

New qualitative properties for viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear degenerate PDEs

Alessandro Goffi

Università di Padova

Geometric and functional inequalities and recent topics in nonlinear PDEs

March 1, 2021

joint works with [M. Bardi \(Padova\)](#)

Strong Maximum Principle: the linear case

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and connected and

$$Lu := -\text{Tr}(A(x)D^2u) + b(x) \cdot Du + c(x)u = 0,$$

A unif. ell., A, b bounded and continuous, c bounded and $c \geq 0$

Theorem (Hopf)

If $u \in C^2(\Omega)$, $c \geq 0$, $Lu \leq 0$ in Ω and u attains its greatest nonnegative value M at $x_0 \in \Omega$, then $u \equiv M$ in Ω .

Strong Maximum Principle: the linear case

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and connected and

$$Lu := -\text{Tr}(A(x)D^2u) + b(x) \cdot Du + c(x)u = 0,$$

A unif. ell., A, b bounded and continuous, c bounded and $c \geq 0$

Theorem (Hopf)

If $u \in C^2(\Omega)$, $c \geq 0$, $Lu \leq 0$ in Ω and u attains its greatest nonnegative value M at $x_0 \in \Omega$, then $u \equiv M$ in Ω .

Another way to formulate the principle...

Problem

Let $x_0 \in \Omega$. Determine the largest connected, relatively closed subset $D(x_0)$ of Ω containing x_0 and such that

If $u \in C^2(\Omega)$, $Lu \leq 0$ in Ω and u attains its greatest nonnegative value M at $x_0 \in \Omega$, then $u \equiv M$ throughout $D(x_0)$. $D(x_0) =$ propagation set

It can be proved that the maximum propagates along a finite chain of trajectories of the diffusion vector fields

$$\pm X_j = \pm \sum_{k=1}^d a_{jk} \partial_k$$

In particular $\text{rank}(\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_d)) = d$ at $x \in \Omega \xrightarrow{\text{Chow's thm}} \exists U(x)$ such that any point of $U(x)$ can be joined to x by a finite chain of trajectories of the fields $\{X_j\}_{j=1}^d$.

It can be proved that the maximum propagates along a finite chain of trajectories of the diffusion vector fields

$$\pm X_j = \pm \sum_{k=1}^d a_{jk} \partial_k$$

In particular $\text{rank}(\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_d)) = d$ at $x \in \Omega \xrightarrow{\text{Chow's thm}} \exists U(x)$ such that any point of $U(x)$ can be joined to x by a finite chain of trajectories of the fields $\{X_j\}_{j=1}^d$. We thus arrive at the following

Theorem (Bony)

If the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_d)$ has full rank at every point $x \in \Omega$, then (SMP) holds for L .

An example

Consider $\Omega = \{(x, y) : x \in (-1, 1), y \in (-1, 1)\}$ and the linear operator

$$L = \partial_x^2 + x^2 \partial_y^2 = X^2 + Y^2$$

where

$$X = \partial_x, Y = x \partial_y.$$

We have that the vector fields X, Y and

$$[X, Y] = \partial_y$$

span \mathbb{R}^2 at all points of Ω , i.e. $\text{rank}\{\mathcal{L}(X, Y, [X, Y])\} = 2$ in the whole Ω . Then, for such (Grushin) operator, the (SMP) is valid.

Yet another characterization: subunit vector fields

- A vector $Z : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is SUBUNIT in the sense of Fefferman-Phong for L if $A - Z \otimes Z \geq 0$, i.e.

$$a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geq |Z(x) \cdot \xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

- Let $X_0 = \sum_{i=1}^d (b^i(x) - \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j a_{ij}) \partial_i$ be the drift vector field of L .

Theorem (Taira)

The propagation set $D(x_0)$ of $x_0 \in \Omega$ contains the closure $\bar{D}(x_0)$ in Ω of all $y \in \Omega$ which can be joined to x_0 by a finite number of subunit and drift trajectories.

Remark. This characterization coincides with the probabilistic one by [Stroock-Varadhan] and those of [Hill,Redheffer,Bony], see [Taira].

Fully nonlinear eq.s: subunit vector fields revisited

Consider the fully nonlinear PDE

$$F(x, u(x), Du(x), D^2u(x)) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \text{Sym}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

where F is **proper**, i.e. $F(x, r, p, X) \leq F(x, s, p, Y)$ for $r \leq s$, $Y \leq X$.

Fully nonlinear eq.s: subunit vector fields revisited

Consider the fully nonlinear PDE

$F(x, u(x), Du(x), D^2u(x)) = 0$ in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \text{Sym}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
where F is **proper**, i.e. $F(x, r, p, X) \leq F(x, s, p, Y)$ for $r \leq s$, $Y \leq X$.

Definition (Bardi-G.)

$Z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a **generalized subunit vector field** for F at $x \in \Omega$ if

$$\sup_{\gamma} F(x, 0, p, I - \gamma p \otimes p) > 0 \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ s.t. } Z \cdot p \neq 0$$

Recall. When $F(x, D^2u) = -\text{Tr}(A(x)D^2u)$, Fefferman-Phong's (FP) SV field for A is a vector Z such that $A \geq Z \otimes Z$.

