

Notes on vector spaces

Version 3/9/2026.

LINEAR COMBINATIONS AND SPANNING SETS

- (1) A linear combination of vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ is a “weighted sum” of the vectors (of course the result is again a vector), as in a sum

$$c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k,$$

where c_i are scalars.

Definition. Given a set of vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$, the span of those vectors is the *set* of **all** linear combinations of them. That is,

$$\text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\} = \left\{ c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k : c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

If V is some vector space (or W is some subspace), and some list of vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ has $\text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\} = V$ (or $= W$), then $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is said to be a spanning set of V (or of W).

Notes about span:

- (1) $\text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is also sometimes called “*the subspace generated by $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$* ”. This is reasonable since “spans are automatically subspaces”.
- (2) Vector spaces and subspaces will have many different spanning sets, so one should never think spanning sets are “unique”.

LINEAR (IN-)DEPENDENCE (EXAMPLES FOR 3 VECTORS)

Let \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} , and \mathbf{w} be vectors all of the same size. They could be 2×1 , 3×1 , etc.; all that matters is they are of equal size.

Definition. Given vectors \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} , and \mathbf{w} , we consider the tuples of scalars (a, b, c) such that the linear combination

$$a\mathbf{u} + b\mathbf{v} + c\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}.$$

That is, we want to know what the solutions (a, b, c) for the system of equations are.

- (1) If the “*trivial solution*” $(a, b, c) = (0, 0, 0)$ is the only solution of the system, then \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} , and \mathbf{w} are linearly independent (LI).
- (2) If there is at least one “*nontrivial solution*” $(a, b, c) \neq (0, 0, 0)$, then \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} , \mathbf{w} are linearly dependent (LD).

Remark. “ \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} , and \mathbf{w} are (LI/LD)” can also be said as “ $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$ is a (LI/LD) set.”

“EQUIVALENT” CONDITIONS FOR LI/LD.

Here “equivalent” means that if the vectors are LI in any one of the following descriptions, they are automatically LI in all the others, and same for LD.

- (1) $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$ is a (LI/LD) set if (none/at least one) of the vectors is in the span of the others.
- (2) The system of equations/vector equation

$$a\mathbf{u} + b\mathbf{v} + c\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{AKA} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} & \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{w} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{AKA} \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0},$$

has (only the *trivial solution* $(a, b, c) = (0, 0, 0)$ / some *nontrivial solutions* $(a, b, c) \neq (0, 0, 0)$).

- (3) By definition of span, $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$ is a *spanning set* for $\text{span}\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$. If $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$ is LI, then it is a *basis* for $\text{span}\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$; if it is LD, then $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$ is *not* be a basis for $\text{span}\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$.
- (4) Like in the last item, by definition the set $S = \{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$ is a spanning set for $V = \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$, and S (is/is not) a basis for V if S is (LI/LD). Then
 - (a) If S is a LI set then it is a basis for V , so it must be that $\dim(\text{span}\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}) = 3$.
 - (b) If S is a LD set then it is not a basis for V , so it must be that $\dim(\text{span}\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}) < 3$. (The dimension cannot be > 3 because 3 vectors can generate *at most* 3 “dimensions”).
- (5) $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$ is a linearly (LI/LD) set if the determinant*

$$\det(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} & \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{w} \end{bmatrix}) \quad (= 0 / \neq 0)$$

*We can only do this method if $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} & \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{w} \end{bmatrix}$ is a square matrix. If the matrix isn’t square we need to use one of the previous items.

LINEAR (IN-)DEPENDENCE (MORE GENERALLY)

Definition. Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ be vectors all of the same size (say $n \times 1$, so they are in \mathbb{R}^n), and consider the system of equations/vector equation

$$c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0},$$

where c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k are all unknown scalars.

- (1) If the “trivial solution” $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the only solution of the system, then $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ are linearly independent (LI).
- (2) If there is at least one additional solution $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, then $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ are linearly dependent (LD).

Remark. “The vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ are (LI/LD)” can also be said as “ $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a (LI/LD) set.”

“EQUIVALENT” CONDITIONS FOR LI/LD.

Again “equivalent” means that if the vectors are LI in any one of the following descriptions, they are automatically LI in all the others, and same for LD.

