

PICARD SCHEMES OF FORMAL SCHEMES; APPLICATION TO
RINGS WITH DISCRETE DIVISOR CLASS GROUP

Joseph Lipman⁽¹⁾

Introduction.

We are going to apply scheme-theoretic methods - originating in the classification theory for codimension one subvarieties of a given variety - to questions which have grown out of the problem of unique factorization in power series rings.

Say, with Danilov [D2], that a normal noetherian ring A has discrete divisor class group (abbreviated DCG) if the canonical map of divisor class groups $\bar{i}:C(A) \rightarrow C(A[[T]])$ is bijjective⁽²⁾. In §1, a proof (due partially to J.-F. Boutot) of the following theorem is outlined:

THEOREM 1. Let A be a complete normal noetherian local ring with algebraically closed residue field. If the divisor class group $C(A)$ is finitely generated (as an abelian group), then A has DCG.

⁽¹⁾Supported by National Science Foundation grant GP-29216 at Purdue University.

⁽²⁾For the standard definition of \bar{i} , cf. [AC, ch. 7, §1.10]. (Note that the formal power series ring $A[[T]]$ is noetherian [AC, ch. 3, §2.10, Cor. 6], integrally closed [AC, ch. 5, §1.4], and flat over A [AC, ch. 3, §3.4, Cor. 3].)

The terminology DCG is explained by the fact that in certain cases (cf. [B];[SGA 2, pp. 189-191]) with A complete and local, $C(A)$ can be made into a locally algebraic group over the residue field of A , and this locally algebraic group is discrete (i.e. zero-dimensional) if and only if \bar{i} is bijective.

A survey of results about rings with DCG is given in [F, ch. V].

Recall that A is factorial if and only if $C(A) = (0)$ [AC, ch. 7, §3]. Also, A local $\Rightarrow A[[T]]$ local, with the same residue field as A ; and A complete $\Rightarrow A[[T]]$ complete [AC, ch. 3, §2.6]. Hence (by induction):

COROLLARY 1. If A (as in Theorem 1) is factorial, then so is any formal power series ring $A[[T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n]]$.

When the singularities of A are resolvable, more can be said:

THEOREM 1'. Let A be as in Theorem 1, with $C(A)$ finitely generated, and suppose that there exists a proper birational map $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ with X a regular scheme (i.e. all the local rings of points on X are regular). Let B be a noetherian local ring and let $f:A \rightarrow B$ be a local homomorphism making B into a formally smooth A -algebra (for the usual maximal ideal topologies on A and B).⁽³⁾ Then B is normal, and the canonical map $C(A) \rightarrow C(B)$ is bijective.

Some brief historical remarks are in order here. Corollary 1 was conjectured by Samuel [S2, p. 171];⁽⁴⁾ however Samuel did not

⁽³⁾"Formal smoothness" means that the completion \hat{B} is A -isomorphic to a formal power series ring $\hat{A}[[T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n]]$, where \hat{A} is a complete local noetherian flat A -algebra with maximal ideal generated by that of A (cf. [EGA 0_{IV}, §§19.3, 19.6, 19.7]). In particular, B is flat over A .

⁽⁴⁾For some earlier work on unique factorization in power series rings cf. [S1] and [K].

assume that the residue field of A was algebraically closed, and without this assumption, the conjecture was found by Salmon to be false [SMN]. Later, a whole series of counterexamples was constructed by Danilov [D1] and Grothendieck [unpublished].⁽⁵⁾ Danilov's work led him to the following modification of Samuel's conjecture [D1, p. 131]:

If A is a local ring which is "geometrically factorial" (i.e. the strict henselization of A is factorial) then also $A[[T]]$ is geometrically factorial.

In this general form, the conjecture remains open, though some progress has been made by Boutot [unpublished].

The study of Samuel's conjecture evolved into the study of rings with DCG. A complete normal noetherian local ring A has been shown to have DCG in the following cases⁽⁶⁾:

(i) (Scheja [SH]). A is factorial and $\text{depth } A \geq 3$.

(ii) (Storch [ST2]) A contains a field, and the residue field of A is algebraically closed and uncountable, with cardinality greater than that of $C(A)$.

[Actually, for such A , Storch essentially proves Theorem 1' without needing any desingularization $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$. Storch's proof uses a theorem of Ramanujam-Samuel (cf. proof of Theorem 1' in §1) and an elementary counting argument.]

⁽⁵⁾In these counterexamples the locally algebraic group of footnote⁽²⁾ above has dimension > 0 , but has just one point - namely zero - rational over the residue field of A .

⁽⁶⁾For some investigations in the context of analytic geometry, cf. [ST1] and [P].

(iii) (Danilov [D3]) If
 either (a) A contains a field of characteristic zero
 or (b) A contains a field, the residue field of A
 is separably closed, and there exists a projective
 map $g: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ with X a regular scheme,
 such that g induces an isomorphism

$$X - g^{-1}(\{\underline{m}\}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}(A) - \{\underline{m}\}$$

(\underline{m} = maximal ideal of A)

then $C(A)$ finitely generated $\Rightarrow A$ has DCG.

[Danilov uses a number of results from algebraic geometry, among them the theory of the Picard scheme of schemes proper over a field, and the resolution of singularities (by Hironaka in case (a), and by assumption in case (b)).]

Significant simplifications have been brought about by Boutot. His lemma (§1) enabled him to eliminate all assumptions about resolution of singularities in the above-quoted result of Danilov, and also to modify the proof of Theorem 1' to obtain the proof of Theorem 1 which appears in §1 below.

Our proof of Theorem 1' is basically a combination of ideas of Danilov and Storch, except that in order to treat the case when A does not contain a field, we need a theory of Picard schemes for schemes proper over a complete local ring of mixed characteristic. This theory - which is the main underlying novelty in the paper - is given in §§2-3.

§1. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 1'.

The two theorems have much in common, and we will prove them together. Let A, B be as in Theorem 1'; for Theorem 1 we will simply take $B = A[[T]]$. Since A is local and B is faithfully flat over A , the canonical map $C(A) \rightarrow C(B)$ is injective [F, Prop. 6.10]; so we need only show that $C(A) \rightarrow C(B)$ is surjective.

Both B and its completion \hat{B} are normal: when $B = A[[T]]$ this is clear; and under the assumption of Theorem 1', since B and \hat{B} are formally smooth over A , it follows from the existence of the "desingularization" $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ [L1, Lemma 16.1]. As above, since \hat{B} is faithfully flat over B , $C(B) \rightarrow C(\hat{B})$ is injective, and consequently we may assume that $B = \hat{B}$ ($= \bar{A}[[T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n]]$, cf. footnote (3) in the Introduction). [Note here that if $R \subseteq S \subseteq T$ are normal noetherian rings with S flat over R and T flat over S (and hence over R), then the composition of the canonical maps

$$C(R) \rightarrow C(S) \rightarrow C(T)$$

is the canonical map $C(S) \rightarrow C(T)$.]

