 0} \mathcal{K}_{k,j}$ is a π -system
- Let $A \in \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ and

$$\mathcal{L} = \{B; \mathbf{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbf{P}(A) \mathbf{P}(B)\}$$

Then \mathcal{L} is a λ -system such that $\mathcal{L} \supset (\cup_{j \geq 0} \mathcal{K}_{k,j})$

Thus

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \sigma(\cup_{j \geq 0} \mathcal{K}_{k,j}) = \sigma(X_{k+1}, \dots)$$

Proof of Theorem 10 (4)

Step 2: We will prove that

$$A \in \sigma(X_1, \dots), \quad \text{and} \quad B \in \mathcal{T} \quad \implies \quad A \perp\!\!\!\perp B$$

Conclusion: If $A \in \mathcal{T}$ we have

$$A \in \sigma(X_1, \dots), \quad \text{and} \quad A \in \mathcal{T}. \quad \text{Thus} \quad A \perp\!\!\!\perp A$$

Proof of Theorem 10 (5)

Proof of Step 2: We have

- Let $\mathcal{F}_k = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_k)$. Then $\cup_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_k$ is a π -system
- Let $A \in \mathcal{T}$ and

$$\mathcal{L} = \{B; \mathbf{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbf{P}(A) \mathbf{P}(B)\}$$

Then \mathcal{L} is a λ -system such that $\mathcal{L} \supset (\cup_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_k)$

Thus

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \sigma(\cup_{j \geq 0} \mathcal{K}_j) = \sigma(X_1, \dots)$$

Proof that $\mathcal{L} \supset (\cup_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_k)$: If $B \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and $A \in \mathcal{T}$, then

$$A \in \mathcal{K}_{k+1}, \quad \text{and thus} \quad A \perp\!\!\!\perp B$$

Application to law of large numbers

Theorem 12.

We consider

- $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$ sequence of independent random variables
- $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \bar{X}_n$ \mathcal{F} -measurable
- $Z_1 = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} S_n\right)$ and $Z_2 = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n$

Then the following holds true:

- 1 There exists $k_1, k_2 \in [-\infty, \infty]$ such that

$$Z_1 = k_1, \quad \text{and} \quad Z_2 = k_2 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

- 2 If $A \equiv (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n \text{ exists})$, we have

$$\mathbf{P}(A) \in \{0, 1\}$$

Outline

- 1 Ancillary results
 - 1.1 Reviewing results on random variables
 - 1.2 0-1 laws
- 2 Laws of large numbers
- 3 The strong law
- 4 Law of iterated logarithm

Statement of the problem

General problem: We consider

- $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$ sequence of random variables
- $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

Then we wish to investigate a convergence of the form

$$\frac{S_n}{n} - a_n \longrightarrow S$$

To be specified:

- 1 Constants a_n, b_n
- 2 Random variable S
- 3 Mode of convergence

Reviewing old results

Proposition 13.

We consider

- $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$ sequence of i.i.d random variables
- $\mathbf{E}[X_1] = \mu$ and $\mathbf{Var}(X_1) = \sigma^2$
- $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} S_n$

Then

$$\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{(d)} \mu, \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{n} \frac{(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{(d)} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

Proof of CLT : with characteristic functions.

LLN can also be obtained using char. functions

Compute

$$\phi_n(t) \equiv \mathbb{E}[e^{it\bar{X}_n}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[e^{it\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{I.I.D.}}{=} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[e^{it\frac{1}{n}X_i}]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{=} (\mathbb{E}[e^{it\frac{1}{n}X_1}])^n$$

$$= (\phi(\frac{t}{n}))^n$$

with

$$\phi(u) = \mathbb{E}[e^{iuX_1}]$$

→ Taylor expansion