# I can see clearly now: Sub-diffraction limit 3D coherent lidar imaging

TONY ALLEN AFRL/RYMM Purdue University

Dr. David Rabb – AFRL/RYMM Dr. Gregery Buzzard – Purdue University Dr. Charles Bouman – Purdue University





# **3D Coherent LIDAR Imaging**

•Goal: Long-range 3D imaging

Strategy:

- Heterodyne detection to capture complex image
- Sweep local oscillator frequency to obtain depth



# **Conventional LIDAR Processing**



### **LIDAR Forward Model**



• MBIR Approach  $\hat{r} = \arg \min_{r} \{-\log p(y|r) - \log p(r)\}$ 

### **Exact Update for MBIR\***



\*C.J. Pellizzari et al., "Phase-error estimation and image reconstruction from digital-holography data using a Bayesian framework", J. Opt. Soc. Am., 2017

### **Exact Update for MBIR\***



\*C.J. Pellizzari et al., "Phase-error estimation and image reconstruction from digital-holography data using a Bayesian framework", J. Opt. Soc. Am., 2017

# **Approximate Update for MBIR\***

Pellizzari: Assume that  $A^H A = I$ , so...

E-Step 
$$C \leftarrow (I + \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_{W}^{2}/r))^{-1}$$
$$\mu \leftarrow CA^{H}y$$
$$\mathsf{M-Step} \qquad r \leftarrow \arg\min_{r} \left\{ \sum_{i} \left\{ \log r_{i} + \frac{|\mu_{i}|^{2} + C_{i,i}}{r_{i}} \right\} - \log p(r) \right\}$$

- Advantage: Easy to compute
- Disadvantages:
  - Ignores aperture blur X



- Propagates error to  $\mu$ 

\*C.J. Pellizzari et al., "Phase-error estimation and image reconstruction from digital-holography data using a Bayesian framework", J. Opt. Soc. Am., 2017 6

### **Our Update for MBIR**

•Our Approach: Approximate C, but find  $\mu$  exactly



- Advantages:
  - Accounts for aperture blur
  - Error in C doesn't propagate to  $\mu$

# **Our Update for MBIR**

•Our Approach: Approximate C, but find  $\mu$  exactly



- Advantages:
  - Accounts for aperture blur
  - Error in C doesn't propagate to  $\mu$
  - Easy to compute

### **3D-MACE: LIDAR Reconstruction Algorithm**

12

- 3D-Multi Agent Consensus Equilibrium (3D-MACE)
  - Solution balances Forward Agents and Prior Agents

#### **Forward Agents**







- CNNs trained on natural images
- Applied to 2D slices of 3D image

• Integrate multi-look data as individual agents

### **3D-MACE: LIDAR Reconstruction Algorithm**

- 3D-Multi Agent Consensus Equilibrium (3D-MACE)
  - Solution balances Forward Agents and Prior Agents



• Solved by iterative fixed-point algorithm\*

• 
$$r \leftarrow (1 - \rho)r + \rho(2G - I)(2F - I)r$$



\*G. T. Buzzard et al., "Plug and play unplugged: Optimization Free Reconstruction using Consensus Equilibrium", SIAM J. Imaging Science., 2017

### **Results – Simulated 2D Bar Chart**



Reconstructions with 4 looks

Traditional Reconstruction

$$\hat{r} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\ell} |A^H y_{\ell}|^2$$

MACE Solution (No aperture model) MACE Solution (With aperture model)

### **Results – Simulated 3D Scene**

**Reconstructions with 8 looks** 



Traditional Reconstruction  $\hat{r} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\ell} |A^{H}y_{\ell}|^{2}$ 

3D-MACE Solution (No aperture model) 3D-MACE Solution (with aperture model) 12

## **Takeaways**

### 3D-MACE Algorithm

- Fast EM-updates for removing aperture blur
- Represent each look by an EM-Agent
- Prior model is implemented with CNN

### Results:

- Speckle-reduced images
- Resolution beyond diffraction limited resolutions

# Thank You

### Contact: allen450@purdue.edu

### TONY ALLEN