Let M be the maximal ideal of A . Then $M\bar{A}$ is the maximal ideal of \bar{A} , and by the theorem of Ramanujam-Samuel [F, Prop. 19.14],

$$C(B) \rightarrow C(B_{MB})$$

is bijective. Furthermore [EGA 01, p. 170, Cor. (6.8.3)], there

exists a complete local noetherian flat B_{MB} -algebra B^* such that B^*/MB^* is an algebraically closed field. B^* is formally smooth over A (footnote (3) above) so under the hypotheses of Theorem 1', B^* is normal; furthermore B^* is faithfully flat over B_{MB} , so that, as before

$$C(B_{MB}) \rightarrow C(B^*)$$

is injective. Thus for Theorem 1' it suffices to show that $C(A) \rightarrow C(B^*)$ is surjective.

To continue the proof of Theorem 1', let U_A be the domain of definition of the rational map inverse to $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$. Then U_A is isomorphic to an open subscheme of X , so we have a surjective map $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(U_A)$ [EGA IV, (21.6.11)]; furthermore the codimension of $\text{Spec}(A) - U_A$ in $\text{Spec}(A)$ is ≥ 2 , so there is a natural isomorphism $\text{Pic}(U_A) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(A)$ [ibid, (21.6.12)]. Similar considerations hold with B^* in place of A , and $X^* = X \otimes_A B^*$ in place of X . (The projection $X^* \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B)$ is proper and birational, and X^* is a regular scheme [L1, Lemma 16.1].) There results a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Pic}(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}(U_A) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & C(A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Pic}(X^*) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}(U_{B^*}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & C(B^*) \end{array}$$

Since $\text{Pic}(X^*) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(U_{B^*})$ is surjective, it will be more than enough to show that $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X^*)$ is bijective.

The corresponding step in the proof of Theorem 1 is more involved, and goes as follows. Let $B = A[[T]]$, let B^* be as above, and let I be a divisorial ideal in B . We will show below that there exists an open subset U_A of $\text{Spec}(A)$ whose complement has codimension ≥ 2 , and such that, with

$$U_B = (U_A) \otimes_A B \quad (\subseteq \text{Spec}(B)), \quad U^* = (U_A) \otimes_A B^* \quad (\subseteq \text{Spec}(B^*))$$

we have that

- (i) IB_q is a principal ideal in B_q for all prime ideals $q \in U_B$, and
- (ii) the canonical map $v: \text{Pic}(U_B) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(U^*)$ is injective.

Now there is a natural commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Pic}(U_A) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \text{Pic}(U_B) \\ \mu_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_B \\ C(A) & \longrightarrow & C(B) \end{array}$$

cf. [EGA IV, (21.6.10)]. Since B is flat over A , it is immediate (from the corresponding property for U_A) that the complement of U_B in $\text{Spec}(B)$ has codimension ≥ 2 ; hence (i) signifies that the element of $C(B)$ determined by I is of the form $\mu_B(\xi)$ for some $\xi \in \text{Pic}(U_B)$. So if we could show that ξ lies in the image of λ , then we would have the desired surjectivity of $C(A) \rightarrow C(B)$.

At this point we need:

LEMMA (J.-F. Boutot)⁽¹⁾. There exists a projective birational map $\phi: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ such that ϕ induces an isomorphism $\phi^{-1}(U_A) \xrightarrow{\sim} U_A$, and such that ξ lies in the image of the canonical map $\text{Pic}(X \otimes_A B) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(U_B)$.

(Here X may be taken to be normal, but not necessarily regular.)

Setting $X^* = X \otimes_A B^*$, we have a natural commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Pic}(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}(X \otimes_A B) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}(X^*) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Pic}(U_A) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \text{Pic}(U_B) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \text{Pic}(U^*) \end{array}$$

with ν injective (cf. (ii) above). A simple diagram chase shows then that for ξ to lie in the image of λ , it more than suffices that $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X^*)$ be bijective.

Let us finish off this part of the argument by constructing U_A satisfying (i) and (ii). [It will then remain - for proving both Theorems 1 and 1' - to examine the map $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X^*)$.]

Let

$$U_A = \{p \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid A_p \text{ is a regular local ring}\}.$$

By a theorem of Nagata [EGA IV (6.12.7)], U_A is open in $\text{Spec}(A)$; and certainly, A being normal, the codimension of $\text{Spec}(A) - U_A$ in $\text{Spec}(A)$ is ≥ 2 . Since the fibres of $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ are regular [EGA IV, (7.5.1)], therefore B_q is regular for all $q \in U_B$ [EGA 0_{IV}, (17.3.3)], and (i) follows.

⁽¹⁾The proof, which will appear in Boutot's thèse, was presented at a seminar at Harvard University in January, 1972.

As for (ii), setting $U' = U_A \otimes_A B_{MB}$ ($M =$ maximal ideal of A) we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Pic}(U_B) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}(U') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C(B) & \longrightarrow & C(B_{MB}) \end{array}$$

in which the vertical arrows are isomorphisms [EGA IV, (21.6.12)], and also $C(B) \rightarrow C(B_{MB})$ is an isomorphism (cf. above); so we have to show that $\text{Pic}(U') \rightarrow \text{Pic}(U^*)$ is injective. Since $\text{Pic}(U')$ is isomorphic to $C(B_{MB})$, this injectivity amounts to the following statement:

(#) Let I be a divisorial ideal of B_{MB} , and let \mathcal{I}^* be the coherent ideal sheaf on $\text{Spec}(B^*)$ determined by the ideal IB^* . If $\mathcal{I}^*|_{U^*} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U^*}$, then I is a principal ideal.

Since B_{MB} is local, and B^* is faithfully flat over B_{MB} , we have

$$I \text{ principal} \Leftrightarrow I \text{ invertible} \Leftrightarrow IB^* \text{ invertible.}$$

Now I is a reflexive B_{MB} -module [CA, p. 519, Ex. (2)], and therefore IB^* is a reflexive B^* -module [*ibid*, p. 520, Prop. 8]. Since B^* is flat over B_{MB} , it follows (from the corresponding property of U') that for every prime ideal P in B^* such that $P \not\subseteq U^*$, the local ring B_P^* has depth ≥ 2 . This being so, if $i: U^* \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B^*)$ is the inclusion map, then the natural map

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(B^*)} \rightarrow i_*(\mathcal{O}_{U^*})$$

is an isomorphism [EGA IV, (5.10.5)]. Since IB^* is reflexive, application of $\text{Hom}_{B^*}(\cdot, B^*)$ to a "finite presentation"

$$(B^*)^n \rightarrow (B^*)^m \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{B^*}(IB^*, B^*) \rightarrow 0,$$

gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow IB^* \rightarrow (B^*)^m \rightarrow (B^*)^n,$$

whence a commutative diagram, with exact rows,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^m & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^n \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \rightarrow & i_*(\mathcal{I}^*|_{U^*}) & \rightarrow & i_*(\mathcal{O}_{U^*}^m) & \rightarrow & i_*(\mathcal{O}_{U^*}^n) \end{array} \quad [\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(B^*)}]$$

from which we conclude that the canonical map

$$\mathcal{I}^* \rightarrow i_*(\mathcal{I}^*|_{U^*}) [\cong i_*(\mathcal{O}_{U^*})]$$

is an isomorphism. Thus \mathcal{I}^* is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(B^*)}$, and (ii) is proved.

