ON A THEOREM OF HORMANDER

A. M. Gabrielov

In his paper at the Tokiiskoi conference of 1969, L. Hormander proved that for an arbitrary differential polynomial P(D) in \mathbb{R}^n there exists a fundamental solution having a singularity in some cone $K^*(P)$ (defined in paragraph 1). If P is a homogeneous polynomial and grad $P(x) \neq 0$ for P(x) = 0, $x \neq 0$, then the cone $K^*(P)$ is simply the inverse cone to the cone defined by the roots of the polynomial P. For the general case Hormander indicates that the geometrical meaning of $K^*(P)$ is not only vaguely understood, but it is not even known whether or not $K^*(P)$ differs from the entire space (i.e., one wishes to prove an inclusion theorem). In this paper we prove that $K^*(P)$ is contained in some cone $X^*(P)$, dim $X^*(P) \leq n$, which constitutes a semi-algebraic set in \mathbb{R}^n . The cone $X^*(P)$ is constructed by means of a regular Whitney stratification dependent on the asymptotic behavior of the roots of the polynomial P at infinity.

1. DEFINITION OF K*(P)

Let \mathbf{P}_n^k be the linear space of all polynomials of order not greater than k in \mathbb{R}^n , $P \in \mathbb{P}_n^k$, $P \not\equiv 0$. Let $\mathbf{P}(\alpha)$ be the derivative of P of order $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ and $\widetilde{P}(x) = \left(\sum_{\alpha} |P^{(\alpha)}(x)|^2\right)^{1/2}$. Let L(P) be the set of all polynomials $Q \in \mathbb{P}_n^k$, for which a sequence η_i exists such that $\lim_{i \to \infty} |\eta_i| = \infty$ and $\lim_{i \to \infty} P(x + \eta_i)/\widetilde{P}(\eta_i) = Q(x)$. (Using a theorem of Tarskii and Zaidenberg (see [1]) it is easily shown that it suffices to examine the limits along semi-algebraic curves.*) Now let $Q \in L(P)$. We denote by $\Lambda'(Q)$ the orthogonal complement to the space $\Lambda(Q) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n | Q(x+y) \equiv Q(x)\}$ of variables of which Q is independent. Then $K^*(P) = \bigcup_{Q \in L(P)} \Lambda'(Q)$.

2. THE REGULAR STRATIFICATION OF WHITNEY

An algebraic variety in C^n is a set of the form $X\setminus Y$, where X and Y are algebraic sets.

Definition 2.1 (Whitney [2]). Let M be an algebraic variety in \mathbb{C}^n , and M' a non-singular sub-variety in $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$. The pair (M, M') is said to be a-regular if the following condition is met:

(a). Let x_0 be any point in M' and $T_{X_0}(M')$ be the tangent plane to M' at the point x_0 . Let $x_i \to x_0$ be a sequence of simple points of the set M and $\lim_{i \to \infty} T_{x_i}(M) = T$. Then $T \supset T_{X_0}(M')$.

THEOREM 2.2 (Whitney [2]). Let X be an algebraic variety in \mathbb{C}^n , X' an algebraic sub-variety in \overline{X} . Then there exists an algebraic sub-variety $S \subseteq X'$, dim $S \le M$, such that $(X, X' \setminus S)$ is an a-regular pair.

<u>Definition 2.3.</u> Let X be an algebraic variety in C^n . A decomposition of X into non-self-intersecting subsets X_i ("striations") is called a stratification of the set X, if

- 1) Each Xi is a connected algebraic variety;
- 2) If $\overline{X_i} \cap X_i \neq \emptyset$ then $\overline{X_i} \supset X_i$.

COROLLARY 2.4 (Whitney [2]). Let $X \subseteq C^n$ be an algebraic set. Then there exists a stratification $\{X_i\}$ of the set X (an α -regular stratification in the sense of Whitney) such that if $X_i \supseteq X_j$, then the pair (X_i, X_j) is α -regular.

*A "semi-algebraic curve" in \mathbb{R}^n is a continuous mapping λ : (0, 1] $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, whose graph is a semi-algebraic set.

Moscow State University. Translated from Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya, Vol. 4, No. 2, pp. 18-22, April-June, 1970. Original article submitted December 18, 1969.

©1970 Consultants Bureau, a division of Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, N. Y. 10011. All rights reserved. This article cannot be reproduced for any purpose whatsoever without permission of the publisher. A copy of this article is available from the publisher for \$15.00.

3. THE CASE OF A HOMOGENEOUS POLYNOMIAL

Assume that the space C^n is embedded in CP^n , and that the infinite hyperplane for $CP^{n-1} \subset CP^n$ is identified with the set of complex lines in C^n passing through 'he origin.

