

These course notes from spring 2010 are extremely rough, so *caveat lector*.

# An Introduction to Etale Cohomology

Donu Arapura

November 25, 2012

# Contents

<b>I</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>0</b>	<b>Review of schemes</b>	<b>4</b>
0.1	Coordinate rings . . . . .	4
0.2	Spectrum . . . . .	5
0.3	Affine schemes . . . . .	6
0.4	Schemes . . . . .	7
0.5	Localization . . . . .	8
0.6	Morphisms . . . . .	9
0.7	Schemes as functors . . . . .	11
<b>1</b>	<b>Differential Calculus of Schemes</b>	<b>13</b>
1.1	Étale maps . . . . .	13
1.2	Kähler differentials . . . . .	15
1.3	Flat maps . . . . .	17
1.4	Extension to schemes . . . . .	19
<b>2</b>	<b>Étale fundamental group</b>	<b>20</b>
2.1	Profinite groups and Galois theory . . . . .	20
2.2	Étale fundamental group . . . . .	21
2.3	First homology . . . . .	22
<b>3</b>	<b>Étale topology</b>	<b>24</b>
3.1	Grothendieck topologies . . . . .	24
3.2	Sheaves . . . . .	25
3.3	Faithfully flat descent . . . . .	26
3.4	Schemes modulo equivalence relations . . . . .	28
<b>4</b>	<b>Cohomology</b>	<b>29</b>
4.1	Exact sequences . . . . .	29
4.2	Stalks in the étale topology . . . . .	30
4.3	Cohomology . . . . .	31
4.4	Torsors . . . . .	33
4.5	Galois cohomology . . . . .	35

<b>5</b>	<b>Cohomology of Curves</b>	<b>38</b>
5.1	Picard group . . . . .	38
5.2	Etale cohomology of $\mathbb{G}_m$ . . . . .	40
5.3	Constructible Sheaves . . . . .	42
<b>6</b>	<b>Cohomology of surfaces</b>	<b>44</b>
6.1	Finiteness of Cohomology . . . . .	44
6.2	Divisors on a surface . . . . .	45
6.3	The Lefschetz trace formula . . . . .	47
6.4	Riemann hypothesis for curves . . . . .	49
<b>7</b>	<b>Comparison with classical cohomology</b>	<b>51</b>
7.1	The comparison theorem for curves . . . . .	51
7.2	The comparison theorem for surfaces . . . . .	53
7.3	Base change . . . . .	54
<b>8</b>	<b>Main Theorems</b>	<b>55</b>

## Part I

# Introduction

# Chapter 0

## Review of schemes

In the classical approach to algebraic geometry, one considers the basic objects, called varieties, as sets of solutions to a polynomial equations in affine or projective space. There are however some limitations:

- One would like to be able to construct spaces by gluing simpler pieces together, as one does in other parts of geometry and topology.
- When working over nonalgebraically closed fields – which is important for applications to number theory and also within algebraic geometry – there may not be any points at all.
- Even in classical arguments, one sometimes has to keep track of multiplicities. For example, one might view the double line  $y^2 = 0$  as the different from the  $x$ -axis. So one would like a framework where this kind of distinction makes sense.

Grothendieck's theory schemes gave a solution to all of these problems. Of course there is a price to be paid in the extra abstraction. Here is a quick overview. See Hartshorne's text [H] for more details. The ultimate source is [EGA]. In a nutshell, a scheme is built by gluing together simpler pieces called affine schemes. Affine schemes are determined by the rings of functions on them.

### 0.1 Coordinate rings

In classical algebraic geometry, the basic objects can be thought of sets of points. Over an algebraically closed field this is reasonable, but consider the equations

$$X : x^2 + y^2 = -1$$

$$Y : x^2 + y^2 = 3$$

These have no solutions in  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$  and  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}}^2$  respectively, and therefore no solutions over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Since they both define the empty set in  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ , should they be regarded as

the same? The answer is no. An isomorphism *should* be given by an invertible transformation  $x \mapsto f(x, y), y \mapsto g(x, y)$ , given by a pair of integer polynomials, which takes the first equation to the second. In other words, what we really want is an isomorphism of rings

$$\mathcal{O}(X) = \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(x^2 + y^2 + 1) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(x^2 + y^2 - 3) = \mathcal{O}(Y)$$

Such an isomorphism would guarantee a bijection between the sets  $X(R) \cong Y(R)$  of solutions to  $x^2 + y^2 + 1 = 0$  and  $x^2 + y^2 - 3 = 0$  respectively, for any ring  $R$ . Taking  $R = \mathbb{R}$  shows that this is impossible.

In general, the affine “variety”  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}_R^n$ , over some ring  $R$ , defined by polynomials  $f_i = 0$  is completely determined by the coordinate ring

$$S = \mathcal{O}(X) = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_N)$$

The set of solutions  $X(R')$  in any  $R$ -algebra  $R'$  can be identified with

$$\text{Hom}_{R\text{-alg}}(S, R')$$

because any homomorphism  $h : S \rightarrow R'$  is uniquely determined by the point  $(h(x_1), \dots, h(x_n)) \in X(R')$ . When  $R = R'$  is an algebraically closed field, we can identify this with the set of maximal ideals in  $S$  by Hilbert’s nullstellensatz. All the other familiar constructions: dimension, tangent spaces, ... can be read off from  $S$  as well.

## 0.2 Spectrum

Before going further, it may be useful to have a basic model from analysis/topology. Given a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , let  $C(X)$  denote the set of continuous complex valued functions. This is a commutative ring (always with 1 for us). When endowed with the *sup* norm, it becomes a so called unital  $C^*$ -algebra. (Since this is just for motivation, we won’t bother writing down the axioms.)

A special case of Gelfand duality says that we can reconstruct  $X$  from  $C(X)$ . This is what we want to understand. Given a commutative ring  $R$ , let  $\text{Max } R$  denote the set of maximal ideals of  $R$ . This is the maximal ideal spectrum. We make this into a topological space by equipping it with the Zariski topology: the closed sets are the sets

$$\{m \mid I \subseteq m\}$$

for ideals  $I \subset R$ . To every  $x \in X$ , define

$$m_x = \{f \in C(X) \mid f(x) = 0\}$$

This is a maximal ideal such that  $C(X)/m_x \cong \mathbb{C}$ . The reconstruction result now follows from the next theorem.

**Theorem 0.2.1.** *The map  $X \rightarrow \text{Max } C(X)$  is a homeomorphism.*

Given a continuous map of spaces  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , we get a homomorphism  $C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$  given by  $g \mapsto g \circ f$ . Thus  $C(X)$  can be regarded as contravariant functor, or equivalently a covariant functor of the category of  $C^*$ -algebras with arrows reversed. This is called the *opposite* category. The full form of Gelfand duality says

**Theorem 0.2.2** (Gelfand). *The functor  $X \mapsto C(X)$  induces an equivalence between the category of compact Hausdorff spaces and the opposite category of commutative unital  $C^*$ -algebras.*

Now let us return to algebraic geometry. Following Grothendieck, we can make the bold leap

$$\text{Affine Algebraic Geometry} = (\text{Commutative Algebra})^{\text{opposite}}$$

We need to understand how to get a geometric object out of a general commutative ring. Given a such ring  $R$ , we have already defined  $\text{Max } R$ . The problem is that given a homomorphism of rings  $h : R \rightarrow S$ , there is in general no natural way to get a map  $\text{Max } S \rightarrow \text{Max } R$ . If however, we relax the conditions from maximal ideals to prime ideals, then there is a way. Let  $\text{Spec } R$  denote the set of prime ideals of  $R$ . It is called the spectrum of  $R$ . We do get a map  $\text{Spec } S \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  given by  $p \mapsto h^{-1}p$ .

So now we have a set  $\text{Spec } R$ . We need to give it more structure.

**Proposition 0.2.3.** *There is a topology, called the Zariski topology, on  $\text{Spec } R$  whose basic open sets are  $D(f) = \{p \in \text{Spec } R \mid f \notin p\}$  with  $f \in R$ . The closed sets are  $V(I) = \{p \in \text{Spec } R \mid I \subset p\}$  for ideals  $I \subset R$ .*

The map  $\text{Spec } S \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  defined above is easily seen to be continuous. We make an observation that will be useful below. If  $R[1/f] = R[x]/(xf - 1)$  is the localization of  $R$  at  $f$ . Then

**Lemma 0.2.4.** *The maps  $\text{Spec } R[1/f] \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  and  $\text{Spec } R/I \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  are injective and the images are  $D(f)$  and  $V(I)$  respectively.*

We now have a contravariant functor from the category of commutative rings to the category of topological spaces. However, there is still not enough structure to set up the equivalence given above. The missing ingredient is the structure sheaf.

### 0.3 Affine schemes

The intuition coming from Gelfand duality is bit too coarse for what happens next. A better model for us comes from manifolds. The basic example is  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Consider the collection  $C^\infty$  real valued functions on  $X$  and its open subsets. The key thing to observe is that the  $C^\infty$  condition is local. This means that a function is  $C^\infty$  if and only if its restriction to the neighbourhood of every point is  $C^\infty$ . Or equivalently this means that  $f \in C^\infty(X)$  if and only for any



open cover  $\{U_i\}$ ,  $f|_{U_i} \in C^\infty(U_i)$ . There are plenty of other classes of functions with this property. Abstracting this leads to the notion of a sheaf.

Given a topological space  $X$ , a presheaf of sets (groups, rings...),  $\mathcal{F}$ , is a collection of sets (groups, rings...)  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  for each open set  $U \subseteq X$ , together with maps (homomorphisms...)  $\rho_{UV} : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$  for each pair  $U \subseteq V$  such that

1.  $\rho_{UU} = id$
2.  $\rho_{WV} \circ \rho_{VU} = \rho_{WU}$  whenever  $U \subseteq V \subseteq W$ .

We usually denote  $\rho_{UV}(f) = f|_V$ .

A presheaf is called a sheaf if for any open cover  $\{U_i\}$  of an open  $U \subseteq X$  and sections  $f_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$  such that  $f_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = f_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ , there exists a unique  $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  satisfying  $f_i = f|_{U_i}$ .

It will help to think of a scheme, when we get to it, as something like a  $C^\infty$  manifold. In addition to a topological space, we have a sheaf of commutative rings. The building blocks are given as follows:

**Theorem 0.3.1.** *Given a commutative ring  $R$ , there exists a sheaf of commutative rings  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R}$  on  $\text{Spec } R$  such that*

1.  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R}(D(f)) \cong R[1/f]$ .
2. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R}(D(f)) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R}(D(fg)) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ R[1/f] & \xrightarrow{k} & R[1/fg] \end{array}$$

commutes, where the map labelled  $k$  is the canonical localization map.

This sheaf is characterized up to isomorphism by these properties.

A pair  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  consisting of a topological space and a sheaf of commutative rings is called a ringed space. For example,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, C_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\infty)$  is a ringed space. The pair  $(\text{Spec } R, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R})$  is called the affine scheme associated to  $R$ . The symbol  $\text{Spec } R$  is also used to denote the whole thing. Given  $\text{Spec } R$ , we can recover the ring by taking  $\mathcal{O}(\text{Spec } R)$ .

## 0.4 Schemes

The collection of (pre)sheaves on a topological space  $X$  form a category  $(\text{PSh}(X))$   $\text{Sh}(X)$ . A morphism  $\eta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is collection of maps or homomorphisms

$$\eta_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$$

compatible with restriction i.e. such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\eta_U} & \mathcal{G}(U) \\ \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \rho \\ \mathcal{F}(V) & \xrightarrow{\eta_V} & \mathcal{G}(V) \end{array}$$

commutes whenever  $V \subseteq U$ . We say that  $\eta$  is an isomorphism, if each  $\eta_U$  is an isomorphism. An isomorphism of ringed spaces  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  consists of a homeomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , and a collection of isomorphisms  $\eta_U : \mathcal{O}_Y(U) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}U)$  compatible with restriction.

A scheme is a ringed space  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  which is locally an affine scheme. This means that there exists an open cover  $\{U_i\}$  and rings  $R_i$  along with isomorphisms  $(U_i, \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i}) \cong (\text{Spec } R_i, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R_i})$ .  $\{U_i\}$  is called an affine open cover. Here the restriction is defined by  $\mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i}(V) = \mathcal{O}_X(V)$  for  $V \subseteq U_i$ . Examples of nonaffine schemes can be constructed taking open subsets of a given affine scheme with the restriction of the sheaf. For example, if  $k$  is field then  $\mathbb{A}_k^2 - \{0\}$  is not affine.

More interesting examples can be constructed by gluing. If  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are schemes with opens sets  $X_{12} \subset X_1$ ,  $X_{21} \subset X_2$  together with an isomorphism  $\phi : X_{12} \rightarrow X_{21}$ , we can construct a new scheme  $X = X_1 \cup_\phi X_2$  as follows. As a space  $X$  is obtained by first taking the disjoint union of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  and then identifying  $x \in X_{12}$  with  $\phi(x)$ . A section of  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  is given by a pair of section  $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_{X_i}(U_i)$  such that  $\phi(f_1) = \phi(f_2)$ . A basic example is the projective line  $\mathbb{P}_R^1$  over a ring  $R$ . It can be constructed by gluing the two lines  $\text{Spec } R[x]$  and  $\text{Spec } R[x^{-1}]$  along the common open set  $\text{Spec } R[x, x^{-1}]$ . One can glue several  $X_i$  via isomorphisms

$$X_i \supset X_{ij} \xrightarrow{\phi_{ij}} X_{ji} \subset X_j$$

subject to appropriate compatibilities [H, p 80]. We can construct  $\mathbb{P}_R^n$  gluing the  $n + 1$  affine spaces

$$\text{Spec } R \left[ \frac{x_0}{x_i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_i} \right] \supset \text{Spec} \left[ \frac{x_0}{x_i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_i}, \frac{x_i}{x_j} \right] \cong \text{Spec} \left[ \frac{x_0}{x_j}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_j}, \frac{x_j}{x_i} \right] \subset \text{Spec } R \left[ \frac{x_0}{x_j}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_j} \right]$$

This can also be described using the *Proj* construction [H]. Note that all quasiprojective varieties in the classical sense can be viewed as schemes.

## 0.5 Localization

Given a property  $P$  of rings (e.g. the property of being an integral domain), we may ask when does it extend to schemes. Clearly we want to say that a scheme  $X$  has  $P$  if it has open affine cover by spectra of rings satisfying  $P$ . Of course, we need to make sure that this does not depend on the cover, and we would also know that when applied to affine schemes we recover the original definition.

Given a commutative ring  $R$ , a subset  $S \subset R$  is called multiplicative if it contains 1 and is closed under multiplication. The localization  $S^{-1}R = R[S^{-1}]$  is obtained by formally adjoining inverses the elements of  $S$ . For example,  $\{f^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is multiplicative, and  $S^{-1}R = R[1/f]$ .

Let us say that a property  $P$  is local if

1.  $P$  holds for  $S^{-1}R$  whenever it holds for  $R$ .
2.  $P$  holds for  $R$  if there exists  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  generating the trivial ideal such that  $P$  holds for  $R[1/f_i]$ .

