

ON THE SOLUTIONS OF A FAMILY OF QUARTIC THUE EQUATIONS II

ALAIN TOGBÉ

On the solutions of a family of quartic Thue equations II

Alain Togbé
Purdue University North Central, Westville Indiana

April 12, 2006

Number Theory Seminar

Purdue University, West Lafayette Indiana

1. Diophantine equations

Definitions

- (1) **A diophantine equation** is an equation of the form

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_d) = 0, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

- (2) If $P(a_1, \dots, a_d) = 0$ where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$, then (a_1, \dots, a_d) is a **solution**.

- (3) **A Thue equation** is an equation of the form
- $$F(x, y) = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} y + \dots + a_1 x y^{d-1} + a_0 y^d = A,$$
- where $a_i, A \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $A \neq 0$.

The history of Diophantine equations is very rich and goes back to the antiquity. But Thue was first to study an infinite parametrized family of Thue equations. He proved that

$$(a + 1)X^n - aY^n = 1, \quad x > 0, \quad Y > 0$$

has only the solution $x = y = 1$ for a suitably large in relation to prime $n \geq 3$.

There are many methods to solve families of Thue equations:

- (1) Baker's method,
- (2) The hypergeometric method.

2. Baker's method

Let us consider a family of parameterized Thue equations

$$F(x, y) = A$$

(with a parameter n).

- (1) Determine the obvious solutions of the equation.
- (2) Define the number field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, where θ is a root of the polynomial $F(x, 1)$.
- (3) Determine a system of independent units $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_r\}$ of \mathbb{K} . Therefore, there exist integers u_1, \dots, u_r such that

$$(x - \theta y)^I = \varepsilon_1^{u_1} \dots \varepsilon_r^{u_r}$$

where r is the rank of \mathbb{K} .

- (4) Estimate the regulator R of \mathbb{K} .
- (5) Find an upper bound for $U = \max\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$, in terms of R and $\log |y|$.

- (6) Compute an upper bound of the linear form Λ in logarithms obtained by a Siegel's formula.
- (7) Combine the results of steps 4, 5, 6 to find an upper bound for Λ in terms of U .
- (8) Determine a lower bound for U .
- (9) Combine the results of steps 7 and 8 to obtain a negative upper bound for $\log |\Lambda|$.
- (10) Determine a negative lower bound for $\log |\Lambda|$.
- (11) Use the lower and upper bounds obtained from 9 and 10 for $\log |\Lambda|$ to compute a numerical upper bound for the parameter n , say $n \in N$.

3. A survey

A list of families of Thue equations studied since 1990 can be obtained at:

<http://www.opt.math.tu-graz.ac.at/~cheub/thue.html>

Families of degree 3

The first family of Thue equations is solved by Emery Thomas in 1990:

$$x^3 - (a - 1)x^2y - (a + 2)xy^2 - y^3 = 1$$

The solutions are:

$$(0, -1), (1, 0), (-1, 1).$$

Other cubic families of Thue equations are solved by Thomas, Mignotte-Tzanakis, Wakabayashi, and T.

$$x^3 - (n^3 - 2n^2 + 3n - 31)x^2y - n^2xy^2 - y^3 = \pm 1,$$

The solutions are:

$$(0, \pm 1), (\pm 1, 0).$$

Families of degree 4

The first quartic family of Thue equations is solved by Attila Pethő in 1991:

$$x^4 - ax^3y - x^2y^2 + axy^3 + y^4 = \pm 1;$$

the solutions are:

$$\pm\{(0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, -1), (a, 1), (1, -a)\}.$$

Many quartic families are solved by: Pethő, Mignotte-Pethő-Roth, Lettl-Pethő, Chen-Voutier, Wakabayashi, Heuberger-Pethő-Tichy, Dujella-Jadrijevič, and T.

