$$\forall \beta \in W_{b,0}^1(A'_n): \beta x \in W^1(H) \subset \mathcal{D}_0.$$

By choosing a suitable sequence of random elements  $\{\beta_n x; n \ge 1\}$ , we see that Lemma 3 holds.

<u>Proof of the Assertions in Example 2.</u> Using the random variable  $\alpha$  we can construct a sequence of random variables  $\{\beta_n = \phi_n(\alpha), \phi_n \in C^1, b; n \ge 1\}$  such that

- 1)  $\beta_n = \frac{1}{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha > \frac{1}{n}$ ;  $n \ge 1$ ;
- 2)  $n+1 \ge \beta_n > 0 \pmod{P}$ ,  $n \ge 1$ ;
- 3)  $\beta_n \in W^1$ ,  $||D\beta_n|| \in L_\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

By considering the sequence  $\{\beta_n \alpha x; n \ge 1\}$  we have  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ . In addition, for each  $n \ge 1$ , integrating by parts we obtain

$$(\beta_n \alpha x; \xi) = \beta_n \langle \alpha x; \xi \rangle + (\alpha x; D\beta_n).$$

On the set  $\left\{\alpha > \frac{1}{n}\right\} \in \mathcal{E}: D\beta_n = -\frac{1}{\alpha^2} D\alpha$ . Consequently,

$$\langle x; \xi \rangle = \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle \beta_n \alpha x; \xi \rangle = \frac{1}{\alpha} \langle \alpha x; \xi \rangle - \frac{1}{\alpha} (x; D\alpha) \pmod{P}.$$

## LITERATURE CITED

- A. V. Skorokhod, "On a generalization of stochastic integrals," Teor. Veroyatn. Primen., 20, No. 2, 223-237 (1975).
- Yu. L. Daletskii and S. V. Fomin, Measures and Differential Equations in Infinite Dimensional Spaces [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1983).
- T. Sekiguchi and Y. Shiola, "L<sub>2</sub>-theory of noncausal stochastic integrals," Math. Reports Toyama Univ., 8, 119-195 (1985).
- E. Pardoux and P. Protter, "A two-sided stochastic integral and its calculus," Prob. Theory and Rel. Fields, 78, 535-581 (1988).

## PERIODIC POINTS OF POLYNOMIALS

A. É. Eremenko and G. M. Levin

UDC 517.53

We recall the most important facts of the Julia-Fatou theory as related to the iteration of polynomials (cf. [1-3]). Let P be a polynomial of degree m  $\geq 2$ , and P^n its n-th iteration. A point z is called periodic if  $P^nz=z$  for some  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ . The set  $\{p^kz\}_{k=1}^n$  is then called a cycle, and its cardinality is called the order of the cycle. The number  $\lambda=(P^n)^*(z)$ , where  $z\neq\infty$ , is called a multiplicator of a cycle of order n. A cycle is called repulsive if  $|\lambda|>1$ . Let  $D_\infty=\{z\in\overline{\mathbb{C}}:P^nz\to\infty,\ n\to\infty\}$ . It is easy to see that  $D_\infty$  is a region and that  $\infty\in D_\infty$ . The boundary of this region is called the Julia set J=J(P). An equivalent definition is as follows. Let N(P) be the largest open set in  $\mathbb C$  on which the family  $\{P^n\}$  is normal. Then  $J(P)=\mathbb C\setminus N(P)$ . The Julia set is perfect and fully invariant, i.e.,  $P^{-1}(J)=J$ . Furthermore,  $J(P^n)=J$ ,  $n\in\mathbb N$ . The polynomial P has no more than m-1 nonrepulsive cycles [2]. On the other hand, the number of repulsive cycles is infinite; their union is a dense subset of J.

Let D be a region, and  $z_0 \in \partial D$ . A point  $z_0$  is called attainable (from D) if there exists a curve  $\Gamma \subseteq D$  which ends on  $z_0$ .

THEOREM 1. Repulsive periodic points of the polynomial P are attainable from  $D_{\infty}$ .

Physical and Technical Institute for Low Temperatures, Academy of Sciences of the Ukrainian SSR, Khar'kov. Translated from Ukrainskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal, Vol. 41, No. 11, pp. 1467-1471, November, 1989. Original article submitted November 23, 1987.

