

DIFFERENTIAL COHOMOLOGY

ARUN DEBRAY

ABSTRACT. We give an overview of differential cohomology from the point of view of algebraic topology. This includes a survey of several different definitions of differential cohomology groups, a discussion of differential characteristic classes, an introduction to differential generalized cohomology theory, and some applications in physics.

CONTENTS

0. Introduction	1
1. Definitions	2
2. Differential characteristic classes	6
3. Differential generalized cohomology	9
4. Applications in physics	13
5. Further reading	15
References	15

0. INTRODUCTION

It is a truth universally acknowledged that a closed differential form, in possession of an interpretation as a gauge field in a quantum field theory, must be in want of an integral refinement. This refinement manifests the quantum nature of the physical theory: that quantities in the theory are “quantized,” meaning that in some system of units they are integers, not arbitrary real numbers. The mathematical incarnation of this theory of closed forms with integrality data is called *differential cohomology*; the objective of this article is to survey this theory, including several different approaches to the basic definitions, some useful constructions in the theory, and some applications.

The basic data is as follows. For M a smooth manifold, there are differential cohomology groups $\check{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ equipped with a *characteristic class map* $cc: \check{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and a *curvature map* $\text{curv}: \check{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Omega^k(M)_{cl}$; there is a sense in which $\check{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is the universal object classifying data of an integral cohomology class (its characteristic class), a closed differential form (its curvature), and an identification of the two induced de Rham cohomology classes. The first construction of $\check{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ was given by Cheeger-Simons [CS85], and since then many constructions, concrete and abstract, have appeared; we will survey several in §1.

It is a general rule of thumb that ordinary cohomology is to topological objects as differential cohomology is to geometric ones. For example, $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ classifies complex line bundles $L \rightarrow M$,

Date: December 21, 2023.

Key words and phrases. Differential cohomology, Chern-Weil theory, Cheeger-Simons differential characters, Deligne cohomology.

and $\check{H}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ classifies complex line bundles with connection. The characteristic class and curvature maps capture the first Chern class of the line bundle, resp. the curvature of the connection.

As differential cohomology feels like ordinary cohomology, but upgraded, one can ask which facts about ordinary cohomology upgrade to differential cohomology. The answer is that quite a lot of them do, including integration along the fiber of a relatively oriented bundle of smooth manifolds. In addition, large parts of the theory of characteristic classes lift to differential cohomology, and even enhance: the differential cohomology refinement of the Chern-Weil map contains the information of Chern-Simons invariants, for example. In §2 we discuss this and other aspects of characteristic classes in differential cohomology. Another avenue for analogy with ordinary cohomology is the prospect of differential refinements of generalized cohomology theories. These too exist, and we will discuss theory and examples in §3.

In §4, we discuss applications of differential cohomology in theoretical physics: quantization of abelian gauge fields.

Finally, in §5, we give some suggestions for further reading.

1. DEFINITIONS

Before the main content of this section, where we survey several different models for differential cohomology, let us begin with some basic key facts about these groups.

Differential cohomology is a theory assigning to each smooth manifold M a series of abelian Fréchet Lie groups $\check{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ which are *not* homotopy invariants of M .

- $\check{H}^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is naturally isomorphic to the set of functions $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.
- $\check{H}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is naturally isomorphic to the isomorphism classes of complex line bundles on M with connection.
- $\check{H}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ comes with a cup product making it into a ring.
- There is a map $\text{cc}: \check{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$, called the *characteristic class map*. On \check{H}^2 , this sends a line bundle with connection to its first Chern class.
- There is a map $\text{curv}: \check{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Omega^k(M)_{\text{cl}}$ (i.e. to closed k -forms), called the *curvature map*. On \check{H}^2 , this sends a line bundle with connection to its curvature.

1.1. Cheeger-Simons' differential characters. Differential characters are for the reader who sees de Rham cohomology's philosophy of form over function and thinks, "why can't I have both?" They were the first definition of differential cohomology to appear, and have the feel of singular cohomology.

Definition 1.1 (Cheeger-Simons [CS85, §1]). Let M be a smooth manifold and write $C_k^{\text{sm}}(M)$, resp. $Z_k^{\text{sm}}(M)$, for the abelian groups of smooth k -chains, resp. k -cycles on M . A *differential character* of degree n on M is a homomorphism $\chi: Z_{n-1}^{\text{sm}}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that there exists $\omega \in \Omega^n(M)$ such that for all $C \in C_{n-1}^{\text{sm}}(M)$,

$$(1.2) \quad \chi(\partial C) = \int_C \omega(\chi) \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z}.$$

The degree- n *differential cohomology* of M , denoted $\check{H}^n(M; \mathbb{Z})$, is the group of degree- n differential characters.

There is a unique ω satisfying this definition for a given χ , and ω is always a closed form. The curvature map sends $\chi \mapsto \omega$.

The characteristic class map has a slightly more elaborate definition. Since $Z_{n-1}^{\text{sm}}(M)$ is a free abelian group and $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is an epimorphism, $\chi: Z_{n-1}^{\text{sm}}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ lifts to a homomorphism $\tilde{\chi}: Z_{n-1}^{\text{sm}}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Now define $I(\tilde{\chi}): C_{n-1}^{\text{sm}}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$(1.3) \quad C \mapsto -\tilde{\chi}(\partial C) + \int_C \text{curv}(\chi).$$

One can show this is indeed \mathbb{Z} -valued, and since $\text{curv}(\chi)$ is a closed form, this is a cocycle. The characteristic class morphism sends $\chi \mapsto [I(\tilde{\chi})]$, which can be shown to not depend on the choice of lift $\tilde{\chi}$.

Remark 1.4. Our indexing convention differs from Cheeger-Simons' original convention; we follow the standard convention in the field of differential cohomology, so that the characteristic class and curvature morphisms preserve the degree.

1.2. Deligne cohomology. Deligne cohomology refers to a sheaf cohomology model for differential cohomology. Deligne first studied this model in an algebro-geometric setting in [Del71]. Brylinski [Bry93] was the first to consider this model on smooth manifolds.

Throughout this article, we make the category $\mathcal{M}an$ of manifolds and smooth functions into a site in which the coverings are surjective submersions, and we define a few sheaves on this site.

- Given a Lie group A , we let \underline{A} denote the sheaf of smooth A -valued functions; A without underline denotes the sheaf of locally constant A -valued functions, i.e. smooth functions for the discrete Lie group structure on A .
- The *sheaf of differential k -forms*, denoted Ω^k , sends a manifold M to the real vector space $\Omega^k(M)$ of k -forms on M . Thus we have an isomorphism $v: \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega^0$.

We will also take chain complexes of sheaves on $\mathcal{M}an$. The categories of chain complexes of sheaves on $\mathcal{M}an$ and sheaves of chain complexes on $\mathcal{M}an$ are isomorphic; given a sheaf of chain complexes \mathcal{F}^\bullet on $\mathcal{M}an$ and a smooth manifold M , $H^*(M; \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ refers to the *hypercohomology* of M valued in C ; that is, form the double complex $C^p(M; \mathcal{F}^q)$ and take the cohomology with respect to the total differential.¹

Definition 1.5 (Deligne [Del71, §2.2]). The *Deligne complex* $\mathbb{Z}(n)$ is the chain complex of sheaves

$$(1.6a) \quad \mathbb{Z}(n) := (0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Omega^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega^{n-1} \rightarrow 0).$$

Here the map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Omega^0$ is the inclusion of \mathbb{Z} -valued functions into \mathbb{R} -valued functions combined with the isomorphism $v: \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega^0$.

Remark 1.6b. Etymologically, the Deligne complex $\mathbb{Z}(n)$ is related to the ‘‘Tate twist’’ that is also often denoted $\mathbb{Z}(n)$, but the two are not equivalent. For this reason, some authors denote the Deligne complex something like $\mathbb{Z}(n)_{\mathcal{D}}$.

One also sees the complexes

$$(1.6c) \quad \mathbb{R}(n) := (0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}} \Omega^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega^{n-1} \rightarrow 0),$$

where \tilde{v} is the inclusion of locally constant functions into all functions followed by v , and

$$(1.6d) \quad \mathbb{T}(n) := (0 \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Omega^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega^n \rightarrow 0),$$

¹For an abelian group A , $H^*(M; A)$ is a priori ambiguous — do we mean singular A -cohomology of M or the sheaf cohomology of M with respect to the sheaf A ? Fortunately, these two cohomology theories are naturally isomorphic.

where $\varphi := (1/2\pi i)d \log$ and $d \log: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow i\Omega^1$ is the morphism sending a \mathbb{T} -valued function f to the form $(1/f)df$.

Proposition 1.7 (Brylinski [Bry93, Proposition 1.5.7]). *For any manifold M , there is a natural isomorphism $H^n(M; \mathbb{Z}(n)) \cong \check{H}^n(M)$.*

Thus the “diagonally graded” Deligne cohomology groups are differential cohomology groups. The “off-diagonal” groups $H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}(n))$ for $k \neq n$ are isomorphic to singular cohomology valued in \mathbb{Z} (if $k > n$) or \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} (if $k < n$) (see [Bry93, Theorem 1.5.3] and [HS05, §3.2]), so appear uninteresting at first glance, but they attain interesting values on certain stacks; see §2.4.