$x^4 - n^2x^3y - (n^3 + 2n^2 + 4n + 2)x^2y^2 - n^2xy^3 + y^4 = 1;$
for $n \leq 5 \cdot 10^6$ and $n \geq 1.191 \cdot 10^{19}$ with $n, n + 2, n^2 + 4$ squarefree. The solutions are:

$$\pm\{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}.$$

Families of degree 5

The first quintic family of Thue equations is solved by Clemens Heuberger in 1998:

$$x(x^2 - y^2)(x^2 - a^2y^2) - y^5 = \pm 1,$$

for $a > 3.6 \cdot 10^{19}$. The solutions are

$$\{(0, \pm 1), (\pm 1, 0), (1, \pm 1), (-1, \pm 1), (a, \pm 1), (-a, \pm 1)\}.$$

Two other families are solved by Gaál-Letl, Levesque-Mignotte.

Family of degree 6

Only one sextic family of Thue equations is solved by Lettl-Pethő-Voutier in 1999:

$$x^6 - 2ax^5y - (5a + 15)x^4y^2 - 20x^3y^3 + 5ax^2y^4 + (2a + 6)xy^5 + y^6 \in \{\pm 1, \pm 27\},$$

with $-\frac{y}{2} \leq x \leq y$. The solutions are

$$(0, 1), (1, 1).$$

They used the hypergeometric method.

Family of degree 8

Only one octic family of Thue equations is solved by Heuberger-T-Ziegler in 2004:

$$X^8 - 8nX^7Y - 28X^6Y^2 + 56nX^5Y^3 + 70X^4Y^4 - 56nX^3Y^5 - 28nX^2Y^6 + 8nXY^7 + Y^8 = \pm 1$$

has only trivial solutions

$$(\pm 1, 0), (0, \pm 1)$$

for $n \geq 6.71 \cdot 10^{32}$, where

$$n \in \{a \in \mathbb{Z} : a + b\sqrt{2} = (1 + \sqrt{2})^{2k+1} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Recently, Heuberger-Tethö-Tychy and Ziegler studied some families of relative Thue equations.

4. The new result

Theorem 4.1. [Togbé, 2000]

For $n \geq 1.191 \cdot 10^{19}$ and $n \leq 5 \cdot 10^6$ with $n, n+2, n^2+4$ squarefree. the equation

(4.1)

$$\Phi_n(x, y) = x^4 - n^2 x^3 y - (n^3 + 2n^2 + 4n + 2)x^2 y^2 - n^2 x y^3 + y^4 = 1.$$

has only the trivial solutions

$$(4.2) \quad \pm\{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}.$$

For this, we used two methods:

- a method proposed by M. Mignotte
- the Bilu-Hanrot's method.

Moreover, we have conjectured that (4.1) has only the solutions (4.4) for any parameter $n \geq 1$.

Here is the new result:

Theorem 4.2. [Togbé, 2006]

For $n \geq 1$, the family of parameterized Thue equations

$$(4.3) \quad \Phi_n(x, y) = x^4 - n^2 x^3 y - (n^3 + 2n^2 + 4n + 2)x^2 y^2 - n^2 x y^3 + y^4 = 1$$

has only the integral solutions

$$(4.4) \quad \pm\{(1, 0), (0, 1)\};$$

except for $n = 1$ where we have

$$(4.5) \quad \pm\{(1, -1), (1, 0), (0, 1)\}.$$

5. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 4.2

First Step

Lemma 5.1. *Let us consider $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon]$, and $\langle -1, \alpha, \beta, \varepsilon \rangle$ a subgroup of the unit group.*

For $n \geq 33$, we have

$$(5.1) \quad I := [\mathcal{O}^\times : \langle -1, \alpha, \beta, \varepsilon \rangle] < 49.27 \log^3(n).$$

