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## 1. Introduction

The question of bi-Lipschitz classification of semialgebraic surfaces has become in recent years one of the central questions of Metric Geometry of Singularities. There are two natural structures of a metric space on a connected semialgebraic set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. The first one is the inner distance, the length of a minimal path in $X$ connecting two points. The second one is the outer distance, defined as the distance in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ between two points of $X$. A germ $X$ is called normally embedded (see [2]) if its inner and outer metrics are equivalent. There are three natural equivalence relations associated with these distances. Two sets $X$ and $Y$ are inner (resp., outer) equivalent if there is a inner (resp., outer) bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $h: X \rightarrow Y$. The sets $X$ and $Y$ are ambient bi-Lipschitz equivalent if the homeomorphism $h: X \rightarrow Y$ can be extended to a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $H$ of the ambient space. The ambient equivalence is stronger than the outer equivalence, and the outer equivalence is stronger then the inner equivalence. Finiteness theorems of Mostowski and Valette (see [9] and [10]) show that there are finitely many ambient bi-Lipschitz equivalence classes in any semialgebraic family of semialgebraic sets.

The paper [1] of the first author presents a complete bi-Lipschitz classification of semialgebraic surface germs at the origin with respect to the inner metric. It is based on a canonical partition of a surface germ into Hölder triangles and isolated arcs. The exponents of these triangles, and the combinatorics of the graph defined by their links, constitute a complete inner Lipschitz invariant.

The outer Lipschitz geometry of semialgebraic surface germs is considerably more complicated, and their outer bi-Lipschitz classification is still work in progress. An important step towards such classification was made in [3], where classification of the germs at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ of semialgebraic (or, more generally, definable in a polynomially bounded o-minimal structure) Lipschitz functions with respect to contact Lipschitz equivalence relation was suggested. It was based on a complete combinatorial invariant of contact Lipschitz equivalence, called pizza.

Another important step was made in [7], where an "abnormal" semialgebraic surface germ was canonically partitioned into normally embedded Hölder triangles. Several constructions and results from [7] are used in the present paper.

Normally embedded Hölder triangles are the simplest "building blocks" of semialgebraic surface germs: the only Lipschitz invariant of a normally embedded Hölder triangle is its exponent. In the present paper we consider the next, a little bit more complicated, case

[^0]of a pair of normally embedded Hölder triangles: a surface germ $X=T \cup T^{\prime}$ which is the union of two normally embedded Hölder triangles $T$ and $T^{\prime}$. Let $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g: T^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the distances from the points in one of these two triangles to the other one. The pizzas of $f$ and $g$, being contact Lipschitz invariants of these two functions, are outer Lipschitz invariants of $X$. The first question is whether $X$ is outer bi-Lipschitz equivalent to the union of $T$ and the graph of the distance function $f$. Simple examples (see Fig. 4) show that the answer may be negative. Another natural question is whether the pizzas of $f$ and $g$ are equivalent. The answer, in general, is again negative. We show (see Theorem 3.20) that the answers to both questions are positive if the pair $\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ is elementary (see Definition 2.10) and satisfies boundary conditions (5). The conditions (5) appear naturally in the paper [7], where some standard building blocks (clusters) are defined in the link of a singular surface. Any two Hölder triangles in a cluster satisfy (5). Although a pair $X$ satisfying (5) is simpler than the general pair of normally embedded Hölder triangles, its outer Lipschitz geometry is still rather complicated. If one considers a pair $X=T \cup T^{\prime}$ of two normally embedded Hölder triangles, such that $T^{\prime}$ is a graph of a Lipschitz function defined on $T$, then $X$ automatically satisfies the condition (5). A natural question is whether the opposite is true. Suppose that a pair $X=T \cup T^{\prime}$ of normally embedded Hölder triangles satisfies (5). Is it true that $X$ is outer Lipschitz equivalent to the union of $T$ and the graph of a function $f$ defined on $T$ ? The answer is negative, and we present several examples when this is not true (see Section 4). In this paper we define an outer Lipschitz invariant of a pair of normally embedded Hölder triangles satisfying (5), called $\sigma \tau-p i z z a$, and conjecture that it is a complete invariant: all pairs with the same $\sigma \tau$-pizza should be outer bi-Lipschitz equivalent.

In Section 2 we give basic definitions and reformulate the pizza invariant in the language of zones (see Definition 2.20).

In Section 3 we establish properties of elementary pairs of Hölder triangles and give examples of non-elementary pairs for which these properties fail. We also discuss conditions satisfied by a surface germ $X=T \cup T^{\prime}$ equivalent to the union of a Hölder triangle $T$ and the graph of the distance function $f$ defined on $T$.

In Section 4 the $\sigma \tau$-pizza is defined. The main result of the section, Theorem 4.13, states that it is an outer Lipschitz invariant of a pair of normally embedded Hölder triangles satisfying (5): the $\sigma \tau$-pizzas of outer bi-Lipschitz equivalent pairs are combinatorially equivalent. We conjecture that the converse of Theorem 4.13 is also true, but the proof needs some additional work.

Some remarks about the figures. Since it is practically impossible to adequately show outer Lipschitz geometry of a surface germ in a plot, we draw instead its link (intersection with a small sphere centered at the singular point) indicating higher tangency orders by smaller Euclidean distances. We hope these plots will help to create geometric intuition.

## 2. Preliminaries

All sets, functions and maps in this paper are germs at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ definable in a polynomially bounded o-minimal structure over $\mathbb{R}$ with the field of exponents $\mathbb{F}$. The simplest (and most important in applications) examples of such structures are real semialgebraic and (global) subanalytic sets, with $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Q}$.

Definition 2.1. A germ $X$ at the origin inherits two metrics from the ambient space: the inner metric where the distance between two points of $X$ is the length of the shortest path connecting them inside $X$, and the outer metric with the distance between two points of $X$ being their distance in the ambient space. A germ $X$ is normally embedded if its inner and outer metrics are equivalent.

For a point $x \in X$ and a subset $Y \subset X$ we define the outer distance $\operatorname{dist}(x, Y)=$ $\inf _{y \in Y}|x-y|$, and the inner distance $\operatorname{idist}(x, Y)$ as the infimum of the lengths of paths connecting $x$ with points $y \in Y$.

A surface germ is a closed germ $X$ such that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} X=2$, and it is pure dimensional.
Definition 2.2. An arc in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is (a germ at the origin of) a mapping $\gamma:[0, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\gamma(0)=0$. Unless otherwise specified, we suppose that arcs are parameterized by the distance to the origin, i.e., $|\gamma(t)|=t$. We usually identify an arc $\gamma$ with its image in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The Valette link of $X$ is the set $V(X)$ of all arcs $\gamma \subset X$.
Definition 2.3. Let $f \not \equiv 0$ be (a germ at the origin of) a function defined on an arc $\gamma$. The order of $f$ on $\gamma$ is the value $q=$ ord $_{\gamma} f \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $f(\gamma(t))=c t^{q}+o\left(t^{q}\right)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, where $c \neq 0$. If $f \equiv 0$ on $\gamma$, we set $\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma} f=\infty$.
Definition 2.4. The tangency order of two arcs $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ is defined as $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=$ ord $d_{\gamma}\left|\gamma(t)-\gamma^{\prime}(t)\right|$. The tangency order of an $\operatorname{arc} \gamma$ and a set of $\operatorname{arcs} Z \subset V(X)$ is defined as $\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, Z)=\sup _{\lambda \in Z} \operatorname{tord}(\gamma, \lambda)$. The tangency order of two subsets $Z$ and $Z^{\prime}$ of $V(X)$ is defined as $\operatorname{tord}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=\sup _{\gamma \in Z} \operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, Z^{\prime}\right)$. Similarly, itord $\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$, itord $(\gamma, Z)$ and $\operatorname{itord}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)$ denote the tangency orders with respect to the inner metric. If $T$ is a Hölder triangle and $\gamma$ is an arc we are going to use the notation $\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, T)$ instead of $\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, V(T))$ and $\operatorname{itord}(\gamma, T)$ instead of itord $(\gamma, V(T))$.

The tangency order defines a non-Archimedean metric on the set of arcs: if $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)>$ $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)$ then $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
Remark 2.5. The inner metric on a semialgebraic set is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to a semialgebraic metric (so-called pancake metric, see the theorem of Kurdyka and Orro [8] and also [2]). The inner order of tangency of two arks $\left.\operatorname{itord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)\right)$ is also defined in [6].
Definition 2.6. For $\beta \in \mathbb{F}, \beta \geq 1$, the standard $\beta$-Hölder triangle is (a germ at the origin of) the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\beta}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid x \geq 0,0 \leq y \leq x^{\beta}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The curves $\{x \geq 0, y=0\}$ and $\left\{x \geq 0, y=x^{\beta}\right\}$ are the boundary arcs of $T_{\beta}$.
Definition 2.7. A $\beta$-Hölder triangle is (a germ at the origin of) a set $T \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ that is inner bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to the standard $\beta$-Hölder triangle (1). The number $\beta=\mu(T) \in \mathbb{F}$ is called the exponent of $T$. The arcs $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ of $T$ mapped to the boundary arcs of $T_{\beta}$ by an inner bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism are the boundary arcs of $T$ (notation $\left.T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)\right)$. All other arcs of $T$ are its interior arcs. The set of interior arcs of $T$ is denoted by $I(T)$. An arc $\gamma \subset T$ is generic if $\operatorname{itord}\left(\gamma, \gamma_{1}\right)=\operatorname{itor} d\left(\gamma, \gamma_{2}\right)$. The set of generic arcs of $T$ is denoted by $G(T)$.
Definition 2.8. Let $X$ be a surface germ. An arc $\gamma \in V(X)$ is Lipschitz non-singular if there exists a normally embedded Hölder triangle $T \subset X$ such that $\gamma \in I(T)$ and $\gamma \not \subset \overline{X \backslash T}$. Otherwise, $\gamma$ is Lipschitz singular. A Hölder triangle $T$ is non-singular if any arc $\gamma \in I(T)$ is Lipschitz non-singular.

Definition 2.9. For a Lipschitz function $f$ defined on a Hölder triangle $T$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{f}(T)=\bigcup_{\gamma \in V(T)} \operatorname{ord}_{\gamma} f \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was shown in [3] that $Q_{f}(T)$ is either a point or a closed interval in $\mathbb{F} \cup\{\infty\}$.
Definition 2.10. A Hölder triangle $T$ is elementary with respect to a Lipschitz function $f$ if, for any $q \in Q_{f}(T)$ and any two $\operatorname{arcs} \gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ in $T$ such that $\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma} f=\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma^{\prime}} f=q$, the order of $f$ is $q$ on any arc in the Hölder triangle $T\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right) \subset T$.

Remark 2.11. Examples 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 in [3] make the definition 2.10 more clear.
Definition 2.12. Let $T$ be a Hölder triangle and $f$ a Lipschitz function defined on $T$. For each arc $\gamma \subset T$, the width $\mu_{T}(\gamma, f)$ of $\gamma$ with respect to $f$ is the infimum of exponents of Hölder triangles $T^{\prime} \subset T$ containing $\gamma$ such that $Q_{f}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ is a point. For $q \in Q_{f}(T)$ let $\mu_{T, f}(q)$ be the set of exponents $\mu_{T}(\gamma, f)$, where $\gamma$ is any arc in $T$ such that $\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma} f=q$. It was shown in [3] that, for each $q \in Q_{f}(T)$, the set $\mu_{T, f}(q)$ is finite. This defines a multivalued width function $\mu_{T, f}: Q_{f}(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \cup\{\infty\}$. If $T$ is an elementary Hölder triangle with respect to $f$ then the function $\mu_{T, f}$ is single valued. When $f$ is fixed, we write $\mu_{T}(\gamma)$ and $\mu_{T}$ instead of $\mu_{T}(\gamma, f)$ and $\mu_{T, f}$.

The depth $\nu_{T}(\gamma, f)$ of an arc $\gamma$ with respect to $f$ is the infimum of exponents of Hölder triangles $T^{\prime} \subset T$ such that $\gamma \in G\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ and $Q_{f}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ is a point. By definition, $\nu_{T}(\gamma, f)=\infty$ when there are no such triangles $T^{\prime}$.

Definition 2.13. Let $T$ be a non-singular Hölder triangle and $f$ a Lipschitz function defined on $T$. We say that $T$ is a pizza slice associated with $f$ if it is elementary with respect to $f$ and, unless $Q_{f}(T)$ is a point, $\mu_{T, f}(q)=a q+b$ is an affine function on $Q_{f}(T)$. If $T$ is a pizza slice such that $Q_{f}(T)$ is not a point, then the supporting arc $\tilde{\gamma}$ of $T$ with respect to $f$ is the boundary arc of $T$ such that $\mu_{T}(\tilde{\gamma}, f)=\max _{q \in Q_{f}(T)} \mu_{T, f}(q)$.
Proposition 2.14. (See [3].) Let $T$ be a $\beta$-Hölder triangle which is a pizza slice associated with a non-negative Lipschitz function $f$, such that $Q=Q_{f}(T)$ is not a point. Then $\mu_{T} \not \equiv$ const and the following holds:
(1) $\beta \leq \mu_{T}(q) \leq \max (q, \beta)$ for all $q \in Q$,
(2) $\mu_{T}(\gamma)=\beta$ for $\gamma \in G(T)$,
(3) If $\tilde{\gamma}$ is the supporting arc of $T$ with respect to $f$, then $\mu_{T}(\gamma)=i t o r d(\tilde{\gamma}, \gamma)$ for all arcs $\gamma \subset T$ such that $\mu_{T}(\gamma)<\mu_{T}(\tilde{\gamma})$.
Definition 2.15. (See [3].) Let $f$ be a non-negative Lipschitz function defined on an oriented $\beta$-Hölder triangle $T$. A pizza decomposition of $T$ (or just a pizza on $T$ ) associated with $f$ is a decomposition $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{p}$ of $T$ into $\beta_{i}$-Hölder triangles $T_{i}=T\left(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i}\right)$ ordered according to the orientation of $T$, such that
(1) $\lambda_{0}$ and $\lambda_{p}$ are the boundary arcs of $T$,
(2) $T_{i} \cap T_{i+1}=\lambda_{i}$ for $1 \leq i<p$,
(3) $T_{i} \cap T_{j}=\{0\}$ when $|i-j|>1$,
(4) each Hölder triangle $T_{i}$ is a pizza slice associated with $f$.