Fully nonlinear eq.s: subunit vector fields revisited

Consider the fully nonlinear PDE

$$F(x, u(x), Du(x), D^2u(x)) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \text{Sym}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

where F is **proper**, i.e. $F(x, r, p, X) \leq F(x, s, p, Y)$ for $r \leq s$, $Y \leq X$.

Definition (Bardi-G.)

$Z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a **generalized subunit vector field** for F at $x \in \Omega$ if

$$\sup_{\gamma} F(x, 0, p, I - \gamma p \otimes p) > 0 \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ s.t. } Z \cdot p \neq 0$$

Recall. When $F(x, D^2u) = -\text{Tr}(A(x)D^2u)$, Fefferman-Phong's (FP) SV field for A is a vector Z such that $A \geq Z \otimes Z$.

Lemma

Z is subunit in the sense of FP $\implies Z$ is generalized SV

If Z is generalized SV with F linear $\implies rZ$ with $r > 0$ small enough is subunit for A .

Some references on SMP for fully nonlinear PDEs

- [Caffarelli-Cabrè] strong minimum principle for $F(x, D^2u) = 0$, $F(x, 0) = 0$, F unif. ell. via weak Harnack inequality
- [Bardi-Da Lio] degenerate operators, concave and convex. Characterization of the propagation set, even probabilistic (see [Stroock-Varadhan] for the linear case)
- [Da Lio] for parabolic equations
- [Birindelli-Demengel et al] for fully nonlinear singular equations
- [Harvey-Lawson] for Hessian equations
- More recently, [Birindelli-Galise-Ishii] for truncated Laplacians

Propagation of maxima along generalized SVs

Assume that

F is lower semicontinuous and proper (LSC+P)

$F(x, \xi r, \xi p, \xi X) \geq \varphi(\xi)F(x, r, p, X)$, $\varphi > 0$. (SCAL)

Theorem (Bardi-G.)

Let F be such that (LSC+P)-(SCAL) hold, and assume it has a locally Lipschitz subunit vector field Z . Let $u \in USC(\Omega)$ be a viscosity subsolution to $F[u] = 0$ attaining a nonnegative maximum at $x_0 \in \Omega$. Then, $u(x) = u(x_0) = \max_{\Omega} u$ for all $x = y(s)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$, where $y'(t) = Z(y(t))$ and $y(0) = x_0$.

Natural to consider the control system

$$y'(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(Z_i(y(t)) \beta_i(t) \right), \beta_i \text{ measurable} \quad (1)$$

If the system satisfies the property (BTC)

$\forall x_0, x_1 \in \Omega \exists$ trajectory of (1) with $y(0) = x_0, y(s) = x_1, y(t) \in \Omega \forall t \in [0, s]$

then the maximum propagates on the whole Ω .

Recall. Hörmander condition for vector fields smooth enough Z_1, \dots, Z_m says that the vector fields and their commutators span \mathbb{R}^d at every point of Ω .

Explicitly, this says that among the vector fields Z_i , their commutators $[Z_i, Z_j]$ and their iterated commutators, there exist d which are linearly independent.

In particular,

Hörmander condition \implies (BTC)

Recall. Hörmander condition for vector fields smooth enough Z_1, \dots, Z_m says that the vector fields and their commutators span \mathbb{R}^d at every point of Ω .

Explicitly, this says that among the vector fields Z_i , their commutators $[Z_i, Z_j]$ and their iterated commutators, there exist d which are linearly independent.

In particular,

Hörmander condition \implies (BTC)

Theorem (Bardi-G.)

Let F be such that (LSC+P)-(SCAL) hold, and assume that there exist generalized subunit vector fields Z_1, \dots, Z_m for F satisfying the Hörmander condition. Then, any $u \in USC(\Omega)$ viscosity subsolution to $F[u] = 0$ attaining a nonnegative maximum at $x_0 \in \Omega$ is constant.

Remark. Similar scheme for the strong minimum principle, for $F \in USC$, proper and satisfying

$$F(x, \xi r, \xi p, \xi X) \leq \varphi(\xi) F(x, r, p, X)$$

Some examples: subelliptic PDEs

Given a family of smooth vector fields X_1, \dots, X_m one defines

intrinsic (or horizontal) gradient $D_{\mathcal{X}}u = (X_1u, \dots, X_mu)$

intrinsic (or horizontal) symmetrized Hessian $(D_{\mathcal{X}}^2u)_{ij}^* = \frac{X_iX_ju + X_jX_iu}{2}$

Some examples: subelliptic PDEs

Given a family of smooth vector fields X_1, \dots, X_m one defines

intrinsic (or horizontal) gradient $D_{\mathcal{X}}u = (X_1u, \dots, X_mu)$

intrinsic (or horizontal) symmetrized Hessian $(D_{\mathcal{X}}^2u)_{ij}^* = \frac{X_iX_ju + X_jX_iu}{2}$

Then, natural to define

$$G[u] := G(x, u, \underbrace{D_{\mathcal{X}}u}_{\in \mathbb{R}^m}, \underbrace{(D_{\mathcal{X}}^2u)^*}_{\in \text{Sym}_m}) = 0$$

See [\[Manfredi\]](#) lecture notes.