$\mathbb{R}(n)$ and $\mathbb{T}(n)$ are also familiar: $\mathbb{R}(n)$ is isomorphic to the sheaf of closed n -forms considered as a complex concentrated in degree n , and $\mathbb{T}(n) \simeq \mathbb{Z}(n+1)[-1]$ [BM94, Remark 3.6]; the proof of the latter essentially amounts to the weak equivalence of the complexes $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow 0$.

In this model for differential cohomology, $\text{curv}: H^n(M; \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow \Omega_{cl}^n(M)$ is the map $\mathbb{Z}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(n)$ induced by the inclusion $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, together with the identification of $\mathbb{R}(n)$ -cohomology with closed n -forms. The characteristic class map is the effect on cohomology of the *truncation map* $t: \mathbb{Z}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by quotienting $\mathbb{Z}(n)$ by the subcomplex of sheaves in positive homological degrees.

Harvey-Lawson’s model for differential cohomology in terms of “sparks” [HL06] has a similar feel to Deligne cohomology, though with a cocycle model.

1.3. Hopkins-Singer’s homotopy pullback model. Hopkins-Singer’s approach to differential cohomology [HS05, §3] begins with the following observation.

Lemma 1.8 (Hopkins-Singer [HS05, §3.2], Bunke-Nikolaus-Völkl [BNV16, §4.1]). *The truncation maps $t: \mathbb{Z}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{R}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ participate in a homotopy pullback square*

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}(n) & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}(n) & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathbb{R}, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are induced by the usual inclusion $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

This expresses the idea that differential cohomology is a homotopy pullback of closed differential forms and integral cohomology. Hopkins-Singer provide an explicit cocycle model for this homotopy pullback.

Definition 1.10 (Hopkins-Singer [HS05, §3.2]). Let $\check{C}(q)^\bullet(M)$ be the cochain complex given by

$$(1.11) \quad \check{C}(q)^n(M) := \begin{cases} C^n(M; \mathbb{Z}) \times C^{n-1}(M; \mathbb{R}) \times \Omega^n(M), & n \geq q \\ C^n(M; \mathbb{Z}) \times C^{n-1}(M; \mathbb{R}), & n < q, \end{cases}$$

with differential given by, when $n \geq q$,

$$(1.12a) \quad d(c, h, \omega) := (\delta c, \omega - c - \delta h, d\omega)$$

and when $n < q$,

$$(1.12b) \quad d(c, h) := \begin{cases} (\delta c, -c - \delta h, 0), & n = q - 1 \\ (\delta c, -c - \delta h), & n < q - 1. \end{cases}$$

The degree- n differential cohomology of M is $\check{H}^n(M; \mathbb{Z}) := H^n(\check{C}(n)^\bullet(M))$.

If (c, h, ω) is an n -cocycle, then c and ω are both closed; the characteristic class map sends (c, h, ω) to the class of c , and the curvature map sends $(c, h, \omega) \mapsto \omega$.

1.4. Simons-Sullivan’s hexagon. Simons-Sullivan [SS08] produced a property of differential cohomology that uniquely characterizes it, in terms of a hexagon-shaped diagram.

Theorem 1.13 (Simons-Sullivan [SS08, Theorem 1.1]). *Let \widehat{H}^* be a functor from manifolds to graded abelian groups, and suppose \widehat{H} is equipped with natural transformations*

- (1) $i_1: H^{n-1}(-; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^n,$
- (2) $i_2: \Omega^{n-1}(-)/\text{Im}(d) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^n,$
- (3) $\delta_1: \widehat{H}^n \rightarrow \Omega_{cl}^n,$ and
- (4) $\delta_2: \widehat{H}^n \rightarrow H^n(-; \mathbb{Z})$

such that the following diagram commutes:

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & H^{n-1}(-; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^n(-; \mathbb{Z}) \\ & \nearrow & \searrow^{i_1} & & \nearrow^{\delta_2} \\ H^{n-1}(-; \mathbb{R}) & & & \widehat{H}^n & & H^n(-; \mathbb{R}), \\ & \searrow & \nearrow^{i_2} & \searrow_{\delta_1} & & \nearrow \\ & & \Omega^{n-1}(-)/\text{Im}(d) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{cl}^n & \end{array}$$

where the topmost arrows are the Bockstein long exact sequence associated to $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$, and the bottommost arrows come from the de Rham theorem. Then $\widehat{H}^* \cong \check{H}^*$, δ_1 and δ_2 are respectively the curvature and characteristic class maps, and i_1 and i_2 are their kernels.

So this diagram, the *differential cohomology hexagon*, contains quite a bit of information: the topmost arrows are a long exact sequence, the bottommost arrows are another long exact sequence, and the diagonals extend to a short exact sequence. Moreover, both squares, when lifted to the level of sheaves of complexes on Man , are homotopy pullback squares.

See Stimpson [Sti11] for another axiomatic characterization of differential cohomology.

1.5. A few basic properties of differential cohomology. We conclude this section with a few elementary properties of differential cohomology.

1.5.1. Higher gerbes with connection. Recall that $\check{H}^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is the group of functions $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ – or equivalently, $M \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, and that $\check{H}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is the isomorphism classes of complex line bundles with connection. These can be thought of as categorifications of \mathbb{T} -valued functions, suggesting that higher differential cohomology groups ought to represent categorifications of the notion of line bundle with connection. This is correct: these higher-categorical objects are called *gerbes with connection*. See Brylinski [Bry93] and the references therein for more information.

1.5.2. Cup product. The differential cohomology groups $\check{H}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ jointly carry a ring structure, which the characteristic class map sends to the ordinary cup product and the curvature map sends to wedge product. There are various different ways to construct this cup product dating back to Cheeger-Simons’ original construction [CS85] of differential cohomology.

1.5.3. *Integration along the fiber.* Suppose $E \rightarrow B$ is a submersion of smooth manifolds with fiber F and an oriented vertical tangent bundle — i.e. exactly the conditions needed to have integration along the fiber in ordinary cohomology. Then, there is also a differential-cohomology integration along the fiber:

$$(1.15) \quad \int_F : H^k(E; \mathbb{Z}(n)) \longrightarrow H^{k-\dim(F)}(B; \mathbb{Z}(n - \dim(F))).$$

See Hopkins-Singer [HS05, §2.4] for a construction of this map.

1.5.4. *Cohomology operations.* Grady-Sati [GS18a, GS18b] have lifted primary and secondary cohomology operations to differential cohomology.

2. DIFFERENTIAL CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES

Characteristic classes are a place where differential cohomology shines: the analogy with ordinary cohomology is close enough to help both intuition and proofs, yet differential characteristic classes engender new phenomena, including geometric invariants such as Chern-Simons invariants. Chern-Weil theory is the key to the story, so we begin with that, and then explain how it manifests in differential cohomology.

2.1. **Chern-Weil theory.** In this subsection only, we will let $\Omega_M^*(V)$ denote differential forms on the manifold M valued in the vector space V , i.e. sections of the bundle $\Lambda^*(T^*M \otimes V)$. For example, a connection Θ on a principal G -bundle $P \rightarrow M$ is a form in $\Omega_P^1(\mathfrak{g})$, where \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G , and the curvature of Θ is an element of $\Omega_P^2(\mathfrak{g})$.

Consider the algebra $\text{Sym}^*(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)^G$, i.e. the G -invariants of the algebra of polynomial functions $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Here the G -action is induced from the adjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g} . Given $f \in \text{Sym}^k(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)^G$, so that f is a degree- k polynomial, together with a principal G -bundle with connection, we will build a closed $2k$ -form whose de Rham class is a characteristic class for G -bundles.

Let $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ be a principal G -bundle with connection Θ and curvature $\Omega \in \Omega_P^2(\mathfrak{g})$. Wedge together k copies of Ω to produce $\Omega^{\wedge k} \in \Omega_P^{2k}(\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k})$, then apply the polynomial f to obtain $f(\Omega^{\wedge k}) \in \Omega_P^{2k}(\mathbb{R})$. Because f is Ad-invariant, this form descends to a form $CW(P, \Theta, f) \in \Omega_M^{2k}(\mathbb{R})$, called the *Chern-Weil form* of P , Θ , and f . The key properties of Chern-Weil forms are:

- (1) $CW(P, \Theta, f)$ is a closed form,
- (2) the de Rham class of $CW(P, \Theta, f)$ depends on P but not on the choice of Θ , and
- (3) holding f fixed, $CW(P, \Theta, f)$ is natural in (P, Θ) .

In fact, the Chern-Weil construction defines an isomorphism $\text{Sym}^*(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)^G \rightarrow H^*(BG; \mathbb{R})$ for any compact Lie group G .