- (1) $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a (LI/LD) set if (none of/at least one of) the vectors is in the span of the others. (Note LD can mean $\mathbf{v}_1 \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_7\}$, it could be $\mathbf{v}_3 \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_4\}$, or any other set of “span-ers”; all that is required is one case of TRUE.)
- (2) Using $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ (remember tuples are equivalent to col. vects.), if

$$x_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + x_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}_{n \times 1}, \quad \text{AKA} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{v}_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}_{n \times 1}, \quad \text{AKA} \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}_{n \times 1}$$

has (only the trivial solution $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}_{k \times 1}$ / some nontrivial solutions $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}_{k \times 1}$), then $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ are (LI/LD).

- (3) If we write $V = \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$, then, by definition, $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a *spanning set* for V . In this case then, the set $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ (being/not being) a basis for V is the same as $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ being (LI/LD).
- (4) If the determinant* $\det(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{v}_k \end{bmatrix})$ is ($= 0 / \neq 0$) then $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is (LI/LD).

*Again we can only use this if $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{v}_k \end{bmatrix}$ is a square matrix.

SUBSPACES

Definition. If V is a *vector space* (so $\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^3$, etc.) and W is a *subset* of V (so W is a set of vectors from V), then W is a subspace of V if all three conditions are true:

- (0) The *zero vector* $\mathbf{0}$ is contained in W . Note that the dimensions of $\mathbf{0}$ depend on what V is—if $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ then the zero vector $\mathbf{0}$ is $\mathbf{0}_{2 \times 1}$, if $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ it is $\mathbf{0}_{3 \times 1}$, etc..
- (CA) (“Closed under addition”) If \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are any two vectors from W , then the sum $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$ is also in W .
- (CS) (“Closed under scalar multiplication”) If \mathbf{u} is any vector from W , then any scalar multiple $k\mathbf{u}$ is also in W .

Notes:

- The set $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ is a subspace of V , because of course combining $\mathbf{0}$ with itself doesn’t leave the set $\{\mathbf{0}\}$.
- The entire set V is a subspace of V , because of course adding vectors in, say \mathbb{R}^3 , never leaves \mathbb{R}^3 . [See the next item].
- It may sound odd to say that “ V is a subspace of V ”, but this is technically correct according to the definition. In practice, the term “*proper subspace*” means a subspace of V that is not simply V itself. By counting V as a subspace, we avoid having to constantly say things like “this theorem is true for all subspaces of V ... and for V itself too.”

Theorem. If W is a *subset* of a vector space V , and there are some vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ (from V) such that $W = \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$, then W is automatically a subspace of V .

Theorem (“vice versa”). *If W is a subspace of a vector space V , then it is always possible to find a set of vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ from V such that $W = \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$.*

- Note that there can be (infinitely) many sets of vectors that all span a subspace W .

NULL SPACE

Definition ($\text{null}(\mathbf{A})$). If \mathbf{A} is an $(n \times k)$ matrix, then the null space of \mathbf{A} is

$$\text{null}(\mathbf{A}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k : \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}_{n \times 1}\}.$$

- The null space $\text{null}(\mathbf{A})$ is simply the set of solutions for the system/vector-equation $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.
- $\text{null}(\mathbf{A})$ always contains $\mathbf{0}$. If $\text{null}(\mathbf{A}) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, meaning $\text{null}(\mathbf{A})$ contains *only* $\mathbf{0}$, then the columns of \mathbf{A} are **LI**. If $\text{null}(\mathbf{A})$ contains the $\mathbf{0}$ *and more*, then the columns of \mathbf{A} are **LD**.

BASES AND DIMENSION

Definition. If V is a vector space and $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a set of vectors from V , then the set $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is said to be a basis for V if both:

- (1) The linear combinations of $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ “generates/covers” V . Precisely, if

$$\text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\} = V.$$

- (2) The set $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is an **LI** set.

Definition (“Dimension”). The dimension of a vector space V (or some subspace W within V) is the **exact** number of *linearly independent* vectors **required** to make a basis of V (or W). This is denoted $\text{dim}(V)$.

- Naturally $\text{dim}(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$.
- When you start with some new/random V or W , it may not be clear what the dimension is at first; however, once you are able to find an example of a basis, the dimension must be the length of that list (basis).