Let $y \in R^m$ (respectively C^n); we will set $R_y = \{x \in R^m | x = \alpha y, \alpha \in R\}$ (respectively $C_y = \{z \in C^n | z = \alpha y, \alpha \in C\}$).

If X is a set in C^n , then we denote by p(X) the set $\overline{X} \cap CP^{n-1}$, where \overline{X} is the closure in CP^n . If X' is a set in CP^{n-1} , then we denote by k(X') the set $\{z \in C^n | z \neq 0, C_z \in X'\}$.

The set of simple points of an algebraic variety X is denoted by X_{Sp} , while the set of singular points is denoted by X_{Sg} ,

Let P be a homogeneous polynomial in \mathbb{R}^n , $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ the cone of its complex roots. Let X' = p(X), $\{X_j^i\}$ an a-regular stratification of the set X', $X_j = k(X^i)$. Then $\{X_j\}$ is an a-regular stratification of the set $X \setminus \{0\}$. Let $Y_{0,j} = X_j \cap \mathbb{R}^d$, $Y_{l,j} = (Y_{l-1,j})_{sg}$, $X_{l,j} = Y_{l,j} \setminus Y_{l+1,j}$. We will set $NX_{l,j} = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists x \in X_{l,j} : z \perp T_x(X_{l,j})\}$. It is easily proved that \overline{NX}_l , j is a semi-algebraic set in \mathbb{R}^n , dim $X_{i,j} \leq n$. Let us set $X^*(P) = \bigcup_{l,j} \overline{NX_{l,j}}$.

THEOREM 3.1. $X^*(P) \supset K^*(P)$.

The following lemma, which is necessary for our work, will be stated here without proof.

LEMMA 3.2. Let λ : $s \to Q(s)$, $s \in [0, 1]$ be a semi-algebraic curve in P_n^k , $Q(0) \neq 0$. Let Y_s be the set of complex roots of the polynomial Q(s) and $y \in (Y_0)_{sp}$. Then there exist sequences $s_i \to 0$, $y_i \to y$ such that $y_i \in (Y_s)_{sp}$ and $\lim_{s \to \infty} T_y(Y_s) = T_y(Y_0)$.

Proof of the Theorem. Let $Q \in L(P)$ be some polynomial and let $\mu: x = \mu(x)$, $s \in (0, 1]$, be a semialgebraic curve such that $\lim_{s\to 0} |\mu(s)| = \infty$, $\lim_{s\to 0} P(x+\mu(s))/\tilde{P}(\mu(s)) = Q(x)$. Assume that for $s\to 0$ the ray $R\mu(s)$ tends in direction to that of some vector x_0 , $||x_0|| = 1$. Let $(y' = (y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}), y_n)$ be coordinates in C^n , such that $x_0 = (0, \ldots, 0, 1)$. Let $\mu': y' = \mu'(s)$ be the projection of the curve μ into the sub-subspace $\{y_n = 1\}$ with center at 0. Clearly, $\lim_{s\to 0} \mu'(s) = x_0$. Let $x_0 \in X_{l,j}$. We will show that for any simple point y the set $Y = \{z \in C^n | Q(z) = 0\}$ of the space $T_y(Y)$ contains $T_{X_0}(X_{l,j})$. Hence, it will at once follow that $T_{X_0}(X_{l,j}) \subset \Lambda(Q)$ and hence $\Lambda'(Q) \subseteq NX_{l,j} \subseteq X^*(P)$.

Let $T=T_y(Y)$. By virtue of Lemma 3.2 it is possible to find some sequences $s_i\to 0$, $y^i\to y$, where y^i is a simple point of the set $Y_i=\{z\in C^n|P(z+\mu(s_i))/\widetilde{P}(\mu(s_i))=0\}$ such that $\lim_{i\to\infty}T_{y^i}(Y_i)=T$. Let x_i be the projection of the point $\mu(s_i)+y^i$ onto $\{y_n=1\}$ with center at 0. Then x_i is a simple point of the set X, $\lim_{i\to\infty}x_i=x_0$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty}T_{x_i}(X)=T$. But by the definition of an a-regular stratification $T\supset T_{X_0}(X_j)$, which completes the proof.

4. THE GENERAL CASE; STRATIFICATION AT INFINITY

In this paragraph we denote by G(n,p) the Grassman variety of all p-dimensional linear subspaces of C^n . If $M \in G(n,p)$, $N \in G(n,q)$ is a subspace in C^n , we will denote by $\rho(M,N)$ the term $\max_{x \in M} \min_{y \in N} \sqrt{1 - \frac{|\langle x,y \rangle|^2}{|x|^2 |y|^2}}$. We note that the graphs of the functions $\rho(M,N)$ are semi-algebraic sets in $G(n,p) \times G(n,q) \times R$ and that $\rho(M,N)=0$ $M \subseteq N$. Moreover, if p=q, then ρ is the usual metric in G(n,p). An analogous definition is given in the real case.