In the case where the set J(P) is connected, Theorem 1 was announced by Douady [2]. As far as we know, the proof was not published.

Denote by  $T_m$  a polynomial defined by the functional equation  $\cos m\omega = T_m(\cos \omega)$ . The Julia set  $J(T_m)$  is the set  $[-1,\ 1]$ . If  $R_m(z) = z^m$ , then  $J(R^m)$  is the unit circle. The polynomials  $T_m$  and  $R_m$  play an extremely important role in iteration theory  $[1,\ 3]$ .

THEOREM 2. Suppose the set J(P) is connected. Then the equation

$$|\lambda| \leqslant m^{2n}, \quad m = \deg P$$
 (1)

for the multiplicator  $\lambda$  holds for any cycle of order n. Equality is achieved in Eq. (1) if and only if P is conjugate to  $T_m$  by a linear transformation, and  $\lambda$  is the multiplicator of the endpoint of the interval J(P) (which is a fixed point).

Note that if Theorem 1 is proved, then Eq. (1) (without the case of equality) follows from Theorem 3 of Pommerenke's paper [4].

THEOREM 3. For any polynomial P of degree m, one of the following is true:

- 1) there exists a cycle of order n with multiplicator  $\lambda$ , such that  $|\lambda| > m^n$ ;
- 2) P is conjugate to R<sub>m</sub> by a linear transformation.

Note that it is enough to prove Theorems 1 and 2 for fixed points, i.e., cycles of order 1.

The proof of Theorems 1 and 2 is cased on the study of the entire function introduced by Poincaré. Suppose  $P(z_0) = z_0$ ,  $P'(z_0) = \lambda$ ,  $|\lambda| > 1$ . From Poincaré's theorem [1], the functional equation

$$f(\lambda z) = P(f(z)) \tag{2}$$

has an entire solution f; moreover, this solution is uniquely determined by the conditions

$$f(0) = z_0, \ f'(0) = 1.$$
 (3)

[The simplest proof of these facts (it belongs to Poincaré) goes as follows: we first determine the formal power series  $f(z) = z_0 + z + c_2 z^2 + \ldots$  satisfying Eq. (2), and then show, by a direct analysis of the coefficients, that the series converges in some neighborhood of zero; finally, we extend the function f into  $\mathbb C$  with the help of Eq. (2), taking into account that  $|\lambda| > 1$ .]

Denote by I the set of points on which the family  $\{f(\lambda^nz): n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is not normal. It is clear that  $I = f^{-1}(J)$ . Set  $D = f^{-1}(D_{\infty})$ . It follows from Eq. (2) and the full invariance of J and  $D_{\infty}$  that

$$\lambda I = I, \quad \lambda D = D.$$
 (4)

Let G be the Green's function for the region  $D_{\infty}$  with a pole in  $\infty$  which is continued by the zero function on  $\mathbb{C}\setminus D_{\infty}$ . The function G is continuous and subharmonic in  $\mathbb{C}$  and obeys the functional equation found in [2]:

$$G(P(z)) = mG(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{T}.$$
 (5)

[This property follows immediately from the evident relation  $G(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} m^{-n} \ln |P^n(z)|$ .]

The function u(z) = G(f(z)) is continuous and subharmonic in  $\mathbb{C}$ . It follows from Eqs. (2) and (5) that

$$u(\lambda z) = mu(z), z \in \mathbb{C}.$$
 (6)

Subharmonic functions satisfying Eq. (6) play an important role in the theory of entire functions (see, for example, [5]).

The order of a subharmonic function u in  $\mathbb C$  is determined by the formula  $\rho = \overline{\lim}_{r \to \infty} \ln B(r, u) / \ln r$ , where  $B(r, u) = \max \{u(z) : |z| = r\}$ . It follows from (6) that

$$\rho = \ln m / \ln |\lambda|. \tag{7}$$

According to the "subharmonic version of the Denjoy-Carleman-Ahlfors theorem" [6, Theorem 4.16], the number of connected components of the set  $\{z:u(z)>0\}$  does not exceed max  $\{2p,1\}$ . We denote these components by  $\mathbb{D}_1,\ldots,\mathbb{D}_p$ . From Eq. (4) there exists an N such that  $\lambda^N\mathbb{D}_1=\mathbb{D}_1$ . Choose a point  $\omega_0\in\mathbb{D}_1$  and connect it by a curve  $\lambda_0\subset\mathbb{D}_1$  with the point  $\lambda^{-N}\omega_0\in\mathbb{D}_1$ .