We write $q_{i}=\operatorname{ord}_{\lambda_{i}} f, Q_{i}=Q_{f}\left(T_{i}\right), \mu_{i}(q)=\mu_{T_{i}, f}(q)$. If $Q_{i}$ is not a point, then $\tilde{\gamma}_{i}$ denotes the supporting arc of $T_{i}$ with respect to $f$.
Definition 2.16. A pizza decomposition $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ of $T$ associated with $f$ is minimal if $T_{i-1} \cup T_{i}$ is not a pizza slice associated with $f$ for any $i>1$.

Definition 2.17. For two non-negative Lipschitz functions $f$ on $T$ and $g$ on $T^{\prime}$, a pizza decomposition $\left\{T_{i}=T\left(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i}\right)\right\}$ of $T$ associated with $f$ is equivalent to a pizza decomposition $\left\{T_{i}^{\prime}=T\left(\lambda_{i-1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ of $T^{\prime}$ associated with $g$ if there is an orientation preserving inner bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $h: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ such that $h\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=\lambda_{i}^{\prime}$, ord $\lambda_{\lambda_{i}} f=\operatorname{ord}_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}} g$, $Q_{f}\left(T_{i}\right)=Q_{g}\left(T_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mu_{T_{i}, f} \equiv \mu_{T_{i}^{\prime}, g}$, for all $i$, and moreover, $h\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{i}\right)=\tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{\prime}$ if $Q_{f}\left(T_{i}\right)=Q_{g}\left(T_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is not a point, where $\tilde{\gamma}_{i}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{\prime}$ are the supporting arcs for $T_{i}$ and $T_{i}^{\prime}$ with respect to $f$ and $g$.

Definition 2.18. Let $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ be two $\beta$-Hölder triangles. Two Lipschitz function germs $f:(T, 0) \longrightarrow(\mathbb{R}, 0)$ and $g:\left(T^{\prime}, 0\right) \longrightarrow(\mathbb{R}, 0)$ are Lipschitz contact equivalent if there exist two germs of inner bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms $h:(T, 0) \longrightarrow\left(T^{\prime}, 0\right)$ and $H$ : $(T \times \mathbb{R}, 0) \longrightarrow\left(T^{\prime} \times \mathbb{R}, 0\right)$ such that $H(T \times\{0\})=T^{\prime} \times\{0\}$ and the following diagram is commutative:


Here $\pi: T \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T$ and $\pi^{\prime}: T^{\prime} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ are natural projections.
The main result of [3], reformulated for non-negative Lipschitz functions defined on Hölder triangles, is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.19. Let $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ be oriented Hölder triangles. Non-negative Lipschitz functions $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g: T^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitz contact equivalent if and only if a minimal pizza decomposition of $T$ associated with $f$ and a minimal pizza decomposition of $T^{\prime}$ associated with $g$ are equivalent. In particular, any two minimal pizza decompositions associated with the same function $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are equivalent.

Definition 2.20. (See [7, Definition 2.34].) Let $X$ be a surface germ. A non-empty set of $\operatorname{arcs} Z \subset V(X)$ is called a zone if, for any two $\operatorname{arcs} \gamma_{1} \neq \gamma_{2}$ in $Z$, there exists a nonsingular Hölder triangle $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ such that $V(T) \subset Z$. A singular zone is a zone $Z=\{\gamma\}$ consisting of a single arc $\gamma$. A zone $Z$ is normally embedded if, for any two arcs $\gamma_{1} \neq \gamma_{2}$ in $Z$, there exists a normally embedded Hölder triangle $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ such that $V(T) \subset Z$.

Definition 2.21. (See [7, Definition 2.37].) The order of a zone $Z$ is defined as $\mu(Z)=$ $\inf _{\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in Z} \operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$. If $Z$ is a singular zone then $\mu(Z)=\infty$. If $\mu(Z)=\beta$ then $Z$ is called a $\beta$-zone.

Definition 2.22. (See [7, Definition 2.40].) A $\beta$-zone $Z$ is closed if there are two arcs $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ in $Z$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\beta$. Otherwise, $Z$ is an open zone. By definition, any singular zone is closed.

Definition 2.23. A zone $Z \subset V(X)$ is perfect if, for any two arcs $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ in $Z$, there exists a Hölder triangle $T \subset X$ such that $V(T) \subset Z$ and both $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ are generic arcs of $T$. By definition, any singular zone is perfect.

Definition 2.24. Let $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz function defined on a non-singular Hölder triangle $T$. A zone $Z \subset V(T)$ is a $q$-order zone for $f$ if or $d_{\gamma} f=q$ for any arc $\gamma \in Z$. A
$q$-order zone for $f$ is maximal if it is not a proper subset of any other $q$-order zone for $f$. The width zone $W_{T}(\gamma, f)$ of an arc $\gamma \subset T$ with respect to $f$ is the maximal $q$-order zone for $f$ containing $\gamma$, where $q=\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma} f$. The order of $W_{T}(\gamma, f)$ is $\mu_{T}(\gamma, f)$. The depth zone $D_{T}(\gamma, f)$ of an arc $\gamma \subset T$ with respect to $f$ is the union of zones $G\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for all triangles $T^{\prime} \subset T$ such that $\gamma \in G\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ and $Q_{f}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ is a point. By definition, $D_{T}(\gamma, f)=\{\gamma\}$ when there are no such triangles $T^{\prime}$. The order of $D_{T}(\gamma, f)$ is $\nu_{T}(\gamma, f)$.
Lemma 2.25. Let $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz function defined on a non-singular Hölder triangle $T$. For any arc $\gamma \subset T$, the width zone $W_{T}(\gamma, f)$ is closed.
Proof. If $\left.f\right|_{\gamma} \equiv 0$, then either $\gamma$ is an isolated arc in the closed subset $T_{0}=\{f(x)=0\}$ of $T$ and a singular zone $W_{T}(\gamma, f)=\{\gamma\}$ is closed by definition, or there is a maximal Hölder triangle $\tilde{T}_{0} \subset T_{0}$ containing $\gamma$. Then $\mu=\mu_{T}(\gamma, f)$ is the exponent of $\tilde{T}_{0}$, and $W_{T}(\gamma, f)=V\left(\tilde{T}_{0}\right)$ is a closed $\mu$-zone. Otherwise, let $f(\gamma(t))=c_{0} t^{q}+o\left(t^{q}\right)$ where $c_{0} \neq 0$, and let $\tilde{T}_{c}$ be the maximal Hölder triangle containing $\gamma$ in the subset $T_{c}=\left\{|f(x)| \leq c t^{q}\right\}$ of $T$, where $c \geq\left|c_{0}\right|$. Then the family $\left\{\tilde{T}_{c}\right\}$ is definable, Hölder triangles $\tilde{T}_{c}$ have the same exponent $\mu=\mu_{T}(\gamma, f)$ for large enough $c$, and $W_{T}(\gamma, f)=\bigcup_{c \geq\left|c_{0}\right|} V\left(\tilde{T}_{c}\right)$. Thus $W_{T}(\gamma, f)$ is a closed $\mu$-zone.

Definition 2.26. Let $T$ be a non-singular Hölder triangle and $f$ a Lipschitz function defined on $T$. If $Z \subset V(T)$ is a zone, we define $Q_{f}(Z)$ as the set of all exponents $\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma} f$ for $\gamma \in Z$. The zone $Z$ is elementary with respect to $f$ if the set of arcs $\gamma \in Z$ such that or $d_{\gamma} f=q$ is a zone for each $q \in Q_{f}(Z)$.

For $\gamma \in Z$ and $q=o r d_{\gamma} f$, the width $\mu_{Z}(\gamma, f)$ of $\gamma$ with respect to $f$ is the infimum of exponents of Hölder triangles $T^{\prime}$ containing $\gamma$ such that $V\left(T^{\prime}\right) \subset Z$ and $Q_{f}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ is a point. The width zone $W_{Z}(\gamma, f)$ of $\gamma$ with respect to $f$ is the maximal subzone of $Z$ containing $\gamma$ such that $q=\operatorname{ord}_{\lambda} f$ for all $\operatorname{arcs} \lambda \subset W_{Z}(\gamma, f)$. The order of $W_{Z}(\gamma, f)$ is $\mu_{Z}(\gamma, f)$. For $q \in Q_{f}(Z)$ let $\mu_{Z, f}(q)$ be the set of exponents $\mu_{Z}(\gamma, f)$, where $\gamma \in Z$ is any arc such that $\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma} f=q$. It follows from [3] that, for each $q \in Q_{f}(Z)$, the set $\mu_{Z, f}(q)$ is finite. This defines a multivalued width function $\mu_{Z, f}: Q_{f}(Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \cup\{\infty\}$. If $Z$ is an elementary zone with respect to $f$ then the function $\mu_{Z, f}$ is single valued.

We say that $Z$ is a pizza slice zone associated with $f$ if it is elementary with respect to $f, Q_{f}(Z)$ is a closed interval in $\mathbb{F} \cup\{\infty\}$ and, unless $Q_{f}(Z)$ is a point, $\mu_{Z, f}(q)=a q+b$ is an affine function on $Q_{f}(Z)$. If $Z$ is a pizza slice zone such that $Q_{f}(Z)$ is not a point, then the supporting subzone $\tilde{Z}$ of $Z$ with respect to $f$ is the set of $\operatorname{arcs} \lambda \in Z$ such that $\mu_{Z}(\lambda, f)=\max _{q \in Q_{f}(Z)} \mu_{Z, f}(q)$.
Lemma 2.27. Let $f$ be a Lipschitz function defined on a non-singular Hölder triangle $T$. Let $\gamma$ be an interior arc of $T$, so that $T=T^{\prime} \cup T^{\prime \prime}$ and $T^{\prime} \cap T^{\prime \prime}=\{\gamma\}$. Then either $\mu_{T^{\prime}}(\gamma, f)=\mu_{T^{\prime \prime}}(\gamma, f)$ and $\nu_{T}(\gamma, f)=\mu_{T}(\gamma, f)$, or $\nu_{T}(\gamma, f)=\max \left(\mu_{T^{\prime}}(\gamma, f), \mu_{T^{\prime \prime}}(\gamma, f)\right)>$ $\mu_{T}(\gamma, f)$. In both cases, $D_{T}(\gamma, f)$ is a closed perfect zone.
Proof. Let $\mu=\mu_{T}(\gamma, f), \mu^{\prime}=\mu_{T^{\prime}}(\gamma, f)$ and $\mu^{\prime \prime}=\mu_{T^{\prime \prime}}(\gamma, f)$. By definition of the width, $\mu=\min \left(\mu^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime \prime}\right)$. By definition of the depth, $\nu_{T}(\gamma, f) \geq \max \left(\mu^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime \prime}\right)$. According to Lemma 2.25 , the width zones $W_{T^{\prime}}(\gamma, f)$ and $W_{T^{\prime \prime}}(\gamma, f)$ are closed zones of orders $\mu^{\prime}$ and $\mu^{\prime \prime}$. If $\mu^{\prime}=\mu^{\prime \prime}=\mu$ then there are two $\operatorname{arcs} \gamma^{\prime} \subset W_{T^{\prime}}(\gamma, f)$ and $\gamma^{\prime \prime} \subset W_{T^{\prime \prime}}(\gamma, f)$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mu$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{\lambda} f=\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma} f$ for all $\operatorname{arcs} \lambda \subset T\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Then $\gamma$ is a generic arc of a $\mu$-Hölder triangle $T\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)$, thus $\nu_{T}(\gamma, f) \leq \mu$. Since $\nu_{T}(\gamma, f) \geq \mu$, we have $\nu_{T}(\gamma, f)=\mu$ in this case. Otherwise, if $\mu^{\prime}>\mu^{\prime \prime}$ then, according to Lemma 2.25, there are
two $\operatorname{arcs} \gamma^{\prime} \subset W_{T^{\prime}}(\gamma, f)$ and $\gamma^{\prime \prime} \subset W_{T^{\prime \prime}}(\gamma, f)$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mu^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{\lambda} f=\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma} f$ for all $\operatorname{arcs} \lambda \subset T\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Then $\gamma$ is a generic arc of a $\mu^{\prime}$-Hölder triangle $T\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)$, thus $\nu_{T}(\gamma, f) \leq \mu^{\prime}$. Since $\nu_{T}(\gamma, f) \geq \max \left(\mu^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime \prime}\right)$, we have $\nu_{T}(\gamma, f)=\max \left(\mu^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in this case.

To show that $D_{T}(\gamma, f)$ is a closed perfect zone, note first that its order is $\nu=\nu_{T}(\gamma, f)$ and, unless $\nu=\infty$ and $D_{T}(\gamma, f)=\{\gamma\}$ is by definition closed perfect, $\gamma$ is a generic arc of a $\nu$-Hölder triangle $\tilde{T}=T\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right) \subset T$ such that $\operatorname{tor} d_{\lambda} f=\operatorname{tord}_{\gamma} f$ for any arc $\lambda \subset \tilde{T}$. Then, since $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)=\nu<\infty$, there is a generic arc $\lambda$ of $\tilde{T}$ such that $\operatorname{tor} d(\lambda, \gamma)=\nu$, thus $\bar{T}=T(\lambda, \gamma)$ is a $\nu$-Hölder triangle and $V(\bar{T}) \subset D_{T}(\gamma, f)$. This implies that $D_{T}(\gamma, f)$ is a closed zone. If $\lambda^{\prime}$ and $\lambda^{\prime \prime}$ are any two arcs in $D_{T}(\gamma, f)$, then there are two Hölder triangles $T^{\prime} \subset T$ and $T^{\prime \prime} \subset T$ containing $\gamma$ such that $\lambda^{\prime} \in G\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ and $\lambda^{\prime \prime} \in G\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Then both $\lambda^{\prime}$ and $\lambda^{\prime \prime}$ are generic arcs of $T^{\prime} \cup T^{\prime \prime}$, thus $D_{T}(\gamma, f)$ is a perfect zone.

Remark 2.28. Let $h: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ be an inner bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism, and let $f(x)=$ $g(h(x))$ where $g$ is a Lipschitz function defined on $T^{\prime}$. Then $\mu_{T}(\gamma, f)=\mu_{T^{\prime}}(h(\gamma), g)$, $\nu_{T}(\gamma, f)=\nu_{T^{\prime}}(h(\gamma), g), h\left(W_{T}(\gamma, f)\right)=W_{T^{\prime}}(h(\gamma), g)$ and $h\left(D_{T}(\gamma, f)\right)=D_{T^{\prime}}(h(\gamma), g)$, for any $\operatorname{arc} \gamma \in V(T)$.