2.2. **Differential cohomology lifts of Chern-Weil forms.** Suppose that the de Rham class of a Chern-Weil form $CW(P, \Theta, f)$ is in the image of the map $H^*(-; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(-; \mathbb{R})$. Then we have, at least at a heuristic level, the data of a differential cohomology class: a closed form and an integral cohomology class with identified values in de Rham cohomology. Is there a lift to differential cohomology? Cheeger-Simons [CS85] showed the answer is yes, and Bunke-Nikolaus-Völkl [BNV16] showed the naturality properties of these classes allow one to work universally with the classifying stack $B_{\nabla}G$ of principal G -bundles with connection.²

²By a *stack* we mean a simplicial sheaf on *Man*. See Freed-Hopkins [FH13] for more information.

Theorem 2.1 (Cheeger-Simons [CS85, Theorem 2.2], Bunke-Nikolaus-Völkl [BNV16, §5.2]). *Let G be a compact Lie group and $c \in H^{2k}(BG; \mathbb{Z})$. Let $f \in \text{Sym}^k(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)^G$ be the polynomial uniquely characterized by asking for the de Rham class of $CW(P, \Theta, f)$ to equal $c(P)$ in $H^{2k}(BG; \mathbb{R})$. Then, there is a unique class $\check{c} \in \check{H}^{2k}(B_\nabla G; \mathbb{Z})$ whose characteristic class is c and whose curvature form is the Chern-Weil form.*

That is, Chern-Weil theory produces characteristic classes in differential cohomology depending on a principal bundle and a connection.

Example 2.2. For $G = O_n, SO_n$, or U_n , we obtain characteristic classes of vector bundles with certain classes of connections.

- (1) The Pontrjagin classes $p_i \in H^{4i}(BO_n; \mathbb{Z})$ of a real vector bundle lift to *differential Pontrjagin classes* $\check{p}_i \in \check{H}^{4i}(B_\nabla O_n; \mathbb{Z})$ of vector bundles equipped with a metric and a compatible connection. See Brylinski-McLaughlin [BM96] and Grady-Sati [GS21b, Proposition 3.6] for additional constructions of these classes.
- (2) The Chern classes $c_i \in H^{2i}(BU_n; \mathbb{Z})$ of a complex vector bundle lift to *differential Chern classes* $\check{c}_i \in \check{H}^{2i}(B_\nabla U_n; \mathbb{Z})$ of complex vector bundles equipped with a Hermitian metric and a compatible connection. See Several authors construct differential Chern classes by other methods, including Brylinski-McLaughlin [BM96], Berthomieu [Ber10], Bunke [Bun10, Bun13], and Ho [Ho15] for additional constructions of these classes.
- (3) The Euler class $e \in H^{2k}(BSO_{2k}; \mathbb{Z})$ of an oriented real rank- $2k$ vector bundle lifts to a *differential Euler class* $\check{e} \in \check{H}^{2k}(B_\nabla SO_{2k}; \mathbb{Z})$ of such vector bundles equipped with a metric and a compatible connection. See Brylinski-McLaughlin [BM96] and Bunke [Bun13, Example 3.85] for additional constructions of \check{e} .

For differential Pontrjagin and Chern classes but *not* the differential Euler class, one can relax the condition of compatibility with the metric. See [ADH21, Remark 14.1.13]. These classes also satisfy a Whitney sum formula, as described in [ADH21, §14.2].

Fiorenza-Schreiber-Stasheff [FSS12] generalize this story to higher groups.

2.3. Chern-Simons invariants. Choose a class $c \in H^{2k}(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ and let $\check{c} \in \check{H}^{2k}(B_\nabla G; \mathbb{Z})$ be its differential refinement as guaranteed by Theorem 2.1. If M is a closed, oriented $2k$ -manifold together with a principal G -bundle $P \rightarrow M$ with connection Θ , the data (P, Θ) pull \check{c} back to a class $\check{c}(P, \Theta) \in \check{H}^{2k}(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Since M is oriented, we can integrate:

$$(2.3) \quad \int_M \check{c}(P, \Theta) \in \check{H}^0(\text{pt}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

This integral is not so interesting: we just recover $\int_M c(P)$, as if we had never entered the world of differential cohomology. But there is something better we can do: since $\check{H}^1(\text{pt}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, we can integrate on a $(2k - 1)$ -manifold N with principal G -bundle P and connection Θ :

$$(2.4) \quad \int_N \check{c}(P, \Theta) \in \check{H}^1(\text{pt}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

This number turns out to be more interesting — it recovers the Chern-Simons invariant.

Definition 2.5 (Chern-Simons [CS74]). Choose $f \in \text{Sym}^k(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)^G$ and let $P \rightarrow M$ be a principal G -bundle with two connections Θ_0 and Θ_1 on it. Since the space of connections is convex, we can let $\Theta_t := (1 - t)\Theta_0 + t\Theta_1$ for $t \in [0, 1]$; these connections stitch together to a connection $\bar{\Theta}$ on $[0, 1] \times M$, with curvature $\bar{\Omega}$.

The Chern-Simons form of P , Θ_1 , and Θ_2 is

$$(2.6) \quad \text{CS}_f(\Theta_1, \Theta_2) = \int_0^1 f(\bar{\Omega}) \in \Omega^{2k-1}(M).$$

Given a bundle $p: P \rightarrow M$ with one connection Θ , the Chern-Simons form $\text{CS}_f(\Theta)$ is defined by pulling (P, Θ) back along $P \rightarrow M$, then computing $\text{CS}_f(\Theta^{\text{triv}}, p^*\Theta)$, where Θ^{triv} is the connection coming from the tautological trivialization of $p^*P \rightarrow P$.

Proposition 2.7. *With c and \check{c} as above, let f be the invariant polynomial whose Chern-Weil form is $\text{curv}(\check{c})$, and let $i_2: \Omega^{2k-1}(M)/\text{Im}(d) \rightarrow \check{H}^{2k}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ be as in (1.14) (i.e. the kernel of the characteristic class map). Then, for any principal G -bundle $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ with connection Θ ,*

$$(2.8) \quad i_2(\text{CS}_f(\Theta)) = \pi^*\check{c}(P, \Theta) \in \check{H}^{2k}(P; \mathbb{Z}).$$

This was known to Chern-Simons [CS74] albeit not stated explicitly there; see [ADH21, Proposition 19.1.9] for a proof.

To more explicitly relate Proposition 2.7 to the integration story we began with, fix $2k = 4$ and G to be a simple, simply connected Lie group, so that BG is 3-connected and any principal G -bundle $P \rightarrow N$ over a 3-manifold N admits a section. Choose a section, and call it $s: N \rightarrow P$. Then

$$(2.9) \quad \int_N s^*\text{CS}_f(\Theta) = \int_N \check{c}(P, \Theta) \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

The left-hand side is a priori \mathbb{R} -valued, but depends on the section; the value in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} is independent of the choice of s .

Remark 2.10. For \check{c}_1 , $\exp(2\pi i \int_{S^1} \check{c}_1(P, \Theta))$ computes the holonomy of the connection Θ around S^1 .

2.4. Off-diagonal characteristic classes. Let G be a Lie group and $B_\bullet G$ be the classifying stack of principal G -bundles. Paralleling work of Beilinson [Bei84, §1.7], Bloch [Blo78], Soulé [Sou89], Brylinski [Bry99a, Bry99b], and Dupont-Hain-Zucker [DHZ00] in algebraic geometry, people have lifted characteristic classes of principal G -bundles to the “off-diagonal” Deligne cohomology groups $H^{2q}(B_\bullet G; \mathbb{Z}(q))$, beginning with work of Bott [Bot73] calculating $H^*(B_\bullet G; \Omega^q)$ and of Shulman [Shu72] and Bott-Shulman-Stasheff [BSS76] on $H^*(B_\bullet G; \Omega^{\geq q})$; these calculations were interpreted in differential cohomology by Waldorf [Wal10] and in [ADH21, Chapters 15–17]. One key result is a lift of the Chern-Weil map.

Theorem 2.11 (Bott [Bot73], Hopkins). *Let G be a Lie group with $\pi_0(G)$ finite, let $i: K \hookrightarrow G$ be the inclusion of the maximal compact subgroup of G , and let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{k} be the Lie algebras of G and K , respectively. Then there is a commutative diagram*

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H^{2n}(B_\bullet G; \mathbb{Z}(n)) & \xrightarrow{t} & H^{2n}(BG; \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^{2n}(BK; \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Sym}^n(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)^G & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \text{Sym}^n(\mathfrak{k}^\vee)^K & \xrightarrow{CW} & H^{2n}(BK; \mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$

where the left-hand square is a pullback square and CW is the usual Chern-Weil isomorphism.

Bott [Bot73] proved a related result; this reinterpretation is due to Hopkins, and a proof can be found in [ADH21, Corollaries 16.2.4, 16.2.5].

Hence if G is compact, the truncation map $t: H^{2n}(B_{\bullet}G; \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow H^{2n}(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism. For noncompact G , Theorem 2.11 allows one to use information on $\mathrm{Sym}^*(\mathfrak{g}^{\vee})^G$ to gain leverage on characteristic classes in $H^{2n}(B_{\bullet}G; \mathbb{Z}(n))$; see [ADH21, Chapter 17] and [DLW23, §3] for examples of this technique.

