$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{X}} &: \quad \underline{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -11 & 0 & -4 \\ -1 & -9 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |\underline{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}| = 0 \implies \dots \\ & \dots \implies \lambda = -9, -9, -9, -9 \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & -4 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} \\ augmented matrix \\ \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & -4 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ c \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{R}_{1} \xleftarrow{\mathbf{P}}_{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 & -4 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ c \\ c \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{R}_{2} \xleftarrow{\mathbf{P}}_{3} \mathbf{R}_{2} + 2\mathbf{R}_{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{R}_{2} \xleftarrow{\mathbf{P}}_{3} \mathbf{R}_{2} + 2\mathbf{R}_{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{R}_{2} \xleftarrow{\mathbf{P}}_{3} \mathbf{R}_{2} = \mathbf{R}_{1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{R}_{2} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{2} - \mathbf{R}_{1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{b} \quad \text{free}, \quad \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c} \quad \text{leading} \begin{cases} a + c & = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0 \\ c & = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0 \end{cases} \\ \text{so solutions} \quad \text{for} \quad (\underline{A} - (-9)\mathbf{I}) \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = 0 \text{ are} \\ \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{b} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{only one basis sector, pick } \mathbf{b} = 1, \quad \mathbf{y}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ b \end{bmatrix}. \\ \text{Because } \quad \lambda = -9 \quad \text{has multiplicity } 3, \text{ but only} \\ \text{one } \lim_{indeep} eigenvector ( +he \mathbf{y}_{i}) \text{ we gust found} \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

 $\lambda = -9$  has defect d = 3 - 1 = 2. Use (method 2) to make a "chain" of length 3, nomely just want  $v_1, v_2, v_3 \neq 0$  such that  $\int (\underline{A} - \lambda \underline{I})^2 \underline{V}_3 = 0$  $\sum_{n=1}^{N_2} := (\underline{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) \underline{v}_3 \quad (\text{ needs to be } \neq \mathbf{O})$  $\underline{\mathbf{v}_1} := (\underline{\mathbf{A}} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) \underline{\mathbf{v}_2} \quad ( \ \ \cdots \ \ \ \cdots \ \ )$ Using  $\underline{A}$  from example and  $\lambda = -9$ , we find that  $\left(\underline{A} - (-9)\mathbf{I}\right)^{3} \begin{bmatrix} a\\b\\c\end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{O}$  $\Rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] = 0$ so a, b, c free. Pick a=1, b=0, c=0,  $\gamma_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $V_{2} = \left( \underline{A} - (-9) \mathbf{I} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & -4 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  $= \begin{bmatrix} -2\\ -1\\ +1 \end{bmatrix} (\neq 0)$  $V_{1} = \left( \underbrace{A}_{-} - (-9) \mathbf{T} \right) \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}$ (which happens to be  $= \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ the same v, we found earlier, but it isn't always like this)

What if we picked a=0, b=1, c=0and made  $v_3 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ?  $V_2 = \left( \underline{A} - (-q) \mathbf{I} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ Then  $= \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & -4 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \\ +1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  $= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$ but we said vz conit be O. ( VI would also be = 0 here, which also can't happen) Hence we shouldn't use the choice [?] \* for this problem. []] might work in other problems. So we have  $v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $v_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\underline{x_{1}}(t) := e^{\lambda t} \underline{y_{1}} = e^{-9t} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ Solutions  $x_2(t) := e^{\lambda t}(tv_1 + v_2)$  $= e^{-9t}(t[3]+[-1])$  $\underline{x_3(t)} = e^{\lambda t} \left( \frac{t^2}{2!} \underbrace{v_1}_{-} + t \underbrace{v_2}_{-} + \underbrace{v_3}_{-} \right)$  $= e^{-4t} \left( \frac{t^2}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$ General solution is

 $X(t) = c_1 X_1(t) + c_2 X_2(t) + c_3 X_3(t).$ 

If we needed 
$$\underline{V}_{1}, \underline{V}_{2}, \underline{V}_{3}, \underline{V}_{4} \neq 0$$
 (in a different such that  $(\underline{A} - \lambda I)^{4} \underline{V}_{4} = 0$  problem)  
and  $(\underline{A} - \lambda I) \underline{V}_{4} = \underline{V}_{3}$   
and  $(\underline{A} - \lambda I) \underline{V}_{3} = \underline{V}_{2}$   
 $(\underline{A} - \lambda I) \underline{V}_{2} = \underline{V}_{1}$   
 $(\underline{A} - \lambda I) \underline{V}_{2} = \underline{V}_{1}$   
 $(\underline{A} - \lambda I) \underline{V}_{2} = 0$   
there we'd have everything like before,  
but also add - in  
 $\underline{X}_{4}(t) = e^{\lambda t} (\frac{t^{3}}{3!} \underline{Y}_{1} + \frac{t^{2}}{2!} \underline{V}_{2} + t \underline{V}_{3} + \underline{V}_{4})$   
However, these are lengthy and don't show up  
in problems too much.