

## Taylor's Theorem.

Suppose that  $f$  has  $n+1$

continuous derivatives

in  $[a, b]$ . Then one can

write

$$f(x) = \frac{f(a)}{0!}$$

$$f(b) = f(a) + \frac{f'(a)(x-a)}{1!} + \dots$$

$$\frac{f^{(n)}(a)(x-a)^n}{n!} + R_n(b),$$

where

$$R_n(b) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_a^b f^{(n+1)}(t) (b-t)^n dt.$$

To do this, we integrate

by parts:

$$\text{Set } u = f^{(n)}(t), \quad v' = (b-t)^{n-1}$$

$$\text{and } u' = f^{(n+1)}(t), \quad v = -\frac{(b-t)^n}{n}.$$

Hence,  $R_{n-1}(t)$  satisfies

$$R_{n-1}(t) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_a^b \frac{f^{(n)}(t)(b-t)^{n-1}}{n} dt$$

16

$$= -\frac{1}{n!} \left\{ f^{(n)}(t) (b-t)^n \right\}_{t=a}^{t=b}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n!} \int_a^b f^{(n+1)}(t) (b-t)^n dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!} f^{(n)}(a) (b-a)^n$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n!} \int_a^b f^{(n+1)}(t) (b-t)^n dt$$

$$\therefore R_{n+1}(b) = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(a) (b-a)^n}{n!}$$

$$\rightarrow + R_n(b)$$

Hence

$$f(b) = f(a) + \frac{f'(a)}{1!} + \dots + \frac{f^{(n)}(a)}{n!}(b-a)$$

+  $R_n(b)$ , i.e.,

$$f(b) = R_n(b) + R_n(b).$$

---

We can use this to show

that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(x) = f(x)$

for various functions.

Ex Let  $f(x) = \sin x$ , or  $\cos x$

Since  $\{f^{(n+1)}(z)\} \leq 1$ ,

it follows that

$$|P_n(x)| \leq \frac{|x|^n}{n!} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

it follows that  $\sin x$

$$= x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} - \dots$$

and also that

$$\cos x = 1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \dots$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

## Darboux Integral.

Given a bounded function

$f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define the

lower integral of  $f$  on  $I$  by

$$L(f) = \sup \left\{ L(f; P) : P \in \mathcal{P}(I) \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{P}(I)$  is the set of

partitions of  $I$ . Similarly

we define the upper integral

by

$$U(f) = \inf \left\{ U(f; P) : P \in \mathcal{P}(I) \right\}.$$

Thm. The lower integral

$L(f)$  and the upper integral

$U(f)$  on  $I$  both exist.

Moreover  $L(f) \leq U(f)$ . (4)

If  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are any pair

of partitions of  $I$ , then

then it follows that

$$L(f; P_1) \leq U(f; P_2).$$

$\therefore$  the number  $U(f; P_2)$  is

an upper bounded for

the set  $\{L(f; P); P \in \mathcal{P}(I)\}$

Hence,  $L(f)$ , being the

supremum of the set satisfies

$$L(f) \leq U(f; P_2).$$

Since  $P_2$  is an arbitrary partition of  $I$ , then

$L(f)$  is a lower bound for the set  $\{U(f:P): P \in \mathcal{P}(I)\}$ .

Hence the infimum of this set satisfies  $L(f) \leq U(f)$ .

Def'n Let  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded function I. We say

$f$  is Darboux integrable

on  $I$  if  $L(f) = U(f) = \int_a^b f$

Ex. Remember how hard

it was to calculate  $\int_0^3 g$  for

the function  $g(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 3 & \text{if } 1 < x \leq 3 \end{cases}$

For  $\epsilon > 0$ , we define

$P_\epsilon = (0, 1, 1+\epsilon, 3)$ . We get

the upper sum

$$\begin{aligned}
 U(g; P_\varepsilon) &= 2 \cdot (1-0) + 3(1+\varepsilon-1) \\
 &\quad + 3(2-\varepsilon) \\
 &= 2 + 3\varepsilon + 6 - 3\varepsilon = 8.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $U(g) \leq 8$ .

(Recall  $U(g)$  is the infimum of  
all partitions of  $[0, 3]$ .)

Similarly the lower sum is

$$L(g; P_\xi) = 2 + 2\xi + 3(2 - \xi) = 8 - \xi$$

so that  $L(g) \geq 8$ . Then

$$8 \leq L(g) \leq U(g) = 8.$$

which means  $L(g) = U(g) = 8$

$\therefore$  The Darboux integral of

$$g \text{ is } \int_0^3 g = 8.$$

## Integrability Criterion.

Let  $I = [a, b]$  and let

$f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded fcn.

on  $I$ . Then  $f$  is Darboux

integrable if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i^*) \Delta x = L$$

for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a

partition  $P_\epsilon$  of  $I$  such that

$$U(f; P_\varepsilon) - L(f; P_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon. \quad (5)$$

Pf. If  $f$  is integrable, then

we have  $L(f) = U(f)$ . If  $\varepsilon > 0$

then since the lower integral

is a supremum, there is a

partition  $P_i$  of  $I$  such that

$$L(f) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < L(f; P_i).$$

Similarly there is a partition

$P_2$  of  $I$  such that

$$U(f; P_2) < U(f) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

If we let  $P_\xi = P_1 \cup P_2$ , then

$P_\xi$  is a refinement of

$P_1$  and  $P_2$ . Hence

$$L(f) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} < L(f; P_1) \leq L(f; P_\xi)$$

$$\leq U(f; P_\xi) \leq U(f; P_2) < U(f) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow U(f; P_\varepsilon) < U(f) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and}$$

$$-L(f; P_\varepsilon) < -L(f) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Adding together and using  $U(f)$   
 $= L(f)$ ,

$$U(f; P_\varepsilon) - L(f; P_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon.$$

For the converse, note that

$$L(f; P) \leq L(f) \quad \text{and}$$

$$U(f) \leq U(f; P_\varepsilon).$$

Hence

$$U(f) - L(f) \leq U(f; P) - L(f; P)$$

Now for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , suppose

there is a partition  $P_\epsilon$

such that (5) holds. Then

we have

$$U(f) - L(f) \leq \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, we

conclude  $U(f) \leq L(f)$ . But

we have  $L(f) \leq U(f)$  is always  
true, so we have

$$U(f) - L(f) \leq 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$U(f) - L(f) \geq 0.$$

It follows  $U(f) = L(f)$ ,  
so  $f$  is Darboux integrable