Lemma 2.29. A zone $Z \subset V(X)$ is perfect if and only if, for any two arcs $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ in $Z$, there exists a Hölder triangle $T \subset X$ such that $V(T) \subset Z$, and an inner bi-Lipschitz automorphism $h: X \rightarrow X$ such that $h(\gamma)=\gamma^{\prime}$ and $h(x)=x$ for all $x \in X \backslash T$.

Proof. Let $Z \subset V(X)$ be a perfect zone and $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$ two $\operatorname{arcs}$ in $Z$. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ be a $\beta$-Hölder triangle such that $V(T) \subset Z$ and both $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ are generic arcs in $T$. Then $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma\right) \cup T\left(\gamma, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma^{\prime}\right) \cup T\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ are two decompositions of $T$ into $\beta$-Hölder triangles. Let $h_{1}: T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma\right) \rightarrow T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ and $h_{2}: T\left(\gamma, \gamma_{2}\right) \rightarrow T\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ be inner bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms, such that $\left.h_{1}\right|_{\gamma_{1}=I d},\left.h_{2}\right|_{\gamma_{2}}=I d$ and $\left.h_{1}\right|_{\gamma}=\left.h_{2}\right|_{\gamma}$. Then the mapping $h: T \rightarrow T$ such that $h=h_{1}$ on $T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma\right)$ and $h=h_{2}$ on $T\left(\gamma, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is an inner bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism such that $\left.h\right|_{\gamma_{1}}=I d,\left.h\right|_{\gamma_{2}}=I d$ and $\left.h(\gamma)=\gamma^{\prime}\right)$. Thus $h$ can be extended by identity outside $T$ to an inner bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $X \rightarrow X$ preserving $Z$.

Proposition 2.30. Let $f$ be a non-negative Lipschitz function defined on a normally embedded Hölder triangle $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$, oriented from $\gamma_{1}$ to $\gamma_{2}$. There exists a unique finite family $\left\{D_{\ell}\right\}_{\ell=0}^{p}$ of disjoint zones $D_{\ell} \subset V(T)$, the pizza zones associated with $f$, with the following properties:

1. The singular zones $D_{0}=\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}$ and $D_{p}=\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\}$ are the boundary arcs of $T$.
2. For any arc $\gamma \in D_{\ell}, D_{\ell}=D_{T}(\gamma, f)$ is a closed perfect $\nu_{\ell}$-zone, where $\nu_{\ell}=\nu_{T}(\gamma, f)$. In particular, $D_{\ell}$ is a $q_{\ell}$-order zone for $f$, where $q_{\ell}=$ ord $d_{\gamma} f$ for $\gamma \in D_{\ell}$. Moreover, $D_{\ell}$ is a maximal $q_{\ell}$-order zone for $f$ of order $\nu_{\ell}$ : if $Z \subset V(T)$ is a $q_{\ell}$-order zone for $f$ containing $D_{\ell}$ and $\lambda \in Z$ is an arc such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda, D_{\ell}\right) \geq \nu_{\ell}$, then $\lambda \in D_{\ell}$.
3. Any choice of arcs $\lambda_{\ell} \in D_{\ell}$ defines a minimal pizza $\left\{T_{\ell}=T\left(\lambda_{\ell-1}, \lambda_{\ell}\right)\right\}_{\ell=1}^{p}$ on $T$ associated with $f$.
4. Any minimal pizza on $T$ associated with $f$ can be obtained as a decomposition $\left\{T_{\ell}\right\}$ of $T$ defined by some choice of arcs $\lambda_{\ell} \in D_{\ell}$.

Proof. Consider a decomposition $\left\{T_{\ell}\right\}_{\ell=1}^{p}$, of $T$ into $\beta_{\ell}$-Hölder triangles $T_{\ell}=T\left(\lambda_{\ell-1}, \lambda_{\ell}\right)$ which is a minimal pizza for $f$. Let $Q_{\ell} \subset \mathbb{F} \cup\{\infty\}$ be the set (either a point or a closed
interval) of values $\operatorname{tord}_{\gamma} f$ for $\gamma \subset T_{\ell}$, and let $\mu_{\ell}: Q_{\ell} \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \cup\{\infty\}$ be the affine width function for $f$ on $T_{\ell}$ (a constant if $Q_{\ell}$ is a point). We assume that $\lambda_{0}$ and $\lambda_{p}$ are the boundary arcs of $T$, and that $T_{\ell} \cap T_{\ell+1}=\lambda_{\ell}$ for $1 \leq \ell<p$.

Since each boundary arc of $T$ is also a boundary arc of a pizza slice for any pizza decomposition of $T$, we can define singular zones $D_{0}=\left\{\lambda_{0}\right\}$ and $D_{p}=\left\{\lambda_{p}\right\}$.

If the germ at zero of the set $S=\{x \in T, f(x)=0\}$ is non-empty, it is a union of finitely many germs isolated arcs and germs of maximal in $S$ Hölder triangles $S_{j}$. Each isolated $\operatorname{arc}$ of $S$, and each boundary arc of one of the triangles $S_{j}$, must be a boundary arc of a pizza slice for any minimal pizza on $T$ associated with $f$. In particular, such an $\operatorname{arc} \lambda$ must be one of the $\operatorname{arcs} \lambda_{\ell}$, and the singular zone $\{\lambda\}$ must be one of the zones $D_{\ell}$.

Assume now that $0<\ell<p$ and $q_{\ell}=\operatorname{ord}_{\lambda_{\ell}} f<\infty$. Consider the depth zone $D_{\ell}=$ $D_{T}\left(\lambda_{\ell}, f\right)$ (see Definition 2.24). Then $D_{\ell}$ is a closed perfect zone of order $\nu_{\ell}=\nu_{T}\left(\lambda_{\ell}, f\right)$, which is also a $q_{\ell}$-order zone for $f$. Moreover, if $\lambda \subset T_{\ell}$ is an arc such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda, \lambda_{\ell}\right) \geq \nu_{\ell}$ and ord $d_{\gamma} f=q_{\ell}$ for any arc $\gamma \subset T\left(\lambda_{\ell}, \lambda\right)$, then $\lambda \in D_{\ell}$ by Definition 2.24. The same argument works for $\lambda \subset T_{\ell-1}$. Thus $D_{\ell}$ is a maximal $q_{\ell}$-order zone for $f$ of order $\nu_{\ell}$.

We claim that, if the arc $\lambda_{\ell}$ is replaced by any other arc $\theta \in D_{\ell}$ and the Hölder triangles $T_{\ell}=T\left(\lambda_{\ell-1}, \lambda_{\ell}\right)$ and $T_{\ell+1}=T\left(\lambda_{\ell}, \lambda_{\ell+1}\right)$ with the common arc $\lambda_{\ell}$ are replaced by the Hölder triangles $T\left(\lambda_{\ell-1}, \theta\right)$ and $T\left(\theta, \lambda_{\ell+1}\right)$ with the common arc $\theta$, the resulting decomposition of $T$ is again a minimal pizza on $T$ associated with $f$. Indeed, since $D_{\ell}$ is a perfect zone, and also a $q_{\ell}$-order zone for $f$, by Lemma 2.29 one can construct an inner bi-Lipschitz map $\phi: T \rightarrow T$, such that $\phi\left(\lambda_{\ell}\right)=\theta$ and $\phi(\gamma)=\gamma$ for any arc $\gamma \in V(T) \backslash D_{\ell}$. In particular, $\operatorname{ord}_{\phi(\gamma)} f=\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma} f$ for each arc $\gamma \subset T$, thus $\phi$ transforms the function $f$ into a $v$-equivalent function. This implies that $\phi$ preserves all zones $D_{\ell}$, and that decomposition $\left\{\phi\left(T_{\ell}\right)\right\}$ defines a minimal pizza on $T$ associated with $f$. Replacing all arcs $\lambda_{\ell}$ with some other $\operatorname{arcs} \theta_{\ell} \in D_{\ell}$, for $\ell=0, \ldots, p$, we see that any choice of $\operatorname{arcs} \lambda_{\ell} \in D_{\ell}$ results in a minimal pizza on $T$ associated with $f$.

On the other hand, given a minimal pizza $\left\{T_{\ell}=T\left(\lambda_{\ell-1}, \lambda_{\ell}\right)\right\}$ on $T$ associated with $f$, consider any other minimal pizza $\left\{T_{\ell}^{\prime}=T\left(\theta_{\ell-1}, \theta_{\ell}\right)\right\}$ on $T$ associated with $f$. By the Lipschitz contact invariance of a minimal pizza (see Theorem 2.19) there exists an inner bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $h: T \rightarrow T$ such that $h\left(\lambda_{\ell}\right)=\theta_{\ell}$ and $h\left(T_{\ell}\right)=T_{\ell}^{\prime}$ for all $\ell$, and also such that $\operatorname{ord}_{h(\gamma)} f=\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma} f$ for any arc $\gamma \subset T$. Thus $h$ transforms $f$ into a function of the same contact (see Definition 2.2 from [4]) . Since the zones $D_{\ell}$ are Lipschitz invariant, we have $h\left(D_{\ell}\right)=D_{\ell}$ for all $\ell$, thus $\theta_{\ell} \in D_{\ell}$. This proves that any minimal pizza can be obtained by some choice of $\operatorname{arcs} \lambda_{\ell} \in D_{\ell}$.
Corollary 2.31. Let $\left\{D_{\ell}\right\}_{\ell=0}^{p}$ be the pizza zones of a minimal pizza $\left\{T_{\ell}=T\left(\lambda_{\ell-1}, \lambda_{\ell}\right)\right\}_{\ell=1}^{p}$ on $T$ associated with $f$, as in Proposition 2.30. For each $\ell=1, \ldots, p$, the set $Y_{\ell}=$ $D_{\ell-1} \cup D_{\ell} \cup V\left(T_{\ell}\right)$ is a pizza slice zone associated with $f$, independent of the choice of arcs $\lambda_{\ell} \in D_{\ell}$. Moreover, $Y_{\ell}$ is a maximal pizza slice zone: if a pizza slice zone $Y \subset V(T)$ associated with $f$ contains $Y_{\ell}$ then $Y=Y_{\ell}$.

## 3. Elementary pairs of normally embedded Hölder triangles

Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be two normally embedded $\beta$-Hölder triangles, oriented from $\gamma_{1}$ to $\gamma_{2}$ and from $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ to $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ respectively.
Definition 3.1. A pair $\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ of $\operatorname{arcs} \gamma \subset T$ and $\gamma^{\prime} \subset T^{\prime}$ is regular if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, T\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.2. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be two normally embedded $\beta$ Hölder triangles. Let $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$ be the distance from $x \in T$ to $T^{\prime}$, and let $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=$ $\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)$ be the distance from $x^{\prime} \in T^{\prime}$ to $T$. Let $\Gamma \subset T \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\Gamma^{\prime} \subset T^{\prime} \times \mathbb{R}$ be the graphs of the functions $f(x)$ and $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. There is a homeomorphism $H: T \cup T^{\prime} \rightarrow T \cup \Gamma$, bi-Lipschitz with respect to the outer metric, such that $H\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\gamma_{1}$ and $H\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\gamma_{2}$.
2. There is a homeomorphism $H^{\prime}: T \cup T^{\prime} \rightarrow T^{\prime} \cup \Gamma^{\prime}$, bi-Lipschitz with respect to the outer metric, such that $H^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$.
3. There exists a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $h: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ such that $h\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$, $h\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, h(\gamma))=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)$ for any arc $\gamma \subset T$.
4. There exists a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $h^{\prime}: T^{\prime} \rightarrow T$ such that $h^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\gamma_{1}$, $h^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\gamma_{2}$ and $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, h^{\prime}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, T\right)$ for any arc $\gamma^{\prime} \subset T^{\prime}$.
5. There exists a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $h: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ such that $h\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$, $h\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$, and the pair of arcs $(\gamma, h(\gamma))$ is regular for any arc $\gamma \subset T$.

Proof. If condition 1 is satisfied, we may assume that $H(T)=T$ and $H\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\Gamma$. Since $f$ is a Lipschitz function on $T$ and $H$ is an outer bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism, we have $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}(H(\gamma), \Gamma)=\operatorname{ord}_{H(\gamma)} f=\operatorname{tord}(H(\gamma), f(H(\gamma))$ for any arc $\gamma \subset T$. Since $H^{-1}$ is also an outer bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism, the mapping $h: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ defined as $h(x)=H^{-1}((H(x), f(H(x)))$ is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism satisfying condition 5 , which implies conditions 3 and 4 . Conversely, given a homeomorphism $h: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ satisfying condition 3, the mapping $H: T \cup T^{\prime} \rightarrow T \cup \Gamma$ which is the identity on $T$ and defined as $H\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\left(h^{-1} x^{\prime}, f\left(h^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)$ for $x^{\prime} \in T^{\prime}$ satisfies condition 1 . Thus conditions 1 , 3 and 5 are equivalent.

Similarly, conditions 2, 4 and 5 are equivalent.
If conditions 1 and 3 are satisfied, we may assume that $T^{\prime}=\Gamma$ and $h(x)=(x, f(x))$ for $x \in T$. Then $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, T\right)$ for any arcs $\gamma \subset T$ and $\gamma^{\prime}=\{(x, f(x)): x \in \gamma\} \subset$ $T^{\prime}$. Thus $h^{\prime}=h^{-1}: T^{\prime} \rightarrow T$ satisfies condition 2 . This implies that all five conditions are equivalent.

If conditions of Proposition 3.2 are satisfied then the pairs of $\operatorname{arcs}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ are regular:
(5) $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, T\right), \quad \operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{2}, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{2}^{\prime}, T\right)$.

In general, the opposite does not hold. However, Theorem 3.20 below states that conditions of Proposition 3.2 are satisfied if $T$ is elementary with respect to $f$ and (5) holds. The following Proposition from [7] is an important step in the proof of Theorem 3.20.

Proposition 3.3. (see [7, Proposition 2.20]) Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be normally embedded $\beta$-Hölder triangles such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq \alpha$, $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right) \geq \alpha$, and $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right) \geq \alpha$ for all arcs $\gamma \subset T$, for some $\alpha>\beta$. Then there is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $h: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ such that $h\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, h\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$, and $\operatorname{tord}(h(\gamma), \gamma) \geq \alpha$ for any arc $\gamma \subset T$.

