

ITERATIONS OF RATIONAL FUNCTIONS AND THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE VALUES OF THE POINCARÉ FUNCTIONS

A. É. Eremenko and M. L. Sodin

UDC 517.53

We consider a rational function R of degree $d \geq 2$. We assume that the function R has a repellent fixed point ζ , i. e. $R(\zeta) = \zeta$, $|R'(\zeta)| > 1$. According to Poincaré's theorem [1, Chap. VII], there exists a unique function f , meromorphic in \mathbb{C} and satisfying the equation

$$f(\lambda z) = R[f(z)], \quad \lambda = R'(\zeta), \quad (1)$$

and the initial conditions $f(0) = \zeta$, $f'(0) = 1$. Equation (1) is called the Poincaré equation and its solution f is called the Poincaré function. It is convenient to write Poincaré's equation in the form of the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \mathbb{C} \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{R} & \mathbb{C} \end{array} \quad (2)$$

Let R^n be the n th iterate of the function R . In the classical works of Julia, Fatou, and Lattés one has pointed out the close connection between the distribution of the values of the function f and the distribution of the roots of the equation $R^n(z) = a$. We shall continue the investigation of this connection, making use of the Nevanlinna theory of the distribution of the values of meromorphic functions [2, 3]. In particular, we shall give a new proof of the uniqueness of an invariant balanced measure of the function R and of the asymptotically uniform distribution of the roots of the equation $R^n(z) = a$ with respect to this measure [4, 5]. The definition of a balanced measure and the precise formulation of the result are given in Sec. 5.

All the facts regarding iterates of rational functions, used in this paper, can be found in [6, Chap. VIII].

1. Exceptional Values. By definition, the set $E(R)$ of the exceptional values of a rational function R consists of those $a \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}$, such that the equation $R^n(z) = a$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, have in totality a finite number of roots. In other words, the points $a \in E(R)$ have only a finite number of antecedents. As it is known, $\text{card } E(R) \leq 2$.

The rational function R and S are said to be conjugate if $R \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ S$ for some linear fractional function φ . If $\text{card } E(R) = 2$, then the function R is conjugate with $z \mapsto z^{\pm d}$. If $\text{card } E(R) = 1$, then R is conjugate with a polynomial of degree d .

We denote by $E_P(f) = \{a \in \bar{\mathbb{C}} : f(z) \neq a, z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ the set of Picard exceptional values of the function f . If f is the Poincaré function for R , then $E_P(f) = E(R)$. In particular, f is entire if and only if R is a polynomial.

We need one elementary lemma.

LEMMA 1. If the equation $R^3(z) = a$ has a root of order d^3 , then $a \in E(R)$.

For the sake of completeness, we give the proof of this lemma.

Assume that the equation $R^3(z) = a$ has a root of order d^3 . Then it has only one root. In this case the equation $R(z) = a$ has a unique root a_{-1} of order d and the equation $R(z) = a_{-1}$ has a unique root a_{-2} of order d . Since also the equation $R(z) = a_{-2}$ has a unique root d , we conclude that among the points a, a_{-1}, a_{-2} at least two are equal (since the total number of critical points of the function R , taking into account multiplicities, is equal to $2d - 2$). From here it follows that $a \in E(R)$.

2. The Nevanlinna Characteristics [2, 3]. For an arbitrary function f , meromorphic in \mathbb{C} , we set

$$N(r, a, f) = \sum_{|b_j| < r} \log \frac{r}{|b_j|} + k \log r,$$

where the summation extends over all nonzero roots b_j of the equation $f(z) = a$, taking into account multiplicities, while k is the order of the value a at the point $z = 0$ (if $f(0) \neq a$, then $k = 0$). Further,

$$m(r, f) = m(r, \infty, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta,$$

$$m(r, a, f) = m\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right), \quad a \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$T(r, f) = m(r, f) + N(r, \infty, f).$$

The quantity $T(r, f)$ is called the Nevanlinna characteristic of the function f . By the order of the function f we mean the number

$$\rho = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \log T(r, f) / \log r.$$

Nevanlinna's first fundamental theorem asserts that

$$m(r, a, f) + N(r, a, f) = T(r, f) - \log |f(0) - a| + \varepsilon(a, r),$$

where $|\varepsilon(a, r)| \leq \log^+ |a| + \log 2$. If $f(0) = a$, $f'(0) \neq 0$, then $\log |f(0) - a|$ has to be replaced by $\log |f'(0)|$.