The Heisenberg group

In \mathbb{R}^3 consider (x, y, z) and the vector fields

$$X = \partial_x + 2y\partial_z \text{ and } Y = \partial_y - 2x\partial_z$$

Then $D_{\mathcal{X}}u = (Xu, Yu)$, so $m = 2$ and $d = 3$ ($m \leq d$). Write the coefficients of X, Y through

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 2y & -2x \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

The Heisenberg group

In \mathbb{R}^3 consider (x, y, z) and the vector fields

$$X = \partial_x + 2y\partial_z \text{ and } Y = \partial_y - 2x\partial_z$$

Then $D_x u = (Xu, Yu)$, so $m = 2$ and $d = 3$ ($m \leq d$). Write the coefficients of X, Y through

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ 2y \quad -2x \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $D_x u = \sigma^T Du$ and $(D_x^2 u)^* = \sigma^T(x) D^2 u \sigma(x)$, then

$$G(x, u, D_x u, (D_x^2 u)^*) = F(x, u, \sigma^T Du, \sigma^T(x) D^2 u \sigma(x))$$

Remark. Generally, $(D_x^2 u)^* = \sigma^T(x) D^2 u \sigma(x)$ + first order terms. First order terms are null for step-2 Carnot groups (not for Engel group or Grushin).

Consider

$$G(x, u, D_x u, (D_x^2 u)^*) = F(x, u, \sigma^T D u, \sigma^T(x) D^2 u \sigma(x) + g(x, D u))$$

Assume that G is elliptic in the following sense

$$\sup_{\gamma > 0} G(x, 0, q, X - \gamma q \otimes q) > 0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega, q \in \mathbb{R}^m, q \neq 0, X \in \text{Sym}_m. \quad (2)$$

Consider

$$G(x, u, D_x u, (D_x^2 u)^*) = F(x, u, \sigma^T D u, \sigma^T(x) D^2 u \sigma(x) + g(x, D u))$$

Assume that G is elliptic in the following sense

$$\sup_{\gamma > 0} G(x, 0, q, X - \gamma q \otimes q) > 0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega, q \in \mathbb{R}^m, q \neq 0, X \in \text{Sym}_m. \quad (2)$$

Lemma

If G satisfies (LSC+P)-(SCAL), then F fulfills the same properties. Moreover, the columns of σ are generalized subunit vector fields for F .

Corollary (Bardi-G.)

Under the standing assumptions, the (SMP) holds for the fully nonlinear subelliptic equation $G(x, u, D_x u, (D_x^2 u)^*) = 0$

Some examples of PDEs

Pucci's extremal operators with ell. constants $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$ (see [Caffarelli-Cabrè])

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^+(M) = \sup\{-\text{Tr}(AM) : \lambda I_d \leq A \leq \Lambda I_d\} = -\lambda \sum_{e_k > 0} e_k - \Lambda \sum_{e_k < 0} e_k$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-(M) = \inf\{-\text{Tr}(AM) : \lambda I_d \leq A \leq \Lambda I_d\} = -\Lambda \sum_{e_k < 0} e_k - \lambda \sum_{e_k > 0} e_k$$

Remark. Different from those introduced by [Pucci '66], where inf and sup are taken over a different class of matrices.

Important note. F is uniformly elliptic when

$$\lambda \text{Tr}(Q) \leq F(x, r, p, M) - F(x, r, p, M + Q) \leq \Lambda \text{Tr}(Q)$$

F unif. ell. if and only if

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-(Q) \leq F(x, r, p, M) - F(x, r, p, Q) \leq \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^+(Q) .$$

In general, our SMP is valid for

$$a(x)E(D_x u, (D_x^2 u)^*) + c(x)|u|^{k-1}u = 0$$

E hom. of degree $\alpha \leq k$ and

$$c \geq 0, a > 0 \text{ and either } c = 0 \text{ or } \alpha \leq k, k > 0$$

In general, our SMP is valid for

$$a(x)E(D_x u, (D_x^2 u)^*) + c(x)|u|^{k-1}u = 0$$

E hom. of degree $\alpha \leq k$ and

$$c \geq 0, a > 0 \text{ and either } c = 0 \text{ or } \alpha \leq k, k > 0$$

- subelliptic Pucci's operators over $(D_x^2 u)^*$
- subelliptic ∞ -Laplacian $-\Delta_{x,\infty} u = -D_x u (D_x^2 u)^* D_x u$
- subelliptic p -Laplacian $-\Delta_{x,p} u = -\operatorname{div}_x(|D_x u|^{p-2} D_x u) = -(|D_x u|^{p-2} \Delta_x u + (p-2)|D_x u|^{p-4} \Delta_{x,\infty} u)$
- game theoretic p -Laplacian $\Delta_{x,p}^N u = -|D_x u|^{2-p} \operatorname{div}_x(|D_x u|^{p-2} D_x u)$
- subelliptic h -homogeneous ∞ -Laplacian $-\Delta_{x,\infty} u = -|D_x u|^{h-3} \Delta_{x,\infty} u$