Remark 3.4. If a $\beta$-Hölder triangle $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and a $\beta^{\prime}$-Hölder triangle $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ are normally embedded and satisfy (5) then $\beta^{\prime}=\beta$, unless $\operatorname{tor} d\left(T, T^{\prime}\right) \leq \min \left(\beta, \beta^{\prime}\right)$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be normally embedded Hölder triangles. Let $\lambda_{1} \neq \lambda_{2}$ be two arcs in $T$, and let $\theta_{1} \subset T^{\prime}, \theta_{2} \subset T^{\prime}$ and $\theta \subset T\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \subset T^{\prime}$ be
three arcs such that $\operatorname{tord}(\theta, T)=q<\min \left(\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{1}, \theta_{1}\right)\right.$, $\left.\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{2}, \theta_{2}\right)\right)$. Then there is an arc $\lambda \subset T\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \subset T$ such that $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\lambda, T^{\prime}\right) \leq q$.

Proof. We may assume that $\theta_{1} \subset T_{1}^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \theta\right)$ and $\theta_{2} \subset T_{2}^{\prime}=T\left(\theta, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. For $x \in T$, let $f_{1}(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $f_{2}(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)=\min \left(f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x)\right)$. Since $\operatorname{tord}\left(\theta, \lambda_{1}\right) \leq \operatorname{tord}(\theta, T)=q$ and $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{1}, \theta_{1}\right)>q$, we have by the non-Archimedean property $\operatorname{tord}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta\right)=\min \left(\operatorname{tor} d\left(\lambda_{1}, \theta_{1}\right)\right.$, tord $\left.\left(\lambda_{1}, \theta\right)\right) \leq q$. Since $T^{\prime}$ is normally embedded, we have $\operatorname{tord}\left(\theta_{1}, T_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta\right) \leq q$. Since $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{1}, \theta_{1}\right)>q$, this implies $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{1}, T_{2}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\min \left(\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{1}, \theta_{1}\right), \operatorname{tord}\left(\theta_{1}, T_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq q$ by the non-Archimedean property. Thus $\left.f\right|_{\lambda_{1}}=\left.f_{1}\right|_{\lambda_{1}}$. Similarly, $\left.f\right|_{\lambda_{2}}=\left.f_{2}\right|_{\lambda_{2}}$, thus there is an arc $\lambda \subset T\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ such that $\left.f\right|_{\lambda}=\left.f_{1}\right|_{\lambda}=\left.f_{2}\right|_{\lambda}$ (see Fig. 1). Then $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{\lambda} f \leq q$, otherwise we would have $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda, T_{1}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda, T_{2}^{\prime}\right)>q$. Since $\operatorname{tord}(\lambda, \theta) \leq \operatorname{tord}(\theta, T)=q$, this would contradict to $T^{\prime}=T_{1}^{\prime} \cup T_{2}^{\prime}$ being normally embedded.
Corollary 3.6. Let $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ be normally embedded Hölder triangles. Let $\tilde{T}=T\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \subset$ $T$ be a $\beta$-Hölder triangle such that tor $d\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)=q>\beta$ for any arc $\gamma \subset \tilde{T}$. If $\tilde{T}^{\prime}=$ $T\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \subset T^{\prime}$ is a $\beta$-Hölder triangle such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\theta_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\theta_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right)=q$ then, for any arc $\theta \subset T^{\prime}$ such that tord $\left(\theta, \theta_{1}\right)<q$ and $\operatorname{tord}\left(\theta, \theta_{2}\right)<q$, we have $\operatorname{tord}(\theta, T)=q$.
Proof. Lemma 3.5 implies that $\operatorname{tor} d(\theta, T) \geq \operatorname{tor} d(\theta, \tilde{T}) \geq q$ for any $\operatorname{arc} \theta \subset \tilde{T}^{\prime}$. If $\theta \subset \tilde{T}^{\prime}$ is an arc such that $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\theta, \theta_{1}\right)<q$ and $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\theta, \theta_{2}\right)<q$, Proposition 3.3 implies that $\operatorname{tord}(\theta, T \backslash \tilde{T})<q$. If $\operatorname{tord}(\theta, T)>q$ and $\gamma \subset T$ is an arc such that $\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, \theta)>q$, then $\gamma \subset \tilde{T}$ and $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)>q$, a contradiction. Thus $\operatorname{tord}(\theta, T)=q$.

Definition 3.7. Let $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ be normally embedded oriented Hölder triangles. A pair of $\beta$-Hölder triangles $\tilde{T}=T\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \subset T$ and $\tilde{T}^{\prime}=T\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \subset T^{\prime}$ in Corollary 3.6 is called positively oriented if their orientations induced from $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are either both the same as their orientations from $\lambda_{1}$ to $\lambda_{2}$ and from $\theta_{1}$ to $\theta_{2}$ or both opposite. Otherwise, $\tilde{T}$ and $\tilde{T}^{\prime}$ is called a negatively oriented pair of Hölder triangles.
Remark 3.8. Any pair of $\alpha$-Hölder triangles $T\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right) \subset \tilde{T}$ and $T\left(\theta_{1}^{\prime}, \theta_{2}^{\prime}\right) \subset \tilde{T}^{\prime}$, where $\alpha<q$, satisfying conditions $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\theta_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\theta_{2}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right)=q$ is positively (resp., negatively) oriented if, and only if, the pair $\left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)$ is positively (resp., negatively) oriented.

Proposition 3.9. Let $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ be normally embedded Hölder triangles with the distance functions $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)$. Let $Z \subset V(T)$ be a maximal $q$ order zone for $f$ such that $\mu(Z)<q$. Then there exists a unique maximal $q$-order zone $Z^{\prime} \subset V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for $g$ such that $\mu\left(Z^{\prime}\right)=\mu(Z)$ and, for any arc $\gamma \in Z$ such that $\nu_{Z}(\gamma, f)<q$ and any arc $\gamma^{\prime} \subset T^{\prime}$ such that tord $\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=q$, we have $\gamma^{\prime} \subset Z^{\prime}$ and $\nu_{Z^{\prime}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, g\right)=\nu_{Z}(\gamma, f)$. Conversely, if $\gamma^{\prime} \in Z^{\prime}$ is any arc such that $\nu_{Z^{\prime}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, g\right)<q$ then, for any arc $\gamma \subset T$ such that tord $\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=q$, we have $\gamma \subset Z$ and $\nu_{Z}(\gamma, f)=\nu_{Z^{\prime}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, g\right)$.
Proof. Let $\tilde{Z} \subset Z$ be the set of all arcs $\gamma \subset Z$ such that $\nu_{Z}(\gamma, f)<q$. Let $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ be any two arcs in $\tilde{Z}$ such that $\beta=\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)<q$. Consider the $\beta$-Hölder triangle $\tilde{T}=T\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \subset T$. Since $Z$ is a zone, we have $V(\tilde{T}) \subset Z$, thus $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)=q$ for any arc $\gamma \subset \tilde{T}$. Also, $\nu_{Z}(\gamma, f) \leq \max \left(\nu_{Z}\left(\lambda_{1}, f\right), \nu_{Z}\left(\lambda_{2}, f\right)\right)<q$ for any $\operatorname{arc} \gamma \subset \tilde{T}$, thus $V(\tilde{T}) \subset \tilde{Z}$. This implies that $\tilde{Z}$ is a $q$-order zone for $f$. Let $\tilde{Z}^{\prime}$ be the set of all $\operatorname{arcs} \gamma^{\prime} \subset T^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=q$ for some arc $\gamma \subset \tilde{Z}$.

Let $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\lambda_{2}^{\prime}$ be any two arcs in $T^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right)=q$. Since $\beta<q, \tilde{T}^{\prime}=T\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right) \subset T^{\prime}$ is a $\beta$-Hölder triangle. Since $\lambda_{1} \in \tilde{Z}$ and $\lambda_{2} \in \tilde{Z}$, we have
$V\left(\tilde{T}^{\prime}\right) \subset \tilde{Z}^{\prime}$. It follows from Proposition 3.3 that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime} \backslash \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)<q$ for any arc $\gamma \subset \tilde{T}$, thus $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)=q$ for any $\operatorname{arc} \gamma \subset \tilde{T}$. Corollary 3.6 implies that $\operatorname{tor} d(\theta, \tilde{T})=q$ for any arc $\theta \subset \tilde{T}^{\prime}$. This implies that $\tilde{Z}^{\prime}$ is a $q$-order zone for $g$. It follows from the non-Archimedean property that $\nu_{\tilde{Z}^{\prime}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, g\right)<q$ for any arc $\gamma^{\prime} \in \tilde{Z}^{\prime}$.

Let $Z^{\prime}$ be the maximal $q$-zone for $g$ containing $\tilde{Z}^{\prime}$. By the construction this zone is unique. Let us show that $\nu_{Z^{\prime}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, g\right) \geq q$ for any arc $\gamma^{\prime} \in Z^{\prime} \backslash \tilde{Z}^{\prime}$. If $\gamma^{\prime} \in Z^{\prime} \backslash \tilde{Z}^{\prime}$ and $\nu_{Z^{\prime}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, g\right)<q$, applying the same arguments as above to $Z^{\prime}$ and $g$ instead of $Z$ and $f$, we can show that any arc $\gamma \subset T$ such that tor $d\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=q$ belongs to $Z$ and $\nu_{Z}(\gamma, f)<q$. Thus $\gamma \in \tilde{Z}$, which implies $\gamma^{\prime} \in \tilde{Z}^{\prime}$, a contradiction. The equality $\nu_{Z}(\gamma, f)=\nu_{Z^{\prime}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, g\right)$ follows from the non-Archimedean property.
Corollary 3.10. Let $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ be normally embedded Hölder triangles with the distance functions $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)$. For any $q \in \mathbb{F}$, the finite set $L_{q}$ of maximal $q$-order zones $Z \subset V(T)$ for $f$ such that $\mu(Z)<q$ is nonempty if, and only if, the set $L_{q}^{\prime}$ of maximal $q$-order zones $Z^{\prime} \subset V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for $g$ such that $\mu\left(Z^{\prime}\right)<q$ is nonempty, and there is a canonical one-to-one correspondence $Z^{\prime}=\tau_{q}(Z)$ between the sets $L_{q}$ and $L_{q}^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(Z, \tau_{q}(Z)\right)=q$.
Proof. The finiteness of the set $L_{q}$ follows from the fact that, for a given $q \in \mathbb{F}$, each pizza slice of a pizza on $T$ associated with $f$ contains at most one zone from $L_{q}$.
Lemma 3.11. Let $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ be normally embedded oriented Hölder triangles. Let $Z \subset$ $V(T)$ and $Z^{\prime} \subset V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ be maximal $q$-order zones for $f$ and $g$ respectively, of orders $\mu(Z)=$ $\mu\left(Z^{\prime}\right)<q$, related as in Proposition 3.9 and Corollary 3.10. Then the pairs $\left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)$ of Hölder triangles $\tilde{T} \subset T$ and $\tilde{T}^{\prime} \subset T^{\prime}$ related as in Corollary 3.6, such that $V(\tilde{T}) \subset Z$ and $V\left(\tilde{T}^{\prime}\right) \subset Z^{\prime}$, are either all positively oriented or all negatively oriented.
Proof. This follows from Remark 3.8, since for any two pairs of Hölder triangles in Lemma 3.11 there is a larger pair of Hölder triangles containing both of them and satisfying conditions of Corollary 3.6.
Definition 3.12. The pair of zones $Z \subset V(T)$ and $Z^{\prime} \subset V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ in Lemma 3.11 is called positively oriented (resp., negatively oriented) if the pairs ( $\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}^{\prime}$ ) of Hölder triangles $\tilde{T} \subset T$ and $\tilde{T}^{\prime} \subset T^{\prime}$ in Lemma 3.11 are positively oriented (resp., negatively oriented).

Lemma 3.13. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be two normally embedded Hölder triangles, such that $T$ is elementary with respect to $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\operatorname{tord}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$. Then $T^{\prime}$ is elementary with respect to $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)$.
Proof. We have to show that, for any Hölder triangle $T^{\prime \prime}=T\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \subset T^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\theta_{1}, T\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\theta_{2}, T\right)=q$, we have $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, T\right)=q$ for each arc $\gamma^{\prime} \subset T^{\prime \prime}$. Let us show first that $q^{\prime}=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, T\right) \geq q$ for each arc $\gamma^{\prime} \subset T^{\prime \prime}$. If $q^{\prime}<q$, let $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ be arcs in $T$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{1}, \theta_{1}\right)=\operatorname{tor} d\left(\lambda_{2}, \theta_{2}\right)=q$. Lemma 3.5 implies that there is an arc $\lambda \subset T\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda, T^{\prime}\right) \leq q^{\prime}<q$, a contradiction with $T$ being elementary with respect to $f$.

Suppose now that $q^{\prime}>q$. We may assume that $\theta_{1} \subset T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right) \subset T^{\prime}$. Since $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\operatorname{tor} d\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq q^{\prime}$. Let $\gamma \subset T$ be an arc such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=q^{\prime}$ (see Fig. 2). Then Lemma 3.5 applied to $T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma\right) \subset T$ and $T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right) \subset T^{\prime}$ implies that there is an arc $\lambda \subset T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma\right)$ such that $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\lambda, T^{\prime}\right) \leq q$, a contradiction with $T$ being elementary with respect to $f$.


Figure 1. Illustration to the proof of Lemma 3.5.


Figure 2. Illustration to the proof of Lemma 3.13.
Corollary 3.14. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be normally embedded Hölder triangles, such that $T$ is elementary with respect to $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\operatorname{tord}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$. Then, for any two Hölder triangles $\tilde{T}=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \lambda\right) \subset T$ and $\tilde{T}^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \subset T^{\prime}$, $\tilde{T}$ is elementary with respect to $\tilde{f}(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\tilde{T}^{\prime}$ is elementary with respect to $\tilde{g}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, \tilde{T}\right)$.
Proof. Since $\tilde{T}$ is elementary with respect to $\left.f\right|_{\tilde{T}}$, Lemma 3.13 applied to $\tilde{T}$ instead of $T$ implies that $T^{\prime}$ is elementary with respect to $h\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, \tilde{T}\right)$. Thus $\tilde{T}^{\prime}$ is elementary with respect to $\tilde{g}=\left.h\right|_{\tilde{T}^{\prime}}$. Lemma 3.13 applied to $\tilde{T}^{\prime}$ instead of $T$ and $\tilde{T}$ instead of $T^{\prime}$ implies that $\tilde{T}$ is elementary with respect to $\tilde{f}$.