If H is a Fréchet Lie group, there is a natural isomorphism from $H^3(B_{\bullet}H; \mathbb{Z}(1))$ to the abelian group of Fréchet Lie group central extensions of H by \mathbb{T} [ADH21, Corollary 18.3.2]. Thus one can construct such extensions by using Theorem 2.11 to construct an off-diagonal characteristic class, then move it into $H^3(-; \mathbb{Z}(1))$ using some sort of transgression map. Work of Brylinski-McLaughlin [BM94, §5] shows how to use this to construct the Kac-Moody central extensions of loop groups of compact simple Lie groups,³ and [DLW23] uses this approach to construct the Virasoro central extensions of $\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^1)$.

3. DIFFERENTIAL GENERALIZED COHOMOLOGY

Generalized cohomology theories such as K -theory and cobordism have long been an important ingredient in the algebraic topologist’s toolbox. In differential cohomology, analogous theories were motivated by ideas in string theory, before more recent work studying all such “differential generalized cohomology theories”⁴ from a homotopical point of view. In this section, we will begin with the general theory in §3.1, then turn to examples in §3.2.

Differential generalized cohomology theories were first proposed by Freed [Fre00, §1], who sketched a definition. Hopkins-Singer [HS05, §4] provided the first comprehensive treatment of differential generalized cohomology. Bunke-Nikolaus-Völkl [BNV16] and Schreiber [Sch13b] provide additional, more homotopical treatments; in this section, we will follow Bunke-Nikolaus-Völkl’s account.

3.1. Differential generalized cohomology theories and sheaves on manifolds. Let $\mathcal{S}p$ denote the ∞ -category of spectra, and for any presentable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , such as $\mathcal{S}p$, let $\mathcal{S}h(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{C})$ denote the ∞ -category of \mathcal{C} -valued sheaves on $\mathcal{M}an$. These are the functors $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{M}an^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ whose restriction to each manifold is a sheaf in the usual sense.

Definition 3.1 (Bunke-Nikolaus-Völkl [BNV16]). *A differential generalized cohomology theory is a cohomology theory on $\mathcal{M}an$ given by the sheaf cohomology of some sheaf in $\mathcal{S}h(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p)$.*

That is, generalized cohomology theories are to $\mathcal{S}p$ as differential generalized cohomology theories are to $\mathcal{S}h(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p)$. Much of the theory in this section works with target an arbitrary presentable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} in place of $\mathcal{S}p$; see [Sch13b, ADH21] for more information.

Generalized differential cohomology theories are in general not homotopy-invariant. One easy example is $H\Omega^k$, given by composing the sheaf of differential k -forms with the Eilenberg-Mac Lane functor. $H\Omega^k$ -cohomology is nontrivial on \mathbb{R}^k .

³Brylinski-McLaughlin did not have Theorem 2.11 available, so constructed their off-diagonal differential characteristic classes a different way, using objects called *multiplicative bundle gerbes*. Theorem 2.11 gives an alternative to that part of their proof.

⁴One hears both “differential generalized cohomology theory” and “generalized differential cohomology theory.” In this article, we favor the former: the way these theories have been studied in the literature generally treats them as differential analogues of generalized cohomology theories, rather than generalizations of ordinary differential cohomology. For example, one does not often see Eilenberg-Steenrod-type axioms for differential generalized cohomology theories.

Definition 3.2. A sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}h(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p)$ is *homotopy invariant*, or *concordance-invariant*, or \mathbb{R} -*invariant*, if for every map of manifolds $f: M \rightarrow N$ that is a homotopy equivalence, $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is an isomorphism. The full subcategory of homotopy invariant sheaves of spectra is denoted $\mathcal{S}h_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p)$.

Constant sheaves provide good examples of homotopy invariant sheaves.

The following lemma is essentially due to Dugger [Dug01] and Morel-Voevodsky [MV99], though they considered space-valued sheaves. See Bunk [Bun22] for a general, model-categorical version.

Lemma 3.3. *The assignment $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\text{pt})$ defines an equivalence $\mathcal{S}h_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}p$.*

The inclusion $\iota_{\mathbb{R}}: \mathcal{S}h_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}h(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p)$ admits both a left adjoint L_{hi} and a right adjoint R_{hi} . R_{hi} is the composition of the global sections functor $\Gamma_*: \mathcal{S}h(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}p$ followed by the constant sheaf functor $\Gamma^*: \mathcal{S}p \rightarrow \mathcal{S}h(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p)$; for a formula for L_{hi} , see [ADH21, Chapter 5].

Definition 3.4. A sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}h(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p)$ is *pure* if $\Gamma_*(\mathcal{F}) = 0$. The full subcategory of pure sheaves of spectra is denoted $\mathcal{S}h_{\text{pu}}(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p)$.

For example, $H\Omega^k$ is a pure sheaf. Pure sheaves tend to look like sheaves of differential forms, and contain the “infinitesimal” information in a differential generalized cohomology theory.

Definition 3.5. Let $\varepsilon: R_{\text{hi}} \Rightarrow \text{id}$ be the counit of the adjunction $\iota_{\mathbb{R}} \dashv R_{\text{hi}}$. Define a functor $\text{Cyc}: \mathcal{S}h(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}h(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p)$ and a natural transformation $\text{curv}: \text{id} \Rightarrow \text{Cyc}$ by asking that $\text{curv}: \text{id} \Rightarrow \text{Cyc}$ is the cofiber of ε . We call curv the *curvature map* and $\text{Cyc}(\mathcal{F})$ for a sheaf \mathcal{F} the *sheaf of differential cycles* of \mathcal{F} .

Cyc factors through $\mathcal{S}h_{\text{pu}}(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p)$, and is in fact left adjoint to the inclusion $\iota_{\text{pu}}: \mathcal{S}h_{\text{pu}}(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}h(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p)$.

Definition 3.6. In a similar way, let $\eta: \text{id} \Rightarrow L_{\text{hi}}$ be the unit of the adjunction $L_{\text{hi}} \dashv \iota_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $\psi: \text{Def} \Rightarrow \text{id}$ be the fiber of η . Given a sheaf \mathcal{F} , $\text{Def}(\mathcal{F})$ is called the *sheaf of differential deformations* of \mathcal{F} .

Def is left adjoint to Cyc .

The data of Def , Cyc , L_{hi} , and R_{hi} assemble to generalizations of (1.9) and (1.14).

Theorem 3.7 (Bunke-Nikolaus-Völkl [BNV16, §3]). *$\mathcal{S}h(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p)$ is a recollement of its subcategories $\mathcal{S}h_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p)$ and $\mathcal{S}h_{\text{pu}}(\mathcal{M}an, \mathcal{S}p)$. That is,*

- (1) both ι_{pu} and $\iota_{\mathbb{R}}$ admit left adjoints, namely Cyc and L_{hi} ;
- (2) $\text{Cyc} \circ \iota_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq 0$; and
- (3) a morphism of sheaves is an equivalence if and only if both Cyc and L_{hi} map it to an equivalence.

Corollary 3.8 (Fracture square, Bunke-Nikolaus-Völkl [BNV16, Proposition 3.3]). *There is a pullback square of natural transformations*

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{id} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & L_{\text{hi}} \\ \text{curv} \downarrow & \lrcorner & \text{curv} \downarrow \\ \text{Cyc} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & L_{\text{hi}}\text{Cyc}. \end{array}$$

This is the analogue of Lemma 1.8: it factors an arbitrary differential generalized cohomology theory as a pullback of something like closed forms (the pure part, in the lower left corner) and a non-differential generalized cohomology theory (something homotopy-invariant, in the upper right corner).

Corollary 3.10 (Differential cohomology hexagon, Bunke-Nikolaus-Völkl [BNV16, (9)]). *There is a commutative diagram of natural transformations*

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & R_{\text{hi}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & L_{\text{hi}} \\ & \nearrow & \searrow \varepsilon & & \nearrow \eta \\ \Sigma^{-1}L_{\text{hi}}\text{Cyc} & \triangleright & & \text{id} & \triangleright & L_{\text{hi}}\text{Cyc} \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \psi & & \searrow \text{curv} \\ & & \text{Def} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Cyc} \end{array}$$

with the following properties.

- (1) The diagonals $(\varepsilon, \text{curv})$ and (ψ, η) are cofiber sequences.
- (2) The top and bottom rows are once-extended cofiber sequences.
- (3) Both squares are pullback squares.

Plug in a sheaf \mathcal{F} to obtain the differential cohomology hexagon for the differential generalized cohomology theory associated to \mathcal{F} .

Remark 3.12. This flurry of adjoints suggests that it is the presence of so many adjoints that makes the whole theory of the differential cohomology hexagon possible. Schreiber [Sch13b] takes this attitude, which he names *cohesion*, and uses it to study differential cohomology in a very general setting.