The rest of the discussion applies to both Theorems (1 and 1'). We must now examine the map $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X^*)$.

The kernel of the surjective map $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(U_A)$ consists of the linear equivalence classes of those divisors on X which are supported on $X - U_A$; hence (X being assumed to be normal) this kernel is isomorphic to a subgroup of the free

abelian group generated by those irreducible components of $X - U_A$ having codimension one in X ; since $\text{Pic}(U_A) \subseteq C(A)$, and $C(A)$ is finitely generated, therefore $\text{Pic}(X)$ is finitely generated.

Let k (resp. k^*) be the residue field of A (resp. B^*). There is an obvious map $k \rightarrow k^*$. In §2 we will show that

(1.1) There exists a k -group-scheme P and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(k) & \longrightarrow & P(k^*) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \text{Pic}(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}(X^*) \end{array} .$$

Here $P(k) \rightarrow P(k^*)$ is the map from k -valued points of P to k^* -valued points corresponding to the map $k \rightarrow k^*$; and the vertical maps are isomorphisms.

Furthermore, in §3 it will be shown that

(1.2) There exists a closed irreducible k -subgroup P^0 of P , whose underlying subspace is the connected component of the zero point of P , and such that:

- (i) P^0 is the inverse limit of its algebraic (= finite type over k) quotients; moreover if \bar{P} is such a quotient, then $P(k) \rightarrow \bar{P}(k)$ is surjective.

(ii) $P/P^0 = \varprojlim_{n>0} Q_n$, where Q_n is a discrete (= reduced and zero-dimensional) locally algebraic k-group; moreover $P(K) \rightarrow (P/P^0)(K)$ is surjective for any algebraically closed field $K \supseteq k$.

To show that $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X^*)$ is bijective, it will then suffice to show that P^0 is infinitesimal [in other words, every algebraic quotient of P^0 is zero-dimensional, so that $P^0(k) = P^0(k^*) = 0$, whence $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X^*)$ can be identified with the map

$$\varprojlim_n (Q_n(k) \rightarrow Q_n(k^*))$$

which is obviously bijective].

But since $P^0(k) \subseteq P(k)$ is finitely generated, so is $\bar{P}(k)$ for any algebraic quotient \bar{P} of P^0 . By the structure theorem for connected reduced commutative algebraic groups over an algebraically closed field, we know that \bar{P}_{red} has a composition series whose factors are multiplicative groups, additive groups, and abelian varieties. It follows easily that if $\bar{P}(k) = \bar{P}_{\text{red}}(k)$ is finitely generated, then $\bar{P}(k) = 0$, i.e. \bar{P} is zero-dimensional.

§2. The Picard Scheme of a Formal Scheme.

In this section we establish the existence of a natural group-scheme structure on $\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{X})$ for certain formal schemes \mathfrak{X} . (If

$p\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{x}} = (0)$ (cf. (2.2)) there will be nothing new here. For the case $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{x}} \neq (0)$, most of the work is carried out in [L2], whose results will be quoted and used.) From this we will obtain (1.1). However, for completeness, we prove more general results than are required in the proof of Theorems 1 and 1'.

DEFINITION (2.1). A formal scheme $(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{x}})$ is weakly noetherian if \mathbf{x} has a fundamental system of ideals of definition $\mathcal{I}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{I}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{I}_2 \supseteq \dots$ such that for each $n \geq 0$ the scheme $(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{x}}/\mathcal{I}_n)$ is noetherian.

It amounts to the same thing to say: in the category of formal schemes,

$$\mathbf{x} = \varinjlim_{n \geq 0} X_n$$

where $X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow \dots$ is a sequence of immersions of noetherian schemes X_n , the underlying topological maps being homeomorphisms (cf. [EGA 01, §10.6, pp. 411-413]).

Any noetherian formal scheme is weakly noetherian [ibid, middle of p. 414].

If \mathbf{x} is weakly noetherian and \mathcal{I} is any ideal of definition, then $(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{x}}/\mathcal{I})$ is a noetherian scheme; indeed, $\mathcal{I} \supseteq \mathcal{I}_n$ for some n (since \mathbf{x} is quasi-compact) so that $(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{x}}/\mathcal{I})$ is a closed subscheme of the noetherian scheme $(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{x}}/\mathcal{I}_n)$. In particular, taking \mathcal{I} to be the largest ideal of definition of

\mathfrak{X} , we see that we may - and, for convenience, we always will - assume that the scheme $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{red}} = (\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}_0)$ is reduced. (Cf. [EGA 01, p. 172 (7.1.6)].)

Next, let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. For $p > 0$ let $W(k)$ be the ring of (infinite) Witt vectors with coefficients in k ; and for $p = 0$ let $W(k)$ be the field k itself. $W(k)$ is complete for the topology defined by the ideal $pW(k)$; the corresponding formal scheme $\text{Spf}(W(k))$ will be denoted by \mathfrak{W}_k .

(2.2) In what follows we consider a triple (\mathfrak{X}, k, f) with:

- (i) \mathfrak{X} a weakly noetherian formal scheme.
- (ii) k a perfect field of characteristic $p \geq 0$.
- (iii) $f: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_k$ a morphism of formal schemes such that for every ideal of definition \mathcal{I} of \mathfrak{X} , the induced map of schemes

$$fg: (\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(W(k))$$

is proper⁽¹⁾.

Remarks. Morphisms $f: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_k$ are in one-one correspondence with continuous homomorphisms $i: W(k) \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ [EGA 01, p. 407, (10.4.6)]⁽²⁾. The above map fg corresponds to the composed

⁽¹⁾ For (iii) to hold it suffices that fg be proper for one \mathcal{I} (cf. (2.6) below).

⁽²⁾ The existence of such an i implies that p is topologically nilpotent in $H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ (since the image of a topologically nilpotent element under a continuous homomorphism is again topologically nilpotent). On the other hand, if p is topologically nilpotent in $H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$, then clearly every ring homomorphism $W(k) \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ is continuous.

homomorphism

$$W(k) \xrightarrow{i} H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}) \xrightarrow{\text{canonical}} H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/f).$$

It is practically immediate that $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{X})$ is supported in the closed point of $\text{Spec}(W(k))$.

Example. Let R be a complete noetherian local ring with maximal ideal M and residue field k (perfect, of characteristic $p \geq 0$); let $g: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ be a proper map; and let \mathfrak{X} be the formal completion of X along the closed fibre $g^{-1}(\{M\})$. The structure theory of complete local rings gives the existence of a (continuous) homomorphism $W(k) \rightarrow R$; composing with the map

$$R \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}) [= H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)]$$

determined by g , we obtain $i: W(k) \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$, whence a triple (\mathfrak{X}, k, f) as above.