Proof. Let R be the regulator of $\langle -1, \alpha, \beta, \varepsilon \rangle$:

$$(5.2) \quad R = 2 \log(\varepsilon) (\log^2(\alpha) + \log^2(\beta)).$$

Using asymptotic expressions, one can check that

$$(5.3) \quad 10 \log^3(n) \leq R \leq 10.11 \log^3(n),$$

for $n \geq 33$. So $R > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon$ are independent units. We find the following bound for the index

$$(5.4) \quad I = [\mathcal{O}^\times : \langle -1, \alpha, \beta, \varepsilon \rangle] \leq \frac{R}{\text{Reg } \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{K}_n}} \leq \frac{10.11 \log^3(n)}{0.2052}.$$

So we obtain

$$I \leq 49.27 \log^3(n).$$

□

Lemma 5.2. *If (x, y) is a solution of type j , then (y, x) is a solution of type $j + 2$.*

Proof. We obtain $\left| \frac{x}{y} - \alpha_i \right| < \frac{1}{2y^2}$. This means that $\frac{x}{y}$ is a convergent to α_j .

Also we have

$$|x| \leq |x - \alpha_j y| + |\alpha_j y| \leq |\alpha_j y| + \frac{1}{y^2} \leq 2|\alpha_j y|.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$(5.5) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\alpha_j} - \frac{x}{y} \right| = \frac{|x - \alpha_j y|}{|\alpha_j x|} \leq \frac{1}{2|\alpha_j x y|} < \frac{1}{|x y|} \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

This means that

$$\left| \frac{x}{\alpha_j} - y \right| = \min_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \{|x - \alpha_i y|\}.$$

As

$$(5.6) \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{\alpha_1}, \quad \alpha_4 = \frac{1}{\alpha_2}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_i} = \alpha_{i+2}$$

therefore we study the cases $j = 1$ and $j = 4$. □

One can check that $\gamma_i := x - \alpha_i y$ are units in \mathcal{O}^\times .

Lemma 5.3. *Let $n \geq 1$ and (x, y) be a solution to (4.1) of type j such that $y \geq 2$. Then*

$$(5.7) \quad |\gamma_j| \leq k_j \frac{1}{y^3}, \quad \text{where } k_j = \begin{cases} \frac{8}{n^6} & \text{if } j = 1, \\ \frac{8}{n^2} & \text{if } j = 4, \end{cases}$$

$$(5.8) \quad \log |\gamma_i| = \log(y) + \log |\alpha_i - \alpha_j| + \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2n^8} & \text{if } j = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2n^4} & \text{if } j = 4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $i \neq j$ we have

$$y |\alpha_i - \alpha_j| \leq 2 |\gamma_i|,$$

then

$$(5.9) \quad |\gamma_j| = \frac{1}{\prod_{i \neq j} |\gamma_i|} \leq \frac{8}{y^3} \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{i \neq j} |\alpha_i - \alpha_j|}.$$

Since

$$\prod_{i \neq 1} |\alpha_i - \alpha_j| \geq \begin{cases} n^6 & \text{if } j = 1, \\ n^2 & \text{if } j = 4, \end{cases}$$

we obtain (5.7). Moreover, we know that

$$(5.10) \quad \frac{|\gamma_i|}{y |\alpha_i - \alpha_j|} = \left| 1 + \frac{\gamma_j}{y(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)} \right|,$$

then taking the log of the previous expression and using expressions of α_i , (5.7) we obtain (5.8). \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let (x, y) be a solution to (4.1) with $y \geq 2$ and $n \geq 33$. Then*

$$(5.11) \quad \log y \geq 2.485n \log(n).$$

Proof. If (x, y) is a solution to (4.1), then we determine asymptotic expressions of u_2, u_3 .