3.2. Examples of differential generalized cohomology theories.

Example 3.13 (Ordinary differential cohomology). For ordinary differential cohomology, apply the Eilenberg-Mac Lane functor H to the Deligne complexes $\mathbb{Z}(n)$. The resulting hexagon coincides with the differential cohomology hexagon from Theorem 1.13; for example, $\text{Cyc}(H\mathbb{Z}(n)) \simeq H\mathbb{R}(n)$, recovering the sheaf of closed forms.

Example 3.14 (Differential K -theory). Differential K -theory was first studied by Freed [Fre00] and Freed-Hopkins [FH00] for applications in string theory, with related objects considered earlier by Gillet-Soulé [GS90] and Lott [Lot00]. Hopkins-Singer [HS05, §4.4] gave the first comprehensive construction of differential K -theory, and additional constructions have been given by Klonoff [Klo08], Bunke-Schick [BS09, §2], Simons-Sullivan [SS10], Bunke-Nikolaus-Völkl [BNV16, §6], Schlegel [Sch13a, §4.2], Tradler-Wilson-Zenalian [TWZ13, TWZ16], Hekmati-Murray-Schlegel-Vozzo [HMSV15], Park [Par17], Gorokhovski-Lott [GL18], Schlarmann [Sch19], Cushman [Cus21], Park-Parzygnat-Redden-Stoffel [PPRS22], Gomi-Yamashita [GY23], and Lee-Park [LP23]. See Bunke-Schick [BS12] for a survey.

The idea of differential K -theory is to use the Chern character as the source of differential form information refining a K -theory class. Let $A := KU^*(\text{pt}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$, with $|t| = 2$. The *Chern character* is the map of spectra sending KU to its tensor product with \mathbb{R} :

$$(3.15) \quad \text{ch}: KU \longrightarrow KU \wedge H\mathbb{R} \simeq H(\mathbb{R} \otimes A).$$

Fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, though only the value of $n \bmod 2$ will matter in the end, due to Bott periodicity. Then define a K -theoretic analogue of the Deligne complex $KU(n)$ as the homotopy pullback

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} KU(n) & \longrightarrow & KU \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{ch} \\ H\tau_{\geq 0}(\mathbb{R}(n) \otimes A) & \xrightarrow{t} & H(\mathbb{R} \otimes A). \end{array}$$

In the lower left corner, $\tau_{\geq 0}$ means taking the nonnegatively graded parts only (since A can contribute negative grading). This sheaf consists of closed $\mathbb{R} \otimes A$ -valued forms whose degrees, possibly shifted by multiplication by a power of t , are nonnegative and of the same parity as n . The reason for this complicated object is that the Chern character associated to a connection on a vector bundle is a form of this type.

The *differential K -theory* groups $\check{K}^n(M)$ are the hypercohomology groups $H^n(M; KU(n))$. They are 2-periodic, like for ordinary K -theory. $\check{K}^0(M)$ is naturally isomorphic to the group completion of the commutative monoid of vector bundles with connection on M .

We can then fill in the rest of the hexagon for differential K -theory. This diagram was first constructed by Simons-Sullivan [SS10]:

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & K^n(M; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & K^n(M) \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \nearrow \text{cc} \\ H^{n-1}(M; \mathbb{R} \otimes A) & & & & \check{K}^n(M) & \longrightarrow & H^n(M; \mathbb{R} \otimes A) \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \searrow \text{ch}^\nabla & & \nearrow \text{ch} \\ & & \Omega^{n-1}(M; \mathbb{R} \otimes A)/\text{Im}(d) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^n(M; \mathbb{R} \otimes A)_{cl} & & \end{array}$$

The story is still roughly similar to the hexagon for ordinary differential cohomology, but there is some new notation.

- $K^*(-; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ is *K -theory with \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} coefficients*, the generalized cohomology theory represented by the spectrum which is the cofiber of $\text{ch}: KU \rightarrow KU \wedge H\mathbb{R} \simeq H(\mathbb{R} \otimes A)$. This theory first appears in Atiyah-Patodi-Singer [APS76, §5], who attribute it to Segal. The long exact sequence in cohomology induced by the cofiber sequence $KU \rightarrow KU \wedge H\mathbb{R} \rightarrow KU(-; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$, which is a K -theoretic analogue of the $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ Bockstein long exact sequence, is the upper long exact sequence in (3.17).
- cc is the characteristic class map, which is the topmost map in (3.16).
- ch^∇ is the version of the Chern character which takes in a vector bundle with connection and produces a closed form. This is the curvature map for differential K -theory.

Example 3.18 (Differential KO -theory). Like differential K -theory, differential KO -theory was first studied by Freed [Fre00] and Freed-Hopkins [FH00]; Grady-Sati [GS19, GS21b] were the first to comprehensively study differential KO -theory, and Cushman [Cus21] and Gomi-Yamashita [GY23] provide additional constructions.

The real version of Example 3.14 is completely analogous. Instead of using the Chern character, one uses its real analogue (sometimes called the *Pontrjagin character*)

$$(3.19) \quad \text{ph}: KO \longrightarrow KO \wedge H\mathbb{R} \simeq H(\mathbb{R} \otimes B),$$

where $B \cong \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ with $|t| = 4$.⁵ One succinct way to define both ph and its form-level version ph^∇ for a real vector bundle with connection is: first complexify, then take the Chern character. The result a priori lands in $\mathbb{R} \otimes A$ -valued forms (resp. cohomology), but in fact factors through $\mathbb{R} \otimes B$ -valued forms (resp. cohomology).

Thus we have Deligne-type complexes, now depending on $n \bmod 8$:

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} KO(n) & \longrightarrow & KO \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{ph} \\ H\tau_{\geq 0}(\mathbb{R}(n) \otimes B) & \xrightarrow{t} & H(\mathbb{R} \otimes B), \end{array}$$

and the differential KO -cohomology hexagon:

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & KO^n(M; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & KO^n(M) \\ & \nearrow & \searrow & & \searrow \text{ph} \\ H^{n-1}(M; \mathbb{R} \otimes B) & & & \xrightarrow{\text{cc}} & H^n(M; \mathbb{R} \otimes B), \\ & \searrow & \nearrow & & \nearrow \\ & & \check{K}O^n(M) & & \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \text{ph}^\nabla & & \\ & & \Omega^n(M; \mathbb{R} \otimes B)_{cl} & & \end{array}$$

$\Omega^{n-1}(M; \mathbb{R} \otimes B)/\text{Im}(d) \xrightarrow{\quad} \Omega^n(M; \mathbb{R} \otimes B)_{cl}$

where as usual $\check{K}O^n(M)$ is the n^{th} cohomology of M valued in $KO(n)$.

Remark 3.22 (Some more examples). Though differential K - and KO -theory are the most commonly studied differential generalized cohomology theories, several others appear in the literature.

- (1) *Supercohomology* SH , defined by Freed [Fre08, §1] and Gu-Wen [GW14], is the spectrum with $\pi_0(SH) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, $\pi_2(SH) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$, and the unique nontrivial Postnikov invariant connecting them.⁶ Freed-Neitzke [FN22, FN23] introduce a differential refinement of this theory for the purpose of studying classical spin Chern-Simons theory.
- (2) Differential refinements of algebraic K -theory spectra appear in work of Bunke-Tamme [BT15, BT16], Bunke [Bun18b, Bun18a], Schrade [Sch18], Bunke-Gepner [BG21], and Park-Parzygnat-Redden-Stoffel [PPRS22], where among other things they are applied to construct a topological version of Beilinson’s regulator homomorphisms.
- (3) A complex-analytic differential refinement of MU , the spectrum representing complex cobordism, appears in work of Quick and collaborators [HQ15, Qui16, Qui19, HQ23a, HQ23b, KQ23]; another differential cobordism theory appears in work of Bunke-Schick-Schröder-Weithaup [BSSW09]. See also Grady-Sati [GS17] for a closely related construction.

4. APPLICATIONS IN PHYSICS

Closed differential forms are commonplace in the classical theory of electromagnetism, encoding quantities such as the field strength. Passing to the quantum theory amounts to choosing integrality data for the de Rham classes of these forms — in other words, lifting them to differential cocycles. We will discuss this story in this section, where it also leads to the original motivation for differential generalized cohomology theories (§4.2).

⁵ B is not isomorphic to $KO^*(\text{pt})$. When we tensor with \mathbb{R} , this discrepancy goes away.

⁶Sometimes SH is called *restricted supercohomology* to contrast with *extended supercohomology*, a different spectrum studied by Kapustin-Thornrgren [KT17] and Wang-Gu [WG20]. See [GJF19, §5.3, 5.4].

4.1. Dirac quantization in electromagnetism. For the first part of this section, we follow Freed [Fre00]; see also [ADH21, Chapter 21].

Let us go over the basic objects of nonrelativistic classical electromagnetic theory in three-dimensional space, which for us will be an oriented Riemannian 3-manifold Y with empty boundary. Let $X := \mathbb{R} \times Y$, with the Lorentzian metric $dt^2 - g$, where t is the \mathbb{R} -coordinate.