In general, our SMP is valid for

$$a(x)E(D_x u, (D_x^2 u)^*) + c(x)|u|^{k-1}u = 0$$

E hom. of degree $\alpha \leq k$ and

$$c \geq 0, a > 0 \text{ and either } c = 0 \text{ or } \alpha \leq k, k > 0$$

- subelliptic Pucci's operators over $(D_x^2 u)^*$
- subelliptic ∞ -Laplacian $-\Delta_{x,\infty} u = -D_x u (D_x^2 u)^* D_x u$
- subelliptic p -Laplacian $-\Delta_{x,p} u = -\operatorname{div}_x(|D_x u|^{p-2} D_x u) = -(|D_x u|^{p-2} \Delta_x u + (p-2)|D_x u|^{p-4} \Delta_{x,\infty} u)$
- game theoretic p -Laplacian $\Delta_{x,p}^N u = -|D_x u|^{2-p} \operatorname{div}_x(|D_x u|^{p-2} D_x u)$
- subelliptic h -homogeneous ∞ -Laplacian $-\Delta_{x,\infty} u = -|D_x u|^{h-3} \Delta_{x,\infty} u$
- For $L^\alpha u = -\operatorname{Tr}(A^\alpha(x) D^2 u) + b^\alpha(x) \cdot Du + c^\alpha(x)u$, consider the Bellman operators

$$\sup_\alpha L^\alpha u \text{ or } \inf_\alpha L^\alpha u$$

and Isaacs operators $\sup_\beta \inf_\alpha L^{\alpha,\beta} u$ or $\inf_\alpha \sup_\beta L^{\alpha,\beta} u$.

Some consequences: strong comparison principles

A well-known consequence of the (SMP) (actually an equivalent property) for linear equations is the

Theorem (Strong comparison principle (SCP))

Let Ω be a domain and $u, v \in C^2(\Omega)$ such that $Lu + c(x)u \leq Lv + c(x)v$. If $u \leq v$ in Ω and $u(x_0) = v(x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in \Omega$, then $u \equiv v$ in Ω .

Some consequences: strong comparison principles

A well-known consequence of the (SMP) (actually an equivalent property) for linear equations is the

Theorem (Strong comparison principle (SCP))

Let Ω be a domain and $u, v \in C^2(\Omega)$ such that $Lu + c(x)u \leq Lv + c(x)v$. If $u \leq v$ in Ω and $u(x_0) = v(x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in \Omega$, then $u \equiv v$ in Ω .

In the nonlinear case, it is not immediate to show that $w = u - v$ is a subsolution to $F[u] = 0$ and the properties are not equivalent. Actually, one has

(SCP) \implies (SMP) provided that constants are solutions to the equation

(SMP) \implies (SCP) not necessarily true

Somme comments on (SCP)

- Some minimal ellipticity is needed. For instance take $|u'| = 1$ on $(-1, 1)$ and note that $u_1(x) = x + 1$, $u_2(x) = -|x| + 1$ do not fulfill (SCP), see [Giga-Onhuma]
- Lipschitz growth in Du is important. In fact, one may take the viscous HJ

$$-\Delta u + |Du|^\gamma = 0, \text{ in } B(0, R), \gamma \in (0, 1)$$

to observe that it has an explicit non-constant solution, cf [Barles-Diaz-Diaz]. Here, (SMinP) is valid, but neither (SMP) nor (SCP) hold.

Some references on (SCP)

- [Hopf] for classical solutions of fully nonlinear equations.
- [Trudinger] for Lipschitz continuous viscosity solutions.
- [Giga-Onhuma], [Ishii-Yoshimura] for some nonlinear second order elliptic equations.
- [Harvey-Lawson] for some Hessian equations
- [Patrizi] for fully nonlinear problems with Neumann boundary conditions
- [Pucci P.-Serrin] for some quasi-linear inequalities
- [Onhuma-Sakaguchi] for the prescribed mean curvature equation.

- (SMP) requires to verify the relation among a subsolution and its maximum
- (SCP) requires to understand the behavior between a sub- and supersolution to the equation

- (SMP) requires to verify the relation among a subsolution and its maximum
- (SCP) requires to understand the behavior between a sub- and supersolution to the equation

General idea: find that $w = u - v$ is a subsolution to an equation $H(D^2z) = 0$ which satisfies the (SMP)

- (SMP) requires to verify the relation among a subsolution and its maximum
- (SCP) requires to understand the behavior between a sub- and supersolution to the equation

General idea: find that $w = u - v$ is a subsolution to an equation $H(D^2z) = 0$ which satisfies the (SMP)

Usually true for fully nonlinear uniformly elliptic operators depending on the Hessian, via the **transitivity of viscosity inequalities**, i.e. for F, G unif. ell.

$$F(M) + G(N) \geq H(M + N) , F(D^2u) \leq f , G(D^2v) \leq g , f, g \in C(\Omega)$$

$$\implies H(D^2(u + v)) \leq f + g$$

see [Caffarelli-Cabré].

A byproduct of our previous result is the following (SCP) for HJB eq.s

Theorem

Let

$$F_i[u] := \inf_{\alpha} \{-\text{Tr}(A^\alpha(x)D^2u) + b^\alpha(x) \cdot Du + c^\alpha(x)u - f^\alpha(x)\} = 0, \quad (3)$$

$A^\alpha \geq 0$ and $c^\alpha \geq 0$. Assume the existence of subunit vector fields Z_i satisfying the Hörmander condition and such that

$A^\alpha(x) \geq Z_i(x) \otimes Z_i(x) \forall \alpha, i, x$. If u, v are resp. a viscosity sub- and supersolution to (3), then (SCP) holds.

