

## First Midterm solutions

Problem 1. a) Let  $E$  be a closed set. Put

$$A_n = \bigcup_{x \in E} \left\{ y \in \mathbf{R}^n : \|x - y\| < \frac{1}{n} \right\},$$

That is  $A_n$  is the union of open balls of radius  $1/n$  with centers on  $E$ . Then each  $A_n$  is open (as a union of open sets), and each  $A_n$  contains  $E$ . Let us prove that

$$E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n.$$

First  $E$  is contained in this union, because it is contained in each  $A_n$ . Now let us take a point  $z \notin E$ . By a theorem from the book, there is a positive number  $d$  (distance between  $z$  and  $E$ ) such that  $\|z - x\| \geq d$  for all  $x \in E$ . So  $z \notin A_n$  for  $n > 1/d$ . Thus  $z$  is not in the intersection of  $A_n$  and the intersection equals  $E$ .

b) One example is  $\mathbf{Q}$ , the set of rational numbers. I did not ask for a proof in this part, but here is a proof. Proving the statement by contradiction, suppose that  $\mathbf{Q} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , where all  $A_n$  are open.

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  be some enumeration of all rational numbers.

Inside  $A_1$  we can find an closed interval  $I_1$  (which is not a point) of length  $< 1$ , and which does not contain  $x_1$ . There are rational points inside every interval, and  $A_2$  contains all of them, so we can find a smaller interval  $I_2 \subset I_1 \cap A_2$ , of length  $< 1/2$ , and which does not contain  $x_2$ .

Continuing this procedure, we find an interval  $I_n$  of length  $< 2^{-n}$  inside  $I_{n-1} \cap A_n$ , and not containing  $x_n$ .

The intersection of these nested intervals  $I_n$  is one point. This point belongs to all  $A_n$ , by construction. But this point cannot be rational, because each rational number  $x_k$  was excluded on the  $k$ -th step.

Problem 2.  $a_n = (-1)^n$  and  $b_n = (-1)^{n+1}$  is the simplest example. For some other examples, like those involving  $\sin n$ , the proof is required.

Problem 3. a) By induction. Suppose we already know that

$$b < b_1 < \dots < b_n < a_n < \dots < a_1 < b$$

. Then  $a_{n+1} = (a_n + b_n)/2 < a_n$ , and

$$b_{n+1} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{a_n} + \frac{1}{b_n} \right) \right)^{-1} > b_n.$$

And

$$a_{n+1} - b_{n+1} = \frac{a_n + b_n}{2} - \frac{2a_nb_n}{a_n + b_n} = \frac{(a_n + b_n)^2 - 4a_nb_n}{2(a_n + b_n)} > 0.$$

This proves a).

b) Using the previous formula,

$$a_{n+1} - b_{n+1} = \frac{(a_n - b_n)^2}{2(a_n + b_n)}.$$

From this follows that

$$|a_{n+1} - b_{n+1}| \leq \frac{1}{2}|a_n - b_n|.$$

So on each step, the difference decreases by at least a factor of 2, so  $a_n - b_n \rightarrow 0$ .

c) By a),  $a_n$  is decreasing and bounded from below;  $b_n$  is increasing and bounded from above, so both sequences have limits, and by b), the limits are equal.

d) We have

$$a_{n+1}b_{n+1} = \frac{a_n + b_n}{2} \frac{2a_nb_n}{a_n + b_n} = a_nb_n,$$

and the statement follows by induction.

e) Let  $x$  be the common limit of  $a_n$  and  $b_n$ . Then by d),  $x.x = a.b$  so  $x = \sqrt{ab}$ .

Problem 4. The statement in the problem is wrong.

Here are two open sets:

$$A = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbf{R}, y > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbf{R}, y < 0\}.$$

They are open, disjoint, and each contains points with both irrational coordinates, for example  $(\pi, \pi) \in A$  and  $(\pi, -\pi) \in B$ . They also cover all points with both irrational coordinates. Indeed, let  $(a, b)$  be such point. Both  $a$

and  $b$  are irrational. So  $b \neq 0$ . If  $b > 0$ , the point belongs to  $A$ , if  $b < 0$  it belongs to  $B$ .

You should be able to tell yourself a wrong statement from a correct one, and even more importantly, you should be able to tell a proof from a “non-proof”.

Problem 5. The simplest example is any sequence enumerating rational numbers. A sequence which contains each rational number once. But one needs a justification. Justification is simple. Let  $x$  be a real number. Then there exists a sequence of *distinct* rational numbers that tends to  $x$ . For example, a strictly increasing sequence of rational numbers. This sequence is a subsequence of the sequence of all rational numbers.

I’ve seen other examples in the exam papers. Some of them are wrong, some are correct. For the correct examples, proving that they have the required property is not simple, and it was never given. If your sequence is correct and you can *prove* this I will add you credit.