Lemma 3.15. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be normally embedded $\beta$-Hölder triangles satisfying (5). Suppose that $T$ is a pizza slice associated with $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$. Then conditions of Proposition 3.2 are satisfied for $T$ and $T^{\prime}$. Moreover, $\mu_{T, f} \equiv \mu_{T^{\prime}, g}$, where $\mu_{T, f}(q)$ and $\mu_{T^{\prime}, g}(q)$ are the width functions defined on $Q_{f}(T)=Q_{g}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Since the five conditions of Proposition 3.2 are equivalent, it is enough to prove condition 3: there is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $h: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ such that $h\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$, $h\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, h(\gamma))=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)$ for each arc $\gamma \subset T$.

Let $Q=Q_{f}(T)$, and let the width function $\mu(q)=\mu_{T, f}(q): Q \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \cup\{\infty\}$ be affine, $\mu(q)=a q+b$. We consider the following cases: (1) $Q=\{\alpha\}$ where $\alpha \leq \beta$, (2) $Q=\{\alpha\}$ where $\alpha>\beta$, (3) $\mu(q) \equiv q$, (4) $\mu(q)<q$ for all $q \in Q$, (5) $\mu(q)=q$ only for the maximal value of $\mu(q)$, (6) $\mu(q)=q$ only for the minimal value $\mu(q)=\beta$.

Case 1. Any bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $h: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ such that $h\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ and $h\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ satisfies $\operatorname{tor} d(\gamma, h(\gamma))=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)=\alpha$ for all arcs $\gamma \in V(T)$.

Case 2. It follows from [7, Proposition 2.20] (see Proposition 3.3) that there is a biLipschitz homeomorphism $h: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{tor} d(\gamma, h(\gamma)) \geq \alpha$ for any arc $\gamma \subset T$. Since $\operatorname{tor} d(\gamma, h(\gamma)) \leq \operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)=\alpha$ for any arc $\gamma \subset T$, we have $\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, h(\gamma))=\alpha$.

Case 3. We may assume that $Q$ is not a point and $q_{1}=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, T^{\prime}\right)$ is the maximal value of $q \in Q$. Then $q=\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma} f=\mu_{T}(\gamma, f)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma_{1}\right)$ for all arcs $\gamma \subset T$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma_{1}\right) \leq q_{1}$, otherwise $\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma} f=q_{1}$. Any bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $h: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ such that $h\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ satisfies $\operatorname{tord}\left(h(\gamma), \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma_{1}\right)$ for all arcs $\gamma \subset T$. Thus $q=\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma} f=\mu_{T}(\gamma, f)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma_{1}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(h(\gamma), \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ for all $\gamma \subset T$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma_{1}\right) \leq q_{1}$. Since $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=q_{1} \geq q$, this implies that $\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, h(\gamma)) \geq q$. If $\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, h(\gamma))>q$ then $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)>q$, a contradiction. Thus $\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, h(\gamma))=q$ for all $\gamma \subset T$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma_{1}\right) \leq q_{1}$. Otherwise, if $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma_{1}\right)>q_{1}$, then $\operatorname{tord}\left(h(\gamma), \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)>q_{1}$, thus $\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, h(\gamma))=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=q_{1}=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)$.

Case 4. Using the same arguments as in the proof of [7, Proposition 2.20], we assume that $T^{\prime}=T_{\beta} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a standard $\beta$-Hölder triangle (1), $T \cup T^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $\pi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is an orthogonal projection. We may also assume that $Q$ is not a point, and that $\mu\left(q_{1}\right)$, where $q_{1}=\operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma_{1}, T^{\prime}\right)$, is the maximal value of $\mu(q)$ for $q \in Q$. Then $\mu_{T}(\gamma, f)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma_{1}\right)$ for all arcs $\gamma \subset T$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma_{1}\right) \leq \mu\left(q_{1}\right)$, otherwise ord $d_{\gamma} f=q_{1}$.

The set $S \subset T$ where $\pi$ is not smooth and orientation-preserving is a finite union of isolated arcs and $\beta_{j}$-Hölder triangles $T_{j}=T\left(\lambda_{j}, \lambda_{j}^{\prime}\right) \subset T$. We want to show that $f$ has the same order $q_{j}$ on each arc $\gamma \subset T_{j}$. It is enough to show that $\operatorname{ord}_{\lambda_{j}} f=\operatorname{or}_{\lambda_{j}^{\prime}} f$. We may assume that $\lambda_{j}^{\prime} \subset T\left(\gamma_{1}, \lambda_{j}\right) \subset T$, thus $\mu_{j}=\mu_{T}\left(\lambda_{j}, f\right) \leq \mu\left(\lambda_{j}^{\prime}, f\right)$. If $\operatorname{ord}_{\lambda_{j}} f=q_{j} \neq \operatorname{ord}_{\lambda_{j}^{\prime}} f$ then $\beta_{j} \leq \mu_{j}=\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{j}, \gamma_{1}\right)$. Since $T_{j}$ is orientation-reversing and $T$ is normally embedded, we have $\beta_{j} \geq q_{j}$, a contradiction with the condition $\mu_{j}<q_{j}$. Thus ord $\lambda_{\lambda_{j}} f=\operatorname{ord}_{\lambda_{j}^{\prime}} f=$ $q_{j} \leq \beta_{j}<\mu_{j}$, and there is a $\mu_{j}$-Hölder triangle $\tilde{T}_{j} \subset T$ containing $T_{j}$ such that ord $d_{\gamma} f=q_{j}$ for each arc $\gamma \subset \tilde{T}_{j}$.

It follows from [7, Proposition 2.20] that there is a bi-Lipschitz orientation-preserving homeomorphism $h_{j}: \tilde{T}_{j} \rightarrow \pi\left(\tilde{T}_{j}\right) \cap T^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, h_{j}(\gamma)\right)=q_{j}$ for each arc $\gamma \subset \tilde{T}_{j}$. One can choose triangles $\tilde{T}_{j}$ so that they are all disjoint. Replacing projection $\pi$ with the homeomorphisms $h_{j}$ on each triangle $\tilde{T}_{j}$, a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $h: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ can be obtained, such that $\operatorname{tor} d(\gamma, h(\gamma))=\operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)$ for each arc $\gamma \subset T$.

Case 5. Assuming that $\mu\left(q_{1}\right)=q_{1}=\operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is the maximal value of $\mu(q)$, for any $\operatorname{arc} \gamma \subset T$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)=q_{1}$ we have $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma, \gamma_{1}\right) \geq \mu(\gamma)=q_{1}$, thus $\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, h(\gamma))=$ $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=q_{1}$. For any arc $\gamma \subset T$ such that $q=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)>\mu(q)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma_{1}\right)$, the same arguments as in Case 4 apply.

Case 6. The same arguments as in Case 4 imply that, for any triangle $T_{j} \subset T$ containing an arc $\gamma$ such that $\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma} f>\beta$ and $\left.\pi\right|_{T}$ is orientation-reversing, the order $q_{j}$ of $f$ is the same on all arcs of $T_{j}$, and there is a $\mu_{j}$-triangle $\tilde{T}_{j}$ containing $T_{j}$, where $\mu_{j}>\beta$, such that $\left.\pi\right|_{\tilde{T}_{j}}$ can be replaced with a bi-Lipschitz orientation-preserving homeomorphism $h_{j}: \tilde{T}_{j} \rightarrow \pi\left(\tilde{T}_{j}\right) \cap T^{\prime}$, such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, h_{j}(\gamma)\right)=q_{j}$ for each arc $\gamma \subset \tilde{T}_{j}$. This allows
one to find $\beta$-Hölder triangles $\tilde{T}=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \tilde{\gamma}\right) \subset T$ and $\tilde{T}^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}\right) \subset T^{\prime}$ such that $\bar{T}=T\left(\tilde{\gamma}, \gamma_{2}\right) \subset T$ and $\bar{T}^{\prime}=T\left(\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right) \subset T^{\prime}$ are also $\beta$-Hölder triangles, ord ${ }_{\gamma} f=\beta$ for each arc $\gamma \subset \bar{T}$, and to obtain a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\tilde{h}: \tilde{T} \rightarrow \tilde{T}^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, h(\gamma))=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)$ for each arc $\gamma \subset \tilde{T}$. After that, $\tilde{h}$ combined with any biLipschitz homeomorphism $\bar{h}: \bar{T} \rightarrow \bar{T}^{\prime}$, such that $\bar{h}(\tilde{\gamma})=\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}$ and $\bar{h}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$, defines a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $h: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, h(\gamma))=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)$ for each $\operatorname{arc} \gamma \subset T$.

The existence of a mapping $h: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ satisfying condition 5 of Proposition 3.2 implies that $Q_{f}(T)=Q_{g}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mu_{T, f} \equiv \mu_{T^{\prime}, g}$.
Definition 3.16. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be two normally embedded oriented $\beta$-Hölder triangles satisfying (5), such that $T$ is a pizza slice associated with $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{tord}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)>\beta$. The pair $\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ is called positively oriented if either $T$ is oriented from $\gamma_{1}$ to $\gamma_{2}$ and $T^{\prime}$ from $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ to $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$, or $T$ is oriented from $\gamma_{2}$ to $\gamma_{1}$ and $T^{\prime}$ from $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ to $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$. Otherwise, the pair $\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ is called negatively oriented.

Lemma 3.17. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be two normally embedded $\beta$-Hölder triangles in Definition 3.16 such that $\mu_{T, f}(q) \not \equiv q$. For $q \in Q_{T}(f)$ such that $\mu(q)<q$, let $Z_{q} \subset V(T)$ and $Z_{q}^{\prime} \subset V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ be the maximal $q$-order zones for $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)$ respectively. Then the pair of zones $\left(Z_{q}, Z_{q}^{\prime}\right)$ is positively oriented if the pair of Hölder triangles $\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ is positively oriented, and negatively oriented otherwise.

Lemma 3.18. Let a $\beta$-Hölder triangle $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and a $\beta^{\prime}$-Hölder triangle $T^{\prime}=$ $T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be normally embedded, where $\beta \geq \beta^{\prime}$, $q_{1}=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)>\beta$ and $q_{2}=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{2}, T^{\prime}\right) \geq \beta$. If $T$ is a pizza slice associated with $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$ then there is an arc $\theta \subset T^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \theta\right)=\beta$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{2}, \theta\right)=\operatorname{tord}(\theta, T)=q_{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus conditions (5) are satisfied for triangles $T$ and $T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \theta\right) \subset T^{\prime}$. Moreover, if $q_{2}>\beta$ then tord $\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \theta\right)=\beta$ for any arc $\theta \subset T^{\prime}$ satisfying condition (6), and if $q_{2}=\beta$ then any arc $\theta \subset T^{\prime}$ such that tord $\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \theta\right)=\beta$ satisfies condition (6).

Proof. Let $\theta \subset T^{\prime}$ be an arc such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{2}, \theta\right)=q_{2}$. Note first that $\alpha=\operatorname{tord}(\theta, T) \geq q_{2}$. Suppose that $\alpha>q_{2}$, and let $\lambda \subset T$ be an arc such that $\operatorname{tor} d(\lambda, \theta)=\alpha$, thus $q^{\prime}=$ $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\lambda, T^{\prime}\right) \geq \alpha>q_{2}$. Let $\mu_{T}(q)$ be the affine width function of $T$. Note that $\mu$ cannot be constant, since $q_{1} \geq \alpha>q_{2}$, If the maximum of $\mu_{T}(q)$ is at $q=q_{2}$ then $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\lambda, \gamma_{2}\right)=$ $\mu\left(q^{\prime}\right) \leq \mu(\alpha)<\mu\left(q_{2}\right) \leq q_{2}$. Since $q_{2}=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{2}, \theta\right)$ and $\alpha=\operatorname{tord}(\lambda, \theta)>q_{2}$, this contradicts the non-Archimedean property. Thus the maximum of $\mu_{T}(q)$ is at $q=q_{1}$ and its minimum is $\mu\left(q_{2}\right)=\beta$.

If $q_{2}>\beta$ then $\operatorname{tord}\left(\theta, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\beta$ for any $\operatorname{arc} \theta \subset T^{\prime}$ satisfying condition (6). However, since $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \lambda\right)>\beta$, $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\mu\left(q_{1}\right)>\beta$ and $\alpha=\operatorname{tord}(\lambda, \theta)>q_{2} \geq \beta$, condition $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \theta\right)=\beta$ cannot be satisfied, a contradiction. Thus $\alpha=q_{2}$ in this case.

Otherwise, if $q_{2}=\beta$, then any $\operatorname{arc} \theta \subset T^{\prime}$ such that $\alpha=\operatorname{tord}(\theta, T)>\beta$ satisfies $\operatorname{tord}\left(\theta, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq \min \left(\alpha, q_{1}\right)>\beta$, thus any $\operatorname{arc} \theta \subset T^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \theta\right)=\beta$ satisfies condition (6).

Proposition 3.19. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be normally embedded $\beta$-Hölder triangles with the distance functions $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)$, such that $T$ is elementary with respect to $f$ and tord $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\lambda \subset T$ and $\lambda^{\prime} \subset T^{\prime}$


Figure 3. Illustration to the proof of Proposition 3.19.
be a regular pair of arcs such that $\tilde{T}=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \lambda\right)$ and $\tilde{T}^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ are $\tilde{\beta}$-Hölder triangles and $\check{T}=T\left(\lambda, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $\check{T}^{\prime}=T\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ are $\check{\beta}$-Hölder triangles. If both pairs $\left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\check{T}, \check{T}^{\prime}\right)$ satisfy conditions of Proposition 3.2, then the pair $\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies conditions of Proposition 3.2.

Proof. Since conditions 1-5 of Proposition 3.2 are equivalent, it is enough to prove condition 3, i.e., to find a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $h: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ such that $h\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$, $h\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, h(\gamma))=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)$ for each arc $\gamma \subset T$. Conditions of Proposition 3.19 imply that there is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\tilde{h}: \tilde{T} \rightarrow \tilde{T}^{\prime}$ such that $\tilde{h}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=$ $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \tilde{h}(\lambda)=\lambda^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, \tilde{h}(\gamma))=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}(\tilde{h}(\gamma, \tilde{T})$ for each $\operatorname{arc} \gamma \subset \tilde{T}$, and also a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\check{h}: \check{T} \rightarrow \check{T}^{\prime}$ such that $\check{h}(\lambda)=\lambda^{\prime}, \check{h}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, \check{h}(\gamma))=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \check{T}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}(\check{h}(\gamma), \check{T})$ for each $\operatorname{arc} \gamma \subset \check{T}$. We may assume that $\tilde{h}(x)=\check{h}(x)$ for $x \in \lambda$.