One may be used to thinking of an electric field as a vector field, representing at each point the magnitude and direction of force exerted on a unit test charge. We will use the metric to pass between TY and T^*Y and describe the electric field as a 1-form $E \in \Omega^1(Y)$. For the magnetic field, it is helpful to instead pass through the Hodge star and obtain a 2-form $B \in \Omega^2(Y)$. The *charge density* ρ_c is a compactly supported differential 3-form, and the (*electric*) *current* J_E is a compactly supported 2-form.

The *field strength* is $F := B - dt \wedge E \in \Omega^2(X)$, and let $j_E := \rho_c - dt \wedge J_E \in \Omega_c^3(X)$. Maxwell's equations can be concisely expressed in terms of F and j_E :

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} dF &= 0 \\ d\star F &= j_E. \end{aligned}$$

If there is a *magnetic current* $j_B \in \Omega_c^3(X)$, we modify the first equation to $dF = j_B$.

One can then use these forms to write down a Lagrangian action, compute quantities such as the total charge, and so on. The total charge \bar{Q} is a cohomological object, in fact — it is the de Rham class of j_E in $H_c^3(Y; \mathbb{R})$. There is an analogous total magnetic charge.

Quantization tells us that the total charge ought to be discrete — for example, if $Y = \mathbb{R}^3$, $H_c^3(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, and we assume the total electric charge is some integer multiple of a unit charge q_E . In general, we postulate that the charge must be in the image of the map $H_c^3(Y; q_E \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_c^3(Y; \mathbb{R})$, and likewise for a unit magnetic charge q_B .

So the electric charge is a closed form with what looks like data of a lift of its de Rham class to $q_E \mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology. This suggests:

Ansatz 4.2. Objects represented by closed differential forms in a classical theory of physics should be represented by cocycles for ordinary differential cohomology in the corresponding quantum theory.

We use cocycles, rather than cohomology classes, in order to obtain something which sheafifies, part of the principle of locality of quantum field theory.

In general, differential forms represent plenty of objects in field theories. Notably, they are gauge fields for abelian gauge groups, including for “higher gauge theory” where the gauge group is a categorification of the circle group and one uses (higher) gerbes instead of principal bundles with connection.

4.2. Quantizing in more general cohomology theories. String theory teaches us a striking lesson: that for some differential forms, the natural home for the fields in the quantized theory is a differential generalized cohomology theory. Typically this is differential K - or KO -theory, but choosing the correct theory is more of an art than a science and there are different proposals using different differential generalized cohomology theories.

For example, consider type IIB string theory on a 10-manifold X . There is a 3-form field B , which as above should be upgraded to a cocycle for $\check{B} \in \check{H}^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$. For now, assume this field is zero;⁷ then there are several forms called *Ramond-Ramond field strengths* $G_i \in \Omega^i(X)$, where

⁷If this field is nonzero, one should repeat this discussion with *twisted differential K-theory*.

$i = 1, 3, 5, 7, 9$. These field strengths satisfy related integrality conditions implying that they are the Chern character of a cocycle for $\check{K}^1(X)$, so we postulate that the Ramond-Ramond field *is* a cocycle for differential K -theory. See Freed [Fre02, §3] for further discussion. Other examples include \check{K}^0 appearing in type IIA string theory, $\check{K}\check{O}^*$ in type I string theory, and the type II B -field lifting to a differential refinement of a Postnikov truncation of $\text{Pic}(KU)$ -cohomology, as described by Distler-Freed-Moore [DFM11a, DFM11b].

See also [BM06a, BM06b, DFM07, FMS07a, FMS07b, Fre08, Sat10, SV10, Sat11, SSS12, KM13, KV14, DMDR14, FSS15, FR16, GS19, Sat19, FRRB20, SS23a, SS23b] for more examples of quantization in differential generalized cohomology theories. Of particular note is “hypothesis H” of Fiorenza–Sati–Schreiber [Sat18, FSS21a, FSS20] proposing that the C -field in M-theory is quantized using twisted differential cohomotopy; work of Fiorenza, Sati, Schreiber, and their collaborators [FSS21a, SS22, FSS20, FSS21b, FSS22, GS21a, SS20b, SS20a, SS21, BSS21, SS23c, SS23b], as well as Roberts [Rob20], explores this hypothesis and its consequences.

5. FURTHER READING

The book [ADH21] is an introduction to differential cohomology with much the same attitude as the current article; we also recommend the other book-length introductions [BB14, Bun13, Sch13b]. [HS05], is a research article that we also recommend as a book-length introduction.

One application of differential generalized cohomology in physics that we did not get into is the classification of reflection-positive invertible field theories, conjectured by Freed-Hopkins [FH21] and proven by Grady [Gra23]. See Freed-Hopkins [FH21] and Freed [Fre19] for more on this conjecture, and [ADH21, Chapter 22] for a review, and see Davighi-Gripaios-Randal-Williams [DGRW20], Yamashita-Yonekura [YY23], and Yamashita [Yam23a, Yam23b] for some related work.

REFERENCES

- [ADH21] Araminta Amabel, Arun Debray, and Peter J. Haine (editors). Differential cohomology: Categories, characteristic classes, and connections. 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.12250>. 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15
- [APS76] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, and I. M. Singer. Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. III. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 79(1):71–99, 1976. 12
- [BB14] Christian Bär and Christian Becker. *Differential characters*, volume 2112 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2014. 15
- [Beř84] A. A. Beřilinson. Higher regulators and values of L -functions. In *Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 24*, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, pages 181–238. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1984. 8
- [Ber10] Alain Berthomieu. A version of smooth K-theory adapted to the total Chern class. *J. K-Theory*, 6(2):197–230, 2010. <https://arxiv.org/abs/0806.4728>. 7
- [BG21] Ulrich Bunke and David Gepner. Differential function spectra, the differential Becker-Gottlieb transfer, and applications to differential algebraic K -theory. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 269(1316):v+177, 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.0247>. 13
- [Blo78] Spencer Bloch. Applications of the dilogarithm function in algebraic K -theory and algebraic geometry. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Algebraic Geometry (Kyoto Univ., Kyoto, 1977)*, pages 103–114. Kinokuniya Book Store, Tokyo, 1978. 8
- [BM94] J.-L. Brylinski and D. A. McLaughlin. The geometry of degree-four characteristic classes and of line bundles on loop spaces. I. *Duke Math. J.*, 75(3):603–638, 1994. 4, 9
- [BM96] J.-L. Brylinski and D. A. McLaughlin. Čech cocycles for characteristic classes. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 178(1):225–236, 1996. 7
- [BM06a] Dmitriy Belov and Gregory W. Moore. Holographic action for the self-dual field. 2006. <https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0605038>. 15

- [BM06b] Dmitriy Belov and Gregory W. Moore. Type II actions from 11-dimensional Chern–Simons theories. 2006. <https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0611020>. 15
- [BNV16] Ulrich Bunke, Thomas Nikolaus, and Michael Völk. Differential cohomology theories as sheaves of spectra. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 11(1):1–66, 2016. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1311.3188>. 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11
- [Bot73] R. Bott. On the Chern–Weil homomorphism and the continuous cohomology of Lie-groups. *Advances in Math.*, 11:289–303, 1973. 8
- [Bry93] Jean-Luc Brylinski. *Loop spaces, characteristic classes and geometric quantization*, volume 107 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993. 3, 4, 5
- [Bry99a] Jean-Luc Brylinski. Comparison of the Beilinson–Chern classes with the Chern–Cheeger–Simons classes. In *Advances in geometry*, volume 172 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 95–105. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1999. 8
- [Bry99b] Jean-Luc Brylinski. Geometric construction of Quillen line bundles. In *Advances in geometry*, volume 172 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 107–146. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1999. 8
- [BS09] Ulrich Bunke and Thomas Schick. Smooth K-theory. *Astérisque*, (328):45–135 (2010), 2009. 11
- [BS12] Ulrich Bunke and Thomas Schick. Differential K-theory: a survey. In *Global differential geometry*, volume 17 of *Springer Proc. Math.*, pages 303–357. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1011.6663>. 11
- [BSS76] R. Bott, H. Shulman, and J. Stasheff. On the de Rham theory of certain classifying spaces. *Advances in Math.*, 20(1):43–56, 1976. 8
- [BSS21] Simon Burton, Hisham Sati, and Urs Schreiber. Lift of fractional D-brane charge to equivariant cohomology theory. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 161:104034, 20, 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.09679>. 15
- [BSSW09] Ulrich Bunke, Thomas Schick, Ingo Schröder, and Moritz Wiethaup. Landweber exact formal group laws and smooth cohomology theories. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 9(3):1751–1790, 2009. <https://arxiv.org/abs/0711.1134>. 13
- [BT15] Ulrich Bunke and Georg Tamme. Regulators and cycle maps in higher-dimensional differential algebraic K-theory. *Adv. Math.*, 285:1853–1969, 2015. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1209.6451>. 13
- [BT16] Ulrich Bunke and Georg Tamme. Multiplicative differential algebraic K-theory and applications. *Ann. K-Theory*, 1(3):227–258, 2016. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1311.1421>. 13
- [Bun10] Ulrich Bunke. Chern classes on differential K-theory. *Pacific J. Math.*, 247(2):313–322, 2010. <https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.2504>. 7
- [Bun13] Ulrich Bunke. Differential cohomology. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.3961>, August 2013. 7, 15
- [Bun18a] Ulrich Bunke. Foliated manifolds, algebraic K-theory, and a secondary invariant. *Münster J. Math.*, 11(1):157–209, 2018. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.06404>. 13
- [Bun18b] Ulrich Bunke. A regulator for smooth manifolds and an index theorem. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 12(4):1293–1340, 2018. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.1379>. 13
- [Bun22] Severin Bunk. The \mathbb{R} -local homotopy theory of smooth spaces. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 17(4):593–650, 2022. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.06039>. 10
- [CS74] Shiing Shen Chern and James Simons. Characteristic forms and geometric invariants. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 99:48–69, 1974. 7, 8
- [CS85] Jeff Cheeger and James Simons. Differential characters and geometric invariants. In *Geometry and topology (College Park, Md., 1983/84)*, volume 1167 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 50–80. Springer, Berlin, 1985. 1, 2, 5, 6, 7
- [Cus21] Matthew T. Cushman. *A Geometric Model for Real and Complex Differential K-Theory*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2021. Thesis (Ph.D.)—City University of New York. 11, 12
- [Del71] Pierre Deligne. Théorie de Hodge. II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (40):5–57, 1971. 3
- [DFM07] Emanuel Diaconescu, Daniel S. Freed, and Gregory M. Moore. The M-theory 3-form and E_8 gauge theory. In *Elliptic cohomology*, volume 342 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 44–88. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007. <https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0312069>. 15
- [DFM11a] Jacques Distler, Daniel S. Freed, and Gregory W. Moore. Orientifold précis. In *Mathematical foundations of quantum field theory and perturbative string theory*, volume 83 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 159–172. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011. <https://arxiv.org/abs/0906.0795>. 15

