

Lecture 14.1

Reading: §3 of Ch. 17, thru Exercise 17.29.

Practice: 17.22, 17.24, 17.29

Homework: ~~17-8~~ 17-8, ~~17-13~~ 17-13.

Today: describe a tool for computing de Rham cohomology via "cut and paste". Called Mayer-Vietoris sequence, use it in several applications.

Def A sequence of vector spaces and linear maps

$$\cdots \rightarrow V^{p-1} \xrightarrow{F_{p-1}} V^p \xrightarrow{F_p} V^{p+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

is exact if for each p

$$\text{Im } F_{p-1} = \text{Ker } F_p.$$

Thm 17.20 (Mayer-Vietoris) Let M be a smooth manifold, $U, V \subseteq M$ open with $M = U \cup V$. Then there exists maps δ making an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^{p-1}(U \cap V) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_{\text{dR}}^p(M) \xrightarrow{k^* \oplus l^*} H_{\text{dR}}^p(U) \oplus H_{\text{dR}}^p(V) \xrightarrow{i^* - j^*} H_{\text{dR}}^p(U \cup V) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & i & \rightarrow & U & \hookrightarrow & M \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ U \cap V & \hookrightarrow & & & & & \\ & & j & \rightarrow & V & \hookrightarrow & M \\ & & & & l & & \end{array} \quad \text{inclusions.}$$

We are going to prove this. In particular we're not going to explain ~~what~~ how δ is defined. Nevertheless, this theorem is extremely useful!

Thm For $n \geq 1$,

$$H_{\text{DR}}^p(S^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } p=0 \text{ or } p=n \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof S^n connected ($n \geq 1$) $\Rightarrow H_{\text{DR}}^0(S^n) \cong \mathbb{R}$ ✓
~~otherwise~~ For $p \geq 1$, we'll use induction + Mayer-Vietoris.
on n

~~For~~ For $n=1$, we know $H_{\text{DR}}^1(S^1)$ has dimension at least 1. In fact, Thm 17.17 $\Rightarrow H_{\text{DR}}^1(S^1) \cong \mathbb{R}$.

Suppose the theorem has been proved for S^{n-1} . Let $U, V \subseteq S^n$ be domains of two stereographic charts. Then

$$U \cap V \cong \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\} \simeq S^{n-1}. \text{ Apply M-V:}$$

$$H^p(U) \oplus H^p(V) \rightarrow H^{p-1}(S^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^p(S^n) \rightarrow H^p(U) \oplus H^p(V) \rightarrow H^p(S^{n-1})$$

$\parallel \downarrow$ $\parallel \downarrow$
 $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$
 $0 \swarrow \quad \searrow 0$

By exactness, if $p \geq 2$, d is an isomorphism

$$0 \oplus 0 \rightarrow H^{p-1}(S^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^p(S^n) \rightarrow 0 \oplus 0 \quad \checkmark$$

If $p = 1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^0(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus H^0(\mathbb{R}^n) & \xrightarrow{i^* \cdot j^*} & H^0(S^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{d} & H^1(S^n) & \rightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \dots \\ \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{R} & & \mathbb{R} & & \mathbb{R} & & \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

surjective $\Rightarrow 0$ map $\Rightarrow$ injective $\Rightarrow$ $H^1(S^n) = 0$. $\square$

Note: If w is an orientation form on S^n , then $[w] \neq 0 \in H^n(S^n)$; thus $[w]$ spans $H^n(S^n) \cong \mathbb{R}$.
why? $\int_{S^n} w > 0 \Rightarrow w$ not exact (Stokes')

~~Corollary~~ In fact, we can generalize this line of reasoning to any ~~non~~ orientable manifold. Compact case easy; non-compact a little harder, and we'll discuss. But this step requires some care w/ noncompactness. More details on Wednesday. For now, two Corollaries:

Corollary 17.23 If $n \geq 2$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$H^p(\mathbb{R}^n - \{x\}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & p=0 \text{ or } p=n-1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

a closed $n-1$ form η on $\mathbb{R}^n - \{x\}$ is exact iff $\int_S \eta = 0$ for some (hence every) sphere $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ centered at x .

Corollary 17.25 If $n \geq 2$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $x \in U$, then $H^{n-1}(U - \{x\}) \neq 0$.

Big Theorem!

Theorem 17.26 The dimension of a topological manifold is a homeomorphism invariant.

Proof Let M^m, N^n be topological manifolds. If $m=0$ or $n=0$, easy. So assume $m, n \geq 1$, let $U \subseteq M$ be open, homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^m$. $F: M \rightarrow N$ a homeomorphism.

Let $x \in M$ with an open neighborhood $U \ni x$ homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^m$. We can use this homeo to make U a smooth m -manifold, and therefore define de Rham cohomology on U . But de Rham cohomology is a homeomorphism invariant, hence, in particular

$$F: M^m \xrightarrow{\sim} N^n$$

Pick $x \in N$.

Can find $V \subseteq M^m$ and $U \subseteq N^n$ with $F^{-1}(U) \subseteq V$,
 $U \cong \mathbb{R}^n$, $V \cong \mathbb{R}^m$. ~~Since $m > n$~~

$$H_{dR}^{m-1}(U - \{x\}) \cong H_{dR}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\})$$

Use to make U a smooth n -manifold $\cong \mathbb{R}^n$

Use to make V a smooth m -manifold $\subseteq \mathbb{R}^m (\cong V)$

On one hand, b/c $m > n$ and $U - \{x\} \cong \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$

$$H_{dR}^{m-1}(U - \{x\}) \cong H_{dR}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}) = 0 \quad \nwarrow 17.23$$

On other hand, b/c U homeomorphic to an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$

$$H_{dR}^{m-1}(U - \{x\}) \neq 0 \quad \square$$

17.25