We claim that a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $h: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ with the necessary properties can be defined as $h(x)=\tilde{h}(x)$ for $x \in \tilde{T}$ and $h(x)=\check{h}(x)$ for $x \in \check{T}$. It is enough to show that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)$ for any arc $\gamma \subset \tilde{T}$ and $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \check{T}^{\prime}\right)$ for any arc $\gamma \subset \check{T}$.

Since $T$ is elementary with respect to $f$, Lemma 3.13 implies that $T^{\prime}$ is elementary with respect to $g$. Corollary 3.14 implies that $\tilde{T}$ is elementary with respect to $\tilde{f}, \tilde{T}^{\prime}$ is elementary with respect to $\tilde{g}, \check{T}$ is elementary with respect to $\check{f}$ and $\check{T}^{\prime}$ is elementary with respect to $\check{g}$.

Let $\gamma \subset \tilde{T}$. Then $\alpha=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)=\max \left(\operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)\right.$, $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma, \check{T}^{\prime}\right) \geq \operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)$. If $\alpha>\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)$ then there is an $\operatorname{arc} \gamma^{\prime} \subset \check{T}^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \check{T}^{\prime}\right)=\alpha$, thus $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, T\right) \geq \alpha$. Then

$$
\alpha>\operatorname{tord} d(\gamma, \tilde{h}(\gamma))=\operatorname{tord}(\tilde{h}(\gamma), \tilde{T}) \geq \operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \tilde{T}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \check{T}\right)
$$

Thus $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, T\right)>\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda^{\prime}, T\right)$, a contradiction with $T^{\prime}$ being elementary with respect to $g$.

Let $\gamma \subset \check{T}$. Then $\alpha=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$. If $\alpha>\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \check{T}^{\prime}\right)$ then there is an $\operatorname{arc} \gamma^{\prime} \subset \tilde{T}^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\alpha>\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \check{T}^{\prime} \geq \operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right.$ (see Fig. 3). This implies that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{tord}\left(\tilde{h}^{-1}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right), \gamma\right) \leq \operatorname{tord}\left(\tilde{h}^{-1}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right), \lambda\right)=$ $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{tord}\left(\tilde{h}^{-1}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right), \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\tilde{h}^{-1}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right), \gamma\right)<\alpha$. Since $T$ is elementary with respect to $f$, we have $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\tilde{h}^{-1}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right), \gamma^{\prime}\right) \geq \operatorname{tor} d\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \geq \alpha$, a contradiction with condition $\operatorname{tord}\left(\tilde{h}^{-1}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right), \gamma\right)<\alpha$. Thus $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}(\gamma, \check{T})$.

Theorem 3.20. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be normally embedded $\beta$-Hölder triangles satisfying (5). Let $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$ for $x \in T$ and $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)$ for $x^{\prime} \in T^{\prime}$. If $T$ is elementary with respect to $f$ then $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ satisfy conditions of Proposition 3.2. Moreover, $\mu_{T, f} \equiv \mu_{T^{\prime}, g}$, where $\mu_{T, f}(q)$ and $\mu_{T^{\prime}, g}(q)$ are the width functions defined on $Q_{f}(T)=Q_{g}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. Since the triangles are elementary, we may assume that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{p}$ be a minimal pizza decomposition of $T$ associated with $f$, where each pizza slice $T_{i}=T\left(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i}\right)$ is a $\beta_{i}$-Hölder triangle, $\lambda_{0}=\gamma_{1}$ and $\lambda_{p}=\gamma_{2}$. We proceed by induction on the number $p$ of pizza slices. The case $p=1$ follows from Lemma 3.15. If $p>1$ then $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{1}, T^{\prime}\right)>\beta$, otherwise $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ would not be a minimal pizza decomposition. It follows from Lemma 3.18 applied to $T_{1}$ that there is an arc $\theta_{1} \subset T^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \theta\right)=\beta_{1}$ and conditions of Proposition 3.2 are satisfied for $T_{1}$ and $T_{1}^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \theta_{1}\right)$.

Let $\check{T}=T\left(\lambda_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $\check{T}^{\prime}=T\left(\theta_{1}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{1}, T^{\prime}\right)>\beta$, $\check{T}$ and $\check{T}^{\prime}$ have the same exponents (see Remark 3.4). The same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 3.19 show that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \check{T}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)$ for any arc $\gamma \subset \check{T}$, thus $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=2}^{p}$ is a minimal pizza decomposition of $\check{T}$ associated with the function $\check{f}(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, \check{T}^{\prime}\right)$. By the inductional hypothesis, Hölder triangles $\check{T}$ and $\check{T}^{\prime}$ satisfy conditions of Proposition 3.2. Proposition 3.19 implies that $T=T_{1} \cup \check{T}$ and $T^{\prime}=\mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \cup \check{T}^{\prime}$ satisfy conditions of Proposition 3.2. The existence of a mapping $h: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ satisfying condition 5 of Proposition 3.2 implies that $Q_{f}(T)=Q_{g}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mu_{T, f} \equiv \mu_{T^{\prime}, g}$.

## 4. The $\sigma \tau$-Pizza invariant.

If two normally embedded Hölder triangles $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ satisfying condition (5) are not elementary with respect to the distance functions, then $T \cup T^{\prime}$ may be not outer bi-Lipschitz equivalent to the union of $T$ and a graph of a function defined on $T$ (see Fig. 4). In any case, a minimal pizza on $T$ associated with the function $f(x)=$ $\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$, and a minimal pizza on $T^{\prime}$ associated with the function $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)$, are outer Lipschitz invariants of the pair $\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$. The following example shows that two pairs $\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)$ of normally embedded triangles satisfying condition (5) may be not outer bi-Lipschitz equivalent even when the minimal pizzas on $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are equivalent to the minimal pizzas on $\tilde{T}$ and $\tilde{T}^{\prime}$ respectively.

Example 4.1. The links of two normally embedded Hölder triangles $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ are shown in Fig. 5. Triangle $T$ is partitioned by the $\operatorname{arcs} \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}$ into Hölder triangles $T_{1}=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right), T_{2}=T\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right), T_{3}=T\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right), T_{4}=T\left(\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right), T_{5}=$ $T\left(\lambda_{4}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ with exponents $\mu_{2}, q_{2}, \mu_{1}, q_{2}, \mu_{2}$, respectively, and triangle $T^{\prime}$ is partitioned by the $\operatorname{arcs} \lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \lambda_{3}^{\prime}, \lambda_{4}^{\prime}$ into Hölder triangles $T_{1}^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right), T_{2}^{\prime}=T\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right), T_{3}^{\prime}=T\left(\lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \lambda_{3}^{\prime}\right)$, $T_{4}^{\prime}=T\left(\lambda_{3}^{\prime}, \lambda_{4}^{\prime}\right), T_{5}^{\prime}=T\left(\lambda_{4}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ with exponents $\mu_{2}, q_{2}, \mu_{1}, q_{2}, \mu_{2}$, respectively, so that the following holds:
$\operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)=q_{2}$ for any arc $\gamma \subset T_{1}, \operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)=q_{1}$ for any arc $\gamma \subset T_{3}, \operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)=q_{2}$ for any arc $\gamma \subset T_{5} ; \operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ for any arc $\gamma \subset T_{2}, \operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \lambda_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ for any arc $\gamma \subset T_{4} ; \operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, T\right)=q_{2}$ for any arc $\gamma^{\prime} \subset T_{1}^{\prime}, \operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, T\right)=q_{1}$ for any arc $\gamma^{\prime} \subset T_{3}^{\prime}, \operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, T\right)=q_{2}$ for any arc $\gamma^{\prime} \subset T_{5}^{\prime} ; \operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, T\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ for any arc $\gamma^{\prime} \subset T_{2}^{\prime}, \operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, T\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ for any $\operatorname{arc} \gamma^{\prime} \subset T_{4}^{\prime}$.

In particular, $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ satisfy condition (5):
$\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, T\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{2}, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{2}^{\prime}, T\right)=q_{2}$.


Figure 4. Two normally embedded $\beta$-Hölder triangles, not elementary with respect to the distance functions. Shaded disks indicate zones with the tangency order higher than $\beta$.

Assuming $q_{1}>\mu_{1} \geq q_{2}>\mu_{2}$, the $\operatorname{arcs} \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{4}$ define a minimal pizza decomposition of $T$ associated with the function $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$.

Although $T$ is not elementary with respect to $f(x)$, the union $T \cup T^{\prime}$ is outer bi-Lipschitz equivalent to the union of $T$ and the graph of $f(x)$. In particular, a minimal pizza on $T^{\prime}$ associated with the function $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)$ is equivalent to a minimal pizza on $T$ associated with the function $f(x)$.

Hölder triangles $\tilde{T}$ and $\tilde{T}^{\prime}$ in Fig. 6 are also normally embedded and satisfy condition (5):
$\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \tilde{T}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{2}, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{2}^{\prime}, \tilde{T}\right)=q_{2}$.
Triangle $\tilde{T}$ is partitioned by the arcs $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}$ into Hölder triangles $\tilde{T}_{1}=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)$, $\tilde{T}_{2}=T\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right), \tilde{T}_{3}=T\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right), \tilde{T}_{4}=T\left(\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right), \tilde{T}_{5}=T\left(\lambda_{4}, \gamma_{2}\right)$, and triangle $\tilde{T}^{\prime}$ is partitioned by the $\operatorname{arcs} \lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \lambda_{3}^{\prime}, \lambda_{4}^{\prime}$ into Hölder triangles $\tilde{T}_{1}^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right), \tilde{T}_{2}^{\prime}=T\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, $\tilde{T}_{3}^{\prime}=T\left(\lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \lambda_{3}^{\prime}\right), \tilde{T}_{4}^{\prime}=T\left(\lambda_{3}^{\prime}, \lambda_{4}^{\prime}\right), \tilde{T}_{5}^{\prime}=T\left(\lambda_{4}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Conditions satisfied by these triangles are the same as for those in Fig. 5, except $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \lambda_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ for any arc $\gamma \subset \tilde{T}_{2}, \operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ for any arc $\gamma \subset \tilde{T}_{4} ; \operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \tilde{T}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ for any arc $\gamma^{\prime} \subset \tilde{T}_{2}^{\prime}, \operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \tilde{T}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ for any arc $\gamma^{\prime} \subset \tilde{T}_{4}^{\prime}$. Assuming $q_{1}>\mu_{1} \geq q_{2}>\mu_{2}$, the $\operatorname{arcs} \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{4}$ define a minimal pizza decomposition of $\tilde{T}$ associated with the function $\tilde{f}(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)$.

One can show that minimal pizzas on $T$ and $\tilde{T}$ are equivalent, and a minimal pizza on $\tilde{T}^{\prime}$ associated with the function $\tilde{g}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, \tilde{T}\right)$ is equivalent to a minimal pizza on $\tilde{T}$ associated with the function $\tilde{f}(x)$. Thus minimal pizzas on $T^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{T}^{\prime}$ are also equivalent.

However, the union $\tilde{T} \cup \tilde{T}^{\prime}$ is not outer bi-Lipschitz equivalent to the union of $\tilde{T}$ and the graph of $\tilde{f}(x)$. In particular, $\tilde{T} \cup \tilde{T}^{\prime}$ is not outer bi-Lipschitz equivalent to $T \cup T^{\prime}$.

Definition 4.2. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be normally embedded $\beta$-Hölder triangles, oriented from $\gamma_{1}$ to $\gamma_{2}$ and from $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ to $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ respectively, satisfying condition (5). Let $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)$ be the distance functions defined on $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ respectively. Let $D_{\ell} \subset V(T)$, for $\ell=0, \ldots, p$, be the pizza zones of a minimal pizza on $T$ associated with $f(x)$, ordered according to the orientation of $T$, and let $q_{\ell}=$


Figure 5. Two normally embedded Hölder triangles $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ in Example 4.1.


Figure 6. Two normally embedded Hölder triangles $\tilde{T}$ and $\tilde{T}^{\prime}$ in Example 4.1.
$\operatorname{tord}\left(D_{\ell}, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma} f$ for any arc $\gamma \in D_{\ell}$. A zone $D_{\ell}$ is called a maximal exponent zone for $f(x)$ (or simply a maximum zone) if either $0<\ell<p$ and $q_{\ell} \geq \max \left(q_{\ell-1}, q_{\ell+1}\right)$, or $\ell=0$ and $\beta<q_{0} \geq q_{1}$, or $\ell=p$ and $\beta<q_{p} \geq q_{p-1}$. If a zone $D_{\ell}$ is not a maximum zone, it is called a minimal exponent zone for $f(x)$ (or simply a minimum zone) if either $0<\ell<p$ and $q_{\ell} \leq \min \left(q_{\ell-1}, q_{\ell+1}\right)$, or $\ell=0$ and $q_{0} \leq q_{1}$, or $\ell=p$ and $q_{p} \leq q_{p-1}$. Maximum and minimum pizza zones $D_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime} \subset V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for a minimal pizza on $T^{\prime}$ associated with $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ are defined similarly, exchanging $T$ and $T^{\prime}$.

Remark 4.3. Each of the singular pizza zones $D_{0}=\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}$ and $D_{p}=\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\}$ is either a maximum or a minimum zone. When $p=1$ and $q_{0}=q_{1}>\beta$, both $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ are maximum zones. When $p=1$ and $q_{0}=q_{1} \leq \beta$, both $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ are minimum zones. If $p>1$ and $0<\ell<p$, then $q_{\ell}>\min \left(q_{\ell-1}, q_{\ell+1}\right)$ if $D_{\ell}$ is a maximum zone, $q_{\ell}<$ $\max \left(q_{\ell-1}, q_{\ell+1}\right)$ if $D_{\ell}$ is a minimum zone.

