## CS 593/MA 592 - Intro to Quantum Computing Spring 2024

Tuesday, April 23 - Lecture 15.1
Scribe: Wenbo [Note: not proofread by Eric]
Reading: Section 10.6 Nielsen and Chuang

## 1 Computing Rate and Distance of Toric Code

### 1.1 Toric Code

Let's start with a square $n \times n$ grid on a torus.


Figure 1: A torus

Rather than drawing the daunt-shaped figure shown in ??, we cut it open to a square with periodic boundary conditions.


- Vertex
- qubit


Figure 2: Cut a Torus open to a square with periodic boundary conditions. The lines with an arrow represent the cut
For each edge, we put a qubit on it. Therefore, there are a total of $2 n^{2}$ qubits. For each vertex $v$, we label the 4 qubits around it with $v_{N}, v_{W}, v_{E}, v_{S}$ like in ??


Figure 3: One way to label vertices around a vertex $v$
Then, we define a vertex stabilizer $X_{v}$ for the vertex $v$ as the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{v}=X_{v_{N}} X_{v_{E}} X_{v_{S}} X_{v_{W}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each plaquette $P$, we label qubits around it similarly like the ?? below


Figure 4: One way to label vertices around plaquette $P$

Again, we define a plaquette stabilizer $Z_{P}$ for the plaquette $P$ as the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{P}=Z_{P_{N}} Z_{P_{E}} Z_{P_{S}} Z_{P_{W}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.1 (Toric Code). Toric code on $2 n^{2}$ qubits is the stabilizer code $C_{n}$ with stabilizer generators

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=\left\{X_{v}, Z_{P} \mid v \text { vertex, } P \text { plaquette in } n \times n \text { grid on torus }\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{\nu}$ and $Z_{P}$ are defined in ?? and ??, respectively.
Example 1.2 (Toric Code). The ?? is a square $4 \times 4$ grid on a torus. Then, $X_{v}$ for some vertex $v$ and $Z_{P}$ for some plaquette $P$ can be visualized by the following diagram


Figure 5: $Z_{P}$ and $X_{v}$

### 1.2 Rate and Distance of Toric Code

Theorem 1.3. Toric code (??) $C_{n} \leq\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{\otimes\left(2 n^{2}\right)}$ is $a\left[\left[2 n^{2}, 2, n\right]\right]$ quantum error correction code.
Definition 1.4 (String and Dual String). A string $\gamma$ is any connected path of edge in torus. A dual string $\widehat{\gamma}$ is any connected path in "dual" torus. (It is basically a path connecting some plaquettes)

Definition 1.5 (String Operators). Let $\gamma$ be a string defined in ??. The string operator $Z_{\gamma}$ is the Pauli operation formed by applying $Z$ 's along every qubit on the edges of $\gamma$.

Similarly, let $\widehat{\gamma}$ be a dual string. The string operator $X_{\widehat{\gamma}}$ is the Pauli operator formed by applying $X$ 's to qubits along the edges that $\widehat{\gamma}$ acrosses.
Lemma 1.6. The string operators $X_{\widehat{\gamma}}$ and $Z_{\gamma}$ generate the Pauli group $G_{2 n^{2}}$ up the phases
Proof of ??. Let $X_{\widehat{\gamma}}, Z_{\gamma}$ be string operators for strings of length 1 . Clearly, these operators act on individual qubits on the torus. Therefore, they generate the group $G_{2 n^{2}}$.

Definition 1.7 (Closed String). A string $\gamma$ (or dual string $\widehat{\gamma}$ ) is closed if it gives a path without endpoints.
Lemma 1.8. A string operators $X_{\widehat{\gamma}}$ or $Z_{\gamma}$ commutes with every stabilizer generator in $S_{n}($ ??) if and only if $\gamma$ or $\widehat{\gamma}$ are closed.

Proof of ??. Consider $X_{\widehat{\gamma}}$. Suppose $\widehat{\gamma}$ is not closed. Then, there must exist different plaquettes $P_{0} \neq P_{1}$ such that they are at the end of $\widehat{\gamma}$.


Figure 6: An example of $\widehat{\gamma}$ (green line)
Then, let's show $\left[X_{\widehat{\gamma}}, Z_{P_{0}}\right] \neq I$. For the sake of clarity, let's label the qubits in the following way


Figure 7: Labelled Qubits around the Plaquettes $P_{0}$
Then, by definition of the string operator and $Z_{P_{0}}$, we know

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{\widehat{\gamma}} Z_{P_{0}} & =(\underbrace{\cdots}_{X} \quad X_{5} X_{4})\left(Z_{1} Z_{2} Z_{3} Z_{4}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& =X_{4}\left(Z_{1} Z_{2} Z_{3} Z_{4}\right)\left(\cdots X_{5}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& =-\left(Z_{1} Z_{2} Z_{3} Z_{4}\right) X_{4}\left(\cdots X_{5}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& =-Z_{P_{0}} X_{\widehat{\gamma}} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, for a string $\gamma$ (a string in the torus, not a dual string). If $\gamma$ is not closed, then $Z_{\gamma}$ does not commute with the $X_{v}$ 's for the $v$ 's at the end of $\gamma$.