Then  $\Gamma = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-Nn} \Gamma_0 \subset D_1$  is a curve which goes to zero. Its image  $f(\Gamma) \subset D_{\infty}$  is a curve which goes to  $z_0$  by virtue of Eq. (3). This proves Theorem 1.

To prove Theorem 2, we note that if the Julia set is connected, then the set  $I=f^{-1}(J)$  contains the continuum K connecting 0 and  $\infty$ . Indeed, if this is not true, then there exists a closed Jordan curve  $\gamma$  which separates 0 and  $\infty$ ; moreover,  $\gamma \cap I=\phi$ . Let V be a neighborhood of zero on which f is bijective [this exists by virtue of Eq. (3)]. Choose V small enough so that J is not contained in f(V). Let M be a number large enough so that  $\lambda^{-M}\gamma \subset V$ . Taking Eq. (4) into account, we find  $\lambda_{-M}\gamma \cap I=\phi$ . Thus  $f(\lambda^{-M}\gamma) \cap J=\phi$  and the curve  $f(\lambda^{-M}\gamma)$  separates  $z_0$  and  $\infty$ . This contradicts the fact that the set J is connected.

A classical theorem of Wiman (see, for example, [5]) states that for a harmonic function v of order  $\rho < 1$ , the inequality

$$\overline{\lim} A(r, v)/B(r, v) \geqslant \cos \pi \rho,$$

holds, where  $A(r, v) = \inf \{v(z) : |z| = r\}$ .

Since u(z) = 0,  $z \in K$ , we have that  $A(r, u) \equiv 0$ , and hence  $\rho \ge 0.5$ . The inequality (1) (with n = 1) now follows from Eq. (7).

Suppose now that equality holds in Eq. (1). Then  $\rho=0.5$ . We show that the subharmonic function  $u \ge 0$  of order 0.5 satisfying conditions (6) and  $A(r, v) \equiv 0$  necessarily takes the form

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = cr^{1/2}\cos\frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_0), \quad |\theta| \leqslant \pi, \tag{8}$$

where c > 0 and  $\theta_0 \in [-\pi, \pi]$  are some constants. This result may be derived from [7, 8]; nonetheless, we present an independent simple proof.

The function u can be represented as [9]

$$u(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \ln \left| 1 - \frac{z}{\zeta} \right| d\mu_{\zeta},$$

where  $\mu$  is some Borel measure. Let  $n(t) = \mu\{\zeta: |\zeta\} \le t\}$ . Then  $\rho = \lim_{t \to \infty} \ln n(t) / \ln t$ . Let

$$u^*(z) = \int_0^\infty \ln \left| 1 - \frac{z}{t} \right| dn(t).$$

The subharmonic function  $u^*$  is of order  $\rho$ . We show that the measure  $\mu$  is concentrated on the ray  $\ell = \{\zeta : \arg \zeta = \theta_0\}$ . Suppose this is not so. Taking into account the fact that for fixed r > 0 the quantity  $\ln |1 - re^{i\theta}|$  has a strict minimum for  $\theta = 0$ , we obtain

$$u^*(r) = A(r, u^*) < A(r, u) = 0, r > 0.$$

Then it follows from Eq. (6) that  $u^*(|\lambda|z) = mu^*(z)$ , and hence

$$\overline{\lim}_{r\to\infty} A(r, u^*)/B(r, u^*) < 0.$$

This contradicts Wiman's theorem.

Thus the measure  $\mu$  is concentrated on some ray  $\ell$ , and the function u is harmonic in  $\mathbb{C}\setminus \ell$ . Since  $u\geq 0$ , the inequality u>0 holds in  $\mathbb{C}\setminus \ell$ . Moreover, u=0 on  $\ell$ , since  $A(r,u)\equiv 0$ . Thus, u has the form of Eq. (8).