A byproduct of our previous result is the following (SCP) for HJB eq.s

Theorem

Let

$$F_i[u] := \inf_{\alpha} \{-\text{Tr}(A^{\alpha}(x)D^2u) + b^{\alpha}(x) \cdot Du + c^{\alpha}(x)u - f^{\alpha}(x)\} = 0, \quad (3)$$

$A^{\alpha} \geq 0$ and $c^{\alpha} \geq 0$. Assume the existence of subunit vector fields Z_i satisfying the Hörmander condition and such that

$A^{\alpha}(x) \geq Z_i(x) \otimes Z_i(x) \forall \alpha, i, x$. If u, v are resp. a viscosity sub- and supersolution to (3), then (SCP) holds.

Proof via a new comparison principle on small balls+(SMP)

A byproduct of our previous result is the following (SCP) for HJB eq.s

Theorem

Let

$$F_i[u] := \inf_{\alpha} \{-\text{Tr}(A^{\alpha}(x)D^2u) + b^{\alpha}(x) \cdot Du + c^{\alpha}(x)u - f^{\alpha}(x)\} = 0, \quad (3)$$

$A^{\alpha} \geq 0$ and $c^{\alpha} \geq 0$. Assume the existence of subunit vector fields Z_i satisfying the Hörmander condition and such that

$A^{\alpha}(x) \geq Z_i(x) \otimes Z_i(x) \forall \alpha, i, x$. If u, v are resp. a viscosity sub- and supersolution to (3), then (SCP) holds.

Proof via a new comparison principle on small balls+(SMP)

Remarkably, this implies the **weak comparison** when Ω is bounded in some new cases, e.g. when

$$-\text{Tr}(A(x)(D_x^2u)^*) + H(x, u, Du) = 0$$

One-side Liouville theorems

Degenerate elliptic equations on \mathbb{R}^d of the general form

$$F(x, u, Du, D^2u) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d$$

Main questions:

- are subsolutions bounded from above constants?
- are supersolutions bounded from below constants?

Remark. Different issue from that of **solutions**, where the property is usually addressed via Harnack's inequality.

One-side Liouville theorems

Degenerate elliptic equations on \mathbb{R}^d of the general form

$$F(x, u, Du, D^2u) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d$$

Main questions:

- are subsolutions bounded from above constants?
- are supersolutions bounded from below constants?

Remark. Different issue from that of **solutions**, where the property is usually addressed via Harnack's inequality.

Remark. Recall that for fully nonlinear degenerate equations over Hörmander vector fields, Harnack's inequality is, at this stage, unknown.

One-side Liouville theorems

Degenerate elliptic equations on \mathbb{R}^d of the general form

$$F(x, u, Du, D^2u) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d$$

Main questions:

- are subsolutions bounded from above constants?
- are supersolutions bounded from below constants?

Remark. Different issue from that of **solutions**, where the property is usually addressed via Harnack's inequality.

Remark. Recall that for fully nonlinear degenerate equations over Hörmander vector fields, Harnack's inequality is, at this stage, unknown.

However, different arguments allow to prove e.g. Hölder continuity in some degenerate (subelliptic) cases, see e.g. [\[Ferrari\]](#).

Liouville theorems via Harnack's inequality

Theorem (Liouville Theorem)

Let u be harmonic, i.e. $\Delta u = 0$, and $u \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d . Then u is constant.

Proof Via the Harnack inequality $\sup_{B_R} u \leq C \inf_{B_R} u$, $C = C(d)$.

Liouville theorems via Harnack's inequality

Theorem (Liouville Theorem)

Let u be harmonic, i.e. $\Delta u = 0$, and $u \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d . Then u is constant.

Proof Via the Harnack inequality $\sup_{B_R} u \leq C \inf_{B_R} u$, $C = C(d)$.

Remark. A similar Liouville result can be deduced for **SOLUTIONS** to more general linear uniformly elliptic operators via suitable Harnack inequalities.

The case of sub- and supersolutions

The case of **sub** and **superharmonic** functions is more delicate.

The case of sub- and supersolutions

The case of **sub** and **superharmonic** functions is more delicate.

Theorem

(i) If u is subharmonic in \mathbb{R}^2 (i.e. solves $-\Delta u \leq 0$) and bounded from above then it is constant. (ii) For $\mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 3$, the Liouville property is false.

Proof. (i) Via Hadamard three-circle theorem (see next slides for a new proof).

(ii) Just take $u_1(x) = -(1 + |x|^2)^{-1/2}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 and $u_2(x) = -(1 + |x|^2)^{-1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 4$.

The case of sub- and supersolutions

The case of **sub** and **superharmonic** functions is more delicate.

Theorem

(i) If u is subharmonic in \mathbb{R}^2 (i.e. solves $-\Delta u \leq 0$) and bounded from above then it is constant. (ii) For $\mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 3$, the Liouville property is false.

Proof. (i) Via Hadamard three-circle theorem (see next slides for a new proof).

(ii) Just take $u_1(x) = -(1 + |x|^2)^{-1/2}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 and $u_2(x) = -(1 + |x|^2)^{-1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 4$.

Our goal: Use “**Du (and u)**” as good terms

The Liouville property in the subelliptic case

- One-side Liouville properties for solutions to $-\Delta_{\mathcal{X}} u := \sum_{j=1}^m X_j^2 u = 0$ in Carnot groups \mathbb{G} follows from Harnack's inequality [Bonfiglioli-Lanconelli-Uguzzoni] or mean-value formulas [Capuzzo Dolcetta-Cutri].