We can state the following basic principle:

**Principle:** *A property of commutative rings extends to schemes if it is local*

For example, a ring is reduced if it has no nilpotent elements. This property is easily seen to be local.

Given a prime ideal  $p \subset R$ , the complement  $S = R - p$  is multiplicative. Define  $R_p = S^{-1}R$ . This is a local ring in the sense that it has a unique maximal ideal  $m = pR_p$ . It is easy to see that a property  $P$  is local whenever  $P$  holds for  $R \Leftrightarrow P$  holds for all  $R_p$ .

The localization at  $p$  has a direct interpretation in terms of sheaf theory. Given a point  $x \in X$  on a space with a sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{F}$ , we get a system of rings

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathcal{F}(U) & & \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(V) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(U \cap V) \\
 & \searrow & & & \\
 & & \dots & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $U, V, \dots$  run over neighbourhoods of  $x$ . The stalk  $\mathcal{F}_x$ , which is the direct limit, gives local behaviour of this sheaf near  $x$ . When  $X$  is a scheme,  $x$  has a neighbourhood isomorphic to the spectrum of a ring, say  $R$ . The set of the form  $D(f)$  with  $f \notin x$  (regarded as prime ideal) form a cofinal system in the above, so that the direct limit is the same. Therefore

**Lemma 0.5.1.** *The stalk  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is isomorphic to  $\varinjlim R[1/f]$  which is isomorphic to the localization  $R_x$ .*

## 0.6 Morphisms

A scheme is locally the spectrum of ring, so a morphism of schemes should be locally determined by the map on spectra induced by a homomorphism of rings. However, making this into a precise definition requires some work.

Given a continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$ , the direct image  $f_*\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf of  $Y$  defined by  $f_*\mathcal{F}(U) = \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}U)$ . A morphism  $f : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  of schemes consists of

1. A continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$
2. A morphism of sheaves of rings  $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  such that for each  $x \in X$ , the induced homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}$  is local in the sense that the preimage of  $m_{f(x)}$  is  $m_x$ .

Here is the basic example. Given a homomorphism of rings  $h : R \rightarrow S$ . There exists a continuous map  $f : \text{Spec } S \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  given by  $f(p) = h^{-1}p$ . We have a map of sheaf  $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R}$  which on basic open sets is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(D(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(f^{-1}D(r)) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ R[1/r] & \longrightarrow & S[1/h(r)] \end{array}$$

where the bottom map is the canonical map given by  $x/r^n \mapsto f(x)/h(r)^n$ . It is easy to see that the map  $R_{h^{-1}p} \rightarrow S_p$  is local, because the preimage of maximal for  $S_p$  is  $pS_p$  is precisely  $h^{-1}pR_{h^{-1}p}$ . Conversely, any morphism of schemes  $\text{Spec } S \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  arises from the homomorphism of  $R \rightarrow S$  given by  $\mathcal{O}(\text{Spec } R) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\text{Spec } S)$ .

For general schemes  $X$  and  $Y$  a morphism can be described as follows. A continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , an affine open cover  $U_i = \text{Spec } R_i$  of  $Y$ , an affine open cover  $\text{Spec } S_{ij}$  of each  $f^{-1}U_i$ , and homomorphisms  $h_{ij} : R_i \rightarrow S_{ij}$  subject to the appropriate compatibilities. The principle stated in the previous section can be extended to morphisms: A property  $P$  of homomorphisms of rings  $h : R \rightarrow S$  extends to morphisms of schemes if  $P$  can be characterized in terms of the local homomorphisms  $R_{h^{-1}p} \rightarrow S_p$ .

Given two morphisms of schemes  $X \rightarrow S$  and  $Y \rightarrow S$ , there exists a third morphism  $X \times_S Y$  called the fibre product. It fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_S Y & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

and for any  $Z$  fitting into a similar diagram, there is a unique morphism  $f$  rendering the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z & & & & \\ & \searrow & & \searrow & \\ & & X \times_S Y & \longrightarrow & X \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & Y & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

For affine schemes, the fibre product is just the spectrum of the tensor product of the corresponding rings. As a simple example, we have the fibre product

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_N) \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \\ = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_N) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \\ = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_N) \end{aligned}$$

is the reduction mod  $p$ .

## 0.7 Schemes as functors

We made a big deal that the set of solutions over a fixed ring need not determine the geometric object. However, if we allow the rings to vary then it does. Given the affine scheme

$$X = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_N)$$

we can form the set

$$X(R) = \{(a_1 \dots a_n) \in R^n \mid f_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0\}$$

of solutions in any commutative ring. If  $h : R \rightarrow S$  is a homomorphism, then we get an induced map  $X(R) \rightarrow X(S)$  given by  $(a_1, \dots) \mapsto (h(a_1), \dots)$ . Thus  $X$  defines a functor from commutative rings to sets. The following is straight forward

**Lemma 0.7.1.**  $(a_i) \in X(R)$  iff  $x_i \mapsto a_i$  defines a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_N)$  to  $R$ . Therefore  $X(R) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_N), R)$

In view of this, we define  $X(R) = \text{Hom}(S, R)$  whenever  $X = \text{Spec } R$ . A familiar example is the scheme

$$SL_n = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[x_{11}, \dots, x_{nn}]/(\det(x_{ij}) - 1)$$

Then  $SL_n(R)$  is the set of  $n \times n$  matrices over  $R$  with determinant 1, exactly as the notation suggests.

Given affine schemes  $X, Y$ , a collection of maps  $\phi_R : X(R) \cong Y(R)$  is a *natural* if

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(R) & \longrightarrow & X(S) \\ \downarrow \phi_R & & \downarrow \phi_R \\ Y(R) & \longrightarrow & Y(S) \end{array}$$

commute for every homomorphism  $R \rightarrow S$ . Here is basic fact.

**Theorem 0.7.2** (Yoneda's lemma). *Given affine schemes  $X = \text{Spec } S, Y = \text{Spec } T$ , if a natural bijection  $X(R) \cong Y(R)$  exists, then  $X \cong Y$ . More generally, a natural map is induced by a morphisms of affine schemes  $Y \rightarrow X$ , or equivalently a homomorphism  $S \rightarrow T$ .*

In fact, this result has nothing to do with rings or schemes, but is a general result of category theory [Ma].

Given an arbitrary scheme  $X$ , we define

$$X(R) = \text{Hom}_{\text{schemes}}(\text{Spec } R, X)$$

The above theorem generalizes to these functors. Thus schemes can be identified with certain kinds of functors on the category of commutative rings. I know of at least one book [DG] that introduces schemes this way, but it is in my opinion not a good starting point for learning the theory. Nevertheless, this point of view is very powerful, and we will use it from time to time. Functors that arise this way, are pretty special. We shall see later that they are sheaves in the appropriate sense.

# Chapter 1

## Differential Calculus of Schemes

Given an affine variety  $X = V(f_1, \dots, f_N) \subset \mathbb{A}_k^n$  over a field, by imitating the usual constructions from calculus, we define the tangent space  $T_{X,p}$  at  $p \in X$  as the set of vectors  $(v_i) \in k^n$  such that

$$\sum_i \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}(p) v_i = 0$$

Given a morphism  $h : X \rightarrow Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m$  given by polynomials  $h_i$  the Jacobian matrix  $\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial x_j}(p)$  can be seen (with some work) to define a linear transformation  $T_{X,p} \rightarrow T_{Y,h(p)}$  called the derivative. We want to refine this in the context of scheme theory. It turns out that the dual object is the more fundamental, and we start with that.

### 1.1 Étale maps

An affine variety  $X \subset \mathbb{A}_k^n$  is smooth if the Jacobian has the expected rank. Before giving the precise definition, recall that the Krull dimension of a ring/scheme gives measure of size. For example

$$\dim A_k^n = \dim k[x_1, \dots, x_n] = n$$

for any field.

**Definition 1.1.1.** *A domain  $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_N)$  or  $\text{Spec } R$  is smooth if and only if the rank of  $\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(p)\right)$  is  $n - \dim R$  for all  $p \in \text{Max } R$ . (The expression  $f(p)$  denotes the image of  $f$  in  $R/p$ .)*

When  $k$  is algebraically closed, then this condition is also referred to as nonsingularity. This condition is equivalent to the regularity of the local rings

[E], and in general this is so after extending scalars to  $\bar{k}$ . So smoothness is independent of the equations. We can define the tangent space  $T_{X,p}$  of  $\text{Spec } R$  at  $p$  as the kernel of the matrix  $\left(\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}(p)\right)$ . Smoothness is equivalent to the equality  $\dim T_{X,p} = \dim R$  for all  $p$ . A point is called nonsmooth or singular if equality fails.

**Example 1.1.2.** *If the equations  $f_i$  are linear polynomials, then  $R$  is smooth.*

**Example 1.1.3.** *A hypersurface  $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f)$  is smooth if and only if the partials  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(p)$  are not simultaneously zero for any  $p \in V(f)$ . This follows from the Krull's principal ideal theorem  $\dim R = n - 1$ .*

We come now to the basic notion. An étale map should be something like a covering space in topology. Such a map is a continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  for which every point of  $X$  has neighbourhood  $U$  such that  $U \rightarrow f(U)$  is a homeomorphism. For manifolds, we can use the inverse function theorem to test this condition. We can take a suitable algebrization of the hypothesis of the inverse function theorem as our definition.

**Definition 1.1.4.** *An  $R$ -algebra  $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is called étale if it is smooth of relative dimension 0, if  $\det\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)$  is a unit in  $S$*

Using a different characterization to be given later, étaleness turns out to be independent of the choice of presentation i.e. if the Jacobian is a unit for one set of equations then it is for any set of equations. If  $S$  is étale, then for every prime ideal  $p \in \text{Spec } S$  we have an isomorphism  $T_p \text{Spec } S \cong T_{p \cap R} \text{Spec } R$  of tangent spaces via the derivative. For smooth varieties, this is an equivalent condition.

**Example 1.1.5.** *A separable field extension is given by  $L = k[x]/(f(x))$ , where  $f$  is an irreducible polynomial such that  $f'(x)$  and  $f(x)$  are coprime. It follows that  $L$  is étale.*

**Example 1.1.6.** *Let  $k$  have characteristic  $p > 0$  and suppose  $a \in k$  is not a  $p$ th power. Then the inseparable field extension  $k(a^{1/p}) = k[x]/(x^p - a)$  is **not** étale. This is clear with the given presentation.*

These examples can be refined as follows:

**Proposition 1.1.7.** *If  $k$  is a field, an algebra over  $k$  is étale if and only if it is a finite cartesian product of separable field extensions.*

**Example 1.1.8.** *Suppose  $n$  is an integer not divisible by the characteristic of a field  $k$ . Let  $R = k[x, x^{-1}]$ , then  $S = R[y]/(y^n - x)$  is étale.*

**Example 1.1.9.**  *$R[1/f]$  is an étale algebra.*

**Proposition 1.1.10.** *Then tensor product of two étale algebras is étale.*



*Proof.* If  $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  and  $T = R[y_1, \dots, y_m]/(g_1, \dots, g_m)$  are étale, then

$$S \otimes_R T = R[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]/(f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x), g_1(y), \dots, g_m(y))$$

where  $x, y$  denote strings of variables. The Jacobian determinant for the latter is the product of the determinants  $\det\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right) \det\left(\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial y_j}\right)$  so it's a unit.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.1.11.** *If  $S$  is étale over  $R$ , and  $T$  is étale over  $S$ , then  $T$  is étale over  $R$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the one above. Write  $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  and  $T = S[y_1, \dots, y_m]/(g_1, \dots, g_m)$ . Then

$$T = R[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]/(f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x), g_1(x, y), \dots, g_m(x, y))$$

and the Jacobian determinant is again the product  $\det\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right) \det\left(\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial y_j}\right)$   $\square$

## 1.2 Kähler differentials

Given a commutative ring  $R$  and an  $R$ -algebra  $S$  and an  $S$ -module  $M$ , an  $R$ -linear derivation from  $S$  to  $M$  is a map  $\delta : S \rightarrow M$  satisfying

$$\delta(s_1 + s_2) = \delta(s_1) + \delta(s_2)$$

$$\delta(s_1 s_2) = s_1 \delta(s_2) + s_2 \delta(s_1)$$

$$\delta(r) = 0$$

for  $r \in R, s_i \in S$ . (Combining these rules shows that  $\delta$  is in fact  $R$ -linear.) For example, the derivatives  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  are  $R$ -linear derivations from  $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  to itself.

**Proposition 1.2.1.** *There exists an  $S$ -module  $\Omega_{S/R}$  with a universal  $R$ -linear derivation  $d : S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/R}$ . Universality means that given any derivation  $\delta : S \rightarrow M$  there exists a unique  $S$ -module map  $u : \Omega_{S/R} \rightarrow M$  such that  $\delta = u \circ d$ .*

*Proof.* For the sake of expedience, we do this by generators and relations. However, this is a bit less useful than the usual construction. Let  $F$  be free  $S$ -module generated by symbols  $\{ds \mid s \in S\}$ . Let  $K$  be the submodule of  $F$  generated by the elements in the set

$$\{d(s_1 + s_2) - d(s_1) - d(s_2), d(s_1 s_2) - s_1 d(s_2) - s_2 d(s_1), d(r) = 0 \mid s_i \in S, r \in R\}$$

Then set  $\Omega_{S/R} = F/K$ . The map  $s \mapsto ds$  is easily seen to satisfy the above conditions.  $\square$

**Example 1.2.2.** Let  $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then  $\Omega_{S/R}$  is the free  $S$ -module generated by the symbols  $dx_1, \dots, dx_n$  and

$$df = \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$$

*Proof.* To see the above description is correct, it is enough to check that for any  $(M, \delta)$   $\delta(f)$  satisfies a formula similar to the one above. Therefore the map  $dx_i \mapsto \delta x_i$  on the free module takes  $df$  to  $\delta(f)$ .  $\square$

Given  $p \in \text{Spec } S$ , let  $(\Omega_{S/R})_p = S_p \otimes_S \Omega_{S/R}$  denote the localization of the module of differentials. This is a rank  $n$  free module over the local ring  $S_p$ . Let  $k(p) = S_p/pS_p$  denote the residue field at this prime. The cotangent space, which is by definition

$$T_{S/R,p}^* = k(p) \otimes_S \Omega_{S/R}$$

is therefore a vector space of dimension  $n$  for each  $p$ .

**Proposition 1.2.3.** Let  $T = S/I$ , then there is an exact sequence

$$I/I^2 \rightarrow T \otimes_S \Omega_{S/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{T/R} \rightarrow 0$$

where  $f \mapsto 1 \otimes df$  in the first map.

**Example 1.2.4.** Let  $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_N)$ . Then  $\Omega_{S/R}$  is the quotient of the free module generated by the symbols  $dx_1, \dots, dx_n$  by the submodule generated by  $df_1, \dots, df_N$ . In particular, when  $R = k$  is a field, we see that the cotangent space

$$T_{S/k,p}^* \cong \text{coker} \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(p) \right)$$

is dual to the space given in the introduction.

