## PROBLEM OF THE WEEK

Solution of Problem No. 10 (Spring 2011 Series)

Problem: Show that the boundary value problem $y^{\prime \prime}+p(x) y^{\prime}-\lambda^{2} y=0$, $y(a)=y(b)=0, a \neq b, p(x)$ an arbitrary continuous function on $[a, b]$, does not have a nontrivial solution for any real $\lambda$.

Solution: (by Thierry Zell, Faculty at Lenoir-Rhyne University)

Suppose that the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+p(x) y^{\prime}-\lambda^{2} y=0, \quad y(a)=y(b)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

does have a non-trivial solution $y \not \equiv 0$. Since $-y$ is also a non-trivial solution of (1), we can assume without loss of generality that our solution $y$ has a positive global maximum $M=y(c)$ on $[a, b]$.
We must have $y^{\prime}(c)=0$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(c)=\lambda^{2} M \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case where $\lambda \neq 0$, we already have a contradiction since $y^{\prime \prime}(c)>0$ would violate the second derivative test.
But if $\lambda=0$, our original problem (1) becomes a first-order linear differential equation for $y^{\prime}$.
We must have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(x)=y^{\prime}(0) \exp \left(\int_{0}^{x}(-p(t)) d t\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression implies that $y^{\prime}$ is either identically zero, or never vanishes; in either ease, this gives a contradiction.
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