Theorem. *The dimension $\text{dim}(V)$ is “unique”, in that any two bases of V must have the same number of (lin. indep.) vectors.*

Say V is a vector space and $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a set of k vectors from V .

- (1) If $k < \text{dim}(V)$, then $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ cannot possibly be a spanning set for V . (It may or may not be **LI**).
- (2) If $k > \text{dim}(V)$, then $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ cannot be **LD**. (It may or may not be a spanning set for V).
- (3) If $k = \text{dim}(V)$, then it is not *a-priori* clear if $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is/is-not a spanning set or is **LI/LD**—you simply have to check using one of our previous methods.

ROW/COLUMN SPACE AND RANK

Definition. If \mathbf{A} has columns $\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_k$, so $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{c}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{c}_k]$, the column space of \mathbf{A} is

$$\text{col}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_k\}.$$

- $\text{col}(\mathbf{A})$ is basically what vectors can be made as combinations of the column vectors that make up \mathbf{A} .

How to compute a basis for $\text{col}(\mathbf{A})$:

- (1) Starting with \mathbf{A} , reduce \mathbf{A} to echelon form (EF)*. [*Here “plain” EF is fine].
- (2) Locate the *pivot columns* of (EF of \mathbf{A}).
- (3) The columns from the **original \mathbf{A}** in those pivot columns make a basis for $\text{col}(\mathbf{A})$.

Definition. The column rank $\text{col-rank}(\mathbf{A}) \stackrel{\text{DEF}}{=} \dim(\text{col}(\mathbf{A}))$.

ROW VECTORS AND ROW SPACE.

Row vectors work the same as column vectors, just with a different shape. Our definitions and vocabulary all work equally well with rows vs. columns, especially since the transpose of the row vector is a column vector, and vice versa. For instance, if $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n$ are row vectors (of the same size), we say they are **LI** if making

$$c_1\mathbf{r}_1 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{r}_n = \mathbf{0} = [0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0]$$

is only possible with the “*trivial solution*” $(c_1, \dots, c_n) = (0, \dots, 0)$. If some nontrivial combination works, then the row vectors are **LD**.

Definition. If \mathbf{A} has rows $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n$, so that $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}_n \end{bmatrix}$, the row space of \mathbf{A} is

$$\text{row}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n\}.$$

How to compute a basis for $\text{row}(\mathbf{A})$:

- (1) Starting with \mathbf{A} , reduce \mathbf{A} to echelon form (EF)*. [*Again “plain” EF is fine].
- (2) Locate the *pivot rows* of (EF of \mathbf{A}).
- (3) The row vectors **from (EF of \mathbf{A})** (not from the original \mathbf{A}) make a basis for $\text{row}(\mathbf{A})$.

Definition. The row rank $\text{row-rank}(\mathbf{A}) \stackrel{\text{DEF}}{=} \dim(\text{row}(\mathbf{A}))$.

Theorem. The column rank of a matrix \mathbf{A} is always equal to the row rank of \mathbf{A} . That is,

$$\text{col-rank}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{row-rank}(\mathbf{A}).$$

This is because both ranks are equal to the number of pivots in (EF of \mathbf{A}).

★ **Note/Definition:** For this reason we just write $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$ and call it “the” rank of \mathbf{A} .

Note: Row ops do not change the row space of a matrix, but they do change the column space. (OTOH, column ops would not change the column space, but *would* change the row space.)

HELPFUL EXTRAS

(1) We said that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) &= \left(\# \text{ pivot columns in (EF } \mathbf{A}) \right) \\ \dim(\text{null}(\mathbf{A})) &= \left(\# \text{ “free” columns in (EF } \mathbf{A}) \right)\end{aligned}$$

Naturally then,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) + \dim(\text{null}(\mathbf{A})) &= \left(\text{total } \# \text{ of columns of } \mathbf{A} \right) \\ &= \left(\# \text{ of variables in eq. } \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0} \right).\end{aligned}$$

This is sometimes called the “rank-nullity theorem”, but we don’t need the name.

(2) (Null space as “redundancy”) Given a target vector \mathbf{b} , suppose that you have found a solution \mathbf{v} for the equation $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ (so that $\mathbf{Av} = \mathbf{b}$). Then, if \mathbf{w} is any vector from $\text{null}(\mathbf{A})$, we can build a “new” solution $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}$, since

$$\mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{Av} + \mathbf{Aw} = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{b}.$$

I said “new” because if $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$, then $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{v}$ is not actually a different solution.

