

# Roots of Positive Numbers

Thm. For every real  $x > 0$

and every integer  $n > 0$ ,

there is one and only one

positive real  $y$  such that

$y^n = x$ . This number  $y$  is

written  $\sqrt[n]{x}$  or  $x^{\frac{1}{n}}$ .

Proof. That there is

at most one such  $y$  is clear

Since  $0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2$  implies

$$0 < \gamma_1^n < \gamma_2^n.$$

Let  $E$  be the set of all positive real numbers  $t$  such that  $t^n < x$ .

If  $t = \frac{x}{1+x}$ , then  $0 < t < 1$ .

Hence  $t^n < t < x$ . Thus  $t \in E$ , and  $E$  is not empty.

If  $t > 1+x$ , then  $t^n > t > x$ ,

so that  $t \notin E$ . Thus

$1+x$  is an upper bound of  $E$ .

The Least Upper Bound

Property implies that

there is  $y = \text{l.u.b. } E$

To prove that  $y^n = x$ , we

show that each of the

inequalities  $y^n < x$  and  $y^n > x$  leads to a contradiction.

The identity

$$b^n - a^n = (b-a)(b^{n-1} + b^{n-2}a + \dots + a^{n-1})$$

yields the inequality

$$b^n - a^n < (b-a)nb^{n-1}$$

when  $0 < a < b$ .

Assume  $y^n < x$ . Choose

$h > 0$  so that  $0 < h < 1$  and

$$h < \frac{x - y^n}{n(y+1)^{n-1}}.$$

Put  $a = y$  and  $b = y+h$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad (y+h)^n - y^n &< hn(y+h)^{n-1} \\ &< hn(y+1)^{n-1} < x - y^n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(y+h)^n < x$  and  $y+h \in E$ .

Since  $y+h > y$ , this

contradicts the fact that  $y$

is an upper bound of  $E$ .

Assume now that  $y^n > x$ .

Put  $k = \frac{y^n - x}{ny^{n-1}}$

Then  $0 < k < y$ . The above

identity (1) becomes

$$y^n - (y-k)^n < kny^{n-1} = y^n - x$$

Thus  $(y-k)^n > x$  and  $y-k \notin E$ .

Moreover, if  $t \geq y-k$ , then

$$t^n \geq (y-k)^n > x. \text{ It follows}$$

that  $y-k$  is an upper bound

of  $E$ , which contradicts

the fact that  $y$  is the

least upper bound of  $E$ .

It follows that  $y^n = x$

## 2.5 Intervals

We need to prove a theorem

about "nested intervals"

before we study 3.4.

We say a sequence of closed

intervals  
bounded are **nested** if

$$I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_n \supseteq I_{n+1} \supseteq \dots$$

If  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ , then

$(b_n)$  is decreasing, and

$(a_n)$  is increasing, i.e.



we have the picture



We prove the

### Nested Interval Property:

Given a sequence of  
 nested closed intervals  
 as above, there is a point

$\eta$  in  $I_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Proof. Since  $I_n \in I_1$ ,  
we get

$$a_n \leq b_n \leq b_1, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence the sequence  $(a_n)$

is increasing and bounded.

By the Monotone Convergence

Thm., there is an  $\eta$

satisfying  $\eta = \lim (a_n)$ .

Clearly  $a_n \leq \eta$ , all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . (i)

We want to show that

$$\eta \leq b_n \quad \text{for all } n.$$

We do this by showing that  
for any particular  $n$ ,

$$b_n \geq a_k, \quad k=1, 2, \dots$$

There are 2 cases.

(i) If  $n \leq k$ , then since

$$I_n \supseteq I_k, \quad \text{we have}$$

$$a_k \leq b_k \leq b_n.$$

(iii) If  $k < n$ , then since

$I_k \supseteq I_n$ , we have

$$a_k \leq a_n \leq b_n$$

We conclude that  $a_k \leq b_n$ .  
for all  $k$ ,

so that  $b_n$  is  
an upper bound for

$$\{a_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Passing to the limit as

$k$  approaches  $\infty$ , we obtain

$$\eta \leq b_n, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2)$$

Combining <sup>in</sup> (1) and (2),

we have

$$a_n \leq \eta \leq b_n, \quad \text{all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence  $\eta \in I_n$  for all  $n$ .

We can use nested intervals to show that the set  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers is NOT countable.

Suppose that there is a sequence  $I = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  such that for any  $x$  in  $[0, 1]$ , there is an integer  $n$  such that  $x_n = x$ .

Choose a closed subinterval

$I_1 \subset [0, 1]$  such that  $x_1 \notin I_1$ .

Now choose a <sup>closed</sup> subinterval

$I_2 \subset I_1$  such that  $x_2 \notin I_2$ .

In this way we obtain

a sequence of <sub>↑</sub> subintervals  
closed

such that

$$I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_n$$

such that for all  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$x_n \notin I_n \quad \left[ \cdot \left[ \quad \right] \right]$$

$I_{n-1} \quad I_n$

The Nested Interval Theorem  
implies that there is a

point  $\eta \in I_n$ , for all  
 $n=1, 2, \dots$

Since  $x_n \notin I_n$  for all  $n$ ,  
it follows that



for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$x_n \neq \eta.$$

It follows that  $I = [0, 1]$

is not countable.