LEMMA 4.1. Let $R^m = M \oplus N$, and x' (respectively x") be the coordinates in M (respectively in N). Let $\lambda: (x', x'') = (\lambda'(s), \lambda''(s))$, $s \in (0, 1]$ be some semi-algebraic curve in $R^m \setminus M$ such that $\lambda''(s) = 0$ ($|\lambda'(s)|$) for $s \to 0$ and $\lim_{s \to 0} \lambda''(s) \neq 0$. Let $l_{\lambda}(s)$ be the tangent line to λ at $(\lambda'(s), \lambda''(s))$. Then $\rho(l_{\lambda}(s), M) < c\rho(R_{\lambda}(s), M)$ for $s \to 0$, where 0 < c < 1.

<u>Proof.</u> The assertion is easily reduced to the case dim M = dim N = 1. It can be assumed that $\lambda'(s) \to +\infty$ for $s \to 0$. Then for sufficiently large x' we may assume that $\lambda:x'' = \lambda(x')$, where $\lambda(x') = o(x')$ for $x' \to +\infty$ and $\lim_{x' \to +\infty} \lambda(x') \neq 0$. Let $x'' = \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} c_i x'^{-i/k}$ be the Poissee expansion of the curve λ for $x' \to +\infty$. Then $k \le i_0 \le 0$.

We now find that $\rho(R_{\lambda(x')}, M) \sim |\lambda(x')/x'| \sim |c_{i_0}|x^{-(i_0+k)/k}, \rho(l_{\lambda}(x'), M) \sim |d\lambda(x')/dx'| \sim -\frac{i_0}{k}|c_{i_0}|$. $x'^{-(i_0+k)/k}$ for $i_0 \neq 0$, $\rho(l_{\lambda}(x'), M) = o(x'^{-(i_0+k)/k})$ with $i_0 = 0$, whence the assertion of the lemma follows.

Definition 4.2. Let X be an algebraic variety in C^n , and Y' a non-singular sub-variety in p(X), Y = k(Y'). The pair (X, Y) is called a_{∞} -regular if the following condition is valid:

 (a_{∞}) . Let y_0 be any point in Y and let x_i be some sequence of simple points of the set X, such that $\lim_{t\to\infty}|x_i|=\infty$, $\lim_{t\to\infty}\mathbf{C}_{x_i}=\mathbf{C}_{y_0}$, and $\lim_{t\to\infty}T_{x_i}(X)=T$. Then $T \supseteq \mathrm{Ty}_0(Y)$.

We note that condition (a_{∞}) is weaker than the requirement of Whitney regularity of the pair (X, Y') in \mathbb{CP}^n . Example: $X = \{x - xy = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$, $Y' = \{x = 0\} \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$. Here the pair (X, Y') is Whitney regular but not a_{∞} -regular.

THEOREM 4.3. Let X be an algebraic variety in C^n , and Y' an algebraic sub-variety in p(X), Y = k(Y'). Then there exists some algebraic sub-variety $S' \subseteq Y'$, dim $S' < \dim Y'$, such that the pair $(X, Y \setminus k(S'))$ is a_{∞} -regular

Proof. Let dim X = r and let Z = $\{x, T | x \in X_{Sp}, T = T_X(X)\}$ be a set in $C^n \times G(n, r)$. It is easily proved that the closure \overline{Z} of the set Z in $CP^n \times G(n, r)$ is an algebraic set. Let $Z' = \overline{Z} \cap (CP^{n-1} \times G(n, r))$. Let $Z'' = \{y, T | y \in Y'_{Sp}, T \supset T_y(Y)\} \subset CP^{n-1} \times G(n, r)$ (here $T_y(Y)$ denotes the tangent plane to Y at the point $z : C_Z = y$).

It is easily proved that \overline{Z}^n is an algebraic set. Let $\pi: \mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \times G(n, r) \to \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ be a projection operator and $S' = (\overline{\pi(Z' \setminus \overline{Z}^n)} \cup Y'_{Sg}) \cap Y'$. Then S' is an algebraic sub-variety in Y', the pair $(X, Y \setminus k(S'))$ is a_{∞} -regular, and it suffices to prove that dim $S' \leq \dim Y'$.

The standard method of hyperplane cross sections leads to a reduction of the problem to the case dim Y' = 1. We will assume now that dim Y' = dim S' = 1. Then there exists a semi-algebraic curve $\eta \subset Z' \setminus Z''$, which under the projection π is mapped in a one-to-one fashion onto some curve $\mu \subset Y'_{SP}$. For $y \in \mu$ we will write $T_V : (y, T_V) \in \eta$.