According to Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem, for any mutually distinct values $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n m(r, a_j, f) \leq 2T(r, f) + Q(r, f; a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Here Q is the remainder, small with respect to $T(r, f)$. For functions of finite order we have $Q(r, f) = O(\log r)$, $r \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Valiron Exceptional Values of the Poincaré Function. A value $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is said to be exceptional in the Valiron sense for the function f if

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, a, f)}{T(r, f)} > 0.$$

The set of such exceptional values is denoted by $E_V(f)$. Obviously, $E_P(f) \subset E_V(f)$. The set $E_V(f)$ has always zero logarithmic capacity, but may have the power of the continuum [2, 3].

It is known that for a rational function R of degree d and for any meromorphic function f we have $T(r, R \circ f) = dT(r, f) + O(1)$, $r \rightarrow \infty$ (see, for example, [3, Chap. 1]). From here and from (1) for the Poincaré function we obtain

$$T(|\lambda| r, f) = (d + o(1))T(r, f), \quad r \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3}$$

and, in particular, f has finite order

$$\rho = \log d / \log |\lambda| \tag{4}$$

and normal type. This is Valiron's result [1, Chap. VII].

THEOREM 1. Assume that f and R are related by the Poincaré equation. Then $E_V(f) = E(R)$.

Proof. First we note that if S is a rational function and b_1, \dots, b_q are all the roots of the equation $S(z) = a$, where the root b_j has order k_j , then

$$m(r, a, S \circ f) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q k_j m(r, b_j, f) + O(1), \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

In fact, it is sufficient to prove this relation for $a = \infty$, $b_1, \dots, b_q \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$|S(w)| \leq K \left(\sum_{j=1}^q |w - b_j|^{-k_j} + 1 \right),$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(r, \infty, S \circ f) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^q m(r, \infty, (f - b_j)^{-k_j}) + O(1) = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^q k_j m(r, b_j, f) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply Lemma 1. If $a \notin E(R)$, then the order of the roots of the equation $R^{3n}(z) = a$ does not exceed $(d^3 - 1)^n$. From (1) there follows that $f(\lambda^{3n}z) = R^{3n} \circ f(z)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$m(r, a, f) \leq \sum_{b: R^{3n}(b)=a} (d^3 - 1)^n m\left(\frac{r}{|\lambda|^{3n}}, b, f\right),$$

where the sum is taken over all the distinct roots of the equation $R^{3n}(z) = a$. From here, by the second fundamental theorem and taking into account (3), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} m(r, a, f) &\leq (2 + o(1))(d^3 - 1)^n T\left(\frac{r}{|\lambda|^{3n}}, f\right) = \\ &= (2 + o(1))\left(\frac{d^3 - 1}{d^3}\right)^n T(r, f), \quad r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Selecting the number n arbitrarily large, we obtain $m(r, a, f) = o(T(r, f))$, $r \rightarrow \infty$.

We have proved that $E_V(f) \subset E_P(f)$. The converse inclusion is obvious. Theorem 1 is proved.

We mention that, by a similar method, N. Yanagihara [7] has proved earlier that the function f has no Nevanlinna deficiency values, different from $E(R)$, i.e.

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} m(r, a, f)/T(r, f) = 0$$

for all $a \in \bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E(R)$.

4. The Equidistribution of the Preimages of Measures. Let f be an arbitrary meromorphic function and let μ be a measure in $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$. We lift the measure μ with the aid of the function f , setting for an arbitrary bounded Borel set $X \subset \mathbb{C}$

$$(f^*\mu)(X) = \int_{\bar{\mathbb{C}}} n(a, X) d\mu_a, \quad (5)$$

where $n(a, X)$ is the number of the roots of the equation $f(z) = a$, belonging to X (multiplicities included). The locally finite measure $f^*\mu$ will be called the preimage of the measure μ under the action of f . Obviously, the operator f^* is linear. For example, if $\mu = \delta_a$ is the unit atomic measure, concentrated at the point $a \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}$, then $f^*\delta_a(X) = n(a, X)$.