(2.3) For any k -algebra A let $W_n(A)$ (resp. $W(A)$) be the ring of Witt vectors of length n (resp. of infinite length) with coefficients in A . ($W_n(A) = W(A) = A$ if $p = 0$.) We consider $W_n(A)$ to be a discrete topological ring, and give $W(A)$ the topology for which $K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq K_3 \supseteq \dots$ is a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0 , K_n being the kernel of the canonical map $W(A) \rightarrow W_n(A)$ ($n \geq 1$); then, in the category of topological rings,

$$W(A) = \varprojlim_{n \geq 1} W_n(A).$$

It is not hard to see that $K_1^2 = pK_1$, whence

$$K_1^{n+1} = p^n K_1 \subseteq K_n ;$$

so $W(A)$ is an "admissible" ring, and we may let \mathfrak{B}_A be the affine formal scheme

$$\mathfrak{B}_A = \text{Spf}(W(A)).$$

In particular, for $A = k$, we get the same \mathfrak{B}_k as in (2.1). If B is an A -algebra, then $W(B)$ is in an obvious way a topological $W(A)$ -algebra, so that \mathfrak{B}_A varies functorially with A .

With $f: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_k$ as in (2.2), we set

$$\mathfrak{X}_A = \mathfrak{X} \times_{\mathfrak{B}_k} \mathfrak{B}_A = \mathfrak{X} \hat{\otimes}_{W(k)} W(A)$$

(product in the category of formal schemes). We have then the covariant functor of k -algebras

$$A \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathfrak{X}_A).$$

What we show below is that the fpqc sheaf P associated to this functor is a k -group scheme, and that furthermore the canonical map $\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{X}_A) \rightarrow P(A)$ is bijective if A is an algebraically closed field.

Example (continued from (2.2)). Suppose that \mathfrak{X} is obtained from a proper map $g: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ as in the example of (2.2). For

any k -algebra A , setting $R_A = R \hat{\otimes}_{W(k)} W(A)$ (completed tensor product, R being topologized as usual by its maximal ideal M), we have

$$\mathfrak{X}_A = \mathfrak{X} \hat{\otimes}_{W(k)} W(A) = \mathfrak{X} \hat{\otimes}_R R_A.$$

Now if A is a perfect field, then R_A has the following properties, which characterize R_A as an R -algebra (up to isomorphism): R_A is a complete local noetherian flat R -algebra such that $R_A/MR_A \cong A$ (cf. [EGA 01, p. 190, (7.7.10)] and [EGA 0_{IV}, (19.7.2)]). Furthermore, \mathfrak{X}_A is then the completion of the scheme $X_A = X \otimes_R R_A$ along the closed fibre of the projection $g_A: X_A \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_A)$. Hence Grothendieck's algebrization theorem [EGA III, (5.1.6)] gives that "completion" is an equivalence from the category of coherent \mathcal{O}_{X_A} -modules to the category of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_A}$ -modules. Since an \mathcal{O}_X -module is invertible if and only if so is its completion⁽³⁾, we deduce a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Pic}(X_A) \cong \text{Pic}(\mathfrak{X}_A).$$

Hence, restricting our attention to those A which are algebraically closed fields, we will have an A -functorial isomorphism

$$\text{Pic}(X_A) \cong P(A).$$

⁽³⁾This follows easily from the fact that the completion \hat{B}_I of a noetherian ring B w.r.t. an ideal I is faithfully flat over the ring of fractions B_{1+I} , so that if J is a B -ideal with $J\hat{B}_I$ a projective \hat{B}_I -module, then JB_{1+I} is a projective B_{1+I} -module.

This gives us the diagram (1.1) which is needed in the last step of the proof of Theorems 1 and 1'.

(2.4) We fix a fundamental system $\mathfrak{f}_0 \supseteq \mathfrak{f}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{f}_2 \supseteq \dots$ of defining ideals of \mathfrak{X} , and for $n \geq 0$ let X_n be the scheme $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathfrak{f}_n)$. For any k -algebra A , let $X_{n,A}$ be the scheme

$$X_{n,A} = X_n \otimes_{W(k)} W_n(A).$$

The ringed spaces $X_{0,A}, X_{1,A}, \dots, X_{n,A}, \dots$ and \mathfrak{X}_A all have the same underlying topological space, say X , and on this space X we have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_A} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_{X_{n,A}}$. Hence there is a natural map

$$(*) \quad \text{Pic}(\mathfrak{X}_A) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \text{Pic}(X_{n,A}).$$

LEMMA. Let A be a k -algebra, and if $p > 0$ assume that $A^p = A$ (i.e. the Frobenius endomorphism $x \rightarrow x^p$ of A is surjective). Then the above map $(*)$ is bijective.

Remark. When $p > 0$ and $A^p = A$, or when $p = 0$, then $X_{n,A} = X \otimes_{W(k)} W(A)$.

Proof of Lemma. Say that an open subset U of X is affine if $(U, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_A}|_U)$ is an affine formal scheme. The affine open sets form a base for the topology of X .

For each n , let \mathcal{F}_n be the sheaf of multiplicative units in the sheaf of rings $\mathcal{O}_{X_{n,A}}$ (on the topological space X) and let

$$\mathcal{F} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{F}_n = \text{sheaf of units in } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}_A}.$$

For $m \geq n$, the kernel of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{m,A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{n,A}}$ is nilpotent; so a simple argument ([L2, Lemma (7.2)], with the Zariski topology in place of the étale topology) shows that for affine U the canonical maps

$$H^i(U, \mathcal{F}_m) \rightarrow H^i(U, \mathcal{F}_n)$$

are bijjective if $i > 0$, and surjective if $i = 0$. Applying [EGA 0_{III}, (13.3.1)], we deduce that for all $i > 0$, the maps

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H^i(X, \mathcal{F}_n)$$

are surjective. Furthermore, in order that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) & \rightarrow & \varprojlim_n H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_n) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{Pic}(\mathbb{X}_A) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \varprojlim_n \text{Pic}(X_{n,A}) \end{array}$$

be bijjective, it is sufficient that the inverse system $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition (ML); and for this it is enough that the inverse system $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{X_{n,A}})$ should satisfy (ML); that is, for each fixed n , if I_{mn} ($m \geq n$) is the image of $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{X_{m,A}}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{X_{n,A}})$, then the sequence

$$(**) \quad I_{n,n} \supseteq I_{n+1,n} \supseteq I_{n+2,n} \supseteq \dots$$

should stabilize (i.e. $I_{N,n} = I_{N+1,n} = I_{N+2,n} = \dots$ for some N).

For $p > 0$ it is shown in [L2, Corollary (0.2) and Theorem (2.4)] that the fpqc sheaf \underline{H}_n associated to the functor

$$A \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{X_n, A})$$

(of k -algebras A) is an affine algebraic k -group; furthermore [ibid, Corollary (4.4)] the canonical map

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{X_n, A}) \rightarrow \underline{H}_n(A)$$

is bijective whenever $A^p = A$; and finally, for $m \geq n$, if \underline{I}_{mn} is the image (in the category of algebraic k -groups) of the natural map $\underline{H}_m \rightarrow \underline{H}_n$, and if $A^p = A$, then the canonical map

$$\underline{H}_m(A) \rightarrow \underline{I}_{mn}(A)$$

is surjective, so that $\underline{I}_{mn} = \underline{I}_{mn}(A)$ [cf. ibid, last part of proof of (6.3)]. Similar facts when $p = 0$ are well-known (and more elementary).