The module  $\Omega_{S/R}$  is almost never free. There is however a weaker property which often holds. An  $R$ -module  $M$  is locally free (of rank  $n$ ) if for any if there exist an open cover of  $\text{Spec } R$  by basic open sets  $\{D(f_i)\}$  such that  $M[1/f_i] = R[1/f_i] \otimes_R M$  is free (of rank  $n$ ).

**Theorem 1.2.5.** If  $M$  is a finitely generated module over a noetherian ring  $R$ , then the following are equivalent

- (1)  $M$  is locally free.
- (2)  $M_p = R_p \otimes M$  is free for each  $p \in \text{Spec } R$
- (3)  $M$  is projective (i.e. a direct summand of a free module).

When  $R$  is reduced, these are equivalent to

- (4) The function  $m \mapsto \dim k(m) \otimes M$  from  $\text{Max } R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is locally constant.

*Proof.* [E, p 475]. For the last statement, see [Mu, III§2]  $\square$

Since  $k(m) \otimes \Omega_{R/k}$  is the dimension of the (co)tangent space at  $m$ , we obtain an intrinsic characterization of smoothness.

**Proposition 1.2.6.** *A domain  $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_N)$  is smooth if and only if  $\Omega_{R/k}$  is locally free of rank equal to the Krull dimension  $d = \dim R$ .*

### 1.3 Flat maps

“The concept of flatness is a riddle that comes from algebra, but which technically is the answer to many prayers.”

- D. Mumford

Given a module  $M$  over a commutative ring  $R$ . The functor  $N \mapsto M \otimes N$  is right exact, i.e. given an exact sequence of modules

$$0 \rightarrow N_1 \xrightarrow{\iota} N_2 \rightarrow N_3 \rightarrow 0$$

we have an exact sequence

$$M \otimes N_1 \xrightarrow{M \otimes \iota} M \otimes N_2 \rightarrow M \otimes N_3 \rightarrow 0$$

The map  $M \otimes \iota$  need not be injective.  $M$  is called flat if  $M \otimes \iota$  is injective for any  $\iota$ . Suppose that  $R$  is a domain and that  $M$  has a element such that  $rm = 0$  with  $r \neq 0$ . If we take  $\iota$  to be multiplication by  $r$  on  $R$ , then  $M \otimes \iota$  is multiplication by  $r$  on  $M$ . This is not injective. Therefore, we conclude that *a flat module is torsion free*. When  $R$  is a PID, the converse is true as well.

**Example 1.3.1.** *A locally free module is flat. In fact, a finitely generated module over a noetherian ring is flat if and only if it is locally free.*

**Example 1.3.2.** *The polynomial ring  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  viewed as an  $R$ -module is flat.*

**Proposition 1.3.3.** *Let  $S$  be an  $R$ -algebra. Suppose that  $M$  is an  $S$ -module,  $f \in S$  an element such that multiplication by  $f$  is injective on  $M \otimes k(m)$  for all  $m \in \text{Max } R$ , and  $M$  is flat over  $R$ . Then  $M/fM$  is flat over  $R$ .*

We omit the proof which follows easily from properties of the *Tor* functors.

An  $R$ -algebra is called smooth of relative dimension  $m$  if it is has a presentation

$$S = R[x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}]/(f_1 \dots f_n)$$

with  $\text{rank} \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \right) = n$ . Note that étale is the same as smooth of relative dimension 0. From the Jacobian criterion for nonsingularity, we obtain

**Lemma 1.3.4.** *A smooth algebra of relative dimension  $m$  over an algebraically closed field is the coordinate ring of a disjoint union of  $m$ -dimensional varieties.*

**Proposition 1.3.5.** *A smooth algebra is flat.*

*Proof.* Set  $R_i = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_i)$ . We use induction to prove that each  $R_i$  is flat. For  $R_0$  this is clear. Let  $m \subset R$  be maximal ideal, and  $k = R/m$ . Suppose  $R_i$  is flat. Then we can use proposition 1.3.3 to check flatness of  $R_{i+1} = R_i/f_{i+1}R_i$ , provided that we can show that  $f_{i+1}$  is a nonzero divisor in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_i)$ . Then by proposition 1.3.5,  $V_i = \text{Spec } \bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_i)$  is a union of  $n - i$  dimensional varieties. In particular,  $\bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_i)$  is a product of integral domains. Since all components of  $V_{i+1}$  have lower dimension, it follows that  $f_{i+1}$  does not vanish on any component of  $V_i$ . So in particular,  $f_{i+1}$  is a nonzero divisor in  $\bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_i)$  and therefore in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_i)$ .  $\square$

We have the following coordinate free characterization of étale maps.

**Theorem 1.3.6.** *Suppose  $R$  is noetherian. A homomorphism  $R \rightarrow S$  is étale if and only if the following hold*

- (1)  $S$  is finitely generated as an algebra.
- (2)  $S$  is flat as an  $R$ -module.
- (3)  $\Omega_{S/R} = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is étale. Then finite generation is automatic. Flatness follows from proposition 1.3.5.  $\Omega_{S/R}$  vanishes because it is the cokernel of the Jacobian matrix.

The converse can be found in [A, chap I] or [Mu, chap III§10].  $\square$

One application of this is that the Jacobian condition for étaleness is independent of the presentation. Smoothness has a similar characterization where the last property is replaced by local freeness of  $\Omega_{S/R}$ .

The next example shows that flatness cannot be omitted.

**Example 1.3.7.** *Let  $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(y^2 - x^2(x - 1))$  be the coordinate ring of a nodal curve. Its normalization is  $\tilde{R} = \mathbb{C}[t]$  with  $R \rightarrow \tilde{R}$  given by*

$$x \mapsto t^2 - 1, \quad y \mapsto t(t^2 - 1)$$

*With the classical topology  $\text{Spec } \tilde{R} \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  is not a covering space, so it shouldn't be étale. To justify this, write*

$$\tilde{R} = R[t]/(x - (t^2 - 1), y - t(t^2 - 1))$$

*From this it follows that it is finitely generated and that  $\Omega_{\tilde{R}/R} = 0$ .  $\tilde{R}$  is also finitely generated as an  $R$ -module. However, it is not locally free. Therefore  $\tilde{R}$  is not flat, and so not étale.*

We give a refinement of an earlier result.

**Proposition 1.3.8.** *If  $S$  is a flat (resp. étale)  $R$ -algebra, and  $T$  is a flat (resp. étale)  $S$ -algebra, then  $T$  is a flat (resp. étale)  $R$ -algebra.*

*Proof.* Writing  $T \otimes_R N = T \otimes_S S \otimes_R N$  makes it clear that  $T$  is flat over  $R$  under the above conditions. The Kähler differentials fit into an exact sequence

$$\Omega_{S/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{T/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{T/S} \rightarrow 0$$

Therefore the middle term vanishes if the outer two do. Finally, finite generation of  $T$  over  $R$  is clear.  $\square$

## 1.4 Extension to schemes

Given an  $R$ -module  $M$ , recall we can form a sheaf  $\tilde{M}$  on  $\text{Spec } R$  such that  $\tilde{M}(D(f)) = M[1/f] = M \otimes_R R[1/f]$ . Sheaves which arise this way are called quasi-coherent. More generally, a sheaf on a scheme is quasi-coherent if it is with respect to some (equivalently any) affine open cover.

**Lemma 1.4.1.** *Given a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , there exists a quasi-coherent sheaf  $\Omega_{X/Y}$  such that  $\Omega_{X/Y}|_{\text{Spec } S_{ij}} = \tilde{\Omega}_{S_{ij}/R_i}$  for open affine covers  $\text{Spec } R_i = U_i$  of  $Y$  and  $\text{Spec } S_{ij}$  of  $f^{-1}U_i$ .*

Flatness is a local condition. Therefore we can extend it to schemes: A morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  is called flat if  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a flat module  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}$  for each  $x \in X$ . For étale, we take theorem 1.3.6 as the definition. A morphism of noetherian schemes is étale if the following condition holds

- (1) It is locally of finite type
- (2) It is flat.
- (3)  $\Omega_{X/Y} = 0$ .

Putting together earlier results shows that

- The class of étale morphisms includes open immersions
- The class of étale morphisms is stable under composition.
- The class of étale morphisms is stable under fibre products.

## Chapter 2

# Étale fundamental group

### 2.1 Profinite groups and Galois theory

Given a sequence of groups and homomorphisms

$$\dots \rightarrow G_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} G_0$$

their inverse limit is the group

$$\varprojlim_n G_n = \{(g_n) \in \prod G_n \mid f_n(g_n) = g_{n-1}\}$$

One can consider more general inverse limits parametrized by ordered sets. The construction is similar. A group is called profinite if it is an inverse limit of finite groups.

**Example 2.1.1.** *The additive group of  $p$ -adic integers*

$$\varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$$

*is profinite.*

**Example 2.1.2.** *Given a group  $G$ , the profinite completion is*

$$\hat{G} = \varprojlim (\text{finite quotients of } G)$$

If each finite group is given the discrete topology, then the limit becomes a topological group, i.e. it carries a topology such that the group operations are continuous.

**Proposition 2.1.3.** *A topological group is profinite if and only if it is compact Hausdorff and totally disconnected. The last condition means that there is a basis given by sets which are both open and closed.*

An infinite field extension  $L/K$  is Galois if it is a union of finite Galois extensions. The Galois group  $G$  can be identified with the inverse limit of the Galois groups of all the finite intermediate extensions. So in particular, it is profinite. The fundamental theorem of Galois theory for infinite extensions says

**Theorem 2.1.4.** *There is a one to one correspondence between closed subgroups of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  and intermediate field extensions:  $H \subseteq \text{Gal}(L/K)$  corresponds to  $L^H = \{f \in L \mid \forall h \in H, hf = f\}$ . Galois extensions correspond to closed normal subgroups.*

## 2.2 Étale fundamental group

Recall that the topological fundamental group  $\pi_1(X)$  of a connected topological space is the group of homotopy classes of loops in  $X$  with a given base point. There is another characterization which is more convenient for us. A map  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is called a covering space if it is a locally a homeomorphism. The universal cover  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a covering space such that  $\tilde{X}$  is connected and simply connected  $\pi_1(\tilde{X}) = \{1\}$ . Then  $\pi_1(X)$  can be identified with the group of self-homeomorphisms of  $\tilde{X}$  commuting with  $\pi$ .

When  $X$  is a scheme, we would like an algebraic geometric analogue of the fundamental group. If  $X$  is say a quasi-projective variety defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ , we can give  $X$  the usual topology (induced from the Euclidean topology on projective space) and then form the fundamental group as above. This does not generalize. The root of the problem is that the universal cover has no meaning in algebraic geometry. However, we can consider finite approximations to it. The key point is

**Theorem 2.2.1** (“Riemann’s existence theorem”). *Any étale morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  is a finite to one covering space of  $X$  with the usual topology. Conversely, every finite to one covering space arises this way from a unique étale cover.*

*Proof.* The correspondence between étale covers of  $X$  and of the associated analytic space is given in [SGA1, XII, 5.2], and the latter covers are easily seen to correspond to covering spaces in the topological sense.  $\square$

Thus we should be able to build a kind of fundamental group from the collection of étale covers. To simplify the discussion assume that  $X$  is a normal scheme. This means that  $X$  is irreducible as a topological space, and it is covered by spectra of normal (= integrally closed) rings. If  $X = \cup \text{Spec } R_i$ , then the field of fractions of each  $R_i$  is independent of  $i$ . It is called the function field of  $X$  and denoted by  $K(X)$ . If  $L \supset K(X)$  is a finite extension. Let  $\tilde{R}_i$  denote the integral closure of  $R_i$  in  $L$ . Then we can glue  $\text{Spec } \tilde{R}_i$  to get a new normal scheme  $X_L \rightarrow X$  with function field  $L$ . We define the maximal unramified extension

$$K(X)_{unr} = \bigcup \{L \supset K(X) \mid X_L \rightarrow X \text{ is étale}\}$$

Define the étale fundamental group

$$\pi_1^{et}(X) = Gal(K(X)_{unr}/K(X))$$

**Example 2.2.2.** *If  $X = \text{Spec } k$ , where  $k$  is a field.  $K(X)_{unr} = k^{sep}$  is the separable closure. Therefore  $\pi_1^{et}(X) = Gal(k) = Gal(k^{sep}/k)$  is the absolute Galois group.*

As a corollary to the previous theorem, we can deduce.

**Theorem 2.2.3** (Grothendieck). *If  $X$  a scheme of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $\pi_1^{et}(X)$  is the profinite completion of  $\pi_1(X)$*

This allows the computation of these groups by topological methods.

**Example 2.2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth complex projective curve of genus  $g$ . There is a well known presentation for the fundamental group*

$$\pi_1(X) = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{2g} \mid [a_1, a_2] \dots [a_{2g-1}, a_{2g}] = 1 \rangle$$

where  $[a, b] = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$  is the commutator. Thus  $\pi_1^{et}(X)$  is given as the profinite completion of this group.

When  $X$  is a smooth curve defined over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , the structure of  $\pi_1^{et}(X)$  is somewhat mysterious. Even for the line,  $\pi_1^{et}(\mathbb{A}^1)$  is not well understood. It is clear that it is nontrivial since the Artin-Scheier covers  $y^p - y - f(x) = 0$  of  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec } k[x]$  are étale. However, we can understand a part of this quite well. Define the tame fundamental group

$$\pi_1^{tame}(X) = Gal(\bigcup \{L \mid L \supset K(X) \text{ unramified of deg prime to } p\} / K(X))$$

**Theorem 2.2.5** (Grothendieck). *If  $X$  is a smooth curve over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ ,  $\pi_1^{tame}(X)$  is the the pro-prime to  $p$  completion*

$$\varprojlim \Gamma/N, \quad N \triangleleft \Gamma \text{ of index coprime to } p$$

of the corresponding topological fundamental group  $\Gamma$ . That is  $\Gamma = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{2g} \mid [a_1, a_2] \dots [a_{2g-1}, a_{2g}] = 1 \rangle$  if  $X$  is projective of genus  $g$ , and  $\Gamma$  is free on  $2g+r-1$  generators if  $X$  is the complement of  $r$  points in a genus  $g$  curve.

## 2.3 First homology

Returning to the topological case, recall that Hurewicz's theorem says that the abelianization of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(X)/[\pi_1(X), \pi_1(X)]$  is isomorphic to the first homology  $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . Combining this with the universal coefficient theorem, we see that the first cohomology with coefficients in an abelian group  $A$  is

$$H^1(X, A) \cong Hom(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}), A) \cong Hom(\pi_1(X), A)$$



By imitating this, we can define the first étale cohomology

$$H^1(X_{et}, A) = Hom(\pi_1^{et}(X), A)$$

for finite  $A$ . When  $X$  is defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ , this does coincide with the usual cohomology. This is because any homomorphism from  $\pi_1(X)$  to  $A$  factors uniquely through the profinite completion, so that

$$Hom(\pi_1(X), A) = Hom(\hat{\pi}_1(X), A)$$

This isn't true when  $A$  is infinite, and this is part of the reason for making the finiteness restriction.