In the sequel we investigate in this section meromorphic functions of finite order ρ and normal type, i.e.,

$$T(r, f) = O(r^\rho), \quad r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6)$$

Let W be the conjugate space of the space of continuous finite function in \mathbb{C} (i.e., W is the space of locally finite charges in \mathbb{C}), provided with the topology of weak convergence. We denote by L_{loc}^1 the space of locally summable functions in \mathbb{C} with the topology of mean convergence on each compactum. The subharmonic functions are contained in L_{loc}^1 and we consider the dense subspace $\delta SH \subset L_{loc}^1$, consisting of differences of subharmonic functions. The Laplace operator extends to a linear operator $\Delta: \delta SH \rightarrow W$, possessing the following continuity property: if $u_t \rightarrow 0$, $u_t \in \delta SH$, $t \rightarrow \infty$, and the variations of the charges Δu_t are bounded on compacta, uniformly with respect to t , then $\Delta u_t \rightarrow 0$.

Following V. S. Azarin [8], for each $t \in \mathbb{C}$ we define the linear operators $L_t: \delta SH \rightarrow \delta SH$, $T_t: W \rightarrow W$ by the formulas

$$L_t u(z) = |t|^{-\rho} u(tz), \quad (T_t v)(X) = |t|^{-\rho} v(tX).$$

Then $T_t \Delta = \Delta L_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$.

The measures $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in W$ are said to be ρ -equidistributed if the charge $\nu = \mu_1 - \mu_2$ satisfies the condition $T_t \nu \rightarrow 0$, $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark. If f is a meromorphic function of finite order ρ and normal type, then for any probability measure μ in $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ we have $f^*\mu(D(0, r)) = O(r^\rho)$, $r \rightarrow \infty$ (7), where $D(a, t) = \{z: |z - a| < t\}$. We prove (7). We shall assume that the integrals

$$\int_{\bar{\mathbb{C}}} \log |f(0) - a| d\mu_a, \quad \int_{\bar{\mathbb{C}}} \log^+ |a| d\mu_a$$

are finite; otherwise, we perform the transformation

$$f(z) \mapsto \frac{1}{f(z+\zeta) - \omega}$$

with suitable $\zeta, w \in \mathbb{C}$. Now, making use of (5), (6) and Nevanlinna's first fundamental theorem, we obtain

$$f^*\mu(D(0, r)) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f^*\delta_a(D(0, r)) d\mu_a \leq \int_{\mathbb{C}} N(er, a, f) d\mu_a \leq T(er, f) + O(1) = O(r^\rho), r \rightarrow \infty.$$

From (6) and (7) there follows that the family of functions $\{L_t \log |f|\}_{|t| \geq 1} \subset \delta\text{SH}$ and the family of measures $\{T_t f^*\mu\}_{|t| \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{W}$ are precompact in δSH and \mathbb{W} , respectively.

THEOREM 2. Let f be a meromorphic function of order ρ and normal type and let μ_j be probability measures in \mathbb{C} such that $\mu_j(E_V(f)) = 0, j = 1, 2$. Then the measures $f^*\mu_1$ and $f^*\mu_2$ are ρ -equidistributed.

This theorem is a weakened variant of a result of one of the authors, communicated in [9], in which instead of $\mu_j(E_V(f)) = 0, j = 1, 2$, one requires only that $\mu_j(\{a\}) = 0, j = 1, 2$, for each point $a \in E_V(f)$.

For the proof of Theorem 2 we require the following.

LEMMA 2. For any meromorphic function f there exist constants r_0 and C such that $m(r, a, f) \leq T(r, f) + C, a \in \mathbb{C}, r \geq r_0$.

Proof. For the sake of simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the case when $f'(0) \neq 0$. (In the sequel, Theorem 2 and Lemma 2 are used only in this case). We select numbers $r_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, so small that the function f be univalent in $D(0, r_0)$ and we should have $D(f(0), \delta) \subset fD(0, r_0)$.