Now the sequence

$$\underline{I}_{n,n} \supseteq \underline{I}_{n+1,n} \supseteq \underline{I}_{n+2,n} \supseteq \dots$$

of closed subgroups of \underline{H}_n must stabilize, whence so must the sequence (**). Q.E.D.

(2.5) Before stating the basic existence theorem we need some more notation. For any scheme Y , $\text{Br}(Y)$ will be the cohomological Brauer group of Y :

$$\text{Br}(Y) = H_{\text{étale}}^2(Y, \text{multiplicative group}).$$

For any ring R we set:

$$\text{Br}(R) = \text{Br}(\text{Spec}(R))$$

$$\text{Pic}(R) = \text{Pic}(\text{Spec}(R))$$

$$R_{\text{red}} = R/\text{nilradical of } R.$$

For any defining ideal \mathcal{I} of \mathbb{X} and any k -algebra A :

$$\mathbb{X}_{\mathcal{I}} = \text{the scheme } (\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}/\mathcal{I})$$

$$\mathbb{X}_{\mathcal{I}, A} = \mathbb{X}_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes_{W(k)} W(A).$$

Finally, we set

$$k_0 = H^0(\mathbb{X}_{\text{red}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}_{\text{red}}}).$$

Since \mathbb{X}_{red} is proper over k (cf (2.2)), therefore k_0 is a finite product of finite field extensions of k .

Now for any \mathcal{I} , we have (cf (2.2)) a proper map

$$f_{\mathcal{I}}: \mathbb{X}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(W(k))$$

whose image is supported in the closed point of $\text{Spec}(W(k))$.

Hence, when $p > 0$, [L2, Theorem (7.5)] gives us a k -group-scheme P_f and, for all k -algebras A with $A^p = A$, an exact A -functorial sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(k_0 \otimes_k^A \text{red}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbf{x}_{f,A}) \rightarrow P_f(A) \\ \rightarrow \text{Br}(k_0 \otimes_k^A \text{red}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\mathbf{x}_{f,A}) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

A similar result is well-known for $p = 0$, or more generally when $p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{x}_f} = (0)$, with no condition on A , since then \mathbf{x}_f is proper over the field k (cf [GR, Cor. 5.3]).

Also, if $f \subseteq f'$, then the canonical map

$$P_f \rightarrow P_{f'}$$

is affine ([SGA 6, Expose XII, Prop. (3.5)] when $p = 0$, and [L2, Prop. (2.5)] when $p > 0$). Thus $P = \varprojlim_f P_f$ exists as a k -group-scheme (cf. [EGA IV, §8.2]).

Now, in view of Lemma (2.4), a simple passage to inverse limits gives the desired result:

THEOREM. There exists a k -group scheme P , and for k -algebras A such that $A^p = A$ (the condition $A^p = A$ is vacuous when $p = 0$) an exact sequence, varying functorially with A ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(k_0 \otimes_k^A \text{red}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbf{x}_A) \rightarrow P(A) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \bigcap_f \ker[\text{Br}(k_0 \otimes_k^A \text{red}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\mathbf{x}_{f,A})] . \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY. If A is an algebraically closed field, then the above map $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{X}_A) \rightarrow P(A)$ is bijective.

For, then $\text{Pic}(k_0 \otimes_k A_{\text{red}}) = \text{Br}(k_0 \otimes_k A_{\text{red}}) = (0)$.⁽⁴⁾

Remarks. 1. The k -group-scheme P is uniquely determined by the requirements of the Theorem. Indeed, since for every k -algebra A there exists a faithfully flat A -algebra \bar{A} with $\bar{A}^P = \bar{A}$ [L2, Lemma (0.1)], and since every element in $\text{Pic}(k_0 \otimes_k A_{\text{red}})$ or in $\text{Br}(k_0 \otimes_k A_{\text{red}})$ is locally trivial for the étale topology on A , it follows easily that P is the fpqc sheaf associated to the functor $A \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbb{X}_A)$ of k -algebras A .

2. P^0 , the connected component of zero in P , is described in (3.2) below. The remarks following (1.2) suggest that the following conjecture - or some variant - should hold:

Conjecture: P^0 is infinitesimal if and only if the natural (split injective) map

$$\text{Pic}(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbb{X} \hat{\otimes}_W W[[T]]) \quad (W = W(k))$$

is bijective.

⁽⁴⁾The Corollary, which is what we need for Theorems 1 and 1', could be proved more directly, using [L2, §1, comments on part II]; then we could do without our Lemma (2.4), and without introducing "Br". In a similar vein it can be deduced from the Theorem - or shown more directly - that if K is a normal algebraic field extension of k such that every connected component of \mathbb{X}_{red} has a K -rational point, and if A is any perfect field containing K , then $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{X}_A) \rightarrow P(A)$ is bijective.

(2.6) (Appendix to §2). The following proposition is meant to give a more complete picture of how our basic data (\mathfrak{X}, k, f) can be defined. It will not be used elsewhere in this paper.

To begin with, observe that if (\mathfrak{X}, k, f) is as in (2.2), then f induces a proper map

$$f|_{\mathcal{J}_0} : (\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{J}_0) = \mathfrak{X}_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$$

(cf. (2.2)). Hence $H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{red}}})$ is a finite k -module (equivalently: a finite $W(k)$ -module) and - a fortiori - a finite $H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ - module. Conversely:

PROPOSITION. Let \mathfrak{X} be a weakly noetherian formal scheme, and assume that the $H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ -module $H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{red}}})$ is finitely generated. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p \geq 0$, and let

$$f_0 : \mathfrak{X}_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$$

be a proper map of schemes. Then f_0 extends (uniquely, if $p > 0$) to a map of formal schemes $f : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_k$. Furthermore, all the maps $f|_{\mathcal{J}_i}$ (cf. (2.2)) are proper.

Proof. (Sketch) f_0 corresponds to a homomorphism $i_0 : k \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{red}}})$; the problem is to lift i_0 to a continuous homomorphism

$$i : W(k) \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}).$$

Let $\mathcal{I}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{I}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{I}_2 \supseteq \dots$ be a fundamental system of defining ideals of \mathfrak{X} (cf. (2.1)), and let $H_0 = H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})/H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{I}_0)$.

We will show below that:

(*) the canonical map $H_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{red}}})$ is bijective.