For each j , we define the following linear combinations of the u_k such that we eliminate, if it is possible, the terms in $\log(n) \log(y)$, $\log^2(n)$, and $\log(n)$ appearing in the expressions of the $\frac{Ru_2}{I}$ and $\frac{Ru_3}{I}$:

$$(5.12) \quad \frac{Rv_j}{I} = \begin{cases} \frac{Ru_2}{I} - \frac{2Ru_3}{I} = \left(\frac{4}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2} + L_{33} \left(\frac{32}{3n^3}\right)\right) \log(y) + \\ \quad + \frac{2 \log(2) - 9 \log(n)}{n} + \frac{2 \log(2) - 11 \log(n)}{2n^2} + L_{33} \left(\frac{0.1}{n^2}\right) \\ \frac{2Ru_2}{I} + \frac{Ru_3}{I} = \left(\frac{4}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2} + L_{33} \left(\frac{32}{3n^3}\right)\right) \log(y) + 15 \log^2(n) + \\ \quad + \frac{17 \log(n) - \log(5)}{n} + \frac{27 \log(n) - \log(5) + 8}{2n^2} + L_{33} \left(\frac{0.1}{n^2}\right) \end{cases}$$

As $y \geq 2$ and $\frac{v_j}{I}$ is an integer, we have $\frac{Rv_j}{I} \geq R$. \square

Lemma 5.5. *For $n \geq 3309770$, the equation (4.1) has no solutions, except the trivial solutions.*

Proof. We consider the linear forms in logarithms defined by

$$(5.13) \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_j = \tilde{A}_j \log(\alpha) + \tilde{B}_j \log(\beta) + \log(\lambda_j) = \log |1 + \tau_j|.$$

where $j = 1, 4$, with

$$(5.14) \quad \tilde{A}_1 = \frac{2u_3}{I}, \quad \tilde{B}_1 = -\frac{2u_2}{I}, \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha + 1/\beta}, \quad \tau_1 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} \left(\frac{\beta^2 - 1}{\alpha\beta + 1} \right),$$

and

$$(5.15) \quad \tilde{A}_4 = \frac{2u_2}{I}, \quad \tilde{B}_4 = \frac{2u_3}{I}, \quad \lambda_4 = \frac{1/\alpha + 1/\beta}{\alpha + 1/\beta}, \quad \tau_4 = -\frac{\gamma_4}{\gamma_3} \left(\frac{\alpha - 1/\alpha}{\alpha + 1/\beta} \right).$$

We obtain

$$(5.16) \quad \log |\tilde{\Lambda}_j| \leq -4 \log(y) + \log(8) - a_j \log(n) - \frac{2}{n},$$

with $a_j = 4$ if $j = 1$ and $a_j = 3$ if $j = 4$.

$$(5.17) \quad \log |5\tilde{\Lambda}_j| \geq -6231.04 (\log(b') + .14)^2 h_1 h_2;$$

with

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{cases} h_1 = \frac{9}{4} \log(n) + \frac{2}{n}, \\ h_2 = \left(\frac{3}{n \log(n)} + \frac{3}{2n^2 \log(n)} - \frac{8}{5n^2 \log(n)} \right) \log(y) + c_{j1} \log(n) + \frac{c_{j2}}{4n}, \\ b' = c_{j3} n \log(n), \end{cases}$$

with

$$c_{11} = \frac{15}{4}, \quad c_{12} = 49, \quad c_{13} = 0.664,$$

$$c_{41} = 15, \quad c_{42} = 37, \quad c_{43} = 0.166$$

for $n \geq 50$.

□

Second Step

We used a computational method based on Baker's method.

For $j = 1, 4$ and $50 \leq n \leq 3309770$, We developed program in Maple 9.5 and ran it on a Pentium 4 with 3.92 GHz running under Linux 7.2.

- First we consider $j = 1, 4$ (together) and $50 \leq n \leq 3045748$,

- then $j = 1$ and $3045748 \leq n \leq 3309770$. It took in average 1.75 seconds for each value of n .

Third Step

For $1 \leq n \leq 50$, we use Kash to obtain the solutions in Theorem 4.1. This completes the proof of this theorem.