The Liouville property in the subelliptic case

- One-side Liouville properties for solutions to $-\Delta_{\mathbb{X}} u := \sum_{j=1}^m X_j^2 u = 0$ in Carnot groups \mathbb{G} follows from Harnack's inequality [Bonfiglioli-Lanconelli-Uguzzoni] or mean-value formulas [Capuzzo Dolcetta-Cutri].
- False for sub-solutions to $-\Delta_{\mathbb{X}} u \leq 0$ in \mathbb{G} . E.g. take $\mathbb{G} =$ Heisenberg group with $Q = 2d + 2$ hom. dim., and $\rho(x) = (|x_H|^4 + z^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}$

$$u_1(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8}[Q(Q-2)\rho^4 - 2(Q^2-4)\rho^2 + Q(Q+2)] & \text{if } \rho \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{\rho^{Q-2}} & \text{if } \rho \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

- False even for Grushin geometries. For the Grushin plane, $X = \partial_x$, $Y = x\partial_y$, $\rho((x, y)) = (x^4 + 4y^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}$, consider

$$u_2(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8}[15 - 10\rho^2 + 3\rho^4] & \text{if } \rho \leq 1, \\ 1/\rho & \text{if } \rho \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

which solves $-\Delta_{\mathbb{X}} u \leq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Non-divergent elliptic operators with drifts

Theorem

Let $u \in C^2$ be a solution to $Lu = -\text{Tr}(A(x)D^2u) + b(x) \cdot Du \leq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d , with A unif. ell., A, b bounded and continuous. Suppose that there exists w supersolution to $Lw = 0$ for large x such that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} w = +\infty$. If u is bounded by above, then it is constant.

Remark When $b = 0$ and $A = I_d$ we get $-\Delta u \leq 0$. Liouville property true for $d = 2$ taking $w(x) = \log|x|$.

Non-divergent elliptic operators with drifts

Theorem

Let $u \in C^2$ be a solution to $Lu = -\text{Tr}(A(x)D^2u) + b(x) \cdot Du \leq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d , with A unif. ell., A, b bounded and continuous. Suppose that there exists w supersolution to $Lw = 0$ for large x such that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} w = +\infty$. If u is bounded by above, then it is constant.

Remark When $b = 0$ and $A = I_d$ we get $-\Delta u \leq 0$. Liouville property true for $d = 2$ taking $w(x) = \log|x|$.

- Analogy with conditions to get Liouville properties on Riemannian manifolds, see [Grygoryan, Pucci P., Mari et al].
- Deep connection with stochastic properties, see [Grygoryan, Priola-Zabczyk].

Some references: Liouville properties

- [Caffarelli-Cabrè] for $F(x, D^2u) = 0$ with $F(x, 0) = 0$ via Harnack.
- [Cutrì-Leoni] $F = F(x, D^2u) + h(x)u^p$, F unif. ell. via Hadamard three-sphere thms
- [Capuzzo-Dolcetta-Cutri] for $F = F(x, D^2u) + b(|x|)|Du| + h(x)u^p$, F unif. ell. using similar methods with b small at ∞ , see also [Chen-Felmer].
- [Armstrong-Sirakov] generalize previous contributions via different methods.
- [Mannucci-Marchi-Tchou] for linear equations over Hörmander vector fields.
- [Capuzzo-Dolcetta-Cutrì, Birindelli-Capuzzo-Dolcetta-Cutrì] for semilinear PDEs on Carnot groups, [D'ambrosio-Lucente, Monticelli] on Grushin geometries.
- [Cutrì-Tchou] for Pucci's operators on the Heisenberg group.
- [Bordoni-Filippucci-Pucci] for quasi-linear PDEs on \mathbb{H}^d .
- [Bardi-Cesaroni] for fully nonlinear convex operators in \mathbb{R}^d .

Proof (Sketch). **Step 1.** $\xi > 0$ and $u_\xi(x) := u(x) - \xi w(x)$, with
 $C_\xi := \max_{|x|=\bar{R}} u_\xi(x)$
 $\implies \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u_\xi(x) = -\infty$ and $\exists K > \bar{R}$ such that

$$u_\xi(x) \leq C_\xi \text{ for } |x| \geq K$$

Step 2. Trivially, $Lu_\xi = Lu - \xi Lw \leq 0$ for $|x| > \bar{R}$.

Proof (Sketch). **Step 1.** $\xi > 0$ and $u_\xi(x) := u(x) - \xi w(x)$, with

$$C_\xi := \max_{|x|=\bar{R}} u_\xi(x)$$

$\implies \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u_\xi(x) = -\infty$ and $\exists K > \bar{R}$ such that

$$u_\xi(x) \leq C_\xi \text{ for } |x| \geq K$$

Step 2. Trivially, $Lu_\xi = Lu - \xi Lw \leq 0$ for $|x| > \bar{R}$.

Step 3. Apply the **weak max principle** on $\{x : \bar{R} < |x| < K\}$ to see that

$$\max_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \bar{R} \leq |x| \leq K\}} u_\xi(x) = \max_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| = \bar{R} \text{ or } |x| = K\}} u_\xi(x)$$

Since $u_\xi < C_\xi$ for $|x| \geq K$, we obtain by sending $\xi \rightarrow 0$

$$u(y) \leq \max_{|x|=\bar{R}} u \text{ for } |y| > \bar{R}$$

By the weak max principle on $B_{\bar{R}}(0)$ we get

$$u(y) \leq \max_{|x|=\bar{R}} u \text{ for } |y| < \bar{R}.$$

Hence u attains its maximum at some point in $\partial B_{\bar{R}}(0)$.