Then the existence of the lifting i follows (since $W(k)$ is formally smooth over its subring $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}$) from [EGA 0_{IV}, (19.3.10)] (with $\mathcal{I} = H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{I}_0)$). For the uniqueness when $p > 0$, cf. [loc. cit. (20.7.5) or (21.5.3)(ii)]. {Or else note that $H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{red}}})$, being reduced and finite over k , is perfect, and argue as in [SR, p. 48, Prop. 10], using the following easily proved fact in place of [ibid., p. 44, Lemme 1]:

If $a, b \in H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ satisfy $a \equiv b \pmod{H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{I}_n)}$, then for some N depending only on n we have

$$a^{p^N} \equiv b^{p^N} \pmod{H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{I}_{n+1})}.$$

Now (*) simply says that $H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{red}}})$ is surjective, and to prove this we may assume that \mathfrak{X} is connected; then $H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{red}}})$, being finite over k , is a perfect field, as is its subring H_0 (since $H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{red}}})$ is finite over H_0 , by assumption), say $H_0 = K$. As above, the identity map $K \rightarrow K$ lifts to a homomorphism $W(K) \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$, and thereby, for every ideal of definition \mathcal{I} , the scheme $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I})$ is a $W(K)$ -scheme. For $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_0$ the structural map $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}_0) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(W(K))$ factors as

$$(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}_0) = \mathfrak{X}_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{red}}})) \xrightarrow{\text{finite}} \text{Spec}(K) \leftrightarrow \text{Spec}(W(K)).$$

Note that $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{red}}$, being proper over k , is proper over $H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{red}}})$, and hence also over K . Arguing as below, we see that

$(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}_n)$ is proper over $W(K)$, whence the kernel of $\pi_n: H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}_n) \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}_0)$ is a $W(K)$ -module of finite length. So by [EGA 0_{III}, (13.2.2)], $\pi = \varprojlim \pi_n$ will be surjective if π_n is surjective for all n . Let us show more generally for any scheme map $\phi: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(W(K))$ that if ϕ induces a proper map

$$Y = X_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K) \subseteq \text{Spec}(W(K))$$

then $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is surjective.

Let \bar{K} be an algebraic closure of K . Then $W(\bar{K})$ is a faithfully flat $W(K)$ -algebra. In view of [EGA III, (1.4.15)]. (Künneth formula for flat base change) and the fact that

$$Y \otimes_{W(K)} W(\bar{K}) = Y \otimes_K \bar{K}$$

is reduced (K being perfect), we may replace X by $X \otimes_{W(K)} W(\bar{K})$, i.e. we may assume that K is algebraically closed. But then $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is a product of copies of K , one for each connected component of Y , so the assertion is obvious.

It remains to be shown that the maps f_g are all proper. $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I})$ is noetherian, and $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{red}} = (\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I})_{\text{red}}$. By [EGA II (5.4.6) and EGA 0_I, p. 279, (5.3.1)(vi)] it suffices to show

that $f_{\mathcal{G}}$ is locally of finite type; so what we need is that if A is a noetherian $W(k)$ -algebra with a nilpotent ideal N such that A/N is finitely generated over $W(k)$, then also A is finitely generated over $W(k)$. But if a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r in A are such that their images in A/N are $W(k)$ -algebra generators of A/N , and if b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s are A -module generators of N , then it is easily seen that

$$A = W(k)[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s].$$

Q.E.D.

§3. Structure of inverse limits of locally algebraic k -groups.

In this section, we establish (1.2)- and a little more- for any group-scheme P of the form $\varprojlim P_n$, where (P_n, f_{mn}) (n, m , non-negative integers, $n \geq m$) is an inverse system of locally algebraic k -groups (k a field), the maps $f_{mn}: P_n \rightarrow P_m$ ($n \geq m$) being affine (cf. [EGA IV, §8.2]). (Note that the group-scheme P of §(2.5) is of this form.) This is more or less an exercise, and the results are presumably known, but I could not find them recorded anywhere.

(3.1) By [SGA 3, p. 315], $f_{mn}: P_n \rightarrow P_m$ ($n \geq m$) factors uniquely as

$$P_n \xrightarrow{u} P_{mn} \xleftarrow{v} P_n$$

where v is a closed immersion and u is affine, faithfully

flat, and finitely presented. (P_{mn} is the image, or coimage, of f_{mn} .) For $n_1 \geq n_2$, P_{mn_1} is a closed subgroup of P_{mn_2} , and we can set

$$\bar{P}_m = \bigcap_{n \geq m} P_{mn} = \lim_{\leftarrow n \geq m} P_{mn}.$$

\bar{P}_m is a closed subgroup of P_m , its defining ideal in \mathcal{O}_{P_m} being the union of the defining ideals of the P_{mn} . Clearly f_{mn} induces a map $\bar{f}_{mn}: \bar{P}_n \rightarrow \bar{P}_m$, so we have an inverse system $(\bar{P}_n, \bar{f}_{mn})$.

PROPOSITION. (i) P (together with the natural maps $\bar{f}_n: P \rightarrow \bar{P}_n$) is equal to $\lim_{\leftarrow} \bar{P}_n$.

(ii) The maps $\bar{f}_{mn}: \bar{P}_n \rightarrow \bar{P}_m$ and $\bar{f}_m: P \rightarrow \bar{P}_m$ are affine, faithfully flat, and universally open.

(iii) If K is any algebraically closed field containing k , then

$$\bar{f}_m(K): P(K) \rightarrow \bar{P}_m(K)$$

is surjective.

(iv) $\ker(\bar{f}_{mn})$ is a closed subgroup of $\ker(f_{mn})$.

Proof. (i) and (iv) are left to the reader. It is clear that all the maps \bar{f}_{mn} and \bar{f}_m are affine. We show below that \bar{f}_m is faithfully flat for all m . Since $\bar{f}_m = \bar{f}_{mn} \circ \bar{f}_n$ for $n \geq m$, it will follow that \bar{f}_{mn} is faithfully flat [EGA IV, (2.2.13)]. This implies that \bar{f}_{mn} is universally open [EGA IV,

(2.4.6)] and hence so is \tilde{f}_m [EGA IV, (8.3.8)], proving (ii).
 As for (iii), since \tilde{f}_{st} is locally of finite type and surjective, it follows that $\tilde{f}_{st}(K)$ is surjective for all $t \geq s$; in particular, $\tilde{f}_{n,n+1}(K)$ is surjective for all $n \geq m$, so any element of $\tilde{P}_m(K)$ can be lifted back to $P(K) = \varprojlim_{n \geq m} \tilde{P}_n(K)$, i.e. $\tilde{f}_m(K)$ is surjective.

So let us show that \tilde{f}_m is faithfully flat. Let $y \in \tilde{P}_m$, and let U be an affine open neighborhood of y in P_m . Since U is noetherian, we see that for some n_0

$$\tilde{P}_m \cap U = P_{mn} \cap U \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

But f_{mn} induces a faithfully flat map

$$P_n \times_{P_m} U \rightarrow P_{mn} \times_{P_m} U = \tilde{P}_m \cap U \quad (n \geq n_0).$$

Since $P_n \times_{P_m} U$ and $\tilde{P}_m \cap U$ are affine, and since for any ring R an inductive limit of faithfully flat R -algebras is still a faithfully flat R -algebra, we conclude that

$$P \times_{\tilde{P}_m} (\tilde{P}_m \cap U) = P \times_{P_m} U = \varprojlim_{n \geq n_0} (P_n \times_{P_m} U)$$

is faithfully flat over $\tilde{P}_m \cap U$. Thus \tilde{f}_m is faithfully flat.