From this it follows that I is a ray. Since  $I = f^{-1}(J)$ , there exists a circle V such that  $J \cap V$  is an analytic curve. Then it follows from Fatou's theorem [1, p. 225] that the

polynomial P is conjugate to either  $T_{\rm m}$  or  $R_{\rm m}$ . The latter case is eliminated by direct checking. Theorem 2 is proved.

Remark. Let G be a region, and let  $z_0 \in \partial G$  be an attainable boundary point. Two curves  $\Gamma_1$ ,  $\Gamma_2 \subset G$  ending on the point  $z_0$  are called equivalent if there exists a sequence of curves  $\gamma_n \subset G$ ,  $\gamma_n \to z_0$  connecting  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ . From the results of Douady [2, Sec. 6, Lemma 1], it follows that if the Julia set is connected, then there exists a finite number of classes of equivalent curves in  $D_\infty$  which end on the periodic point  $z_0$ . It is possible to show that the number p of these classes is equal to the number of connected components of the set  $D = \{z: u(z) > 0\}$ . Applying the Denjoy-Carleman-Ahlfors theorem, we find  $p \leq 2p$ . From Eq. (7) we find that  $|\lambda| \leq m^2/p$  for a fixed point, or  $|\lambda| \leq m^{2n/p}$  for a cycle of order n. More delicate arguments show that the equality in these estimates is possible in only two cases: 1) p = 1; p = 1;

We now go to the proof of Theorem 3. Without loss of generality, we can assume that the leading coefficient of the polynomial P is equal to 1. This is always possible to achieve by conjugating with a linear function which does not change the multiplicators. We will need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let

$$c = c(A) = \sum_{P(z) = A} P'(z).$$

Then c does not depend on A. Moreover,

$$\sum_{p^n(z)=A} (P^n)'(z) = c^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{9}$$

$$\sum_{p^{n}(z)=z} (P^{n})'(z) = m^{n}(m^{n}-1) + c^{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$m = \deg P.$$
(10)

Proof. From the residue theorem

$$c(A) - c(B) = \int_{|z|=r} \left\{ \frac{(P')^2}{P - A} - \frac{(P')^2}{P - B} \right\} dz,$$

where r is sufficiently large. The expression in the integral is  $O(z^{-2})$ ,  $z \to \infty$ ; hence c(A) = c(B). We prove Eq. (9) by induction:

$$\sum_{pk+1(z)=A} (P^{k+1})'(z) = \sum_{pk(\omega)=A} (P^k)'(\omega) \sum_{P(z)=\omega} P'(z) = c \sum_{P^k(\omega)=A} (P^k)'(\omega).$$

In view of Eq. (9), it is enough to prove Eq. (10) for n = 1. Then Eq. (10) follows from the fact that the residue of the function

$$\frac{P'(z)(P(z)-z)'}{P(z)-z} = \frac{(P')^2(z)}{P(z)}$$

in the point  $\infty$  is equal to m(m-1). The lemma is proved.

<u>LEMMA 2.</u> Suppose all the fixed points of the polynomial P, with the possible exception of one, have multiplicators equal to  $m = \deg P$ . Then P is conjugate to  $R_m$ .

<u>Proof.</u> By conjugating with the function z + a, we make the exceptional point be equal to 0. From the hypotheses of the lemma, it follows that P(z) - z = z/m(P'(z) - m). Solving this differential equation with the boundary condition P(0) = 0, we find that  $P = R_m$ .

LEMMA 3. Let c,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . If Re $(\lambda^n)$  > Re $(c^n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\lambda > 0$ .

<u>Proof.</u> The cases  $c\lambda = 0$ ,  $\arg c = \pm \pi/2$ ,  $\arg \lambda = \pm \pi/2$  are easily eliminated. There exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that infinitely many points  $c^n$  lie within the angle  $\{z : |\arg z| \le \pi/2 - \delta\}$ .