**Example 2.3.1.** *When  $X$  is complex smooth projective curve of genus  $g$ , we see*

$$H^1(X_{et}, A) = Hom(\langle a_1, \dots, a_{2g} \mid [a_1, a_2] \dots [a_{2g-1}, a_{2g}] = 1 \rangle, A) = A^{2g}$$

When  $X$  is a smooth projective curve defined over any algebraically closed field  $k$ , and  $A$  is a finite abelian group of order coprime to the characteristic, then

$$H^1(X_{et}, A) = Hom(\pi_1^{tame}(X), A) \cong A^{2g}$$

still holds. So it does give a good generalization of usual cohomology. In some ways, it is better because there is more structure. If  $X$  defined over a non algebraically closed field  $k$  with separable closure  $k^{sep}$ . Then there is a tower  $k \subset k^{sep} \subset K(X)_{unr}$  giving rise to an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow Gal(K(X)_{unr}/k^{sep}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{et}(X) \xrightarrow{p} Gal(k) \rightarrow 1$$

The group on the left can be identified with étale fundamental group of the corresponding scheme  $\bar{X} = X \times_{\text{Spec } k} k^{sep}$  over  $k^{sep}$ . If we choose a continuous section to  $p$ , we get an action of  $Gal(k)$  on  $\pi_1^{et}(\bar{X})$ , which induces a well defined action on  $H^1(X_{et}, A)$ . So this is not just a group, but a Galois module. This structure is very important in number theoretic applications.

# Chapter 3

## Étale topology

### 3.1 Grothendieck topologies

One of Grothendieck's insights is that by generalizing the definition of a topological space, one gets many other interesting examples such as the étale topology. The starting point is to observe that given a topological space  $X$ , the collection of open sets  $Open(X)$

- is a partially ordered set,
- and it has a notion of open coverings  $U = \cup U_i$ .

We replace  $Open(X)$  by a category  $C$  with fibre products which plays the role of intersections. A Grothendieck topology on  $C$  is a collection of families of morphisms  $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$  called coverings satisfying

1. The family consisting of a single isomorphism  $\{U \cong U\}$  is a covering.
2. If  $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$  and  $\{V_{ij} \rightarrow U_i\}$  are coverings, then so is the composition  $\{V_{ij} \rightarrow U\}$  is a covering.
3. If  $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$  is a covering and  $V \rightarrow U$  is a morphism, then  $\{U_i \times_U V \rightarrow V\}$  is a covering.

A category with a Grothendieck topology is called a site.

**Example 3.1.1.**  $Open(X)$  can be regarded as a category, where  $U \rightarrow V$  means  $U \subseteq V$ . A covering  $\{U_i \subset U\}$  is just an open covering in the usual sense.

**Example 3.1.2.** Let  $X$  be a scheme. Let  $Et(X)$  denote the category have as objects étale morphisms  $U \rightarrow X$  and as morphisms commuting triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \longrightarrow & V \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & X \end{array}$$

We say that  $\{\pi_i : U_i \rightarrow U\}$  is a covering if  $\cup \pi_i(U_i) = U$ .  $Et(X)$  with this Grothendieck topology is called the étale site of  $X$ . We denote this by  $X_{et}$ .

**Example 3.1.3.** Let  $X$  be a noetherian scheme. Let  $Flat(X)$  denote the category having as objects flat morphisms  $U \rightarrow X$  subject to the finiteness condition that the preimage of any affine open in  $X$  is covered by finitely many affine opens in  $U$ . Morphisms commuting triangles as above. We say that  $\{\pi_i : U_i \rightarrow U\}$  is a covering if the images cover  $U$ . We call  $Flat(X)$  with this Grothendieck topology the flat site<sup>1</sup> of  $X$ . We denote this by  $X_{flat}$ .

The for a scheme  $X$ , we have a choice of 3 topologies. In order of increasing fineness, we have the Zariski  $X_{zar}$ , étale  $X_{et}$ , and flat  $X_{flat}$  topologies.

## 3.2 Sheaves

Given a site  $C$ , a presheaf of sets, groups... is a contravariant functor  $F$  from  $C$  to the category of sets, groups.... For any covering  $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$ , we define the set of patchable sections by

$$\check{H}^0(\{U_i \rightarrow U\}, F) = \{(f_i) \in \prod F(U_i) \mid \text{the image of } f_i = \text{the image of } f_j \text{ in } F(U_i \times_U U_j)\}$$

There is a canonical map

$$F(U) \rightarrow \check{H}^0(\{U_i \rightarrow U\}, F)$$

given by sending  $f \in F(U)$  to the collection of its images in  $F(U_i)$ .  $F$  is called a sheaf if for any covering  $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$

$$F(U) \cong \check{H}^0(\{U_i \rightarrow U\}, F)$$

For  $Open(X)$  this is the usual condition, so there are plenty of examples. We give a criterion for checking something is a sheaf for the flat site. This can be used for the étale site also since it is coarser.

**Proposition 3.2.1.** A presheaf  $F$  on  $X_{flat}$  or  $X_{et}$  is a sheaf if and only

1.  $F$  is sheaf on the Zariski topology  $X_{zar}$
2. For any covering  $U' \rightarrow U$  of affine schemes in  $X_{flat}$  or  $X_{et}$

$$F(U) \cong \check{H}^0(\{U' \rightarrow U\}, F)$$

*Proof.* See [M1, p 50]. □

Let  $X = \text{Spec } k$  where  $k$  is a field. The Zariski topology is trivial, but the étale topology is far from it. Recall that elements of  $X_{et}$  are given by  $\text{Spec } \prod L_i$  where  $L_i$  are seperable extensions. Let  $K = k^{sep}$  and  $G = \text{Gal}(K/k)$ , then we

<sup>1</sup>This is usually called the fpqc (= fidèlement plat quasi-compact ) site

can write this as  $\text{Spec} \coprod K^{G_i}$  for some open  $G_i \subset G$ . By a  $G$ -module, we mean an abelian group  $M$  with discrete topology on which  $G$  acts continuously. The last condition is equivalent to requiring that every element of  $M$  has a finite orbit. Given  $M$  define

$$F_M(\text{Spec} \coprod K^{G_i}) = \bigoplus M^{G_i}$$

Then

**Theorem 3.2.2.**  *$F_M$  is a sheaf on  $X_{et}$ . The correspondence  $M \mapsto F_M$  gives an equivalence between the category of  $G$ -modules and sheaves of abelian groups.*

*Proof.* The conditions of proposition 3.2.1 can be checked for  $F_M$ .

Suppose that  $F$  is a sheaf on  $X_{et}$ . For any Galois extension  $L/k$ ,  $\text{Gal}(L/k)$  acts on  $F(\text{Spec } L)$ . Therefore  $M = \varinjlim F(L)$ , as  $L$  runs over finite Galois extensions of  $k$  in  $K$ , becomes a  $G$ -module.  $F \mapsto M$  gives an inverse. See [M1, p 53] for further details. □

The above construction can be refined for more general schemes.

**Example 3.2.3.** *Suppose that  $X$  is normal and  $M$  is a  $\pi_1^{et}(X)$ -module. If  $U \rightarrow X$  is étale and connected,  $\pi_1^{et}(U)$  will act on  $M$  via the homomorphism  $\pi_1^{et}(U) \rightarrow \pi_1^{et}(X)$ . Then*

$$F_M(U) = M^{\pi_1^{et}(U)}$$

*determines a sheaf on  $X_{et}$ . Not all sheaves arise this way in general. A sheaf which does is called locally constant.  $F_M$  is constant if the  $\pi_1^{et}(X)$  action is trivial.*

**Example 3.2.4.** *Let  $i : \bar{x} = \text{Spec } k(x)^{sep} \rightarrow X$  be a geometric point by which we mean the separable closure of the residue field of closed point. Given a group  $M$ , the skyscraper sheaf*

$$i_*M(U) = M^{\#U \times \bar{x}}$$

*will not be locally constant unless  $X = \bar{x}$ .*

### 3.3 Faithfully flat descent

An  $R$ -algebra  $S$  is faithfully flat if it is flat and  $\text{Spec } S \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  is surjective. This is different from the usual definition. For the equivalence and the following lemma, see [AK, chap V].

**Lemma 3.3.1.** *If  $S$  is faithfully flat then  $R \rightarrow S$  is injective.*

We need the following weak version of faithfully flat descent in order to construct certain basic examples of sheaves.

**Theorem 3.3.2** (Faithfully flat descent). *Let  $S$  be a faithfully flat  $R$ -algebra. Then*

1. For any  $R$ -module  $M$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M \otimes_R S \xrightarrow{d} M \otimes_R \otimes S \otimes_R S$$

is exact where  $d(m \otimes s) = m \otimes 1 \otimes s - m \otimes s \otimes 1$

2. For any  $R$ -algebra  $T$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R\text{-alg}}(T, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R\text{-alg}}(T, S) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R\text{-alg}}(T, S \otimes_R S)$$

is exact.

*Proof.* For 1, see [M1, p 17]. It follows that

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(T, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(T, S) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(T, S \otimes_R S)$$

is exact. To finish the proof of 2, we observe that an  $f \in \text{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(T, R)$  is an algebra homomorphism if its image lies in  $\text{Hom}_{R\text{-alg}}(T, S)$  because  $R \rightarrow S$  is injective.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $X_{\text{zar}}$ . Given  $\pi : U \rightarrow X$  in  $X_{\text{flat}}$ , let  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{flat}}(U) = \pi^* \mathcal{M}(U)$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{flat}}$  is sheaf on  $X_{\text{flat}}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{flat}}$  restricts to a sheaf on  $X_{\text{et}}$ .*

**Theorem 3.3.4.** *If  $Y \rightarrow X$  is a morphism of schemes, then*

$$Y(U) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Schemes}/X}(U, Y)$$

*is a sheaf on the flat site, and therefore on the étale site.*

*Proof.* This is more or less proved in [M1, pp 16-18], or a complete proof can be found in [SGA3, IV 6.3.1]. For  $Y$  affine, this pretty much follows from prop 3.2.1 and theorem 3.3.2. The general case can be reduced to this.  $\square$

**Example 3.3.5.** *For any set  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ , let  $Y = S \times X \cong \coprod_i^n X$ . If  $U$  is connected, then  $Y(U) = S$ , otherwise it's bigger. We denote this sheaf by  $S$  when there is no confusion. This is by definition a constant sheaf. Note that if  $S$  is a group, the corresponding sheaf is a sheaf of groups.*

**Example 3.3.6.** *Let  $Y = \mathbb{A}_X^1$ . Then  $Y(U) = \mathcal{O}_U(U)$  is the just the structure sheaf in the flat topology. The fact that this is a sheaf also follows from corollary 3.3.3. We usually write this simply as  $\mathbb{G}_a$ .*

**Example 3.3.7.** *The multiplicative group scheme  $\mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$ . Let  $Y = \mathbb{G}_m \times X$ . Then  $Y(U) = \mathcal{O}_U^*$  is the sheaf of units. We usually write this simply as  $\mathbb{G}_m$ .*

**Example 3.3.8.** *The group scheme of roots of unity  $\mu_n = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ . Let  $Y = \mu_n \times X$ . Then  $Y(U) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(U) \mid f^n = 1\}$ . We usually denote this by  $\mu_n$ . This is locally constant. When  $X$  is covered by spectra of rings having  $n$  distinct roots of unity,  $\mu_n$  is constant and  $\mu_n \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  noncanonically.*

When  $X$  is the spectrum of a field  $k$  with  $K, G$  as above. We can describe the restrictions of these sheaves to  $X_{\text{ét}}$  in terms of  $G$ -modules.

1.  $S \leftrightarrow S$  with trivial  $G$ -action on the right.
2.  $\mathbb{G}_a \leftrightarrow K$  with the usual  $G$ -action on the right.
3.  $\mathbb{G}_m \leftrightarrow K^*$  with the usual  $G$ -action on the right.
4.  $\mu_n \leftrightarrow \mu_n(K) = \{f \in K \mid f^n = 1\}$  with the usual  $G$ -action on the right.

### 3.4 Schemes modulo equivalence relations

As general as schemes are, it turns out that schemes are sometimes not general enough. It's not that one wants generalizations for their own sake, but rather because one wants certain constructions to make sense. For example, in many situations one would like to form quotients by group actions. However, Hironaka has given an example of a variety  $X$  with a fixed point free action by finite group  $G$  for which the quotient  $X/G$  does not exist as a scheme (see [K]). There are other issues as well. In complex geometry, Grauert showed that one can contract subvarieties with negative normal bundle. While such a result would be desirable in the algebraic world, it is not always possible within schemes. However, Artin gave an enlargement of the category of schemes called algebraic spaces, where these kinds of constructions do exist. In rough terms it is a scheme modulo a suitable equivalence relation. The problem is to make the last part precise.

As starting point recall that a functor represented by scheme is sheaf on the étale site of  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ , and this functor determines the scheme. In particular, we can identify  $X$  with the corresponding sheaf. This would suggest that all sheaves, not just representable ones, could play the role of generalized schemes. However, these objects can be pretty wild. Artin singled a good subclass of these sheaves. Given a category with products an pair of morphisms  $R \rightrightarrows X$  is equivalent to a single morphism  $R \rightarrow X \times X$ . This is called an equivalence relation if for each  $Y$ , the map  $\text{Hom}(Y, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Y, X) \times \text{Hom}(Y, X)$  is injective and the image is an equivalence relation in the usual sense. The quotient, if it exists, is a morphism  $X \rightarrow X/R$  such that the composition of both projections  $R \rightrightarrows X$  with  $X \rightarrow X/R$  coincide, and such that this is the universal morphism with this property. Quotients by equivalence relations exist in the category of étale sheaves. A sheaf  $F$  is an algebraic space if  $F = X/R$ , where  $X$  is a scheme and  $R \subset X \times X$  is subscheme which is equivalence relation such that the projections  $R \rightarrow X$  are étale. So by definition  $X/G$  is an algebraic space when  $G$  is a finite group acting without fixed points. In particular, Hironaka's example is an algebraic space. A systematic development can be found in [A, K].

# Chapter 4

## Cohomology

### 4.1 Exact sequences

Let  $C$  be a site, and let  $PSh(C)$  denote the category of presheaves of abelian groups. The objects are presheaves, i.e. contravariant functors from  $C$  to the category of abelian groups. The morphisms are natural transformations, that is collections of homomorphisms  $\eta_U : F(U) \rightarrow G(U)$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(U) & \xrightarrow{\eta_U} & G(U) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(V) & \xrightarrow{\eta_V} & G(V) \end{array}$$

commutes. The category of  $PSh(C)$  is a so called abelian category [Ma] so it comes with a notion of exactness. To make this explicit, a sequence of presheaves

$$\dots F \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \dots$$

is exact precisely when

$$\dots F(U) \rightarrow G(U) \rightarrow H(U) \dots$$

is exact for each  $U \in C$ . Alternatively, define the presheaf kernel and image associated to a morphism  $\eta$  by

$$pker(\eta)(U) = \ker(\eta_U), \quad pim(\eta)(U) = im(\eta_U)$$

The condition for exactness amounts to  $pim$  of each morphism in the sequence to coincide with  $pker$  of the next morphism.