Proof (Sketch). **Step 1.** $\xi > 0$ and $u_\xi(x) := u(x) - \xi w(x)$, with

$$C_\xi := \max_{|x|=\bar{R}} u_\xi(x)$$

$\implies \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u_\xi(x) = -\infty$ and $\exists K > \bar{R}$ such that

$$u_\xi(x) \leq C_\xi \text{ for } |x| \geq K$$

Step 2. Trivially, $Lu_\xi = Lu - \xi Lw \leq 0$ for $|x| > \bar{R}$.

Step 3. Apply the **weak max principle** on $\{x : \bar{R} < |x| < K\}$ to see that

$$\max_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \bar{R} \leq |x| \leq K\}} u_\xi(x) = \max_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| = \bar{R} \text{ or } |x| = K\}} u_\xi(x)$$

Since $u_\xi < C_\xi$ for $|x| \geq K$, we obtain by sending $\xi \rightarrow 0$

$$u(y) \leq \max_{|x|=\bar{R}} u \text{ for } |y| > \bar{R}$$

By the weak max principle on $B_{\bar{R}}(0)$ we get

$$u(y) \leq \max_{|x|=\bar{R}} u \text{ for } |y| < \bar{R}.$$

Hence u attains its maximum at some point in $\partial B_{\bar{R}}(0)$.

Step 4. Apply the **strong maximum principle** to conclude that u is constant.

The general result

Consider

$$F(x, u, Du, D^2u) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d$$

Assume

- 1 F continuous, proper and satisfying $F[\varphi - \psi] \leq F[\varphi] - F[\psi]$, $\varphi, \psi \in C^2$ and $F(x, r, 0, 0) \geq 0$ for $r \geq 0$
- 2 F satisfies the comparison principle in any bounded open set Ω , namely if u and v are respectively a viscosity sub- and supersolution such that $u \leq v$ on $\partial\Omega$, then $u \leq v$ on Ω .
- 3 (Lyapunov function) There exists $R_0 \geq 0$ and $w \in \text{LSC}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ viscosity supersolution to $F[u] = 0$ outside $B(0, R_0)$ such that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} w = +\infty$
- 4 F satisfies the (SMP).

Recall, (3) means that there exists $w \in \text{LSC}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$F[w] \geq 0 \text{ for } |x| \geq R_0 \text{ such that } \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} w = +\infty .$$

Theorem (Bardi-G.)

Assume (1)-(2)-(3) and (SCAL). Let $u \in \text{USC}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a viscosity subsolution to $F[u] = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d and

$$\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x)}{w(x)} \leq 0$$

Then, u is constant.

Recall, (3) means that there exists $w \in \text{LSC}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$F[w] \geq 0 \text{ for } |x| \geq R_0 \text{ such that } \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} w = +\infty .$$

Theorem (Bardi-G.)

Assume (1)-(2)-(3) and (SCAL). Let $u \in \text{USC}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a viscosity subsolution to $F[u] = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d and

$$\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x)}{w(x)} \leq 0$$

Then, u is constant.

The condition $\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x)}{w(x)} \leq 0$ is satisfied if e.g. **u is bounded from above.**

Some motivations

- Large-time stabilization of solutions (in space) for degenerate parabolic equations

$$\partial_t u + G(x, D_x u, (D_x^2 u)^*) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, +\infty) \quad , u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d$$

with $u_0 \in BUC(\mathbb{R}^d)$, see [Alvarez-Bardi, Bardi-Cesaroni]

- Ergodic problems for fully nonlinear subelliptic equations, see [Bardi-Cesaroni] for the fully nonlinear case, and [Marchi-Mannucci-Tchou] for some linear subelliptic cases.

Equations with horizontal gradient and Hessian

Consider

$$G(x, u, D_x u, (D_x^2 u)^*) = 0$$

satisfying the following form of **uniform sub-ellipticity**

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-(M - N) \leq G(x, r, p, M) - G(x, r, p, N) \leq \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^+(M - N)$$

Equations with horizontal gradient and Hessian

Consider

$$G(x, u, D_x u, (D_x^2 u)^*) = 0$$

satisfying the following form of **uniform sub-ellipticity**

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-(M - N) \leq G(x, r, p, M) - G(x, r, p, N) \leq \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^+(M - N)$$

Take $N = 0$ and assume

$$G(x, r, p, 0) \geq H_i(x, r, p) = \inf_{\alpha \in A} \{c^\alpha(x)r - b^\alpha(x) \cdot p\}$$

Equations with horizontal gradient and Hessian

Consider

$$G(x, u, D_x u, (D_x^2 u)^*) = 0$$

satisfying the following form of **uniform sub-ellipticity**

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-(M - N) \leq G(x, r, p, M) - G(x, r, p, N) \leq \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^+(M - N)$$

Take $N = 0$ and assume

$$G(x, r, p, 0) \geq H_i(x, r, p) = \inf_{\alpha \in A} \{c^\alpha(x)r - b^\alpha(x) \cdot p\}$$

Then, if u is a subsolution to $G[u] = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d , then u is a subsolution to

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-((D_x^2 u)^*) + \inf_{\alpha \in A} \{c^\alpha(x)u - b^\alpha(x) \cdot D_x u\} = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d$$