Let $G(z, \zeta, V)$ be the Green function of the domain V with pole at the point ζ , defined to be equal to zero in \mathbb{C} / V . In this case, if $f(0) \neq a$, then $N(r_0, a, f) = G(f^{-1}a, 0, D(0, r_0)) = G(a, f(0), fD(0, r_0))$; here $f^{-1}a$ is an a -point of the function f , nearest to the origin. From the monotonicity of $N(r)$ and the maximum principle for $r \geq r_0$ we obtain

$$N(r, a, f) \geq N(r_0, a, f) \geq G(a, f(0), D(f(0), \delta)) = \log^+ \frac{\delta}{|f(0) - a|} \geq \log^+ \frac{1}{|f(0) - a|} + \log \delta.$$

Now from Nevanlinna's first fundamental theorem there follows that

$$\begin{aligned} m(r, a, f) &\leq T(r, f) - N(r, a, f) + \log \frac{1}{|f(0) - a|} + \varepsilon(a, r) \leq \\ &\leq T(r, f) - \log^+ \frac{1}{|f(0) - a|} + \log \frac{1}{|f(0) - a|} + \log \frac{1}{\delta} + \log^+ |a| + \\ &\quad + \log 2 \leq T(r, f) + C_f, a \neq f(0). \end{aligned}$$

If, however, $a = f(0)$, then the required inequality follows directly from the first fundamental theorem. Lemma 2 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 2. There exists a point $a \in \mathbb{C}$, at which the logarithmic potentials of both measures μ_j are finite and $a \notin E_V(f)$. It is sufficient to prove that each measure $f^*\mu_j$ is ρ -equidistributed with $f^*\delta_a$. Assuming that $a = \infty$ (this can be achieved by replacing f by $1/(f - a)$), we arrive at the following situation.

Prove that the measures $f^*\mu$ and $f^*\delta_a$ are ρ -equidistributed under the condition that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \log^+ |a| d\mu_a < \infty, \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &\notin E_V(f), \\ \mu(E_V(f)) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$\tag{10}$$

Following Frostman's method [2, Chap. X], we consider the logarithmic potential

$$u(w) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log |w - a| d\mu_a$$

(by virtue of (8) the integral converges for almost all w). Then $U = u \circ f \in \delta\text{SH}$, $\Delta U = 2\pi(f^*\mu - f^*\delta_\infty)$ and, by virtue of the continuity of the Laplacian, it is sufficient to prove that

$$L_t U(z) = |t|^{-\rho} U(tz) \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow \infty. \tag{11}$$

We fix an arbitrary number $r_1 < \infty$. For $0 < r \leq r_1$, $t \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |L_t U(re^{i\theta})| d\theta &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi |t|^\rho} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\log |f(tre^{i\theta}) - a|| d\mu_a d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi |t|^\rho} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \log^+ |f(tre^{i\theta}) - a| + \log^+ \frac{1}{|f(tre^{i\theta}) - a|} \right\} d\mu_a d\theta \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|t|^\rho} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \{m(|t|r, \infty, f) + \log 2 + \log^+ |a| + m(|t|r, a, f)\} d\mu_a \leq \\ &\leq Cr^\rho \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{m(s, \infty, f) + \log 2 + \log^+ |a| + m(s, a, f)}{T(s, f)} d\mu_a, \end{aligned}$$

where $s = |t|r$. By virtue of (8) and Lemma 2, the integrand has a μ -summable majorant. By Lebesgue's theorem, we can take the limit under the integral sign for $s \rightarrow \infty$, while, by virtue of (9) and (10), this limit is equal to zero. Thus,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |L_t U(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \rightarrow 0 \quad t \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly with respect to r , $0 < r \leq r_1$. From here we obtain (11). The theorem is proved.

5. The Balanced Measure and the Equidistribution of the Roots of the Equation $R^n z = a$. Let M be the set of all probability measures in $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ with the property $\mu(E(R)) = 0$. We define an operator $Q: M \rightarrow M$ in the following manner:

$$Q\mu = \frac{1}{d} R^* \mu. \quad (12)$$

A measure $\mu \in M$ is said to be balanced if $Q\mu = \mu$. Roughly speaking, this means that for each Borel set $E \subset \bar{\mathbb{C}}$ the measure $\mu(E)$ is distributed equally among the preimages of the set E under the action of the function R .

THEOREM 3. For each rational function R there exists a unique balanced measure μ_R and, moreover, for each measure $\mu \in M$ we have $Q^n \mu \rightarrow \mu_R$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ (13).