The category  $Sh(C)$  has as its objects sheaves of abelian groups, and morphisms are defined as above. This is also an abelian category, but the notion of *exactness in  $Sh(C)$  is different from  $PSh(C)$* . In other words the inclusion  $Sh(C) \subset PSh(C)$  is not an exact functor. The source of the problem is this. If  $\eta : F \rightarrow G$  is a morphism of sheaves  $ker(\eta) = pker(\eta)$  is a sheaf but  $pim(\eta)$  usually isn't.

**Example 4.1.1.** Let  $X = S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  be the circle with its usual topology. Let  $d : C_X^\infty \rightarrow C_X^\infty$  take  $f$  to its derivative. The constant function  $1 \notin d(C^\infty(X))$ , even though its restriction to any covering by intervals is the image. So  $\text{pim}(d)$  is not a sheaf.

The solution is replace  $\text{pim}$  by the sheaf image

$$\text{im}(\eta)(U) = \{f \in F(U) \mid \exists \text{ a covering } \{U_i\} \text{ such that } f|_{U_i} \in \text{im}(\eta_{U_i})\}$$

Then exactness amounts to the condition  $\text{ker} = \text{im}$  as above. Returning to the previous example, we can see that

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_X \rightarrow C_X^\infty \xrightarrow{d} C_X^\infty \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of sheaves although not of presheaves. Here  $\mathbb{R}_X$  is the sheaf of locally constant real valued functions.

## 4.2 Stalks in the étale topology

On a topological space  $X$ , there is a simple and useful criterion for exactness: A sequence of sheaves

$$\dots F \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \dots$$

is exact if and only if for every  $x \in X$ , the corresponding sequence of stalks

$$\dots F_x \rightarrow G_x \rightarrow H_x \dots$$

in the usual sense. The bad news/good news is that there is no analogue of this for sites in general, but there is for the étale site.

If  $X$  is a scheme, a geometric point consists of a point  $x \in X$  together with a choice of separable closure  $k(x)^{sep}$  of the residue field  $k(x) = \mathcal{O}_x/m_x$ . Equivalently, it is simply a morphism  $\bar{x} = \text{Spec } k(x)^{sep} \rightarrow X$ . An étale neighbourhood of this point is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{x} & \longrightarrow & U \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \pi \\ & & X \end{array}$$

where  $\pi$  is étale. Given sheaf  $F$  on  $X_{et}$ , define the stalk at  $\bar{x}$  by

$$F_{\bar{x}} = \varinjlim F(U)$$

where  $U$  runs over all étale neighbourhoods. This clearly gives a functor  $Sh(X_{et}) \rightarrow Sets$ . The key fact [M1, II 2.15] is

**Theorem 4.2.1.** A sequence of sheaves

$$\dots F \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \dots$$



on  $X_{et}$  is exact if only

$$\dots F_{\bar{x}} \rightarrow G_{\bar{x}} \rightarrow H_{\bar{x}} \dots$$

exact for all geometric points  $\bar{x}$ .

The stalk  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}}$ , called the strict Henselization of the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ , can be described directly in terms of commutative algebra, although it is not something one sees in a basic course.

**Theorem 4.2.2.** *For any local ring  $R$  with residue field  $k$ , the strict Henselization  $R^{sh}$  is a local ring with residue field  $k^{sep}$ . Moreover,  $R^{sh}$  satisfies Hensel's lemma which says that given  $f(x) \in R^{sh}[x]$  with a simple root  $\bar{r} \in k^{sep}$ , there exists a root  $r \in R^{sh}$  mapping to  $\bar{r}$ .*

**Example 4.2.3.** *Let  $R$  be local ring of  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$  at the origin, i.e. the localization of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  at  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . The strict Henselization  $R^{sh}$  is isomorphic to the ring of algebraic power series*

$$\{f \in k^{sep}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \mid \exists p \in k[t] - \{0\}, p(f) = 0\}$$

See [A, p 45].

An extraordinarily useful exact sequence of sheaves in complex manifold theory is the exponential sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{e^{2\pi i}} \mathcal{O}_X^* \rightarrow 1$$

Since it uses the exponential function, there is no way to make it algebraic, but there is a good substitute called the Kummer sequence.

**Proposition 4.2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme such that  $n$  does not divide the characteristic of any of the residue fields, then the Kummer sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{f \mapsto f^n} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1$$

is exact on  $X_{et}$ .

*Proof.* We can check exactness on stalks. If  $R = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{sh}$ , then it comes down to verifying

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_n(R) \rightarrow R^* \xrightarrow{n} R^* \rightarrow 1$$

is exact. The only nontrivial issue is the surjectivity of the last map. Given  $r \in R^*$ ,  $f(x) = x^n - r$  will have a simple root in the separably closed field  $k^{sep}$ . By Hensel's lemma this lifts to a root in  $R$ .  $\square$

## 4.3 Cohomology

Given a site  $C$ , as we mentioned earlier the presheaf kernel is already a sheaf. Therefore

**Lemma 4.3.1.** *Given a site  $C$ , a short exact sequence of sheaves*

$$0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_3 \rightarrow 0$$

*and an object  $X \in C$ , there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow F_1(X) \rightarrow F_2(X) \rightarrow F_3(X)$$

In general, as we saw the last map usually isn't surjective ex 4.1.1. Here's another example

**Example 4.3.2.** *The Kummer sequence for  $n = 2$  on  $\mathbb{Q}$  yields*

$$1 \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^* \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^2} \mathbb{Q}^*$$

*The last map is not surjective of course.*

There is a way to handle this, which is part of a familiar pattern in homological algebra [W]. Suppose we are given a functor  $\mathcal{F}$  from one abelian category to another which is additive in the sense it takes sums to sums. Suppose also that  $\mathcal{F}$  is also left exact which means that it takes a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

to an exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(C)$$

Under some additional assumptions about the abelian categories that every object embeds into an injective we can prolong this to a long exact sequence in a canonical way. These assumptions hold in our case, so to summarize a rather long story:

**Theorem 4.3.3.** *There exists a sequence of additive functors  $H^i(X, -)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  from  $Sh(C)$  to abelian groups such that  $H^0(X, F) = F(X)$  and a short exact sequence of sheaves*

$$0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_3 \rightarrow 0$$

*leads to a long exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, F_1) \rightarrow H^0(X, F_2) \rightarrow H^0(X, F_3) \rightarrow H^1(X, F_1) \rightarrow H^1(X, F_2) \dots$$

Of course the details can be found in the references at end, but simply treating everything as a black box is not terribly enlightening. Let us at least try to discover the meaning of some special cases.

## 4.4 Torsors

Let  $\{U_i \rightarrow X\}$  be a covering on a site  $C$ . Let  $U_{ij} = U_i \times_X U_j$  etc. Given a sheaf of not necessarily abelian groups  $G$ , by definition a collection  $g_i \in G(U_i)$  such that  $g_i|_{U_{ij}} = g_j|_{U_{ij}}$  patches to a unique  $g \in G(X)$ . Let us suppress the restriction symbols and simply write this as  $g_i g_j^{-1} = 1$ . We refer to this as the 0-cocycle condition. Perhaps this suggests the next step. A collection  $g_{ij} \in G(U_{ij})$  satisfies the (Čech) 1-cocycle condition if

$$g_{ij} g_{ik}^{-1} g_{jk} = 1$$

The pattern is perhaps clearer if we write

$$g_{ij} \hat{g}_{ik}^{-1} g_{jk} = 1$$

By considering degenerate cases such  $i = j = k$  we get

$$g_{ii} = 1, \quad g_{ji} = g_{ij}^{-1}$$

Such cocycles come up naturally in the theory of fibre bundles. Let say that  $C$  is the site associated to a topological space  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{G}$  is a topological group, and  $G(U)$  is the group of continuous maps from  $U$  to  $\mathcal{G}$ . Given 1-cocycle  $g_{ij}$ , and a space  $F$  on which  $G$  acts we can build a new topological space  $\mathcal{F}$  by gluing  $F \times U_i$  to  $F \times U_j$  by  $(f, x) \mapsto (g_{ij}(x)f, x)$ . There are some consistency issues, but these are taken care of by the cocycle rule.  $\mathcal{F}$  comes with a map to  $X$ , and is an example of a locally trivial fibre bundle. In particular the construction applies when  $F = \mathcal{G}$  the usual action of  $\mathcal{G}$  on itself. We obtain a principal fibre bundle  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}$ . A version of this can be carried entirely in setting of sheaves over a site  $C$ . Thus to  $G$  and a cocycle  $g_{ij}$  we obtain a sheaf of sets  $P$  with an action  $P \times G \rightarrow P$  such that the restriction  $P(U_i)$  becomes isomorphic to  $G(U_i)$  with usual action of  $G(U_i)$  on itself.  $P$  is called a torsor or principal homogeneous space. Given a torsor and fixed choice of isomorphisms  $P(U_i) \cong G(U_i)$  – such a set of isomorphism is called a trivialization – we can reverse everything and obtain a cocycle.

Let us now assume that  $G$  is a sheaf of abelian groups. Let  $Z^1(\{U_i \rightarrow X\}, G)$  denote the set of 1-cocycles. It is now an abelian group. We have subgroup of 1-coboundaries  $B^1(\{U_i \rightarrow X\}, G)$  consisting of  $g_{ij} = h_i h_j^{-1}$  for  $h_i \in G(U_i)$ . We define the first Čech cohomology group

$$\check{H}^1(\{U_i \rightarrow X\}, G) = Z^1/B^1$$

Taking the direct limit over coverings gives

$$\check{H}^1(X, G) = \varinjlim \check{H}^1(\{U_i \rightarrow X\}, G)$$

**Theorem 4.4.1.**  $\check{H}^1(X, G) \cong H^1(X, G)$

To see why is reasonable. Consider an exact sequence of sheaves of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow F \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$$

According to the theorem, the obstruction to lifting  $k \in K(X)$  to  $F(X)$  is given by an element  $\delta(k) \in \check{H}^1(X, G)$ . By definition of exactness of sheaves, there is a covering  $\{U_i \rightarrow X\}$  and an elements  $f_i \in F(U_i)$  mapping onto  $k|_{U_i}$ . Then the elements  $g_{ij} = f_i f_j^{-1}$  is a 1-cocycle representing  $\delta(k)$ . If  $\delta(k) = 0$  one can show that  $g_{ij}$  is a coboundary because the maps in above the direct limit are injective. In this case, we can correct the  $f_i$  so that they patch to a section of  $F(X)$ . Therefore  $\delta(k)$  is the obstruction as claimed.

As see saw, elements of  $Z^1(\{U_i \rightarrow X\}, G)$  correspond to torsors with fixed trivialization over the covering. Dividing by  $B^1$  gets rid of the choice of trivialization, so  $\check{H}^1(\{U_i \rightarrow X\}, G)$  is the set of bundles which can be trivialized on the given covering. Taking the limit, eliminates the last choice. Thus

**Corollary 4.4.2.** *The elements of  $H^1(X, G)$  correspond to isomorphism classes of torsors for  $G$ , with 0 corresponding to the trivial torsor  $G$ .*

Here is a basic example. Let  $X$  be normal scheme and  $G$  be a finite quotient of  $\pi_1^{et}(X)$ . Then we have an étale cover  $Y \rightarrow X$  with Galois group  $G$ . We wish to show that  $Y$ , or more accurately the sheaf  $Y(-)$ , is a torsor for  $G$  on  $X_{et}$ . By definition  $G$  acts on  $Y$ . So it remains to check local triviality. This comes down to

**Lemma 4.4.3.**  $G \times Y \cong Y \times_X Y$ .

*Proof.* Define a map  $(g, y) \mapsto (gy, y)$ . This can be checked to be an isomorphism.  $\square$

We can refine this a bit. Suppose  $G$  is a finite group with a homomorphism  $h : \pi_1^{et}(X) \rightarrow G$ . Let  $H = im(h)$ . Then we can form an  $H$ -torsor  $Y$  as above. Let  $P = G \times Y/H$  where  $H$  acts by  $(g, y) \mapsto (h^{-1}g, yh)$ . Then  $P$  becomes a  $G$ -torsor. Note that  $P$  need not be connected. Any  $G$ -torsor can be seen to arise this way. When  $G$  is abelian, then we have bijections

$$Hom(\pi_1^{et}(X), G) \cong \{G\text{-torsors}\} \cong H^1(X_{et}, G)$$

as sets. This partially justifies our earlier description of cohomology.

One can define higher Čech cohomology for a site by refining the above procedure. A Čech  $n$ -cocycle in a sheaf of abelian groups  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to a covering  $\{U_i \rightarrow X\}$  is a collection

$$g_{i_0, \dots, i_n} \in \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0, \dots, i_n})$$

satisfying

$$\delta(g) = \sum (-1)^r g_{i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_r, \dots, i_n} = 0$$

One then defines  $\check{H}^n(\{U_i \rightarrow X\}, \mathcal{F})$  by taking the space of cocycles modulo the image of  $\delta$ .  $\check{H}^n(X, \mathcal{F})$  is defined as the direct limit over all coverings. Unfortunately, this need not be the same as  $H^n(X, \mathcal{F})$  even when  $X$  is a topological space. Useful criteria for when these are isomorphic can be found in the references. For our purposes, the following is sufficient.

**Theorem 4.4.4.** *If  $X$  is a quasiprojective scheme, then*

$$H^i(X_{et}, \mathcal{F}) \cong \check{H}^i(X_{et}, \mathcal{F})$$

*Proof.* [M1, III, 2.17]. □

## 4.5 Galois cohomology

Let  $X = \text{Spec } k$ , where  $k$  is a field,  $K = k^{sep}$  and  $G = \text{Gal}(K/k)$ . Then we saw that the category of sheaves on  $X_{et}$  is equivalent to the category of  $G$ -modules. Under this correspondence

$$F(X) = M^G$$

Thus as, one would expect, the higher cohomology can be described in these terms. Given  $M$ , a (Galois)  $n$ -cocycle is a continuous map  $f : G^n \rightarrow M$  satisfying

$$\delta(f)(g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) =$$

$$g_1 f(g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}) + \sum (-1)^i f(g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_n) + (-1)^{n+1} f(g_1, \dots, g_n) = 0$$

It is sometimes convenient to add a normalization condition that  $f$  vanishes whenever one of the  $g_i = 1$ . Let  $Z^n(G, M)$  ( $Z_{norm}(G, M)$ ) denote the group of (normalized) cocycles. One checks that  $\delta^2 = 0$  so elements in the image of  $\delta$ , called coboundaries, are in particular cocycles. Let  $B^n(G, M)$  ( $B_{norm}(G, M)$ ) denote the group of (normalized)  $n$ -coboundaries. Then define the  $n$ th Galois cohomology by

$$H^n(G, M) = Z^n(G, M) / B^n(G, M)$$

One can use normalized cocycles above without changing the outcome.

**Theorem 4.5.1.** *If  $F \in X_{et}$  corresponds to  $M$ , then*

$$H^i(X_{et}, F) \cong H^i(G, M)$$

This can be proved easily once one has set up the general homological machinery [W]. The above functors are isomorphic because they are derived functors of isomorphic functors.