Proposition 4.4. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be two normally embedded Hölder triangles, oriented from $\gamma_{1}$ to $\gamma_{2}$ and from $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ to $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ respectively, satisfying condition (5). Let $\left\{M_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ and $\left\{M_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\}_{i^{\prime}=1}^{m^{\prime}}$ be the maximum zones in $V(T)$ and $V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for the distance functions $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)$ respectively, ordered according to the orientations of $T$ and $T^{\prime}$. Let $\bar{q}_{i}=\operatorname{tord}\left(M_{i}, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{q}_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\operatorname{tord}\left(M_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}, T\right)$. Then $m^{\prime}=m$, and
there is a canonical one-to-one correspondence $i^{\prime}=\sigma(i)$ between the zones $M_{i}$ and $M_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, such that $\mu\left(M_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)=\mu\left(M_{i}\right)$ and $\operatorname{tord}\left(M_{i}, M_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)=\bar{q}_{i}=\bar{q}_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. If $\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}=M_{1}$ is a maximum zone then $M_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\sigma(1)=1$. If $\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\}=M_{m}$ is a maximum zone then $M_{m}^{\prime}=\left\{\gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\sigma(m)=m$.
Proof. The case $p=1$ follows from Lemma 3.15, thus we may assume $p>1$.
Let us choose any arcs $\lambda_{i} \in D_{i}$, so that $\left\{T_{i}=T\left(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i}\right)\right\}$ is a minimal pizza on $T$ associated with the function $f(x)$. Let $q_{i}=\operatorname{ord}_{\lambda_{i}} f$ for $i=0, \ldots, p$, and let $\beta_{i}=$ $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i}\right)$ be the exponent of a pizza slice $T_{i}$, for $i=1, \ldots, p$.

Consider first the case when $\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}=\left\{\lambda_{0}\right\}$ is a maximum zone for $f(x)$. Then $q_{0}=$ $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $q_{1}=\operatorname{ord}_{\lambda_{1}} f \leq q_{0}$. If $q_{1}>\beta_{1}$, it follows from Lemma 3.15 that, for any arc $\lambda^{\prime} \subset T^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)=q_{1}$, Hölder triangles $T_{1}$ and $T_{1}^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ satisfy (5) and conditions of Proposition 3.2. If $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ is not a maximum zone for $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ then, for any arc $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ such that $T_{1}^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is a pizza slice for a minimal pizza associated with $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, we have $q_{1}^{\prime}=\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, T\right)>q_{0}$. Let $\lambda \subset T$ be any arc such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)=q_{1}^{\prime}$. Then Lemma 3.15 applied to $T_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\bar{T}=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \lambda\right)$ implies that $\bar{T}$ is a pizza slice for $f(x)$. Since $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma, T^{\prime}\right) \leq q_{0}$ for any arc $\gamma \subset T_{1}$, we have $T_{1} \subset \bar{T}$, a contradiction with $T_{1}$ being a pizza slice for a minimal pizza associated with $f(x)$.

Similarly, if $\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\}$ is a maximum zone for $f(x)$ then $\left\{\gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a maximum zone for $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.
Suppose next that $p>1$ and $M_{i}$ is a maximum zone for $f(x)$, where $0<i<p$. Let $\lambda^{\prime} \subset T^{\prime}$ be any arc such that $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\lambda_{i}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)=q_{i}$. We are going to show that $\lambda^{\prime}$ belongs to a maximum zone $D^{\prime}$ for a minimal pizza on $T^{\prime}$ associated with $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

Note first that, if $\lambda^{\prime}$ belongs to a pizza zone $D^{\prime}$ for a minimal pizza on $T^{\prime}$ associated with $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, the same arguments as those for $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ show that $D^{\prime}$ is a maximum zone for $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

Suppose that $\lambda^{\prime}$ does not belong to a pizza zone. Let $D_{j-1}^{\prime}$ and $D_{j}^{\prime}$ be two adjacent pizza zones for a minimal pizza on $T^{\prime}$ associated with $g\left(x^{\prime}\right), \lambda_{j-1}^{\prime} \in D_{j-1}^{\prime}$ and $\lambda_{j}^{\prime} \in D_{j}^{\prime}$, $\lambda^{\prime} \subset T_{j}^{\prime}=T\left(\lambda_{j-1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\lambda^{\prime} \notin D_{j-1}^{\prime} \cup D_{j}^{\prime}$. The same arguments as those for $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ show that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{j-1}^{\prime}, T\right) \leq q_{i}$ and $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda_{j}^{\prime}, T\right) \leq q_{i}$. Since $T_{j}^{\prime}$ is a pizza slice, at least one of these inequalities is an equality, say $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\lambda_{j}^{\prime}, T\right)=q_{i}$. Let $\mu_{j}^{\prime}=\nu\left(\lambda_{j}^{\prime}\right) \leq q_{i}$ be the order of the pizza zone $D_{j}^{\prime}$. Since any arc $\gamma^{\prime} \subset T_{j}^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{tor} d\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \lambda_{j}^{\prime}\right) \geq \nu\left(\lambda_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ belongs to $D_{j}^{\prime}$ and $\lambda^{\prime} \notin D_{j}^{\prime}$, we have $\operatorname{tord}\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda_{j}^{\prime}\right)<\mu_{j}^{\prime} \leq q_{i}$. Thus $\bar{T}^{\prime}=T\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ is a $\bar{\beta}$-Hölder triangle, where $\bar{\beta}<q_{i}$, such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, T\right)=q_{i}$ for any arc $\gamma^{\prime} \subset \bar{T}^{\prime}$.
Definition 4.5. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be two normally embedded Hölder triangles, oriented from $\gamma_{1}$ to $\gamma_{2}$ and from $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ to $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ respectively, satisfying condition (5). Let $\left\{M_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ and $\left\{M_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\}_{i^{\prime}=1}^{m^{\prime}}$ be the maximum zones in $V(T)$ and $V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for the functions $f(x)=$ $\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)$ respectively, ordered according to the orientations of $T$ and $T^{\prime}$. According to Proposition 4.4, we have $m^{\prime}=m$, and there is a canonical permutation $\sigma$ of the set $\{1, \ldots, m\}$, the characteristic permutation of the pair $T$ and $T^{\prime}$, such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(M_{i}, M_{\sigma(i)}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(M_{i}, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(M_{\sigma(i)}^{\prime}, T\right)$.
Definition 4.6. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be two normally embedded Hölder triangles, oriented from $\gamma_{1}$ to $\gamma_{2}$ and from $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ to $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ respectively, satisfying condition (5). Let $D_{\ell} \subset V(T)$, for $\ell=0, \ldots, p$, be the pizza zones of a minimal pizza $\left\{T_{\ell}=T\left(\lambda_{\ell-1}, \lambda_{\ell}\right)\right\}$ on $T$ associated with the distance function $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$, ordered according to the orientation of $T$. For $\ell=1, \ldots, p$, let $Y_{\ell}=D_{\ell-1} \cup D_{\ell} \cup V\left(T_{\ell}\right)$ be the maximal pizza slice zones in $V(T)$ associated with $f$ (see Corollary 2.31). Let $Q_{\ell}=Q_{f}\left(Y_{\ell}\right)=\left[q_{\ell-1}, q_{\ell}\right]$,
where $q_{\ell}=\operatorname{ord}_{\lambda_{\ell}} f$, and $\mu_{\ell}=\mu_{Y_{\ell}, f}: Q_{\ell} \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \cup\{\infty\}$ be the corresponding exponent intervals and affine width functions (see Definition 2.12). We say that a zone $Y_{\ell}$, and a pizza slice $T_{\ell}=T\left(\lambda_{\ell-1}, \lambda_{\ell}\right)$ where $\lambda_{\ell-1} \in D_{\ell-1}$ and $\lambda_{\ell} \in D_{\ell}$, is transversal if $\mu_{\ell}(q) \equiv q$, and non-transversal otherwise.

Proposition 4.7. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be two normally embedded Hölder triangles, oriented from $\gamma_{1}$ to $\gamma_{2}$ and from $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ to $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ respectively, satisfying condition (5). Let $D_{\ell}, Y_{\ell}, Q_{\ell}=\left[q_{\ell-1}, q_{\ell}\right]$ and $\mu_{\ell}$ be as in Definition 4.6. Let $D_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, for $\ell^{\prime}=0, \ldots, p^{\prime}$, be the pizza zones of a minimal pizza on $T^{\prime}$ associated with $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)$, ordered according to the orientation of $T^{\prime}$. Let $Y_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime} \subset V\left(T^{\prime}\right), Q_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}=Q_{g}\left(Y_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)=\left[q_{\ell^{\prime}-1}, q_{\ell^{\prime}}\right] \subset \mathbb{F} \cup\{\infty\}$ and $\mu_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}: Q_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \cup\{\infty\}$ be the corresponding maximal pizza slice zones, exponent intervals and affine width functions. Then, for each index $\ell$ such that the pizza slice zone $Y_{\ell}$ is nontransversal, there is a unique index $\ell^{\prime}=\tau(\ell)$ such that $Q_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}=Q_{\ell}, \mu_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime} \equiv \mu_{\ell}$ and one of the following two conditions holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{tord}\left(D_{\ell}, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(D_{\ell}, D_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)= & \operatorname{tord}\left(D_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}, T\right)  \tag{7}\\
& \operatorname{tord}\left(D_{\ell-1}, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(D_{\ell-1}, D_{\ell^{\prime}-1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(D_{\ell^{\prime}-1}^{\prime}, T\right) ; \\
\operatorname{tord}\left(D_{\ell}, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(D_{\ell}, D_{\ell^{\prime}-1}^{\prime}\right)= & \operatorname{tord}\left(D_{\ell^{\prime}-1}^{\prime}, T\right),  \tag{8}\\
& \operatorname{tord}\left(D_{\ell-1}, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(D_{\ell-1}, D_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(D_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}, T\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $Y_{\ell} \subset V(T)$ be a non-transversal maximal pizza slice zone for a minimal pizza associated with $f$. For each $q \in Q_{\ell}$ let $Z_{q} \subset Y_{\ell}$ be the maximal $q$-order zone for $f$. If $Q_{\ell}=\left\{q_{\ell}\right\}$ is a point, then $q_{\ell}>\mu_{\ell}$ since $Y_{\ell}$ is non-transversal. It follows from Proposition 3.9 and Corollary 3.10 that there is a unique maximal $q_{\ell^{-}}$order zone $Z^{\prime} \subset V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for $g$, of order $\mu_{\ell}$, containing all $\operatorname{arcs} \gamma^{\prime} \subset V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, Y_{\ell}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{\gamma^{\prime}} g=q_{\ell}$. Let us show that $Z^{\prime}$ is a maximal pizza slice zone for $g$. Let $Z^{\prime} \subset Y_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ where $Y_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is a maximal pizza slice zone for a minimal pizza associated with $g$, of order $\mu_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. If $Z^{\prime} \neq Y_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ then either $Q_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\left\{q_{\ell}\right\}$ is a point but $\mu_{\ell}>\mu_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ or $Q_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is not a point.

In the first case, there is a $\beta^{\prime}$-Hölder triangle $\tilde{T}^{\prime}=T\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{1}^{\prime}, \tilde{\gamma}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\beta^{\prime}<\mu_{\ell}, V\left(\tilde{T}^{\prime}\right) \subset$
 and $\tilde{\gamma}_{2}$ are two arcs in $T$ such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{1}, \tilde{\gamma}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{2}, \tilde{\gamma}_{2}^{\prime}\right)=q_{\ell}$. Since $Y_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is a pizza slice zone, $\tilde{T}^{\prime}$ is elementary with respect to $g$, and the pair $\left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies (5). It follows from Theorem 3.20 applied to $\tilde{T}^{\prime}$ that $\tilde{T}$ is elementary with respect to $f, Q_{f}(\tilde{T})=\left\{q_{\ell}\right\}$ and $Z \cap V(\tilde{T})$ is a $\mu_{\ell}$-zone. Since $\beta^{\prime}<\mu_{\ell}$, this contradicts the assumption that $Y_{\ell}$ is a minimal pizza slice zone.

The arguments for the second case, when $Q_{\ell}$ is a point but $Q_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is not a point, are similar: one can find a Hölder triangle $\tilde{T}^{\prime} \subset T^{\prime}$ such that $Q_{g}\left(\tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)=Q_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is not a point, $\tilde{T}^{\prime}$ is elementary with respect to $g$, and $V\left(\tilde{T}^{\prime}\right) \cap Z^{\prime}$ is a $\mu_{\ell}$-zone. Then there is a Hölder triangle $\tilde{T} \subset T$ such that the pair $\left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies (5). Theorem 3.20 applied to $\tilde{T}^{\prime}$ implies that $Q_{f}(\tilde{T})$ is not a point, while the width function of $\tilde{T}$ is affine, a contradiction with the assumption that $Y_{\ell}$ is a minimal pizza slice zone.

Suppose now that $Q_{\ell}=\left[q_{\ell-1}, q_{\ell}\right]$ is not a point. Then Proposition 3.9 and Corollary 3.10 applies to each $q$-order zone $Z_{q} \subset Y_{\ell}$ for $f$ when $q \in \dot{Q}_{\ell}=\left(q_{\ell-1}, q_{\ell}\right)$, but may be not applicable when $q=q_{\ell-1}$ or $q=q_{\ell}$ if $\mu_{\ell}(q)=q$. For $q \in \dot{Q}_{\ell}$, let $Z_{q}^{\prime} \subset V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ be the $q$-order zone for $g$, of order $\mu_{\ell}(q)$, corresponding to $Z_{q}$. Then $Z=\bigcup_{q \in \dot{Q}_{\ell}} Z_{q}$ is a pizza slice zone for $f$, and $Z^{\prime}=\bigcup_{q \in \dot{Q}_{\ell}} Z_{q}^{\prime}$ is a pizza slice zone for $g$. Let $\subset Y_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime} \supset \dot{Z}^{\prime}$ be the maximal
pizza slice zone for a minimal pizza associated with $g$, of order $\mu_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. The same arguments as above show that $Q_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}=Q_{\ell}$ and $\mu_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime} \equiv \mu_{\ell}$.

Note that the pairs of zones $\left(Z_{q}, Z_{q}^{\prime}\right)$ are either all positively oriented or all negatively oriented (see Definition 3.12). Accordingly, either (7) or (8) holds for the pairs of maximal pizza slice zones $\left(Y_{\ell}, Y_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$.

Definition 4.8. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be two normally embedded Hölder triangles, oriented from $\gamma_{1}$ to $\gamma_{2}$ and from $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ to $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ respectively, satisfying condition (5). Let $\left\{T_{\ell}\right\}$ and $\left\{T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\}$ be minimal pizzas on $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ for the distance functions $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)$ respectively, ordered according to the orientations of $T$ and $T^{\prime}$. Then, according to Proposition 4.7, there is a canonical one-to-one correspondence $\ell^{\prime}=$ $\tau(\ell)$ between the sets of non-transversal pizza slices $T_{\ell}$ for a minimal pizza on $T$ associated with $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$, ordered according to the orientation of $T$, and the set of nontransversal pizza slices $T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ for a minimal pizza on $T^{\prime}$ associated with $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)$, ordered according to the orientation of $T^{\prime}$. This defines a characteristic correspondence $\tau$ between the sets of non-transversal pizza slices of $T$ and $T^{\prime}$. In particular, these two sets have the same number of elements. We say that a pair of non-transversal pizza slice zones $Y_{\ell}$ and $Y_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ where $\ell^{\prime}=\tau(\ell)$, and a pair of non-transversal pizza slices $T_{\ell}$ and $T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, is positively oriented if (7) holds and negatively oriented otherwise (see Definition 3.16). Thus $\tau$ is a signed correspondence, with the signs + and - assigned to the positively and negatively oriented pairs of non-transversal pizza slice zones.