Figure 8: a $\gamma$ string (purple line)

Conversely, suppose the string $\gamma$ is closed.


Figure 9: An example of closed string $\gamma$ (Purple Line)

Need to show $\left[Z_{\gamma}, X_{\nu}\right]=\left[Z_{\gamma}, Z_{P}\right]=I .\left[Z_{\gamma}, Z_{P}\right]=I$ is trivial. So, it suffices to show $\left[Z_{\gamma}, X_{\nu}\right]=I$. Again, let's label the qubits around some vertex $v$ on the path $\gamma$ as the following


Figure 10: Labelled Qubits around the Vertex $v$


Figure 11: $\gamma$ is a closed string, and it is a boundary of the union of four plaquettes in the middle. $\hat{\gamma}$ is a closed string, but it is not a boundary of any union of plaquettes

Then, again, by the definition of the string operator and $X_{v}$, we know

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{\gamma} X_{v} & =(\underbrace{\cdots}_{Z^{\prime} \text { sar away from } v} Z_{6} Z_{5} Z_{2} Z_{1})\left(X_{1} X_{2} X_{3} X_{4}\right)  \tag{8}\\
& =\left(Z_{2} Z_{1}\right)\left(X_{1} X_{2} X_{3} X_{4}\right)\left(\cdots Z_{6} Z_{5}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& =-Z_{2}\left(X_{1} X_{2} X_{3} X_{4}\right)\left(\cdots Z_{6} Z_{5} Z_{1}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& =(-1)^{2}\left(X_{1} X_{2} X_{3} X_{4}\right)\left(\cdots Z_{6} Z_{5} Z_{1} Z_{2}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& =X_{v} Z_{\gamma} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

We can use similar arguments for closed dual string $\widehat{\gamma}$
Lemma 1.9. An element $g \in G_{2 n^{2}}$ commutes with every element of $S_{n}$ if and only if $g$ is a composition of closed string operators. In other words, closed string operators generate the group of logical Pauli operators on the toric code $C_{n}$, where logical Pauli operators are the Paulis commute with all stabilizers

Sketched Proof of ??. The "if" direction directly follows the previous lemma.
To complete the proof, we only need to check the "only if" direction. First of all, one needs to check "if $g$ does not commute with some plaqutte $Z_{P_{0}}$, then there exists a different plaquette $P_{1} \neq P_{0}$ such that $g$ does not commute with $Z_{P_{1}}$ either."

Similarly, if $g$ does not commute with some $X_{v_{0}}$, then there must exist some $v_{1} \neq v_{0}$ such that $g$ does not commute with $X_{v_{1}}$ either.

Using these facts, one can show $g$ is not a composition of closed string operators.
Remember, our goal is to show $\operatorname{dim} C_{n}=4=2^{2}$ and $\operatorname{dist}\left(C_{n}\right)=n$. To show $\operatorname{dim} C_{n}=4=2^{2}$, it suffices to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi\left(\left\langle S_{n}\right\rangle\right)^{\perp} / \pi\left(\left\langle S_{n}\right\rangle\right) \simeq \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.10 (Boundary). A string $\gamma$ is a boundary if it is closed and can be identified as the boundary of the union of some plaquettes.

Example 1.11 (??). Let's consider the following two closed strings $\gamma, \gamma$ (purple line and light blue line, respectively)
Lemma 1.12. A closed string operation $Z_{\gamma}$ acts non-trivially on $C_{n}$ if and only if $\gamma$ is not a boundary
Theorem 1.13. A group of non-trivial Pauli logical errors is generated by the following 4 string operations


Figure 12: $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ are some closed strings (light blue lines). $\widehat{\gamma}_{1}, \widehat{\gamma}_{2}$ are some closed dual strings (purple lines)
Corollary 1.14. The smallest non-trivial Pauli logical error on $C_{n}$ requires us to apply a cycle of $X$ 's or $Z$ 's that wind all the way around the torus. The smallest such thing involves $n$ qubits. Therefore, the distance of the code is $n$. In particular, $C_{n}$ encodes 2 logical qubits.

Can we generalize toric code to encode more logical qubits? The answer is yes, but we need a higher genus surface. Here are two examples


Figure 13: Double Torus and Triple Torus. They encode 4 and 6 logical qubits, respectively. In general, $n$-ple torus encodes $2 n$ logical qubits