**Corollary 4.5.2.** *If  $F$  is a constant sheaf corresponding to a module  $M$ , then  $H^1(X_{et}, F) \cong \text{Hom}_{cont}(G, M)$ .*

*Proof.* A 1-cocycle satisfies

$$f(g_1g_2) = g_1f(g_2) + f(g_1)$$

in general. In this case,  $M$  has trivial action, so it is just a homomorphism. Moreover the coboundaries are trivial.  $\square$

It is sometimes convenient to note that Galois cohomology can be expressed as a limit.

**Lemma 4.5.3.**

$$H^i(G, M) = \varinjlim H^i(\text{Gal}(L/k), M^{\text{Gal}(K/L)})$$

as  $L/k$  runs over finite Galois extensions. (The right hand cohomology is defined as above. Cocycles are automatically continuous.)

**Theorem 4.5.4** (Hilbert's theorem 90).  $H^1(G, K^*) = 0$

*Proof.* By the lemma, we have

$$H^1(G, K^*) = \varinjlim H^1(\text{Gal}(L/k), L^*)$$

as  $L/k$  runs over finite Galois extensions. Fix  $L/k$  and set  $G = \text{Gal}(L/k)$ . Given a 1-cocycle  $\phi \in Z^1(G, L^*)$  and  $\lambda \in L$ , set

$$\beta = \sum_{g \in G} \phi(g)g(\lambda)$$

By Galois theory,  $\lambda$  can be chosen so that  $\beta \neq 0$  [L, p 303]. Furthermore in this case, one has  $\phi(g) = \beta/g(\beta)$  which shows that  $\phi$  is a coboundary.  $\square$

There are some important cases, where higher cohomology has a concrete interpretation. The Brauer group  $Br(k)$  is the set of isomorphism classes of finite dimensional noncommutative algebras over  $k$  which become isomorphic to matrix algebra after extending scalars to some finite Galois extension. The group operation is tensor product. The Brauer group is often highly nontrivial. The determination for  $\mathbb{Q}$  is one of the achievements of class field theory. For the reals,  $Br(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  with the generator given by the quaternions.

We can express

$$H^2(G, K^*) = \varinjlim H^2(\text{Gal}(L/k), L^*)$$

Fix  $L/k$  and set  $G = \text{Gal}(L/k)$ . Given normalized 2-cocycle  $\phi \in Z_{norm}^2(G, L^*)$ , we can define a noncommutative  $K$ -algebra  $A_\phi = \{\sum a_g * g \mid a_g \in L, g \in G\}$ , called the crossed product, with multiplication law  $*$  determined by the rules

$$(a * 1) * (b * 1) = (ab) * 1, \quad a, b \in L$$

$$g * a = g(a) * g, \quad a \in L, g \in G$$

$$g * h = \phi(g, h)gh, \quad g, h \in G$$

where  $g(a)$  is given by the action of  $G$  on  $L$ . A few facts can be seen readily from these formulas

- The algebra is associative (this uses the cocycle property).
- The centre of this algebra is precisely  $k$
- When  $\phi = 1$ , we can identify  $A_\phi$  with the matrix algebra  $End_k(L)$ .

For general  $\phi$ , one can show that after extending scalars  $L \otimes_k A_\phi$  becomes a matrix algebra. Therefore  $A_\phi$  determines an element of  $Br(k)$ .

**Theorem 4.5.5.** *The map  $\phi \mapsto A_\phi$  yields an isomorphism  $H^2(G, K^*) \cong Br(k)$*

## Chapter 5

# Cohomology of Curves

Given a smooth projective curve  $X$  of genus  $g$ , the usual (singular) cohomology has the following shape

$$H^i(X, A) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } i = 0 \\ A^{2g} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ A & \text{if } i = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

So in particular, this holds when  $A = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mu_n$ . Our goal is to show that étale cohomology gives essentially the same answer.

### 5.1 Picard group

Fix a smooth projective curve  $X$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . A divisor is a finite sum  $\sum n_i p_i$  where  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p_i \in X(k)$ . They form an abelian group  $Div(X)$ . The degree  $deg(\sum n_i p_i) = \sum n_i$  defines a surjective homomorphism  $deg : Div(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Given a rational function  $f \in k(X)^*$ , define the principal divisor

$$div(f) = \sum ord_p(f)p$$

where  $ord_p$  is the discrete valuation measuring the order of the zero of  $f$  at  $p$ . One has  $div(fg) = div(f) + div(g)$ , so the set of principal divisors form a subgroup  $Princ(X)$ . The Picard group or the divisor class group

$$Pic(X) = Div(X)/Princ(X)$$

The degree of principal divisor is zero, therefore  $deg$  factors through  $Pic(X)$ . Let  $Pic^0(X)$  be the kernel.

**Theorem 5.1.1.**  *$Pic(X)$  is isomorphic to the Zariski cohomology group  $H^1(X_{zar}, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ .*



*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  denote the skyscraper sheaf

$$\mathbb{Z}_p(U) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } p \in U \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If we write  $k(X)^*$  for the constant sheaf  $k(X)^*(U) = k(X)^*$ , then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^* \rightarrow k(X)^* \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.1)$$

on  $X_{zar}$ , where  $\text{div}$  is defined as above but with the sum over points restricted to the given  $U$ . Then we get a long exact sequence

$$H^0(X, k(X)^*) \rightarrow H^0(X, \bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \rightarrow H^1(X, k(X)^*)$$

The cohomology group on the right vanishes because  $k(X)^*$  is flasque [H]. Therefore this sequence becomes

$$k(X)^* \rightarrow \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow H^1(X_{zar}, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \rightarrow 0$$

and the theorem follows.  $\square$

There is another natural interpretation of this group. An element of  $H^1(X_{zar}, \mathcal{O}^*)$  is given by a cocycle  $g_{ij}$ . This gives a so-called line bundle, which is a fibre bundle with fibre  $k$ . For our purposes, it is more convenient to the sheaf theoretic analogue of this. A line bundle  $L$  is for us a rank one locally free sheaf on  $X$ , i.e. it is a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules such that  $L|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$  for some Zariski open covering. From the cocycle we can construct  $L$  by

$$L(U) = \{f_i \in \prod \mathcal{O}_X(U \cap U_i) \mid f_i = g_{ij} f_j\}$$

We can also construct a line bundle directly from a divisor by

$$\mathcal{O}(\sum n_i p_i) = \prod m_{p_i}^{-n_i}$$

where  $m_p$  is the maximal ideal sheaf at  $p$ . So to summarize the discussion

**Theorem 5.1.2.** *There is an isomorphism*

$$\text{Pic}(X) \cong H^1(X_{zar}, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \cong \{\text{line bundles}\} / \cong$$

*The group operation on the right is tensor product.*

The Picard group has a well known structure of an abelian variety, which is a projective variety which has a structure of an algebraic group [Mul]. Over  $\mathbb{C}$  an abelian variety is a complex torus.

**Theorem 5.1.3.** *The group  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$  is the set of rational points of a  $g$  dimensional abelian variety called the Jacobian, where  $g = \dim H^1(X_{zar}, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is the genus.*

From the structure of abelian varieties

**Corollary 5.1.4.** *Pic<sup>0</sup>(X) is a divisible abelian group. If n is prime to the characteristic of k, then the n-torsion of Pic<sup>0</sup>(X), Pic<sup>0</sup>(X)<sub>n</sub> is isomorphic to (Z/nZ)<sup>2g</sup>.*

## 5.2 Etale cohomology of $\mathbb{G}_m$

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective curve over an algebraically closed field  $k$ .

**Theorem 5.2.1** (Tsen). *If  $K = k(X)$ , then  $H^i(\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K), K^*) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ .*

*Proof.* The vanishing for  $i = 1$  is Hilbert's theorem 90. For the more usual form of Tsen's theorem and why the present result follows see [S, chap II, §3].  $\square$

Let  $j : \text{Spec } k(X) \rightarrow X$  denote the inclusion of the generic point. We can define the so called direct image sheaf  $j_*\mathbb{G}_m$  on  $X_{\text{et}}$  by

$$j_*\mathbb{G}_m(U) = k(U)^*$$

**Corollary 5.2.2.**  *$H^i(X, j_*\mathbb{G}_m) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ .*

*Proof.* There are two things going on here. An isomorphism  $H^i(X, j_*\mathbb{G}_m) \cong H^i(\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K), K^*)$  and the theorem. The first statement follows from an analysis of the Leray spectral sequence. See [SGA4.5, p 33] for details.  $\square$

Note that  $j_*\mathbb{G}_m$  restricts to the sheaf  $k(X)^*$  on  $X_{\text{zar}}$ . We have an analogue of (5.1)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow j_*\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.2)$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is the étale version of a skyscraper sheaf (ex 3.2.4).

**Lemma 5.2.3.**  *$H^i(X_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ .*

*Proof.* One checks that  $H^i(X_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) = H^i(\text{Spec } k(p), \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . See [SGA4.5, p 34].  $\square$

Putting these results together yields

**Theorem 5.2.4.**

$$H^i(X_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{G}_m) = \begin{cases} k^* & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \text{Pic}(X) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i > 1 \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* From (5.2), and corollary 5.2.2, we deduce

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X_{et}, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H^0(X_{et}, j_* \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H^0(X_{et}, \bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow H^1(X_{et}, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow 0$$

This can be identified with

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X_{et}, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow k(X)^* \rightarrow \bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H^1(X_{et}, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow 0$$

Therefore  $H^0(X_{et}, \mathbb{G}_m)$  and  $H^1(X_{et}, \mathbb{G}_m)$  can be identified with kernel and cokernel of  $k(X)^* \rightarrow Div(X)$  respectively. The kernel is the set of rational functions on  $X$  without poles or zeros, which is known to be exactly  $k^*$ . The cokernel of  $k(X)^* \rightarrow Div(X)$  is  $Pic(X)$  as we have seen. The higher cohomologies of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  are zero by corollaries 5.2.2 and 5.2.3.  $\square$

We can now deduce analogues of the calculations of singular cohomology stated earlier.

**Corollary 5.2.5.** *Suppose that  $n$  is prime to  $\text{char } k$ . Then*

$$H^i(X_{et}, \mu_n) = \begin{cases} \mu_n(k) & \text{if } i = 0 \\ Pic^0(X)_n & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i = 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } i > 2 \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* From the Kummer sequence, we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X_{et}, \mu_n) \rightarrow k^* \xrightarrow{n} k^* \rightarrow H^1(X_{et}, \mu_n) \rightarrow Pic(X) \xrightarrow{n} Pic(X) \rightarrow H^2(X_{et}, \mu_n) \rightarrow 0$$

The corollary follows by combining this with the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Pic^0(X) \rightarrow Pic(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

and corollary 5.1.4.  $\square$

We deduce from this that there are noncanonical isomorphisms

$$H^i(X_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i = 0 \\ (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2g} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i = 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } i > 2 \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

When  $X$  is defined over a subfield  $k_0 \subset k$ , the Galois group  $Gal(k/k_0)$  will act on étale cohomology. The isomorphisms given in the above corollary are better for this purpose, since they respect the Galois action. Discarding this for now, notice the symmetry  $rank(H^0(X_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})) = rank(H^2(X_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}))$ . This is an instance of Poincaré duality. In order to put this in a more canonical footing,

we need to explain products. Given sheaves  $F, G$ , their tensor product  $F \otimes G$  is the sheaf associated to the presheaf  $U \mapsto F(U) \otimes G(U)$ . There are products

$$\cup : H^n(X_{et}, F) \otimes H^m(X_{et}, G) \rightarrow H^{n+m}(X_{et}, F \otimes G)$$

called cup products, which can be described explicitly using Čech cocycles. Given cocycles  $f_{i_0, \dots, i_n}$  and  $g_{i_0, \dots, i_m}$ , their product is given by

$$(f \cup g)_{i_0, \dots, i_{n+m}} = f_{i_0, \dots, i_n} \otimes g_{i_n, \dots, i_{n+m}}$$

**Theorem 5.2.6.** *Let*

$$tr : H^2(X_{et}, \mu_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$$

*denote the canonical isomorphism given above. The pairing*

$$tr \circ \cup : H^i(X, \mu_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} H^{2-i}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$$

*is perfect i.e. it induces an isomorphism*

$$H^i(X, \mu_n) \cong \text{Hom}(H^{2-i}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

*Proof.* The only nontrivial case is  $i = 1$ . Here the proof hinges on an explicit description of the product [SGA4.5, Dualité §3]. Fix a base point  $x_0$  of  $X$  then one has the Abel-Jacobi map  $\alpha : X \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)$  given by  $x \mapsto x - x_0$ . This map induces an isomorphism on the first étale homology by class field theory. Therefore a  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -torsor of  $X$  is the pullback of a  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -torsor of  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$  along  $\alpha$ . Multiplication by  $n$

$$\text{Pic}^0(X) \xrightarrow{n} \text{Pic}^0(X)$$

determines a  $\text{Pic}^0(X)_n$ -torsor of  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ . This determines a class

$$\xi \in H^1(\text{Pic}^0(X)_{et}, \text{Pic}^0(X)_n)$$

Every  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -torsor of  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$  is the image of  $\xi$  under a unique homomorphism  $\text{Hom}(\text{Pic}^0(X)_n, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ . Therefore, we have an identification

$$H^1(X_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Pic}^0(X)_n, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

The product  $H^1(X, \mu_n) \otimes H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  coincides with the evaluation map

$$\text{Pic}^0(X)_n \otimes \text{Hom}(\text{Pic}^0(X)_n, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$$

up to sign, which is perfect by definition.  $\square$

### 5.3 Constructible Sheaves

Let  $X$  be as in the last section. It is convenient to extend the finiteness statements for  $H^*(X_{et}, \mu_n)$  to more general coefficients. An étale sheaf  $F$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -modules on the curve  $X$  is called constructible if it has finite stalks and there exists a nonempty Zariski open set  $U \subseteq X$  such that  $F|_U$  is locally constant. (We can understand the restriction simply as restriction of functors to the subcategory  $U_{et} \subset X_{et}$ .) Of course,  $\mu_n$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  are both constructible, as are sky scraper sheaves 3.2.4  $i_*A$  where  $A$  is finite.

**Theorem 5.3.1.** *If  $F$  is constructible then  $H^i(X_{\text{ét}}, F)$  is finite for all  $i$  and zero when  $i > 2$ .*

*Proof.* We make a series of reductions to the case we understand, corollary 5.2.5. This style of proof, called *devissage* or untwisting, is classic Grothendieck.

- (1) The theorem is trivially true for skyscraper sheaves.
- (2) When  $F$  is constant, this follows from corollary 5.2.5.
- (3) Suppose that  $F|_U$  is constant for some nonempty  $j : U \rightarrow X$ . Let  $Z = X - U$  and let  $i : Z \rightarrow X$  denote the inclusion. We have canonical surjection (or more accurately epimorphism)

$$F \rightarrow i_* \bigoplus_{x \in Z} F_x$$

We denote the kernel by  $j_!F|_U$ . Then the theorem holds for  $j_!F|_U$  by usual the long exact sequence and (1) and (2).

