

Inhomogeneous Cauchy-Riemann Equation.

Basics :

Since $dz = dx + idy$ and $d\bar{z} = dx - idy$

or, if u is a C^1 fn,

$$du = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} dy$$

$$\rightarrow du = \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} dz + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}} d\bar{z},$$

where $\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)$

and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)$

A fcn. $U+iV$ is holomorphic

if $\bar{\partial}(U+iV) = 0$.

$$\text{or } \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) (U+iV)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \right) + i \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right) = 0$$

(by the Cauchy-Riemann Eqns.)

$$dU = \underline{dU}$$

$$= \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} dz + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \bar{z}} d\bar{z}$$

Let ω be an open set in \mathbb{C} .

By Stokes' Formula



$$\int\limits_{\partial\omega} f dx + g dy = \iint\limits_{\omega} d(f dx + g dy)$$

$$= \iint\limits_{\omega} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) dx \wedge dy$$

or

$$\int\limits_{\partial\omega} v dz = \iint\limits_{\omega} dv \wedge dz = \iint\limits_{\omega} \bar{\partial} v \wedge dz$$

Note that

$$\bar{\partial} v \wedge dz = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{z}} d\bar{z} \wedge dz$$

$$= 2i \frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{z}} dx \wedge dy$$

∴

(ii) $\int\limits_{\partial M} v dz = \iint\limits_M \frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{z}} d\bar{z} \wedge dz.$

Thm. If $v \in C^1(\bar{\omega})$, then

$$v(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \int_{\partial W} \frac{v(z)}{z-\xi} dz + \iint_{\omega} \frac{\frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{z}}}{z-\xi} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \right\}$$

In homogeneous Cauchy-Riemann

Formula.

$$\text{Put } W_\epsilon = \left\{ z \in \omega ; |z-\xi| \geq \epsilon \right\}$$

Now apply (1) to $\frac{v(z)}{z-\xi}$. We get

$$-\int_0^{2\pi} v(\zeta + \xi e^{i\theta}) i d\theta + \int_{\partial\omega} \frac{v(z)}{z-\zeta} dz$$

=

$$= \iint \frac{\frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{z}}}{\omega_\xi z - \zeta} d\bar{z} \wedge dz$$

The term on the left is

$$-\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{v(z) dz}{z - \zeta} , \text{ which is parameterized by } z = \zeta + \xi e^{i\theta},$$

$$0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we divide by $2\pi i$,
 which gives the result.



Thm. If μ is a measure with

compact support in \mathbb{C} . then the

integral $v(\zeta) = \int (z - \zeta)^{-1} d\mu(z)$

defines a holomorphic $(\infty$ fcn.

outside the support of μ .

In any open set where $d\mu$

$\vdash (2\pi i)^{-1} \varphi dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ for some

$\varphi \in C^k(\omega)$, we have

$v \in C^k(\omega)$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{z}} = \varphi$, if $k \geq 1$.

Proof: That $v \in C^\infty$ outside

the support K is obvious
of

Since $(z-\xi)^{-1}$ is a C^∞ fn.

when $z \in K$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}K$

and since $\frac{\partial(z-\xi)^{-1}}{\partial \bar{\xi}} = 0$ when

$\xi \neq z$, the quantity follows
holomorphicity

by differentiation under the

integral sign.

For the second statement,

assume first that $\omega = \mathbb{R}^2$

Changing variables, we can

write

$$(t-z) = t.$$

$$U(z) = - (2\pi i) \iint \phi(t-z) z^{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z}$$

Since z^{-1} is integrable on every compact set, we can differentiate

at most k times under the integration sign allowed and the integrals obtained are continuous. Hence

$U \in C^k$ and

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial \bar{z}} = - (2\pi i) \iint \frac{\partial \phi(z-\xi)}{\partial \bar{z}} z^{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z}$$

$$= 2\pi i \iint (z-\xi)^{-1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}}(z) dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

By applying Theorem 1

with v replaced by φ and w

equal to a disc containing

the support of φ gives

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{z}} = \varphi$$

Finally, if w is arbitrary, we can,

for every $z_0 \in w$, choose a

function $\Psi \in C_0^k(\omega)$

which is $\equiv 1$ in a neighbourhood

V of z_0 . If $\mu_1 = \Psi \mu$ and

$\mu_2 = (1 - \Psi) \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have

where $U = U_1 + U_2$, where

$$\mu_j(z) = \int (z - \xi)^{-1} d\mu_j(\xi).$$

Since μ_1 is equal to

Sum

$$(2\pi i)^{-1} \Psi \varphi dz \wedge d\bar{z} \quad \text{and}$$

$\Psi \varphi \in C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$v \in C^k(V)$ and that

$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{z}} = -\varphi$ in V . The proof

is complete.