Remark 4.9. For each pair ( $T_{\ell}, T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ ) of non-transversal pizza slices, where $\ell^{\prime}=\tau(\ell)$, the signed correspondence $\tau$ defines a correspondence between the two pizza zones $D_{\ell-1}$ and $D_{\ell}$ and the two pizza zones $D_{\ell^{\prime}-1}^{\prime}$ and $D_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, in the same (resp., opposite) order if the pair is positively (resp., negatively) oriented. This correspondence between a subset of pizza zones of $T$ and a subset of pizza zones of $T^{\prime}$ may be not one-to-one: a pizza zone of $T$ common to two non-transversal pizza slices may correspond to two different pizza zones of $T^{\prime}$, and two different pizza zones of $T$ may correspond to the same pizza zone of $T^{\prime}$ (see Fig. 7). However, it is one-to-one on the set of those pizza zones which are also maximum zones (see Proposition 4.10).

Proposition 4.10. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be normally embedded Hölder triangles, oriented from $\gamma_{1}$ to $\gamma_{2}$ and from $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ to $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ respectively, satisfying condition (5). Let $\left\{T_{\ell}\right\}$ and $\left\{T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\}$ be minimal pizzas on $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ for the distance functions $f(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)$ respectively, ordered according to the orientations of $T$ and $T^{\prime}$. Let $\left(T_{\ell}, T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\ell^{\prime}=\tau(\ell)$, be a pair of non-transversal pizza slices such that one of the pizza zones of $T_{\ell}$, say $D=D_{\ell}$, is a maximum zone $M_{i} \subset V(T)$. Then the corresponding pizza zone $D^{\prime}$ of $T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ (either $D^{\prime}=D_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ for a positively oriented pair $\left(T_{\ell}, T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ or $D^{\prime}=D_{\ell^{\prime}-1}^{\prime}$ for a negatively oriented pair) is a maximum zone $M_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime} \subset V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, where $i^{\prime}=\sigma(i)$.

Proof. If $D$ is a boundary arc of $T$ then the statement follows from Proposition 4.4, since $D^{\prime}$ is also a boundary arc of $T^{\prime}$ and a (singular) maximum zone.

If $D=D_{\ell}$ is not a boundary arc, and both maximal pizza slice zones $Y_{\ell}$ and $Y_{\ell+1}$ containing $D_{\ell}$ are non-transversal, then Proposition 4.7 implies that the corresponding zones in $V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ are either $Y_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and $Y_{\ell^{\prime}+1}^{\prime}$ (if $\left(Y_{\ell}, Y_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ is a positively oriented pair) or $Y_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and $Y_{\ell^{\prime}-1}^{\prime}$ (if $\left(Y_{\ell}, Y_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ is a negatively oriented pair). In both cases, Proposition 4.7 implies


Figure 7. Two normally embedded Hölder triangles in Remark 4.9. Shaded disks indicate pizza zones of minimal pizzas on $T$ and $T^{\prime}$. Assuming $q_{1}=q_{4}>\mu_{1}=\mu_{4}$ and $q_{2}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}<q_{3}$, there are four non-transversal pairs of pizza slices: $\left(T_{1}, T_{1}^{\prime}\right),\left(T_{2}, T_{3}^{\prime}\right),\left(T_{3}, T_{2}^{\prime}\right),\left(T_{4}, T_{4}^{\prime}\right)$. The correspondence $\tau(1)$ maps $D_{1}$ to $D_{1}^{\prime}$, while $\tau(2)$ maps $D_{1}$ to $D_{3}^{\prime}$.
that $D^{\prime}$ is a maximum zone such that $\operatorname{tor} d\left(D, D^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(D, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(D^{\prime}, T\right)=q_{\ell}$, thus $i^{\prime}=\sigma(i)$.

Otherwise, if $Y_{\ell}$ is a non-transversal zone but $Y_{\ell+1}$ is transversal, Lemma 3.18 implies that there are two $\tilde{\beta}$-Hölder triangles $\tilde{T}=T\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{1}, \tilde{\gamma}_{2}\right) \subset T_{\ell+1}$ and $\tilde{T}^{\prime}=T\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{1}^{\prime}, \tilde{\gamma}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \subset T^{\prime}$ satisfying (5), where $\tilde{\beta}<q_{\ell}$, such that $\tilde{T}^{\prime} \cap T_{\ell}^{\prime}=\left\{\tilde{\gamma}_{1}^{\prime}\right\}, \tilde{\gamma}_{1} \in D, \tilde{\gamma}_{1}^{\prime} \in D^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{tord}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{1}, \tilde{\gamma}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=$ $q_{\ell}$. Theorem 3.20 applied to $\tilde{T}$ and $\tilde{T}^{\prime}$ implies that $D^{\prime}$ is a maximum zone such that $\operatorname{tord}\left(D, D^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(D, T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tord}\left(D^{\prime}, T\right)=q_{\ell}$, thus $i^{\prime}=\sigma(i)$.

Proposition 4.11. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be two normally embedded Hölder triangles, oriented from $\gamma_{1}$ to $\gamma_{2}$ and from $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ to $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ respectively, satisfying condition (5). Then the sign assigned to each pair $\left(T_{\ell}, T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ of non-transversal pizza slices such that $\ell^{\prime}=\tau(\ell)$ is completely determined by the minimal pizzas $\left\{T_{\ell}\right\}$ and $\left\{T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\}$, the characteristic permutation $\sigma$ and the characteristic correspondence $\tau$.

Proof. Let $Y_{\ell} \subset V(T)$ and $Y_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime} \subset V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ be two non-transversal pizza slice zones such that $\ell^{\prime}=\tau(\ell)$. According to Definition 4.8, the pair $\left(Y_{\ell}, Y_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ is positively oriented if (7) holds and negatively oriented if (8) holds. If $Q_{\ell}=\left[q_{\ell}, q_{\ell+1}\right]$ is not a point then $q_{\ell} \neq q_{\ell+1}$, thus $Q_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\left[q_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}, q_{\ell^{\prime}+1}^{\prime}\right]$ is also not a point, and the pair is positive when $q_{\ell}=q_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and negative otherwise. If $Q_{\ell}=\left\{q_{\ell}\right\}$ is a point then $\mu_{\ell}<q_{\ell}$, and each of the pizza zones $D_{\ell}$ and $D_{\ell+1}$ is either a maximum or a minimum zone. If, say, $D_{\ell}=M_{i}$ is a maximum zone, then the pair is positive when $D_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}=M_{\sigma(i)}$ and negative otherwise.

The case when each of them contains a boundary arc is trivial, so we may assume that they are interior zones. If $Q_{\ell}=Q_{\ell^{\prime}}$ is not a point then the sign is uniquely determined by the maxima of non-constant affine functions $\mu_{\ell}(q) \equiv \mu_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}(q)$. If $Q_{\ell}=Q_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\left\{q_{\ell}\right\}>\mu_{\ell}$ is a point, then the pizza zones $D_{\ell-1}$ and $D_{\ell}$ correspond to the pizza zones $D_{\ell^{\prime}-1}^{\prime}$ and $D_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ in the same order if the sign is positive, and in the opposite order if the sign is negative. Note that each of these zones is either a maximum or a minimum zone, since on one side of each of them $q$ is constant. The correspondence between the pizza slice zones sends a
maximum pizza zone in $V(T)$ to a maximum pizza zone in $V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, and a minimum pizza zone in $V(T)$ to a minimum pizza zone in $V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. If one of the pizza zones in $V(T)$ is a maximum zone and another is a minimum zone, then the same is true for the pizza zones in $V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, and the correspondence is uniquely defined. If both pizza zones are maximum zones then the correspondence is defined by $\sigma$. If both pizza zones in $V(T)$ are minimum zones, since $q_{\ell}>\mu_{\ell}$, the two maximum pizza zones in $V(T)$ closest to $Y_{\ell}$ are mapped by $\sigma$ to the two maximum pizza zones in $V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ closest to $Y_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ in the same order if the sign is positive and in the opposite order if the sign is negative: one can only get from one side of $Y_{\ell}$ to another side through a part of $T^{\prime}$ where $q \leq \mu_{\ell}$.

Definition 4.12. Let $T=T\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be two normally embedded Hölder triangles, oriented from $\gamma_{1}$ to $\gamma_{2}$ and from $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ to $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ respectively, satisfying condition (5). Let $\left\{T_{\ell}\right\}$ and $\left\{T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\}$ be minimal pizzas on $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ for the distance functions $f(x)=$ $\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)$ respectively, ordered according to the orientations of $T$ and $T^{\prime}$. A $\sigma \tau$-pizza on $T \cup T^{\prime}$ is a triplet consisting of the pair of minimal pizzas $\left\{T_{\ell}\right\}$ and $\left\{T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\}$, the characteristic permutation $\sigma$ of the maximum pizza zones in $V(T)$ and $V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, and the characteristic correspondence $\tau$ of the non-transversal pizza slices of $T$ and $T^{\prime}$. Two $\sigma \tau$-pizzas $\left(\left\{T_{\ell}\right\},\left\{T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\}, \sigma_{T}, \tau_{T}\right)$ on $T \cup T^{\prime}$ and $\left(\left\{S_{\ell}\right\},\left\{S_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\}, \sigma_{S}, \tau_{S}\right)$ on $S \cup S^{\prime}$ are combinatorially equivalent if the pairs $\left(\left\{T_{\ell}\right\},\left\{T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ and $\left(\left\{S_{\ell}\right\},\left\{S_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ are combinatorially equivalent, $\sigma_{T}=\sigma_{S}$ and $\tau_{T}=\tau_{S}$.

Theorem 4.13. Let $\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(S, S^{\prime}\right)$ be two oriented pairs of normally embedded Hölder triangles satisfying condition (5). If there is an orientation-preserving outer bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $H: T \cup T^{\prime} \rightarrow S \cup S^{\prime}$ such that $H(T)=S$ and $H\left(T^{\prime}\right)=S^{\prime}$, then the $\sigma \tau$-pizzas of the pairs $\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(S, S^{\prime}\right)$ are combinatorially equivalent.

Proof. Let $f_{T}(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right), g_{T}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, T\right), f_{S}(y)=\operatorname{dist}\left(y, S^{\prime}\right)$ and $g_{S}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=$ $\operatorname{dist}\left(y^{\prime}, S\right)$ be the distance functions defined on $T, T^{\prime}, S$ and $S^{\prime}$ respectively. Let $M_{i} \subset$ $V(T)$ and $M_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime} \subset V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ be the maximum zones for the pair $\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$, and let $N_{i} \subset V(S)$ and $N_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime} \subset V\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ be the maximum zones for the pair $\left(S, S^{\prime}\right)$.

Since $H$ is an outer bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism, $f_{T}$ is Lipschitz contact equivalent to $f_{S}$, and $g_{T}$ is Lipschitz contact equivalent to $g_{S}$. Theorem 2.19 implies that the corresponding pairs of minimal pizzas $\left(\left\{T_{\ell}\right\},\left\{T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ and $\left(\left\{S_{\ell}\right\},\left\{S_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ are combinatorially equivalent. Accordingly, $H$ maps each maximum zone $M_{i}$ to the maximum zone $N_{i}$, and each maximum zone $M_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ to the maximum zone $N_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. A pair of maximum zones $\left(M_{i}, M_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$, where $i^{\prime}=\sigma_{T}(i)$, is mapped to the pair of maximum zones $\left(N_{i}, N_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ preserving the order of contact between these zones. This implies that $i^{\prime}=\sigma_{S}(i)$, thus the permutations $\sigma_{T}$ and $\sigma_{S}$ are equal.

Moreover, since $H$ preserves the tangency orders between arcs, it maps each maximal pizza slice zone $Y_{\ell}$ of a minimal pizza on $T$ associated with $f_{T}$ to the maximal pizza slice zone $Z_{\ell}$ of a minimal pizza on $S$ associated with $f_{S}$, and each maximal pizza slice zone $Y_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ of a minimal pizza on $T^{\prime}$ associated with $g_{T}$ to the maximal pizza slice zone $Z_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ of a minimal pizza on $S^{\prime}$ associated with $g_{S}$, with the corresponding width functions preserved. Accordingly, if $\left(T_{\ell}, T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ is a non-transversal pair of pizza slices of minimal pizzas associated with $f_{T}$ and $g_{T}$, where $\ell^{\prime}=\tau_{T}(\ell)$, then $\left(H\left(T_{\ell}\right), H\left(T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is a non-transversal pair of pizza slices of minimal pizzas associated with $f_{S}$ and $g_{S}$, such that $V\left(H\left(T_{\ell}\right)\right) \subset Z_{\ell}$ and $V\left(H\left(T_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right) \subset Z_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. This implies that $\ell^{\prime}=\tau_{S}(\ell)$, thus the correspondences $\tau_{T}$ and $\tau_{S}$ are
equal. Proposition 4.11 implies that $\tau_{T}$ and $\tau_{S}$ are equal also as signed correspondences.

The following conjecture states that, conversely, two pairs of normally embedded Hölder triangles satisfying condition (5) with the same $\sigma \tau$-pizza invariant are outer bi-Lipschitz equivalent, thus the $\sigma \tau$-pizza is a complete combinatorial invariant of an outer bi-Lipschitz equivalence class of pairs of normally embedded Hölder triangles.

Conjecture 4.14. Let $\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(S, S^{\prime}\right)$ be two ordered oriented pairs of normally embedded Hölder triangles satisfying condition (5). If the $\sigma \tau$-pizza of the pair $\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ is combinatorially equivalent to the $\sigma \tau$-pizza of the pair $\left(S, S^{\prime}\right)$, then there is an orientationpreserving outer bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $H: T \cup T^{\prime} \rightarrow S \cup S^{\prime}$ such that $H(T)=S$ and $H\left(T^{\prime}\right)=S^{\prime}$.
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