- (4) Suppose that  $F|_U$  is locally constant. Let  $j$  be as above. Then there exists an étale cover  $\pi : U' \rightarrow U$  such that  $F_{U'}$  is constant. In fact, we can assume that  $\pi$  is Galois. We can choose smooth compactification  $X'$  of  $U'$  and extend  $\pi$  to a morphism  $X' \rightarrow X$ . Let  $j' : U' \rightarrow U$  denote the inclusion. We obtain a long exact sequence

$$\dots H^i(X, j_!F|_U) \rightarrow H^i(X', j'_!F|_{U'}) \rightarrow H^i(X, j'_!F''|_U) \dots$$

where  $F''$  is again constant. Therefore the theorem holds for  $j_!F|_U$ .

- (5) For the general case. Choose  $U$  so that  $F|_U$  is locally constant. Use the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow j_!F|_U \rightarrow F \rightarrow i_* \bigoplus_{x \in Z} F_x \rightarrow 0$$

and the previous cases to conclude the theorem.

□

One also has a version of Poincaré duality for constructible sheaves. See [FK, M1, M2, SGA4.5].

# Chapter 6

## Cohomology of surfaces

The goal of this chapter is apply the machinery of étale cohomology to surfaces. Among other things, we give one of Weil's proofs [W1] of his bound on the number of points of a curve over a finite field. The proof relies on the geometry of the surface  $C \times C$ .

### 6.1 Finiteness of Cohomology

Fix a smooth projective surface (i.e. two dimensional variety)  $X$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . The first key result is the finiteness of the cohomology of  $X$ . Let  $n$  be coprime to  $\text{char } k$ .

**Theorem 6.1.1.** *The groups  $H^i(X_{et}, \mu_n)$  are finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -modules.*

*Sketch.* For curves the analagous statement was obtained by direct calculation. For surfaces things are more complicated. The basic idea is to reduce things down to curves by fibering them. Here is a broad outline. The first step is to reduce to the case where  $X$  admits a surjection onto  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Embed  $X$  into projective space. Choose a pencil of hyperplanes  $\{H_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{P}^1}$  so that  $H_0$  and  $H_\infty$  meet in general position. We can form a new smooth surface

$$X' = \{(x, t) \in X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \mid x \in H_t\}$$

which maps onto both  $X$  and  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . The cohomology of  $X$  injects into the cohomology of  $X'$ , so we may replace  $X$  by  $X'$ .

Now consider cohomology along the fibres. More formally these are higher direct image sheaves  $R^i f_* \mu_n$  which are the sheaves associated to the presheaves

$$U \mapsto H^i((U \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} X)_{et}, \mu_n)$$

The cohomology of  $X$  can be computed using the Leray spectral sequence

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}_{et}^1, R^j f_* \mu_n) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X_{et}, \mu_n)$$

which we won't explain. The important thing for now is that it reduces the theorem to proving finiteness of  $H^i(\mathbb{P}_{et}^1, R^j f_* \mu_n)$  for all  $i, j$ . Finiteness will follow from theorem 5.3.1, once we prove

Claim:  $R^j f_* \mu_n$  are constructible.

The claim can be proved by a relative version of the arguments used to prove corollary 5.2.5. Let  $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$  be an open set over which  $f$  has no singular fibres. Then

$$R^i f_* \mu_n|_U = \begin{cases} \mu_n & \text{if } i = 0 \\ n\text{-torsion of the Picard scheme} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

□

There is also a version of Poincaré duality in this setting. This can be proved by refining the previous analysis.

**Theorem 6.1.2.** *We have an isomorphism*

$$tr : H^4(X, \mu_n^{\otimes 2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$$

where  $\mu_n^{\otimes 2} = \mu_n \otimes \mu_n$ . The pairing

$$tr \circ \cup : H^i(X, \mu_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} H^{4-i}(X, \mu_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$$

is perfect.

*Proof.* [M1, chap VI, §2, §11].

□

To dispel some of the mystery here, we can deduce these theorems from a more elementary result in the case we are mainly interested in. Let  $C_i$  be a smooth projective curves over  $k$ . Set  $X = C_1 \times C_2$ . Then

**Theorem 6.1.3** (Künneth formula). *The cohomology of  $X$ , as a graded group, is the tensor product of the cohomology of  $C$  with itself. More explicitly,*

$$H^i(X_{et}, \mu_n^{\otimes(c+d)}) = \bigoplus_{a+b=i} H^a(C_{1,et}, \mu_n^{\otimes c}) \otimes H^b(C_{2,et}, \mu_n^{\otimes d})$$

A tensor  $\alpha \otimes \beta$  on the right corresponds to the product  $p_1^* \alpha \cup p_2^* \beta$  on the left, where  $p_i$  are the projections.

## 6.2 Divisors on a surface

Let  $X$  be as above. A divisor is a finite integral linear combination  $\sum n_i C_i$  of irreducible curves on  $X$ . As before, these form a group  $Div(X)$ . The Picard group

$$Pic(X) = Div(X)/Princ(X)$$

where  $Princ(X)$  is the group of divisors of the form  $div(f) = \sum ord_C(f)C$ ,  $f \in k(X)^*$ . Arguments similar to those used earlier show that

**Theorem 6.2.1.**  $Pic(X) \cong H^1(X_{zar}, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \cong H^1(X_{et}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ .

Suppose that  $n$  is coprime to  $char k$ . The Kummer sequence yields a map called the mod  $n$  first Chern class

$$c_1 : Pic(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H^2(X_{et}, \mu_n)$$

For a divisor  $D$ , we denote the image by  $[D]$ . Unlike the case of curves, this map is generally not surjective. Another new feature in the surface case, is the intersection pairing. Given two distinct irreducible curves  $C, D \subset X$ , defined locally by  $f, g$  at  $p \in X$ , their intersection number at  $p$  is

$$(C \cdot D)_p = \dim \mathcal{O}_p / (f, g)$$

Their intersection number is

$$C \cdot D = \sum_p (C \cdot D)_p$$

**Theorem 6.2.2.** *There exists a symmetric bilinear form on  $Pic(X)$  extending  $\cdot$  above.*

*Proof.* See [H, chap V, §1]. □

The above product induces a nondegenerate  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -valued bilinear form  $\langle, \rangle$  on  $H^2(X, \mu_n)$ .

**Theorem 6.2.3.** *This pairing is compatible with the intersection pairing on divisors i.e.  $\langle [C] \cdot [D] \rangle = (C \cdot D) \pmod n$ .*

Going mod  $n$  involves losing information. The usual way to recover this is to take the limit. Fix a prime  $\ell \neq char k$ . The  $\ell$ -adic cohomology

$$H^i(X_{et}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(a)) = \varprojlim_N H^i(X_{et}, \mu_{\ell^N}^{\otimes a})$$

(N.B. This is **not** étale cohomology with coefficients in  $\varprojlim \mu_{\ell^N}^{\otimes a}$ . If  $a < 0$ , we should use the  $|a|$ th power of the dual of  $\mu_{\ell^N}$ .) The finiteness statements above can be refined to show finite generation of  $\ell$ -adic cohomology as a  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -module. The maps

$$Pic(X) \rightarrow H^2(X_{et}, \mu_{\ell^N})$$

pass to the limit

$$Pic(X) \rightarrow H^2({}_{et}X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$$

and then to

$$Pic(X) \rightarrow H^2(X_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)) := H^2(X_{et}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

The pairing  $\langle, \rangle$  also lifts a  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -valued pairing to the right. Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  injects into  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ , we now get  $\langle C \cdot D \rangle = (C \cdot D)$  on the nose. The Picard group can be very large.



It is useful to cut it down to something more manageable. A divisor (class)  $D$  is numerically equivalent to 0 if  $D \cdot D' = 0$  for all  $D'$ . The Neron-Severi group  $NS(X)$  is the quotient of  $Pic(X)$  by the group of divisors numerically equivalent to 0. (Normally one divides by a slightly smaller subgroup, but this is immaterial for our purposes.)

**Theorem 6.2.4.**  *$NS(X)$  is a finitely generated abelian group.*

*Proof.* The space  $H^2(X_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$  is finite dimensional. Therefore the image of  $Pic(X)$  spans a finite dimensional subspace. Let  $C_1, \dots, C_N$  be a basis of this subspace. Then the map  $NS(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^N$  given by  $D \mapsto (C_i \cdot D)$  is necessarily injective.  $\square$

By definition the intersection pairing descends to a pairing on  $NS(X)$ .

### 6.3 The Lefschetz trace formula

Let  $C$  be a smooth projective curve of genus  $g$  defined over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . Set  $X = C \times C$ . Then by the Künneth formula

$$H^i(X_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(c+d)) = \bigoplus_{a+b=i} H^a(C_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(c)) \otimes H^b(C_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(d))$$

**Corollary 6.3.1.** *The dimensions of  $H^i(X)$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$  are  $1, 2g, 4g + 2, 2g, 1$  respectively.*

Note that  $\dim H^*(C_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(a))$  is independent of the Tate twist parameter  $a$ . So it's convenient to disregard the twist for what follows. In fact, we will suppress coefficients completely. Let  $f : C \rightarrow C$  be an endomorphism. Let  $\Gamma_f \subset C$  denote the graph. This is a divisor. For the identity  $\Gamma_{id} = \Delta$  is the diagonal. When the graph  $\Gamma_f$  is transverse to  $\Delta$ ,  $\Gamma_f \cdot \Delta$  is precisely the number of fixed points of  $f$ . In general, we can view  $\Gamma_f \cdot \Delta$  as the number of fixed points of  $f$  counted correctly. Note that  $f$  induces an endomorphism  $f^*|H^i(C)$  of cohomology. For  $i = 0$  and  $i = 2$ , this is just the identity and the degree respectively.

**Theorem 6.3.2** (Lefschetz trace formula).

$$\Gamma_f \cdot \Delta = \sum (-1)^i \text{trace}[f^*|H^i(C_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)] = 1 - \text{trace}[f^*|H^1(C_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)] + \deg f$$

*Proof.* The proof uses Poincaré duality along with formal properties of cohomology. By Poincaré duality we have a nondegenerate pairing  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \text{tr}(\alpha \cup \beta)$  on  $H^*(C)$ . This identifies cohomology  $H^*(C)$  with its dual. In particular,

$$H^*(X) = H^*(C) \otimes H^*(C) \cong \text{Hom}(H^*(C), H^*(C))$$

Under this identification the class of the diagonal  $[\Delta]$  corresponds to the identity. To write this explicitly, choose a basis  $\alpha_0 \in H^0(C, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ ,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2g} \in H^1(C, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$

$\alpha_{2g+1} \in H^2(C, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  for the total cohomology, and let  $\alpha^0, \alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^{2g+1}$  be the dual basis. We two natural bases of  $H^*(X)$  given by  $\{\alpha_i \otimes \alpha^j\}$  and  $\{\alpha^i \otimes \alpha_j\}$  and these are dual to each other. Then

$$[\Delta] = \sum \alpha_i \otimes \alpha^i$$

Therefore

$$[\Gamma_f] = (f \times id)^* \Delta = \sum f^*(\alpha_i) \otimes \alpha^i$$

We can expand this further by substituting

$$f^* \alpha_i = \sum f_{ij} \alpha_j$$

Note that  $f_{00} = 1$  and  $f_{2g+2, 2g+2} = q$  by the earlier remarks. above. For the next step we need to switch factors. The cup product is graded commutative which means

$$\alpha \cup \beta = (-1)^{\deg \alpha \deg \beta} \beta \cup \alpha$$

Thus we can write

$$[\Delta] = \alpha^0 \otimes \alpha_0 - \sum_1^{2g} \alpha^i \otimes \alpha_i + \alpha^{2g+1} \otimes \alpha_{2g+1}$$

Now

$$\Gamma_f \cdot \Delta = 1 - \sum f_{ii} + q$$

□

Let  $C$  be defined over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q$  elements. We write  $\bar{C}$  for the corresponding curve over the algebraic closure  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ . Then for each integer  $n > 0$ , let  $N_n$  denote the number of points  $\#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ . We can assemble these numbers into a generating function called the zeta function

$$Z(t) = \exp\left(\sum_1^\infty \frac{N_n t^n}{n}\right) \quad (6.1)$$

The significance of this somewhat peculiar expression can be explained by changing variables. Then after a bit of work, we get an expression [D]

$$\zeta(s) = Z(q^{-s}) = \prod_{x \in C} \frac{1}{1 - 1/N(x)^s}, \quad N(x) = \#k(x)$$

which looks very much like an Euler product for a classical zeta function. Let  $F : \bar{C} \rightarrow \bar{C}$  denote the  $q$ th power Frobenius morphism, which naively is given by raising the coordinates to  $q$ . We can identify  $N_n$  with the number of fixed points of  $F^n$ , and this can be computed by Lefschetz:

$$N_n = 1 - \text{trace}[F^{n*} | H^1(\bar{C})] + q^n = 1 + q^n - \sum \lambda_i^n$$

where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $[F^* | H^1(\bar{C})]$ . Substituting into (6.1) and simplifying yields

**Corollary 6.3.3.**

$$Z(t) = \frac{\prod(1 - \lambda_i t)}{(1 - t)(1 - qt)} = \frac{\det(1 - Ft|H^1(\bar{C}))}{(1 - t)(1 - qt)}$$

## 6.4 Riemann hypothesis for curves

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective surface over an algebraically closed field. A divisor is called ample if a positive multiple of it has the same class in  $Pic(X)$  as  $X \cap H$  for some hyperplane  $H$  in a projective space containing  $X$ . One can see that an ample divisor has positive self intersection.

**Theorem 6.4.1** (Hodge Index theorem). *If  $H$  is the class of an ample divisor in  $NS(X)$ , then  $D \cdot H = 0$  implies  $D^2 < 0$ . In other words, the orthogonal complement of  $H$  in  $NS(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  is negative definite.*

*Proof.* [H, V, 1.9]. □

**Corollary 6.4.2** (Castelnuovo-Severi inequality). *If  $D$  is a divisor on a product of curves  $X = C_1 \times C_2$ ,*

$$D^2 \leq 2ab$$

where  $a = D \cdot (C_1 \times p_2), b = D \cdot (p_1 \times C_2), p_i \in C_i(k)$ .