For the same reasons as above, $\tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \mathbf{v} + 2\mathbf{w}$ would *also* be a solution for $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ (unless $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$), and we can use \mathbf{w} this way to make infinitely many solutions to $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$. This is why $\text{null}(\mathbf{A})$ essentially tells you how many different/redundant solutions you have* for an equation $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$

(*assuming that you found at least one solution \mathbf{v} to begin with—if the equation has *no* solutions then there isn’t anything to discuss.)

LI CONDITIONS

Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ be vectors from \mathbb{R}^n (so they are $n \times 1$ vectors), and let

$$\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{v}_k] \quad (\text{so } \mathbf{A} \text{ is } n \times k).$$

The following are all “equivalent” to $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ being **LI**. Meaning, if one is true then all are true, and if one is false then all are false.

- (1) The set $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is **LI** (or “the columns of \mathbf{A} are **LI**.”)
- (2) No one of the vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ is in the span of any (number of-) the others.
- (3) Using $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ (remember tuples are equivalent to col. vects.), the equation

$$x_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + x_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}_{n \times 1}, \quad \text{AKA} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{v}_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}_{n \times 1}, \quad \text{AKA} \quad \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0}_{n \times 1}$$

has only the *trivial solution* $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}_{k \times 1}$ as a solution. ($\text{null}(\mathbf{A}) = \{\mathbf{0}_{k \times 1}\}$)

- (4) Given any “target” vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the equation $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ either has *no* solution, or has a *unique* solution.
- (5) The vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ form a *basis* for $\text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$, AKA $\text{col}(\mathbf{A})$.
- (6) $\dim(\text{col}(\mathbf{A})) = k$ ($\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = k$)

These additional conditions only apply if \mathbf{A} is a square matrix.

- (7) The determinant $|\mathbf{A}| \neq 0$.
- (8) The matrix \mathbf{A} is *invertible* (or “ \mathbf{A} is nonsingular”)
- (9) The (REF of \mathbf{A}) is equal to \mathbf{I}_n . (or “ \mathbf{A} is row-equivalent to \mathbf{I}_n .”)
- (10) Given any “target” vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the equation

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

has *unique* solution $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$.

LD CONDITIONS

Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ be vectors from \mathbb{R}^n (so they are $n \times 1$ vectors), and let

$$\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{v}_k] \quad (\text{so } \mathbf{A} \text{ is } n \times k).$$

The following are all “equivalent” to $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ being **LD**. Meaning, if one is true then all are true, and if one is false then all are false.

- (1) The set $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is **LD** (or “the columns of \mathbf{A} are LD.”)
- (2) At least one of the $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ is in the span of the others. (Note this can mean $\mathbf{v}_1 \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_7\}$, it could be $\mathbf{v}_3 \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_4\}$, or any other set of “span-ers”; all that is required is one case of TRUE.)
- (3) Using $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ (remember tuples are equivalent to col. vects.), the equation

$$x_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + x_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}_{n \times 1}, \quad \text{AKA} \quad [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{v}_k] \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}_{n \times 1}, \quad \text{AKA} \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}_{n \times 1}$$

has some *nontrivial solutions* $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}_{k \times 1}$ as a solution. (So $\text{null}(\mathbf{A})$ contains more than just $\mathbf{0}_{k \times 1}$.)

- (4) Given any “target” vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the equation $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has either *no* solution, or has *infinitely many* solutions (so unique solution cannot happen).
- (5) The vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ *do not* form a basis for $\text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$, AKA $\text{col}(\mathbf{A})$. (Some subset of $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ will make a basis though.)
- (6) $\dim(\text{col}(\mathbf{A})) < k$ (so $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) < k$)

These additional conditions only apply if \mathbf{A} is a square matrix.

- (7) The determinant $|\mathbf{A}| = 0$.
- (8) The matrix \mathbf{A} is *noninvertible* (or “ \mathbf{A} is singular”)
- (9) The (REF of \mathbf{A}) is NOT equal to \mathbf{I}_n . (or “ \mathbf{A} is NOT row-equivalent to \mathbf{I}_n .”)