(3.2) Because of Proposition (3.1), we can assume from now on that $P_m = \tilde{P}_m$ (so that all the maps f_{mn} ($= \tilde{f}_{mn}$) are

faithfully flat etc. etc.). Furthermore, certain additional conditions which may be imposed on the original f_{mn} (for example the condition that $\ker(f_{mn})$ be unipotent) will not be destroyed by this replacement of P_m by \bar{P}_m (because of (iv) in Prop. (3.1)).

We examine now the connected component of the zero-point of P . Let P_n^0 be the open and closed subgroup of P_n supported by the connected component of zero in P_n (cf. [DG, ch. II, §5, no. 1]). Then $f_{mn}: P_n \rightarrow P_m$ ($n \geq m$) induces a map $f_{mn}^0: P_n^0 \rightarrow P_m^0$, so we have an inverse system (P_n^0, f_{mn}^0) . Set $P^0 = \varprojlim P_n^0$.

PROPOSITION. (i) The maps f_{mn}^0 are affine, faithfully flat and finitely presented; and $\ker(f_{mn}^0)$ is a closed subgroup of $\ker(f_{mn})$.

(ii) P^0 is a closed irreducible subgroup of P , and the underlying subspace of P^0 is the connected component of zero in P . Furthermore, if $x \in P^0$, then the canonical map of local rings $\mathcal{O}_{P,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P^0,x}$ is bijective.

Proof. (i) is immediate except perhaps for the surjectivity of f_{mn}^0 , which follows from the fact that the (topological) image of f_{mn}^0 is open [EGA IV, (2.4.6)] and closed [DG, p. 249, (5.1)].

As for (ii), it is clear that P^0 is a closed subgroup of P ; and if Q is any connected subspace of P containing zero, then $f_n(Q) \subseteq P_n^0$ for all n ($f_n: P \rightarrow P_n$ being the natural map) whence $Q \subseteq P^0$ (since $P^0 = \varprojlim P_n^0$ in the category of

topological spaces [EGA IV, 8.2.9]). So for the first assertion of (ii), it remains to be shown that P^0 is irreducible (hence connected). For this it suffices to show that P^0 is covered by open irreducible subsets, any two of which have a non-empty intersection. P_0^0 , being irreducible, has such a covering by irreducible affine subsets, and we can cover P^0 by their inverse images. Since all the maps f_{0n}^0 are affine and each P_n^0 is irreducible, we need only check that a direct limit of rings with irreducible spectrum has irreducible spectrum. But this is easily seen, since "A has irreducible spectrum" means that "for $a, b \in A$, ab is nilpotent \Leftrightarrow either a or b is nilpotent".

Finally, for $x \in P^0$, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{P,x} = \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathcal{O}_{P_n, f_n(x)} = \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathcal{O}_{P_n^0, f_n(x)} = \mathcal{O}_{P^0, x} \quad .$$

Q.E.D.

Remark. Though P^0 is not algebraic over k in general, it may nevertheless have certain finite-dimensional structural features. For example, when k is perfect, if A_n is the abelian variety which is a quotient of $(P_n^0)_{\text{red}}$ by its maximal linear subgroup L_n (structure theorem of Chevalley) then f_{mn} ($n \geq m$) induces an epimorphism $A_n \rightarrow A_m$, with infinitesimal kernel. If furthermore the kernel of f_{mn} is unipotent (as would be the case, e.g. in (2.5) [L2; Cor. (2.11)]), then, writing

$$L_n = M_n \times U_n \quad (M_n \text{ multiplicative, } U_n \text{ unipotent})$$

we find that f_{mn} induces an isomorphism $M_n \rightarrow M_m$.

(3.3) For each n , let $\pi_0(P_n)$ be the étale k -group P_n/P_n^0 (cf. [DG, p. 237, Prop. (1.8)]). The natural map $q_n: P_n \rightarrow \pi_0(P_n)$ is faithfully flat and finitely presented (loc. cit.). f_{mn} induces a map $\pi_0(f_{mn}): \pi_0(P_n) \rightarrow \pi_0(P_m)$, so we have an inverse system $(\pi_0(P_n), \pi_0(f_{mn}))$. We set $\pi_0(P) = \varprojlim \pi_0(P_n)$.

PROPOSITION. (i) The maps $\pi_0(f_{mn})$ are finite, étale, surjective; and $\ker(\pi_0(f_{mn}))$ is a quotient of $\ker(f_{mn})$.

(ii) The canonical map $q: P \rightarrow \pi_0(P)$ is faithfully flat and quasi-compact, with kernel P^0 (so that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow \pi_0(P) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact in the category of fpqc sheaves). The (topological) fibres of $P \rightarrow \pi_0(P)$ are irreducible, and they are the connected components of P . For any $x \in P$, the canonical map of local rings $\mathcal{O}_{P,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{q^{-1}q(x),x}$ is bijective. If K is an algebraically closed field containing k , then $P(K) \rightarrow \pi_0(P)(K)$ is surjective.

Proof. (i) Consider the commutative diagram (with $n \geq m$):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & P_n^0 & \longrightarrow & P_n & \xrightarrow{q_n} & \pi_0(P_n) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_{mn}^0 & & \downarrow f_{mn} & & \downarrow \pi_0(f_{mn}) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & P_m^0 & \longrightarrow & P_m & \xrightarrow{q_m} & \pi_0(P_m) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} .$$

The maps in the rows are the natural ones, and the rows are exact

in the category of fppf sheaves (when we identify k -groups with functors of k -algebras...). Since f_{mn}^0 is an epimorphism of fppf sheaves (Prop. (3.2)), so therefore is the natural map $\ker(f_{mn}) \rightarrow \ker(\pi_0(f_{mn}))$, and we have the second assertion of (i).

f_{mn} , q_m , and q_n are all faithfully flat - hence surjective and quasi-compact, and then so is $\pi_0(f_{mn})$. Since $\pi_0(P_n)$ and $\pi_0(P_m)$ are étale over k , therefore the map $\pi_0(f_{mn})$ is étale. Thus the kernel of $\pi_0(f_{mn})$ -being quasi-compact and étale over k - is finite over k , and it follows that the map $\pi_0(f_{mn})$ is finite.

(ii) For the last assertion, note that we have an inverse system of exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow P_n^0(K) \rightarrow P_n(K) \rightarrow \pi_0(P_n)(K) \rightarrow 0$$

and that $P_{n+1}^0(K) \rightarrow P_n^0(K)$ is surjective for all n (Prop. (3.2)); so on passing to the inverse limit we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P^0(K) \rightarrow P(K) \rightarrow \pi_0(P)(K) \rightarrow 0 \quad .$$

The exactness of $0 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{q} \pi_0(P)$ is straightforward. To show that q is flat let $x \in P$, $y = q(x)$, and let x_n, y_n be their images in $P_n, \pi_0(P_n)$ respectively. Then \mathcal{O}_{P_n, x_n} is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{\pi_0(P_n), y_n}$, and passing to inductive limits, we see that $\mathcal{O}_{P, x}$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{\pi_0(P), y}$. Next let $z \in \pi_0(P)$, let

z_n be the image of z in $\pi_0(P_n)$, and let $Q = q^{-1}(z)$, $Q_n = q_n^{-1}(z)$. Note that Q_n is irreducible, and is a connected component of P_n . The Q_n form an inverse system of schemes, in which the transition maps are affine, and

$$Q = \lim_{\leftarrow} Q_n.$$

We show next that $Q_n \rightarrow Q_m$ is surjective; then it follows that Q is non-empty (so that q is surjective - hence faithfully flat) and the proof of Prop. (3.2) (ii) can be imitated to give all the assertions about the fibres of q .