- [DFM11b] Jacques Distler, Daniel S. Freed, and Gregory W. Moore. Spin structures and superstrings. In *Surveys in differential geometry. Volume XV. Perspectives in mathematics and physics*, volume 15 of *Surv. Differ. Geom.*, pages 99–130. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1007.4581>. 15
- [DGRW20] Joe Davighi, Ben Gripaios, and Oscar Randal-Williams. Differential cohomology and topological actions in physics. 2020. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.05768>. 15
- [DHZ00] Johan Dupont, Richard Hain, and Steven Zucker. Regulators and characteristic classes of flat bundles. In *The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998)*, volume 24 of *CRM Proc. Lecture Notes*, pages 47–92. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000. <https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9202023>. 8
- [DLW23] Arun Debray, Yu Leon Liu, and Christoph Weis. Constructing the Virasoro Groups Using Differential Cohomology. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (21):18537–18574, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.10837>. 9
- [DMDR14] Charles Doran, Stefan Méndez-Diez, and Jonathan Rosenberg. T-duality for orientifolds and twisted KR-theory. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 104(11):1333–1364, 2014. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.1779>. 15
- [Dug01] Daniel Dugger. Universal homotopy theories. *Adv. Math.*, 164(1):144–176, 2001. <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0007070>. 10
- [FH00] Daniel S. Freed and Michael Hopkins. On Ramond-Ramond fields and K -theory. *J. High Energy Phys.*, (5):Paper 44, 14, 2000. <https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0002027>. 11, 12
- [FH13] Daniel S. Freed and Michael J. Hopkins. Chern-Weil forms and abstract homotopy theory. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 50(3):431–468, 2013. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.5959>. 6
- [FH21] Daniel S. Freed and Michael J. Hopkins. Reflection positivity and invertible topological phases. *Geom. Topol.*, 25(3):1165–1330, 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.06527>. 15
- [FMS07a] Daniel S. Freed, Gregory W. Moore, and Graeme Segal. Heisenberg groups and noncommutative fluxes. *Ann. Physics*, 322(1):236–285, 2007. <https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0605200>. 15
- [FMS07b] Daniel S. Freed, Gregory W. Moore, and Graeme Segal. The uncertainty of fluxes. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 271(1):247–274, 2007. <https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0605198>. 15
- [FN22] Daniel S. Freed and Andrew Neitzke. 3d spectral networks and classical Chern-Simons theory. 2022. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.07420>. 13
- [FN23] Daniel S. Freed and Andrew Neitzke. The dilogarithm and abelian Chern-Simons. *J. Differential Geom.*, 123(2):241–266, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.12565>. 13
- [FR16] Fabio Ferrari Ruffino. Differential K -characters and D-branes. In *String-Math 2014*, volume 93 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 151–165. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.05323>. 15
- [Fre00] Daniel S. Freed. Dirac charge quantization and generalized differential cohomology. In *Surveys in differential geometry*, volume 7 of *Surv. Differ. Geom.*, pages 129–194. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2000. <https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0011220>. 9, 11, 12, 14
- [Fre02] Daniel S. Freed. K -theory in quantum field theory. In *Current developments in mathematics, 2001*, pages 41–87. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2002. <https://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0206031>. 15
- [Fre08] Daniel S. Freed. Pions and generalized cohomology. *J. Differential Geom.*, 80(1):45–77, 2008. <https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0607134>. 13, 15
- [Fre19] Daniel S. Freed. *Lectures on field theory and topology*, volume 133 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2019. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences. 15
- [FRRB20] Fabio Ferrari Ruffino and Juan Carlos Rocha Barriga. Twisted differential K -characters and D-branes. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 960:115169, 72, 2020. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.04223>. 15
- [FSS12] Domenico Fiorenza, Urs Schreiber, and Jim Stasheff. Čech cocycles for differential characteristic classes: an ∞ -Lie theoretic construction. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 16(1):149–250, 2012. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1011.4735>. 7
- [FSS15] Domenico Fiorenza, Hisham Sati, and Urs Schreiber. The Wess–Zumino–Witten term of the M5-brane and differential cohomotopy. *J. Math. Phys.*, 56(10):102301, 10, 2015. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.07557>. 15
- [FSS20] Domenico Fiorenza, Hisham Sati, and Urs Schreiber. Twisted cohomotopy implies M-theory anomaly cancellation on 8-manifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 377(3):1961–2025, 2020. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.10207>. 15

- [FSS21a] Domenico Fiorenza, Hisham Sati, and Urs Schreiber. Twisted cohomotopy implies level quantization of the full 6d Wess–Zumino term of the M5-brane. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 384(1):403–432, 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.07417>. 15
- [FSS21b] Domenico Fiorenza, Hisham Sati, and Urs Schreiber. Twisted cohomotopy implies twisted string structure on M5-branes. *J. Math. Phys.*, 62(4):Paper No. 042301, 16, 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.11093>. 15
- [FSS22] Domenico Fiorenza, Hisham Sati, and Urs Schreiber. Twistorial cohomotopy implies Green-Schwarz anomaly cancellation. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 34(5):Paper No. 2250013, 42, 2022. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.08544>. 15
- [GJF19] Davide Gaiotto and Theo Johnson-Freyd. Symmetry protected topological phases and generalized cohomology. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2019, 2019. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.07950>. 13
- [GL18] Alexander Gorokhovsky and John Lott. A Hilbert bundle description of differential K-theory. *Adv. Math.*, 328:661–712, 2018. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.07185>. 11
- [Gra23] Daniel Grady. Deformation classes of invertible field theories and the freed–hopkins conjecture. 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.15866>. 15
- [GS90] Henri Gillet and Christophe Soulé. Characteristic classes for algebraic vector bundles with Hermitian metric. II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 131(2):205–238, 1990. 11
- [GS17] Daniel Grady and Hisham Sati. Parametrized geometric cobordism and smooth Thom stacks. 2017. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.00686>. 13
- [GS18a] Daniel Grady and Hisham Sati. Massey products in differential cohomology via stacks. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 13(1):169–223, 2018. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1510.06366>. 6
- [GS18b] Daniel Grady and Hisham Sati. Primary operations in differential cohomology. *Adv. Math.*, 335:519–562, 2018. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.05988>. 6
- [GS19] Daniel Grady and Hisham Sati. Twisted differential KO-theory. 2019. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.09085>. 12, 15
- [GS21a] Daniel Grady and Hisham Sati. Differential cohomotopy versus differential cohomology for M-theory and differential lifts of Postnikov towers. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 165:Paper No. 104203, 24, 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.07640>. 15
- [GS21b] Daniel Grady and Hisham Sati. Differential KO-theory: constructions, computations, and applications. *Adv. Math.*, 384:Paper No. 107671, 117, 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.07059>. 7, 12
- [GW14] Zheng-Cheng Gu and Xiao-Gang Wen. Symmetry-protected topological orders for interacting fermions: Fermionic topological nonlinear σ models and a special group supercohomology theory. *Phys. Rev. B*, 90:115141, Sep 2014. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1201.2648>. 13
- [GY23] Kiyonori Gomi and Mayuko Yamashita. Differential KO-theory via gradations and mass terms. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 27(2):381–481, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.01377>. 11, 12
- [HL06] Reese Harvey and Blaine Lawson. From sparks to grundles—differential characters. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 14(1):25–58, 2006. <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0306193>. 4
- [HMSV15] Pedram Hekmati, Michael K. Murray, Vincent S. Schlegel, and Raymond F. Vozzo. A geometric model for odd differential K-theory. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 40:123–158, 2015. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.2834>. 11
- [Ho15] Man-Ho Ho. On differential characteristic classes. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 99(1):30–47, 2015. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1311.3927>. 7
- [HQ15] Michael J. Hopkins and Gereon Quick. Hodge filtered complex bordism. *J. Topol.*, 8(1):147–183, 2015. 13
- [HQ23a] Knut Bjarte Haus and Gereon Quick. Geometric Hodge filtered complex cobordism. *Adv. Math.*, 431:Paper No. 109244, 58, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.13259>. 13
- [HQ23b] Knut Bjarte Haus and Gereon Quick. Geometric pushforward in Hodge filtered complex cobordism and secondary invariants. 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.15899>. 13
- [HS05] M. J. Hopkins and I. M. Singer. Quadratic functions in geometry, topology, and M-theory. *J. Differential Geom.*, 70(3):329–452, 2005. <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0211216>. 4, 6, 9, 11, 15
- [Klo08] Kevin Robert Klonoff. *An index theorem in differential K-theory*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2008. Thesis (Ph.D.)—The University of Texas at Austin. 11
- [KM13] Alexander Kahle and Ruben Minasian. D-brane couplings and generalised geometry. 2013. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.7238>. 15