The Lyapunov function in the Heisenberg group

We need a function w which is a **supersolution** to

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\Lambda}^-((D_x^2 u)^*) + \inf_{\alpha \in A} \{c^\alpha(x)u - b^\alpha(x) \cdot D_x u\} = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d$$

The Lyapunov function in the Heisenberg group

We need a function w which is a **supersolution** to

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-((D_x^2 u)^*) + \inf_{\alpha \in A} \{c^\alpha(x)u - b^\alpha(x) \cdot D_x u\} = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d$$

We take $w(x) = \log \rho(x)$, ρ being the homogeneous norm of the underlying structure, and use that for Pucci's extremal operators one has

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-((D_x^2 w)^*) = (-\Lambda(Q-1) + \lambda)|D_x \rho|^2 / \rho^2$$

The Lyapunov function in the Heisenberg group

We need a function w which is a **supersolution** to

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-((D_x^2 u)^*) + \inf_{\alpha \in A} \{c^\alpha(x)u - b^\alpha(x) \cdot D_x u\} = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d$$

We take $w(x) = \log \rho(x)$, ρ being the homogeneous norm of the underlying structure, and use that for Pucci's extremal operators one has

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-((D_x^2 w)^*) = (-\Lambda(Q-1) + \lambda)|D_x \rho|^2 / \rho^2$$

Similar procedure for equations driven by the normalized p -Laplacian over the Heisenberg vector fields

$$-\Delta_{p, x}^N u = -\frac{1}{p}|D_x u|^{2-p} \operatorname{div}_x (|D_x u|^{p-2} D_x u) .$$

In fact, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-((D_x^2 u)^*) \leq -\Delta_{p, x}^N u \leq \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^+((D_x^2 u)^*)$$

for $\lambda = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{p}, \frac{p-1}{p} \right\}$, $\Lambda = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{p}, \frac{p-1}{p} \right\}$.

Theorem

If b^α is locally Lipschitz in x uniformly in α , $c^\alpha \geq 0$ continuous in $|x| \leq R$ uniformly in α . Assume for $\eta(x) = x_H |x_H|^2 + x_{2d+1} x_H^\perp$, $x_H^\perp = (x_{d+1}, \dots, x_{2d}, -x_1, \dots, -x_d)$

$$\sup_{\alpha \in A} \left\{ b^\alpha(x) \cdot \frac{\eta}{|x_H|^2} - c^\alpha(x) \frac{\rho^4}{|x_H|^2} \log \rho \right\} \leq \lambda - \Lambda(Q-1)$$

If either $c^\alpha \equiv 0$ or $u \geq 0$, then (LP) holds.

The condition is satisfied for instance when

$$\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha} b^\alpha(x) \cdot \frac{\eta}{|x_H|^2} < \lambda - \Lambda(Q-1)$$

since $c \geq 0$.

Some examples

- (Horizontal Ornstein-Uhlenbeck equation) Consider subsolutions of

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-((D_x^2 u)^*) - \gamma(x)\eta(x) \cdot D_x u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$$

where $\gamma(x) > 0$. Then, we have the Liouville property when

$$\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(x)\rho^4(x) > \Lambda(Q-1) - \lambda$$

Some examples

- **(Horizontal Ornstein-Uhlenbeck equation)** Consider subsolutions of

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-((D_x^2 u)^*) - \gamma(x)\eta(x) \cdot D_x u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$$

where $\gamma(x) > 0$. Then, we have the Liouville property when

$$\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(x)\rho^4(x) > \Lambda(Q-1) - \lambda$$

Therefore, need γ large for large $|x|$, contrary to the results in [Capuzzo-Dolcetta et al]

- **(Pucci-Schrödinger equation)** For nonnegative subsolutions to

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-((D_x^2 u)^*) + c(x)u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$$

the Liouville property holds when

$$\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} c(x) \frac{\rho^4(x)}{|x_H|^2} \log \rho(x) > \Lambda(Q-1) - \lambda$$

True if e.g. $c \sim |D_x \rho|^2 \rho^\gamma$ at infinity

Equations with Heisenberg Hessian and Euclidean gradient

Consider now

$$G(x, u, Du, (D_x^2 u)^*) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$$

Theorem

Under the same assumptions on b^α and c^α , suppose there exist $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2d+1} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\min_{\gamma_i} \gamma_i = \gamma_0 > 0$ and such that

$$\sup_{\alpha} \{b^\alpha(x) \cdot D\rho(x)\} \leq - \sum_{i=1}^{2d+1} \gamma_i x_i \partial_i \rho + o\left(\frac{1}{\rho^3}\right) \text{ as } \rho \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$G(x, r, p, 0) \geq H_i(x, r, p) = \inf_{\alpha \in A} \{c^\alpha(x)r - b^\alpha(x) \cdot p\}$$

If either $c^\alpha \equiv 0$ or $u \geq 0$, then (LP) holds.

Generalizations

- Quasi-linear subelliptic equations on Carnot groups and Grushin geometries.
- Fully nonlinear equations on H-type groups and Grushin plane.
- Case of fully nonlinear equations on the Heisenberg group via (SMP) and degenerate Hadamard three sphere theorems, following [Cutrì-Leoni,Cutrì-Tchou,Capuzzo Dolcetta-Cutrì], see [G.].
- (SMP) and (SCP) on Riemannian manifolds, see [G.-Pediconi]

Thanks for the attention!