

## BALANCED FIELD EXTENSIONS

JOSEPH LIPMAN, Purdue University

Let  $k$  be a field, and let  $K$  be an algebraic extension of  $k$ .  $K$  is then a purely inseparable extension of a separable extension of  $k$ ; for reasons of symmetry, one might wonder when  $K$  will be a separable extension of a purely inseparable extension of  $k$ . (This is not always so: cf. example at the end of this note.) When this does happen, let us say that  $K/k$  is "balanced." We wish to set down some simple observations about such extensions.

For basic notions of field theory see O. Zariski and P. Samuel: *Commutative Algebra*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1958, Volume I, chapter 2; also chapter 3, section 15, for the definition and properties of free joins.

PROPOSITIONS: A. *The following are equivalent:*

1.  $K/k$  is balanced.
2. There exists a separable algebraic extension of  $K$  which is normal over  $k$ .
3. If  $L$  is a field of algebraic functions over  $k$ , then the order of inseparability  $[(L, K): K]_i$  is the same for all free joins  $(L, K)$  of  $L/k$  and  $K/k$ .

In geometric language, 3. reads: If  $V/k$  is an irreducible algebraic variety, then all the irreducible components of  $V/K$  have the same order of inseparability.

B. Let  $\bar{k}$  be an algebraic closure of  $k$ , and let  $\bar{k}_s$  (respectively  $\bar{k}_i$ ) be the subfield of  $\bar{k}$  consisting of all elements which are separable, (resp. purely inseparable) over  $k$ . We know that the subfields of  $\bar{k}$  (resp.  $\bar{k}_s$ ,  $\bar{k}_i$ ) form a lattice  $Z$  (resp.  $Z_s$ ,  $Z_i$ ) under the operations of field composition and intersection.

The balanced extensions of  $k$  in  $\bar{k}$  form a sublattice of  $Z$  isomorphic with the direct product  $Z_s \times Z_i$ .

*Proofs:* A. We show that  $1 \rightarrow^a 3 \rightarrow^b 2 \rightarrow^c 1$ .

a) Let  $k \subseteq I \subseteq K$ ,  $I$  being a field such that  $I/k$  is purely inseparable, and  $K/I$  is separable. Any free join  $(L, K)$  contains a free join  $(L, I)$ , and since  $K/I$  is separable, we have  $[(L, K): K]_i = [(L, I): I]_i$ . Thus we may assume that

$K = I$ . But then there is nothing to prove, since all free joins of  $L/k$  and  $I/k$  are equivalent.

b) Let  $x \in K$ , and let  $L = k(x)$ . The free joins of  $L/k$ ,  $K/k$ , are all the fields of the form  $K(\bar{x})$ , where  $\bar{x}$  is  $k$ -conjugate to  $x$ , in some fixed algebraic closure  $\bar{K}$  of  $K$ . Since  $[K(x):K]_i = [K:K]_i = 1$ , we have  $[K(\bar{x}):K]_i = 1$ , i.e. *all  $k$ -conjugates of  $x$  (in  $\bar{K}$ ) are separable over  $K$* . From this it follows immediately that if  $N$  is the least extension of  $K$  normal over  $k$ , then  $N/K$  is separable.

c) Let  $N \supseteq K$  be such that  $N/K$  is separable and  $N/k$  is normal. Let  $I \subseteq N$  be the field of invariants of all automorphisms of  $N/k$ . Then  $I/k$  is purely inseparable, and  $N/I$  is separable. It will be sufficient to show that  $I \subseteq K$ . But any  $x$  in  $I$  is separable over  $K$  (since  $N/K$  is separable), and purely inseparable over  $K$  (since  $I/k$  is purely inseparable).

B. Let  $B$  be the set of balanced extensions of  $k$  in  $\bar{k}$ . Clearly  $K \in B$  iff  $K/(K \cap \bar{k}_i)$  is separable, and this latter condition may be expressed as follows:

(1') If  $x \in K$ , if  $f(X)$  is the minimum monic polynomial of  $x$  over  $k$ , and if  $\bar{f}(X) \in \bar{k}[X]$  is the polynomial without multiple roots, of which  $f(X)$  is a power, then  $\bar{f}(X) \in (K \cap \bar{k}_i)[X]$ .

It follows immediately that an arbitrary intersection of members of  $B$  is again a member of  $B$ .

Again, if  $K \in B$ , then  $K$  is a separable extension of  $K \cap \bar{k}_i$ ; also  $K$  is purely inseparable over  $K \cap \bar{k}_i$ ; if  $K'$  is the compositum  $(K \cap \bar{k}_i, K \cap \bar{k}_i)$ , then  $K/K'$  is both separable and purely inseparable, i.e.,  $K = K'$ . We see then, that  $K \in B$  iff  $K$  is generated by a separable extension of  $k$  and a purely inseparable extension of  $k$ .

It follows easily that the field generated by an arbitrary collection of members of  $B$  is itself a member of  $B$ .

We have shown, therefore, that  $B$  is a sublattice of  $Z$  (in fact, a complete sublattice). We have also given an order-preserving map  $F$  from  $Z_s \times Z_i$  onto  $B$ ; if  $S \in Z_s$ ,  $I \in Z_i$ , then  $F(S, I)$  is the composed field  $(S, I)$ . Now if  $x \in (S, I) \cap \bar{k}_i$ , then  $x$  is separable over  $I$  and purely inseparable over  $I$ ; hence  $(S, I) \cap \bar{k}_i = I$ . Similarly  $(S, I) \cap \bar{k}_s = S$ . Thus  $F$  is injective, and the proof is complete.

EXAMPLE. For an example of a nonbalanced extension, let  $L$  be a field of characteristic two, let  $Y, Z$ , be indeterminates over  $L$ , let  $k = L(Y, Z)$ , and let  $K = k(x)$ ,  $x$  being a root of

$$f(X) = X^4 + YX^2 + Z = 0.$$

One checks that  $f(X)$  is irreducible over  $k$ , that  $[K:k]_i = 2$ , and that, in the notation of (1') above,  $\bar{f}(X) = X^2 + \sqrt{Y}X + \sqrt{Z}$ . According to (1'),  $K/k$  cannot be balanced unless  $\sqrt{Y} \in K$  and  $\sqrt{Z} \in K$ . Since  $[k(\sqrt{Y}, \sqrt{Z}):k]_i = 4$ , this is impossible.