Let \bar{k} be the algebraic closure of k . By a simple translation argument, we deduce from the surjectivity of $P_n^0 \rightarrow P_m^0$ that every component of $Q_n \otimes_k \bar{k}$ maps surjectively onto a component of $Q_m \otimes_k \bar{k}$; since every component of $Q_m \otimes_k \bar{k}$ projects surjectively onto Q_m , we find that $Q_n \rightarrow Q_m$ is indeed surjective.

It remains to be seen that q is quasi-compact. The fibres of the maps $\pi_0(f_n): \pi_0(P) \rightarrow \pi_0(P_n)$ ($n \geq 0$) form a basis of open sets on $\pi_0(P)$ (since $\pi_0(P_n)$ is discrete as a topological space); furthermore these fibres are quasi-compact (since $\pi_0(f_n)$ is an affine map), and their inverse images in P are quasi-compact (the affine map $P \rightarrow P_n$ and the finitely presented map $P_n \rightarrow \pi_0(P_n)$ are both quasi-compact, so the composed map $P \rightarrow \pi_0(P_n)$ is quasi-compact); it follows that q is quasi-compact. Q.E.D.

Remarks.

1. Say that a k -group Q is pro-étale if it is of the form $\varinjlim Q_n$, where (Q_n, g_{mn}) is an inverse system of the type we have been considering, with all the Q_n étale over k . For example $\pi_0(P)$ is pro-étale. It is immediate that if Q is pro-étale and $f: G \rightarrow Q$ is a map of k -groups, with G connected, then f is the zero-map. From this we see that, with P as above, every map of P into a pro-étale k -group factors uniquely through $P \rightarrow \pi_0(P)$.

2. Let (P_n, f_{mn}) be as above, and assume that the kernel of f_{mn} is unipotent for all m, n . Set $Q_n = \pi_0(P_n)$, $g_{mn} = \pi_0(f_{mn})$; by (i) of Proposition (3.3), the kernel of g_{mn} is étale and also unipotent (i.e. annihilated by p^t for some t , with $p = \text{char. of } k$). Assume also that the abelian group $Q_n(\bar{k})$ ($\bar{k} = \text{algebraic closure of } k$) is finitely generated (for each n). (These assumptions hold in the situation described in (2.5), cf. [L2; Prop. (2.7), Cor. (2.11)].)

Let Q_n^t be the kernel of multiplication by p^t in Q_n . Then $Q_n^0 \subseteq Q_n^1 \subseteq Q_n^2 \subseteq \dots$, and since $Q_n(\bar{k})$ is finitely generated, we have, for large t , $Q_n^t = Q_n^{t+1} = \dots$; so we can set

$$Q_n^{(p)} = \bigcup_t Q_n^t = Q_n^t \text{ for large } t.$$

Clearly $Q_n^{(p)}$ is finite étale over k , and unipotent; and the quotient $R_n = Q_n / Q_n^{(p)}$ is étale over k . Consider the commutative diagram ($n \geq m$):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & Q_n^{(p)} & \rightarrow & Q_n & \rightarrow & R_n \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & Q_m^{(p)} & \rightarrow & Q_m & \rightarrow & R_m \rightarrow 0 \quad .
 \end{array}$$

Straightforward arguments give that:

- (i) Multiplication by p in R_n is a monomorphism.
- (ii) $R_n \rightarrow R_m$ is an isomorphism.
- (iii) $Q_n^{(p)} \rightarrow Q_m^{(p)}$ is an epimorphism.

Then, passing to the inverse limit, we obtain:

There exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Q^{(p)} \rightarrow \pi_0(P) \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$$

$Q^{(p)}$ = inverse limit of unipotent finite étale k -groups.

R = étale k -group such that the abelian group $R(\bar{k})$ (\bar{k} = algebraic closure of k) is finitely generated and without p -torsion.

Here R is already determined by P_1 .

REFERENCES

- EGA A. GROTHENDIECK, J. DIEUDONNÉ, Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique:
- 01 Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1971.
- I, II, III(0_{III}), IV(0_{IV}), Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 4,8,...
- SGA A. GROTHENDIECK et. al., Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique:
- 2 Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents..., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- 3 Schémas en groupes I, Lecture Notes in Mathematics no. 151, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1970.
- 6 Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann-Roch, Lecture Notes in Mathematics no. 225, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1971.
- [AC] N. BOURBAKI, Algèbre Commutative, Hermann, Paris. (English Translation, 1972).
- [B] J.-F. BOUTOT, Schéma de Picard local, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 277 (Série A) (1973), 691-694.
- [D1] V. I. DANILOV, On a conjecture of Samuel, Math. USSR Sb. 10 (1970), 127-137. (Mat. Sb. 81 (123) (1970), 132-144.)
- [D2] _____, Rings with a discrete group of divisor classes, Math. USSR Sb. 12 (1970), 368-386. (Mat. Sb. 83 (125) (1970), 372-389.)
- [D3] _____, On rings with a discrete divisor class group, Math. USSR Sb. 17 (1972), 228-236. (Mat. Sb. 88 (130) (1972), 229-237.)

- [DG] M. DEMAZURE, P. GABRIEL, Groupes Algébriques (Tome I), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.
- [F] R. M. FOSSUM, The divisor class group of a Krull domain, (Ergebnisse der Math., vol. 74), Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1973.
- [GR] A. GROTHENDIECK, Groupe de Brauer III, in Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [K] W. KRULL, Beiträge zur Arithmetik kommutativer Integritätsbereiche V, Math. Z. 43 (1938), 768-782.
- [L1] J. LIPMAN, Rational Singularities ..., Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. no. 36 (1969), 195-279.
- [L2] _____, The Picard group of a scheme over an Artin ring, to appear (preprint available).
- [P] D. PRILL, The divisor class groups of some rings of holomorphic functions, Math. Z. 121 (1971), 58-80.
- [S1] P. SAMUEL, On unique factorization domains, Illinois J. Math. 5 (1961), 1-17.
- [S2] _____, Sur les anneaux factoriels, Bull. Soc. Math. France 89 (1961), 155-173.
- [SMN] P. SALMON, Su un problema posto da P. Samuel, Atti. Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8) 40 (1966), 801-803.
- [SH] G. SCHEJA, Einige Beispiele faktorieller lokaler Ringe, Math. Ann. 172 (1967), 124-134.
- [SR] J.-P. SERRE, Corps locaux, Hermann, Paris, 1968.

- [ST1] U. STORCH, Über die Divisorenklassengruppen normaler komplexanalytischer Algebren, Math. Ann. 183 (1969), 93-104.
- [ST2] _____, Über das Verhalten der Divisorenklassengruppen normaler Algebren bei nicht-ausgearteten Erweiterungen, Habilitationsschrift, Univ. Bochum, (1971).