This implies that  $|\lambda| \ge |c|$ . If arg  $\lambda \ne 0$ , then infinitely many points  $\lambda^n$  lie within some angle of the form  $\{z: |\arg z - \pi| \le \pi/2 - \delta\}$ . Thus  $|\lambda| = |c|$ . Setting  $\theta_1 = \arg \lambda$ ,  $\theta_2 = \arg \lambda$ argc, we obtain

$$\cos n\theta_1 > \cos n\theta_2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (11)

In particular,  $\cos 2n\theta_1 > \cos 2n\theta_2$ , which implies

$$\cos^2 n\theta_1 > \cos^2 n\theta_2$$
,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . (12)

It follows from Eqs. (11) and (12) that  $\cos n\theta_1 > 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $\theta_1 = 0$ , which is shat was needed.

We now finish the proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that the moduli of the multiplicators of all cycles do not exceed mn, where n is the order of the cycle.

Let  $\lambda$  be the multiplicator of any fixed point. Then, by assumption,

$$\operatorname{Re} \sum_{P^{n}(z)=z} (P^{n})'(z) \leqslant m^{n} (m^{n}-1) + \operatorname{Re} (\lambda^{n}); \tag{13}$$

moreover, the equality holds only if the multiplicators of all the fixed points, with the exception of one, are equal to m. Then from Lemma 2 we find that P is conjugate to  $R_{\mathrm{m}}$ . Suppose that the inequality (13) is strict for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Comparing Eqs. (13) and (10), we find that  $\text{Re}(\lambda^n) > \text{Re}(c^n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From Lemma 3,  $\lambda > 0$ . This holds for all fixed points. If all their multiplicators are equal to m, we apply Lemma 2 again. Suppose that the equation  $\lambda_i < m-\epsilon$ , i=1, 2,  $\epsilon > 0$  holds for two multiplicators. Choose a sequence  $n_k$  such that  $\text{Re}\,(c^{n_k}) \geq 0$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is satisfied. By virtue of Eq. (10), we find that either  $m^{n_k}(m^{n_k}-1)$ 

 $1\leqslant \sum \operatorname{Re}{(\boldsymbol{P}^{n_k})'(\boldsymbol{z})} \leqslant m^{n_k}(m^{n_k}-2) + 2\left(m-\epsilon\right)^{n_k} \text{or } m^{n_k} \leq 2(m-\epsilon)^{n_k}, \text{ which is impossible.}$  $p^{n_k}(z)=z$ 

3 is proved.

Remark. Suppose P(z) is a polynomial of degree m ≥ 2 whose Julia set is connected. Denote by K(P) the lower bound of those x > 0, for which the inequality  $|\lambda| \le m^{nx}$  is satisfied for multiplicators  $\lambda$  of all cycles of order  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have proved that  $1 \le K(P) \le 2$ . By the method of extremal lengths it is possible to prove the strict inequality K(P) < 2for the case in which the mapping  $P : J(P) \rightarrow J(P)$  is hyperbolic [3].

## LITERATURE CITED

- P. Fatou, "Mémoire sur les équations fonctionnelles," Bull. Soc. Math. Fr., 47, 161-271; 48, 33-94, 208-314 (1919).
- A. Douady, "Systèmes dynamiques holomorphes," Séminaire Bourbaki, 35e année, 599, 1-25 2. (1982/83).
- M. Yu. Lyubich, "Dynamics of rational transformations: the topological picture," Usp. 3. Mat. Nauk, 41, No. 4, 35-95 (1986).
- C. Pommerenke, "On conformal mappings and iteration of rational functions," Complex Variables, 5, Nos. 2-4, 117-126 (1986).
- B. Kjellberg, "On certain integral and harmonic functions," Dissertation, Uppsala (1948). 5.
- 6. W. K. Hayman and P. B. Kennedy, Subharmonic Functions, Academic Press, New York (1976).
- A. Edrei, "Extremal problems of the cos πρ type," J. D'anal. Math., 29, 19-66 (1976). 7.
- D. Drasin and D. Shea, "Convolution inequalities, regular variation, and exceptional
- sets," J. D'anal. Math., 29, 232-292 (1976).
  V. S. Azarin, "Concerning the asymptotic behavior of subharmonic functions of finite order," Mat. Sb., 108, No. 2, 147-167 (1979).

TWO

desig type

direc the e A, Aa the p We no: edges respec

The in ray to a Pfaf

The equ equatio

Let the movi case, th ω14, ω13 form

The exter

In the fir ted [1, 2]

Kiev 41, No. 11