- [KQ23] Eiolf Kaspersen and Gereon Quick. On the cokernel of the Thom morphism for compact Lie groups. 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.16874>. 13
- [KT17] Anton Kapustin and Ryan Thorngren. Fermionic SPT phases in higher dimensions and bosonization. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2017(10):80, Oct 2017. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.08264>. 13
- [KV14] Alexander Kahle and Alessandro Valentino. T -duality and differential K-theory. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 16(2):1350014, 27, 2014. <https://arxiv.org/abs/0912.2516>. 15
- [Lot00] John Lott. Secondary analytic indices. In *Regulators in analysis, geometry and number theory*, volume 171 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 231–293. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000. <https://arxiv.org/abs/dg-ga/9503005>. 11
- [LP23] Jae Min Lee and Byungdo Park. A superbundle description of differential K-theory. *Axioms*, 12(1), 2023. 11
- [MV99] Fabien Morel and Vladimir Voevodsky. \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy theory of schemes. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (90):45–143 (2001), 1999. 10
- [Par17] Byungdo Park. A smooth variant of Hopkins-Singer differential K-theory. *New York J. Math.*, 23:655–670, 2017. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.08686>. 11
- [PPRS22] Byungdo Park, Arthur J. Parzygnat, Corbett Redden, and Augusto Stoffel. Noncommutative differential K-theory. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 174:Paper No. 104446, 40, 2022. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.12073>. 11, 13
- [Qui16] Gereon Quick. An Abel-Jacobi invariant for cobordant cycles. *Doc. Math.*, 21:1645–1668, 2016. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.08449>. 13
- [Qui19] Gereon Quick. Unstable splittings in Hodge filtered Brown-Peterson cohomology. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 14(2):349–369, 2019. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.07912>. 13
- [Rob20] David Michael Roberts. Topological sectors for heterotic M5-brane charges under Hypothesis H. *J. High Energy Phys.*, (6):052, 16, 2020. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.09832>. 15
- [Sat10] Hisham Sati. Geometric and topological structures related to M-branes. In *Superstrings, geometry, topology, and C^* -algebras*, volume 81 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 181–236. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1001.5020>. 15
- [Sat11] Hisham Sati. Geometric and topological structures related to M-branes II: Twisted string and string^c structures. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 90(1):93–108, 2011. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1007.5419>. 15
- [Sat18] Hisham Sati. Framed M-branes, corners, and topological invariants. *J. Math. Phys.*, 59(6):062304, 25, 2018. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1310.1060>. 15
- [Sat19] Hisham Sati. Six-dimensional gauge theories and (twisted) generalized cohomology. 2019. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.08517>. 15
- [Sch13a] Vincent S. Schlegel. The caloron correspondence and odd differential K-theory. 2013. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.2601>. 11
- [Sch13b] Urs Schreiber. Differential cohomology in a cohesive infinity-topos. 2013. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1310.7930>. 9, 11, 15
- [Sch18] Christoph Schrade. *Adams Operations in Differential Algebraic K-theory*. PhD thesis, Universität Regensburg, 2018. <https://epub.uni-regensburg.de/37768/1/ThesisFinal2.pdf>. 13
- [Sch19] Eric Schlarman. Smooth classifying spaces for differential K-theory. 2019. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.03059>. 11
- [Shu72] H. Shulman. *H. Characteristic Classes*. PhD thesis, The University of California at Berkeley, 1972. 8
- [Sou89] Christophe Soulé. Connexions et classes caractéristiques de Beilinson. In *Algebraic K-theory and algebraic number theory (Honolulu, HI, 1987)*, volume 83 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 349–376. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989. 8
- [SS08] James Simons and Dennis Sullivan. Axiomatic characterization of ordinary differential cohomology. *J. Topol.*, 1(1):45–56, 2008. <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0701077>. 5
- [SS10] James Simons and Dennis Sullivan. Structured vector bundles define differential K-theory. In *Quanta of maths*, volume 11 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 579–599. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010. <https://arxiv.org/abs/0810.4935>. 11, 12
- [SS20a] Hisham Sati and Urs Schreiber. The character map in equivariant twistorial cohomotopy implies the Green-Schwarz mechanism with heterotic M5-branes. 2020. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.06533>. 15
- [SS20b] Hisham Sati and Urs Schreiber. Equivariant cohomotopy implies orientifold tadpole cancellation. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 156:103775, 40, 2020. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.12277>. 15

- [SS21] Hisham Sati and Urs Schreiber. Twisted cohomotopy implies M5-brane anomaly cancellation. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 111(5):Paper No. 120, 25, 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.07737>. 15
- [SS22] Hisham Sati and Urs Schreiber. Differential cohomotopy implies intersecting brane observables via configuration spaces and chord diagrams. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 26(4):957–1051, 2022. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.10425>. 15
- [SS23a] Hisham Sati and Urs Schreiber. Anyonic defect branes and conformal blocks in twisted equivariant differential (TED) K-theory. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 35(6):Paper No. 2350009, 65, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.11838>. 15
- [SS23b] Hisham Sati and Urs Schreiber. Flux quantization on phase space. 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.12517>. 15
- [SS23c] Hisham Sati and Urs Schreiber. M/F-theory as Mf -theory. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 35(10):Paper No. 2350028, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.01877>. 15
- [SSS12] Hisham Sati, Urs Schreiber, and Jim Stasheff. Twisted differential string and fivebrane structures. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 315(1):169–213, 2012. <https://arxiv.org/abs/0910.4001>. 15
- [Sti11] Andrew Jay Stimpson. *Axioms for Differential Cohomology*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2011. Thesis (Ph.D.)—State University of New York at Stony Brook. 5
- [SV10] Richard J. Szabo and Alessandro Valentino. Ramond-Ramond fields, fractional branes and orbifold differential K-theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 294(3):647–702, 2010. <https://arxiv.org/abs/0710.2773>. 15
- [TWZ13] Thomas Tradler, Scott O. Wilson, and Mahmoud Zeinalian. An elementary differential extension of odd K-theory. *J. K-Theory*, 12(2):331–361, 2013. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1211.4477>. 11
- [TWZ16] Thomas Tradler, Scott O. Wilson, and Mahmoud Zeinalian. Differential K-theory as equivalence classes of maps to Grassmannians and unitary groups. *New York J. Math.*, 22:527–581, 2016. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.01770>. 11
- [Wal10] Konrad Waldorf. Multiplicative bundle gerbes with connection. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 28(3):313–340, 2010. <https://arxiv.org/abs/0804.4835>. 8
- [WG20] Qing-Rui Wang and Zheng-Cheng Gu. Construction and classification of symmetry-protected topological phases in interacting fermion systems. *Phys. Rev. X*, 10:031055, Sep 2020. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.00536>. 13
- [Yam23a] Mayuko Yamashita. Differential models for the Anderson dual to bordism theories and invertible QFT’s, II. *J. Gökova Geom. Topol. GGT*, 16:65–97, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.14828>. 15
- [Yam23b] Mayuko Yamashita. Invertible QFT’s and differential Anderson duals. 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.08833>. 15
- [YY23] Mayuko Yamashita and Kazuya Yonekura. Differential models for the Anderson dual to bordism theories and invertible QFT’s, I. *J. Gökova Geom. Topol. GGT*, 16:1–64, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.09270>. 15

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, 150 N. UNIVERSITY STREET, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907-2067

Email address: adebray@purdue.edu