*Proof.* Apply the following lemma to the divisors  $(C_1 \times p_2), (p_1 \times C_2)$  and  $D$ . □

**Lemma 6.4.3.** *Let  $\langle, \rangle$  denote a symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of type  $(1, n-1)$ . In other words, suppose that it is represented by a matrix with one positive eigenvalue and the rest negative. If  $\langle x, x \rangle = \langle y, y \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle x, y \rangle = 1$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then for any  $z, \langle z, z \rangle \leq 2\langle z, x \rangle \langle z, y \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* As  $x$  is in the closure of the cone of vectors with positive square, any vector  $u$  orthogonal to  $x$  must satisfy  $\langle u, u \rangle \leq 0$ . Set  $u = \langle x, z \rangle y - \langle x, y \rangle z$ . Then  $\langle u, x \rangle = 0$ . Expanding  $\langle u, u \rangle \leq 0$  this yields the proof. □

**Theorem 6.4.4** (Hasse-Weil). *If  $C$  is a smooth projective curve of genus  $g$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then*

$$|N - 1 - q| \leq 2g\sqrt{q}$$

where  $N = \#C(\mathbb{F}_q)$

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{C}$  be the extension of  $C$  to the closure. Let  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  denote the diagonal and the graph of the Frobenius on  $X = \bar{C} \times \bar{C}$ . Then  $N = \Delta \cdot \Gamma$ . Note that  $\Delta^2 = 2 - 2g$  by the Lefschetz trace formula. Since  $\Gamma = (F \times id)^* \Delta$  and  $F \times id$  has degree  $q$ , we can deduce  $\Gamma^2 = q(2 - 2g)$ . Let  $p$  be a rational point of  $\bar{C}$ . One checks also that

$$\Delta \cdot (\bar{C} \times p) = \Delta \cdot (p \times \bar{C}) = \Gamma \cdot (\bar{C} \times p) = 1$$

and

$$\Gamma \cdot (p \times \bar{C}) = q$$

Let  $D = r\Gamma + s\Delta$  with variable real coefficients. The Castelnuovo-Severi inequality implies that  $2(r+s)(rq+s) - D^2 \geq 0$ . This simplifies to

$$(2gq)r^2 + 2(1+q-N)rs + 2gs^2 \geq 0$$

for all  $r, s$ . This implies that the discriminant of the quadratic form

$$(q+1-N)^2 - 4qg^2 \leq 0$$

This proves the theorem. □

In view of the formula

$$N = 1 + q + \sum \lambda_i$$

obtained earlier, this theorem can be reinterpreted as a bound on the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$ . From this one can deduce an analogue of the Riemann hypothesis for the zeta function of  $C$  defined earlier. This says that the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  lie on the line  $s = 1/2$ .

The original theorem of Hasse concerns genus one. This was generalized to arbitrary genus by Weil [W1], who gave two proofs including the one above. This argument really only requires intersection theory for divisors. For the analogous statements in higher dimensions, however, étale cohomology is indispensable.

## Chapter 7

# Comparison with classical cohomology

In this chapter, we assume that the underlying field  $k$  is the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . The main result, due to Artin, is that étale cohomology of a variety  $X$ , with finite coefficients, coincides with singular cohomology or equivalently with cohomology of  $X$  equipped with classical Hausdorff topology  $X_{an}$ .

### 7.1 The comparison theorem for curves

In the ensuing discussion it will be convenient to suppress Tate twists, and identify  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mu_n$  (noncanonically of course). Given a complex variety  $X$ , we let  $X_{an}$  denote the usual topology, which on affine opens  $U \subset X$  coincides with the Euclidean topology induced from embedding  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ . We claim that there is a natural map

$$H^i(X_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^i(X_{an}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \quad (7.1)$$

which we refer to as the comparison map. To construct it, we construct a new Grothendieck topology on  $X$ , denoted by  $X_{cl}$ , where the open sets are local homeomorphisms  $Y \rightarrow X$ . Covers are surjective families. We can see that  $X_{cl}$  refines both  $X_{et}$  and  $X_{an}$ . Consequently, we have maps

$$H^i(X_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^i(X_{cl}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow H^i(X_{an}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

**Lemma 7.1.1.** *The second arrow is an isomorphism.*

**Theorem 7.1.2.** *The comparison map (7.1) is an isomorphism, when  $X$  is a smooth curve.*

*Proof.* We will be content to prove that there is an abstract isomorphism between the two cohomology groups in each degree. For  $i = 0$ , we see that both

groups are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and the map is the identity. For  $i = 1$ , this is a consequence of theorem 2.2.3 and the subsequent discussion. We have

$$H^1(X_{an}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

$$H^1(X_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Hom}(\widehat{\pi_1(X)}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

For higher  $i$ , the comparison was given in (5.3) when  $X$  was smooth and projective. So now assume that  $X$  is smooth but not projective. It is known that  $H^i(X_{an}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $i > 1$ . For etale cohomology, we use the Kummer sequence as in the proof of corollary 5.2.5 to see that

$$\text{Pic}(X) \xrightarrow{n} \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow H^2(X_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$H^i(X_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = 0, \text{ for } i > 2$$

In this case,  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is divisible, so  $H^2$  also vanishes.  $\square$

We wish to extend this to singular projective curves. We first define compactly supported etale cohomology of smooth curve  $U$ , which is an analogue of the compactly supported cohomology of a manifold defined using differential forms with compact support. Let  $X$  denote the unique smooth compactification, and let  $j : U \rightarrow X$  denote the inclusion, then define

$$H_c^i(U_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = H^i(X_{et}, j_! \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

where  $j_!$  is defined in section 5.3.  $H_c^i(U_{an}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$  can be defined in the same way. We note  $X$  can be replaced by any compactification:

**Lemma 7.1.3.** *For any (possibly singular) compactification  $k : U \rightarrow Y$ ,*

$$H_c^i(U_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^i(Y_{et}, k_! \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

Poincaré duality (theorem 5.2.6) extends to a smooth curve  $U$  in the form

$$H^{2-i}(U_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^i(U_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

and similarly for  $H_c^i(U_{an}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ . Thus as a corollary of the previous theorem, we obtain

**Corollary 7.1.4.** *If  $U$  is a smooth curve, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$H_c^i(U_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_c^i(U_{an}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

**Theorem 7.1.5.** *The comparison map (7.1) is an isomorphism, when  $X$  is a projective possibly reducible curve.*

*Proof.* Let  $j : U \rightarrow X$  be the complement of the set of singular points  $Z$ . Then there is a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & H_c^i(U_{et}) & \longrightarrow & H^i(X_{et}) & \longrightarrow & H^i(Z_{et}) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow c_U & & \downarrow c_X & & \downarrow c_Z \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & H_c^i(U_{an}) & \longrightarrow & H^i(X_{an}) & \longrightarrow & H^i(Z_{an}) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

The map labelled  $c_u$  is an isomorphism by the previous corollary, and  $c_z$  is trivially an isomorphism. Therefore  $c_X$  is an isomorphism by the 5-lemma.  $\square$

## 7.2 The comparison theorem for surfaces

**Theorem 7.2.1.** *The comparison map (7.1) is an isomorphism when  $X$  is a smooth projective surface.*

We will outline the proof. The full details can be found in [SGA4, exp XI]. Here is the first reduction:

**Proposition 7.2.2.** *Suppose that the following condition holds: if  $i > 0$  and  $\eta \in H^i(X_{an})$ , then there exists an étale cover  $U_j \rightarrow X$  such that  $\eta|_{U_j} \in H^i(U_{j,an})$  is 0. Then the theorem holds.*

This follows by analyzing the Leray spectral sequence for the map of sites  $X_{cl} \rightarrow X_{et}$ . The condition above amounts to the vanishing of the direct image associated to this map. Now fix  $\eta \in H^i(X_{an})$  as above. We need to construct a cover as above. This is based on the following geometric construction.

**Lemma 7.2.3** (Artin). *Given  $x \in X$ . There exists an open set  $x \in V \subset X$  obtained by removing a curve, such that there is a smooth projective map  $f : V \rightarrow C$  to a smooth affine curve and a divisor  $D \subset V$  étale over  $C$  with  $x \notin D$ .*

Let  $U = V - D$ . This is a Zariski open neighbourhood of  $x$  usually called an Artin neighbourhood. By collecting these, we get a Zariski open cover of  $X$ . If for each  $U$ , we can find an étale  $U' \rightarrow U$  for which the restriction of  $\eta$  vanishes, then we are done. The map  $f : U \rightarrow C$  is a fibration, with affine curves as base and fibre. The standard exact sequence for homotopy groups [Sp] implies that the fundamental group is an extension of free groups

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(f^{-1}(x)) \rightarrow \pi_1(U) \rightarrow \pi_1(C) \rightarrow 1$$

and that the higher homotopy groups vanish. Thus  $U$  is a so called  $K(\pi, 1)$  space. In particular, standard results in algebraic topology show that

$$H^i(U_{an}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^i(\pi_1(U), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

where the right side is group cohomology [B]. Given a group  $\Gamma$ ,  $H^i(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$  is defined as in section 4.5 but without requiring continuity for cocycles. We can

also define the cohomology of the profinite group  $H^i(\hat{\Gamma}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$  using continuous cocycles. Alternatively

$$H^i(\hat{\Gamma}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = \varinjlim H^i(\Gamma/N, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

as  $N$  runs over normal subgroups of finite index. There is a natural map

$$H^i(\hat{\Gamma}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^i(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

Let us call  $\Gamma$  Serre (who calls this good) if this is an isomorphism for all  $i$  and  $n$ . He shows that extensions of free groups by free groups are Serre [S, pp 13-14]. Thus

$$H^i(\widehat{\pi_1(U)}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^i(\pi_1(U), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

Now take  $\eta$ , its restriction to  $U$  defines an element on the left. But this class must die after restriction  $H^i(\pi_1(U)/N)$  for some normal subgroup  $N$  of finite index.  $N$  determines an étale cover  $U' \rightarrow U$  such that  $\eta|_{U'} = 0$ , so the theorem is proved.

### 7.3 Base change

We can extend the results to other fields using the following special case of the smooth base change theorem ([M1, M2])

**Theorem 7.3.1.** *Suppose that  $K/k$  is an extension of separably closed fields, let  $n$  be prime to char. If  $X$  is a scheme defined over  $k$ , then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$H^i(X_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^i((X \times \text{Spec}K)_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

**Corollary 7.3.2.** *If  $X$  is a curve or surface defined over an algebraically closed subfield  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ , then the ranks of the étale cohomology of  $X$  are precisely the Betti numbers of  $X \times \text{Spec}\mathbb{C}$ .*



## Chapter 8

# Main Theorems

Here we state some of the main results. Proofs and further elaborations can be found in the references, especially [FK, M1, M2].

For a manifold, compactly supported de Rham cohomology can be defined using differential forms with compact support. It is convenient to have an algebraic version. Fix a field  $k$ , and a prime  $\ell \neq \text{char } k$ . Let  $X$  be a variety  $k$ . Then by a theorem of Nagata, there exists a complete variety  $\bar{X}$  which contains  $X$  as a dense open set. When  $X$  is quasiprojective, we can simply take  $\bar{X}$  to be the closure of  $X$  in a projective space containing it. Let  $Z = \bar{X} - X$ . and let  $j : X \rightarrow \bar{X}$   $i : Z \rightarrow \bar{X}$  denote the inclusions. We can define  $j_! \mu_{\ell^n}$  to be the kernel of the natural restriction map  $\mu_{\ell^n} \rightarrow i_* \mu_{\ell^n}$ .

- (1) The space

$$H_c^i(X_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(a)) = \varprojlim H^i(\bar{X}_{et}, j_! \mu_{\ell^n}^{\otimes a}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

depends only on  $X$  and not on  $\bar{X}$ . This is called compactly supported  $\ell$ -adic cohomology. Clearly it is the same as ordinary cohomology if  $X$  is complete. As vector spaces, these are noncanonically the same for different  $a$ . However, if  $X$  is defined over a subfield of  $k$ , the Galois group will act on these spaces. The Galois module structures depend on  $a$ .

- (2)  $H_c^i(X_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(a))$  is finite dimensional for all  $i$ , and it vanishes when  $i > 2 \dim X$ .
- (3) When  $X$  is nonsingular of dimension  $n$ , Poincaré duality

$$H_c^i(X_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(a)) \cong H^{2n-i}(X_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(a-n))^*$$

holds.

- (4) The Künneth formula holds. The statement is same as in theorem 6.1.3 but with  $H_c$ .
- (5) The Lefschetz trace formula holds for  $H_c^*$  when  $X$  is smooth.

- (5) If  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , then  $H_c^i(X_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(a))$  is isomorphic to compactly supported singular cohomology with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . A similar statements holds if  $\text{char } k = p > 0$ , and  $X$  smooth and lifts to characteristic 0.

When  $X$  is smooth and complete the Lefschetz formula can be deduced directly from the previous properties by the same method we used earlier. A consequence of these properties are the first few of Weil's conjectures.

**Theorem 8.0.3** (Grothendieck). *Suppose that  $X$  is a smooth  $d$ -dimensional variety over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

1. *The zeta function*

$$Z(t) = \exp\left(\sum_1^\infty \frac{N_n t^n}{n}\right) = \prod [1 - Ft |H_c^i(X_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)]^{(-1)^i}$$

2. *If  $X$  is complete,  $Z(t)$  satisfies the functional equation*

$$Z(1/q^d t) = \pm q^{d\chi/2} t^\chi Z(t)$$

where  $\chi = \sum (-1)^i \dim H^i(X_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ .

**Corollary 8.0.4** (Dwork).  *$Z(t)$  is a rational function.*

The final Weil conjecture, which is the analogue of the Riemann hypothesis, was proved by Deligne [D].

**Theorem 8.0.5** (Deligne). *If  $X$  is a smooth complete variety over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The eigenvalues of the  $q$ th power Frobenius on  $H^i(X_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  are algebraic integers with absolute value  $q^{i/2}$ .*

A concrete consequence is a refinement of the Hasse-Weil bound.

**Corollary 8.0.6.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$  be a smooth degree  $d$  hypersurface defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then*

$$|\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) - (1 + q + \dots + q^n)| \leq bq^{n/2}$$

where  $b$  is the  $n$ th Betti number (minus 1 if  $n$  is even) of a degree  $d$  hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ .

# Bibliography

- [AK] A. Altman, S. Kleiman, *Introduction to Grothendieck duality*, Springer LNM 146
- [SGA4] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, J. Verdier, *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas*, Springer LNM 269...
- [A] M. Artin, *Théorèmes de Representabilite pour les Espaces Algebriques* Montreal (1973)
- [B] K. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Springer
- [SGA4.5] P. Deligne et. al., *Cohomologie Etale*, Springer LNM 569
- [D] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil I*, IHES (1974)
- [D] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil II*, IHES (1980)
- [DG] M. Demazure, P. Gabriel, *Introduction to algebraic geometry and algebraic groups*, North Holland (1980)
- [SGA3] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, *Schemas en Groupes* Springer LNM 151... (1970)
- [E] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra*, Springer (1994)
- [FK] E. Freitag, R. Kiehl, *Etale cohomology and the Weil conjecture* Springer (1987)
- [SGA1] A. Grothendieck, M. Raynaud, *Revêtements étale et groupe fondamental*, Springer LNM 224
- [EGA] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique*, IHES (1961-1966)
- [H] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer (1977)
- [K] D. Knutson, *Algebraic spaces* Springer LNM 203 (1971)
- [L] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Springer (2002)

- [Ma] S. MacLane, *Categories for the working mathematician* Springer (1971)
- [M1] J. Milne, *Etale cohomology*, Princeton (1980)
- [M2] J. Milne, *Lectures on Etale Cohomology*, Available at [www.jmilne.org/math/](http://www.jmilne.org/math/)
- [Mu1] D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Tata (1968)
- [Mu] D. Mumford, *Redbook of varieties and schemes*, Springer LNM 1358
- [S] J.P. Serre, *Cohomologie Galoisienne*, Springer LNM 5
- [Sp] E. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, Springer
- [W] C. Weibel *Homological algebra*, Cambridge (2003)
- [Wl] A. Weil, *Variétés abéliennes et courbes algébrique*, Hermann (1946)