

Another Problem using the Monotone Sequence Theorem

Ex. # 2., page 77.

Let $x_1 > 2$ and $x_{n+1} = 2 - \frac{1}{x_n}$

Find $\lim (x_n)$.

First, note that if $x_n > 1$,

then $\frac{1}{x_n} < 1$, so that

$x_{n+1} = 2 - \frac{1}{x_n} > 1$. Hence

$x_n > 1$ for all $n=1, 2, \dots$.

We want to show that (x_n) is decreasing. We have

$$x_1 - x_2 = x_1 - \left\{ 2 - \frac{1}{x_1} \right\} = \frac{(x_1 - 1)^2}{x_1} > 0.$$

Similarly, we have :

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} - x_{n+2} &= \left(2 - \frac{1}{x_n} \right) - \left(2 - \frac{1}{x_{n+1}} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{x_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{x_n} \right) = \frac{x_n - x_{n+1}}{x_n x_{n+1}} \\ &< (x_n - x_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

where the final inequality

follows from $x_n > 1$, $x_{n+1} > 1$

for all n . Since (x_n) is decreasing

it follows from the Monotone

Convergence Theorem that

$\tilde{x} = \lim(x_n)$ exists, which

implies that $\lim(x_n) \geq 1$.

We conclude that $\tilde{x} = 2 - \frac{1}{\tilde{x}}$,

which yields that

$$(\tilde{x} - 1)^2 = 0, \quad \text{i.e., } \tilde{x} = 1.$$

5

3.4. *Sequences

Let $X = (x_n)$ be a sequence

and let

$$n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$$

be a strictly increasing
sequence of integers in \mathbb{N} .

Then the sequence

$X' = (x_{n_k})$ given by

$(x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \dots)$

is called a subsequence

of X .

Ex. $\left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{6}, \dots \right\}$

is a subsequence of

$\left(\frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots \right) = X$

corresponding to $n_k = 2k$.

But $\left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots \right\}$

is not a subsequence of X .

following yields that

see Fig 2.1

The following theorem is useful.

Thm. Suppose $X = (x_n)$ converges to x . If (x_{n_k}) is any subsequence of X , then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x_{n_k}) = x.$$

Pf. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $K(\epsilon) > 0$

be such that if $n \geq K(\epsilon)$,

then $|x_n - x| < \epsilon$.

Since

$$n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$$

is an increasing sequence

of natural numbers, it is easy

to prove by induction that

$$n_k \geq k.$$

Hence if $k \geq K(\epsilon)$, then

$$n_k \geq k \geq K(\epsilon),$$

so that $|x_{n_k} - x| < \epsilon$.

Thus (x_{n_k}) also converges
to x .

The following theorem
is fundamental to the
theory of calculus.

Bolzano-Weierstrass Thm.

A bounded sequence of
real numbers has a
convergent subsequence.

Pf. Since $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

is bounded, this set

is contained in an

interval $I_1 = [a_1, b_1]$

We set $n_1 = 1$.

We now bisect I_1 into

two intervals I_1' and I_1'' .

More precisely,

$$I'_1 = \left[a_1, \frac{a_1 + b_1}{2} \right] \quad \text{and}$$

$$I''_1 = \left[\frac{a_1 + b_1}{2}, b_1 \right].$$



We divide $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n > n_1\}$

into two sets,

$$A_1 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n > n_1, x_n \in I'_1\}$$

$$B_1 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n > n_1, x_n \in I''_1\}$$

one of which is infinite.

In fact $A_i \cup B_i$ contains

every element of N except

for n with $1 \leq n \leq n_1$.

According to our construction,

$$\{n \in N : n > n_2, x_n \in I_2\}$$

is infinite.

If A_1 is infinite, then

we set $I_2 = I'_1$, and

we let n_2 be the smallest

natural number in A_1 . Note

that $x_{n_2} \in I_2$.

If A_1 is a finite set, then

B_1 must be infinite,

We let n_2 be the smallest

natural number in B_1 , and

we set $I_2 = I''_1$.

We now bisect I_2 into subintervals I_2' and I_2''

and we divide the set

$$\{n \in N : n > n_2, x_n \in I_2\}$$

into 2 parts :

$$A_2 = \{n \in N : n > n_2, x_n \in I_2'\}$$

$$B_2 = \{n \in N : n > n_2, x_n \in I_2''\}$$

If A_2 is infinite, we

take $I_3 = I'_2$, and we let

n_3 be the smallest natural

number in A_2 . If A_2 is

a finite set, then B_2

must be infinite, and we

take $I_3 = I''_2$, and we let

n_3 be the smallest natural

number in B_2 . Note that

$x_{n_3} \in I_3$.

We continue in this way

to obtain a sequence of

nested intervals

$$I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \dots \supset I_k \supset \dots$$

and we obtain a subsequence

$\{x_{n_k}\}$, of X such that

$$x_{n_k} \in I_k \text{ for } k \in N.$$

1991

In addition, for each k , 13.1

the set

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : n > n_k, x_n \in I_k \right\}$$

is infinite. This fact

guarantees that when we

split the interval I_k

into I'_k and I''_k ,

one of the

corresponding sets is nonempty.

By the Nested Interval

Property, there is a point

γ such that

$$\gamma \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k.$$

The length of I_k is

$$\frac{(b-a)}{2^{k-1}}. \text{ Since both}$$

x_{n_k} and γ both lie in I_k ,

it follows that

$$|x_{n_k} - \eta| \leq \frac{(\bar{b} - a)}{2^{k-1}},$$

which implies that the

subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of

X converges to η .