Cohomology of Projectino Schemer () We want to roburn to he algebraic story. Fix an algebraically clusul field fr. lemma if X C IPn is a closed subscheme all of whore components here drim = d, flere exists on open affine cover u = {u, ... u, } Then me take Upi = X - Hi We can prove the claim by induction and. Suppor del j.s. Xisa finite collection & (clurch) ptr. Then we can first Ho which avoids than pby, since K=k. when d>1 we choose It of s.t. X n It of 4 Since He dimension of XAHd, drops we're done by induction //

fron If X C IP , is on irred. 54 clused subschen al Fis a cohorat Sherfor it. $\stackrel{a)}{\underset{k}{\text{ bin }} H^{i}(x,7) < \infty \quad \forall i$ $L) \quad H^{(}(X, F) = 0 \quad f \quad i > d in X$ M: let s: X as IP, denote He in classing the s. 7 is a cohered ship - IPh and $H'(\kappa, \tau) = H'(\mathbb{P}, s, \tau)$ There for a) follows from what me proved earlier fr IP". By the previous lama X has open aft. - cover 2 = (U, ... U,), d= d ×. Therefi $I_{1}(x,F) = H^{(2)}(2,F) = 0$ fisd /

(3) It follows the din 14" (X, e), i= 0, ...d gives useful isomorphism inversto when X is a reduced $din \left(+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = 1$ because global reguler functions me constant. In particle when X is a nonsingular irreducióla projective curve, me que only one intersating number Def The games of X i's g = dim H'(X,O) We do one computation The $|f \times C|P^2$ is defined by a degree d polynomial, $g = \begin{pmatrix} d - i \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$

pf les i X and inclusion We have on exact sog. $o \rightarrow d_{x} \rightarrow O_{P^{2}} \rightarrow O_{x} \rightarrow O$ If fis a defining polynom. I dx $d_{x} = (\widehat{F}) \cong (\widehat{S}(-\lambda) \cong \widehat{O}_{p}(-\lambda)$ Also, since i is exact, $It'(X, O_x) \supseteq H'(IP^2, i O_x)$ Theref, we have $H'(P') \otimes_{P'} A'(X \otimes) \rightarrow H'(P' \otimes_{P'} A)$ 0 () $(1^{1}(X, O_{X}))$ Therefu $H'(X, O_X) = H^2(P^2 O(-d))$ = S_{l-3} when S= KZR, J, >). The dimension is easily computed as stated /

(4) 2 Kähler different. Is let X be a schome of finch type on an alg dosed field k. Thm / Dof There exists a colored shedy D' = Dx/k Such Hub $\mathcal{N}_{k}u = \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{k}$ for any affine open Spec R C X. If X/k is a nons, ingul Variaty of dom. n, \mathcal{N}'_{k} is locally free for vent n. Saa Itorfshorna Chep 11, sait 8. 3 Serve duality for Carner For the rest of this such a X will denote a nonsingular project curve (= 1 din'l schene) over K=F. Apoint of X means a closed point (which is what one means classichty by a pf).

Then D' is a line build, celled the cononcient line build. Given T Gimma diview Dy lot $\mathcal{L}'(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{L}' \oplus \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D})$ Sarra duality (algalraire morsion) There is an isomorphism $H'(X, \Theta(D)) \stackrel{\sim}{=} H^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D}'_{X}(-D))^{\star}$ for only division D on X. Cor The games g = d H°(X, R') let K(x) = function find Ax We define he ving of adeler by R = { (rp) E TI + (x) | rp E Op PGX for almost all p } when X = closed pts f X

(८) we have a diagonal enhald. K(x) C R from (f)pox For each divin D= ZnpP Let R(D) = {(rp)ER | ord, rp ? - Np VPESupp D? $\frac{P_{rop}}{H'(X,\Theta(p))} = \frac{R}{(R(D) + E(x))}$ pf Let K(x) = constat sheef assocratic We have an exact sequence O -> O x (D) -> K(x) -> K(x) /0(0) -> O Since K(x), is flesge, maget H (K(N)) - H (K(N) / O(D)) - H (X O(D)) - O K(r) One duck, K(X), (O(0) is a su of sky scope show \$ {(1)/00), > 11° (10), /00) = A/R(0)

3) Set $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_{KCR}$. This is should be interphil as the space of retrival differential forms on X. let per. Since Oxprise d. v.r n, the valuation ordp: KC>) -> ZUSoo) there exists an element Ecomp which generate de maxime idel. It plays the vole of a local coordinate, and it is usuly called a local uniformizer. It is not unique. The completion of KCX) w.r.E the volume order is isomerphic to KCCEJ) Thm/Def If we D, the coefficient of I de of the ineque of win K((E)) dE is independent of t, and denoted by rosp (w). The sum $\sum_{p \in X} r_{asp}(w) = 0$

When KER, those statements follow early from Cauchy's formula and Stokes Harren. For Kagenerd cur San Sorra's book " Algebraic Groups and Class fields " we lefin a pairing R×S2 -> K $\langle (r_{p}), \omega \rangle = \Sigma r_{s_{p}}(r_{p}\omega)$ Give $\omega \in \int \sum_{p \in \mathcal{A}} s d$ $ord_{p} \omega = ord_{p} \int u^{lm} \omega = f d le$ fr son local un. formiser $and <math>(\omega) = \sum_{p} (ord_{p} \omega) p$

Giv D= Zn, r, we can identify H°(x, R_(-D)) = { ~ 6 2 | or 2, w >+n, (

lemma $lf(v_p) \in R(D) + k(x)$ and as it (r(-D)), ten $< (v_{\rho}), \omega > z < \omega$ pf If (rp) & R(D), ordprp >- np which and par > + up, Theref resp(rpw) 20 IF FEKCE), ten Zvar, (fw) zo by the last thm // Therefor < > induce a pairing $R/(R(D) + k(x)) \sim H'(-\Sigma(-D)) \rightarrow k$ H(x, O(D)) A precise form of duality is Thum (Serro) The above pairing is nondegen ent

Full defails can be found in Server, book. However, we can explain the inder. We can write Λ = U H°(Λ(-D)) = lim H°(Λ(-D)) This is a I dimil vector space K(x). On the other hand $J := \lim_{\longrightarrow} \left(\frac{R}{R(\partial) + k(k)} \right)^{*}$ o ca also be given the structure of K(x)-v.s. P rov (a) $din J \leq 1$ $\kappa(R)$ (b) <, > induces an injective Karlin mor from JL - J Cur $U \in \mathcal{I}$ To finish the proof, one neads to cheek the isomerphism is compatille ... K the filtration induced by D.

4 Rienann - Roch

Let X be a curve = nonsingul reduct irreduce, le one d'a 1 projache variety on Let gzgenni y K. K= K. Given a divisor DE ZNBP Sat Jag D = Inp c Z onl 2(0) = {fak(w) 41, ord, f >, -np } 1) 503 We stake Than (Riemannis inequality) Q(D) >, dag D + 1-9 This will be sharpened by an equality shartly. Given a nonzovo vatical ar a (L Kex)/k, it's divise l-furm $(w)_2 = \overline{2}(ord_p w) p$ vex

lemma $|f w, w' \in \Sigma_{KCR}/_{R} - fu?$ (w)~(w'). rl w'z for un fetch) $\omega (\omega') = (\omega) + (f) //$

Def A canonic l'diviser is a divisor of the form (a). This is traditional written or K. (The Lenne says that the lineer equivalence class is well defined.)

Thm (Liemann-Roch) L(D) - L(K-D) = Ly D + 1-y