If R is a polynomial, then the measure μ_R coincides with the balanced (in the sense of potential theory) measure of the Julia set $J(R)$. In this case Theorem 3 has been proved by Brolin [10]. In the general case Theorem 3 has been proved by M. Yu. Lyubich [4] and, independently, by Freire, Lopes, and Mañé [5]. The proof in [4] is based on the investigation by means of functional analysis of an operator $A: C(\bar{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow C(\bar{\mathbb{C}})$, for which $Q = A^*$.

In the sequel we need the following sample.

LEMMA 3. Let R be a rational function, $\mu \in M$. Then for each neighborhood U of the set $E(R)$ and for any number $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an index N such that $(Q^n \mu)(U) < \varepsilon$ for $n \geq N$.

The proof of this lemma follows directly from the description of the set $E(R)$, given in Sec. 1.

Proof of Theorem 3. The existence of the measure μ_R is established with the aid of the usual N. N. Bogolyubov—N. M. Krylov construction. Let M be the set of the probability measures ν on $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\nu(E(R)) = 0$. We consider the sequence of Cesàro means

$$\nu^{(N)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} Q^s \delta_a, \quad a \notin E(R).$$

Clearly, $\nu^{(N)} \in M$. Let ν be some limit measure for the sequence $\nu^{(N)}$. Then ν is a probability measure and $Q\nu = \nu$. From Lemma 3 there follows that $\nu \in M$.

First we assume that R has a repellent fixed point ζ and we consider the Poincaré equation (1). We denote by T the operator T_λ , defined in Sec. 4, where $\lambda = R'(\zeta)$.

By virtue of the relation (4) we have $d = |\lambda|^\rho$ and, therefore, for each charge $\nu \in W$ and any bounded Borel set $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ we have $T\nu(X) = d^{-1}\nu(\lambda X)$. From the definition of the operators Q , T , f^* and the diagram (2) there follows that $f^*Q = Tf^*$. Obviously, the operator $f^*: M \rightarrow W$ is continuous and injective.

For any measure $\mu \in M$, by virtue of Theorems 1 and 2 we have

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n (f^* \mu_R - f^* \mu) = f^* \mu_R - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n f^* \mu,$$

from where we obtain at once the uniqueness of the invariant measure μ_R and (13).

We get rid of the assumption that R has a repellent fixed point. We select $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that R^k should have repellent fixed points (this can be done since the number of all nonrepellent periodic points of the function R is finite).

Obviously, the measure μ_R , constructed by the Krylov—Bogolyubov method, is balanced also for R^k and, according to what has been proved, μ_R is the unique balanced measure for R .

For any measure $\mu \in M$ we have $Q^{kn} \mu \rightarrow \mu_R$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then for any $q \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1\}$ we have $Q^{kn+q} \mu = Q^{kn}(Q^q \mu) \rightarrow \mu_R$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, and, therefore, (13) holds.

Theorem 3 is proved.

LITERATURE CITED

1. G. Valiron, *Fonctions Analytiques*, Presses Univ. de France, Paris (1954).
2. R. Nevanlinna, *Eindeutige Analytische Funktionen*, Springer, Berlin (1953).
3. A. A. Gol'dberg and I. V. Ostrovskii, *The Distribution of the Values of Meromorphic Functions* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1970).
4. M. Yu. Lyubich (M. Ju. Ljubich) "Entropy properties of rational endomorphisms of the Riemann sphere," *Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems*, **3**, No. 3, 351-385 (1983).
5. A. Freire, A. Lopes, and R. Mañé, "An invariant measure for rational maps," *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat.*, **14**, 27-43 (1983).
6. P. Montel, *Leçons sur les Familles Normales de Fonctions Analytiques et Leurs Applications*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1927).
7. N. Yanagihara, "Exceptional values for meromorphic solutions of some difference equations," *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.*, **34**, No. 3, 489-499 (1982).
8. V. S. Azarin, "On the asymptotic behavior of subharmonic functions of finite order," *Mat. Sb.*, **108** (150), No. 2, 147-167 (1979).
9. M. L. Sodin, "On the distribution of the values of meromorphic functions of finite order with respect to the arguments," *Sib. Mat. Zh.*, **31**, No. 2, 169-179 (1990).
10. H. Brolin, "Invariant sets under iteration of rational functions," *Ark. Mat.* **6**, 103-144 (1965).