Let $y_0 \in \mu$ and assume that

$$W^{1} = \{x \in X_{sp} \mid \exists y \in \mu : \rho(\mathbf{C}_{x}, y) < (\rho(\mathbf{C}_{x}, y_{0}))^{2}, \rho(T_{x}(X), T_{y}) < \rho(\mathbf{C}_{x}, y_{0})\},$$

$$W^{2} = \{x \in X_{sp} \mid \rho(\mathbf{C}_{x}, T_{x}(X)) < (\rho(\mathbf{C}_{x}, y_{0}))^{2}\}, \quad W = W^{1} \cap W^{2}.$$

By the theorem of Tarskii and Zaidenberg it follows that W is a semi-algebraic set. We will show that W is non-empty and that $y_0 \in \overline{W}$ (the closure is taken with respect to CP^n). Let y be any point of the curve μ , $y \neq y_0$. Then the point (y, T_y) is the limit of points of the set Z in $CP^n \times G(n, r)$. Hence in X_{SP} there exists a semi-algebraic curve $\gamma : y = \gamma(s)$, $s \in (0, 1]$, such that $\lim_{s \to 0} (\gamma(s), T_{\gamma(s)}(X)) = (y, T_y)$. We find

1) $\lim_{s\to 0} \rho\left(\mathbf{C}_{\gamma(s)}, y\right) = 0$, $\lim_{s\to 0} \rho\left(T_{\gamma(s)}(X), T_y\right) = 0$, $\lim_{s\to 0} \rho\left(\mathbf{C}_{\gamma(s)}, y_c\right) = \rho\left(y, y_0\right)$, whence if s is sufficiently small $\gamma(s) \in \mathbb{W}^1$.

2) From the fact that $l_{\gamma}(s) \subset T_{\gamma(s)}$ and $\lim_{s \to 0} \rho(l_{\gamma}(s), C_{\gamma(s)}) = 0$ it follows that $\lim_{s \to 0} \rho(C_{\gamma(s)}, T_{\gamma(s)}(X)) = 0$, while if s is sufficiently small $\gamma(s) \in W^2$.

Thus, if s is sufficiently small $\gamma(s) \in W$.

Consequently, the set W is nonempty and since y can be chosen arbitrarily close to y_0 , \overline{W} $\overleftarrow{\varepsilon}$ y_0 .

Let $\lambda : y = \lambda(s)$, $s \in (0, 1]$, be a semi-algebraic curve in W such that $\lim_{s \to 0} \lambda(s) = y_0$ in \mathbb{CP}^n , and let $T'_{\lambda}(s)$ be the complex plane spanned by $C_{\lambda(s)}$ and $l_{\lambda}(s)$.

We will show that $\rho(C_{\lambda(S)}, y_0) \le K\rho$ $(l_{\lambda(S)}, C_{\lambda(S)})$ for $s \to 0$. With this aim we now set $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{n}}$, $\mathbf{M} = k(y_0)$ in the conditions of Lemma 4.1. If $\lim_{s\to 0} \lambda''(s) = 0$, then the assertion is obvious. If $\lim_{s\to 0} \lambda''(s) \neq 0$, then by applying Lemma 4.1 we obtain $\rho(l_{\lambda(S)}, y_0) \le c\rho(R_{\lambda(S)}, y_0) = c\rho(C_{\lambda(S)}, y_0)$ whence by the triangle inequality $\rho(l_{\lambda}(s), C_{\lambda(S)}) \ge (1-c)\rho(C_{\lambda(S)}, y_0)$.

Since $\lambda \in \mathbb{W}^2$, it follows that $\rho(C_{\lambda(s)}, T_{\lambda(s)}(X)) \leq K^2(\rho(l_{\lambda}(s), C_{\lambda(s)}))^2$ for $s \to 0$, while since $l_{\lambda}(s) \subset T_{\lambda(s)}(X)$, we obtain $\lim_{s \to 0} T_{\lambda(s)}(X) \supset \lim_{s \to 0} T_{\lambda(s)}$. But since $\lambda \in \mathbb{W}^1$, $\lim_{s \to 0} T_{\lambda(s)}(X) = \lim_{y \in \mu, y \to y_0} T_y = T_{y_0} \not\supset T_{y_0}(Y)$, whence

it suffices to prove that $\lim_{s\to 0} T_{\lambda}(s) = T_{y_0}(Y)$. We will examine in $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ the curve $\lambda': \lambda'(s) = C_{\mathbf{X}(S)}$. Since $\lambda \in \mathbb{W}^1$, $\rho(\lambda'(s), \mu) \leq (\rho(\lambda'(s), y_0))^2$, whence $\lim_{s\to 0} l_{\lambda'}(s) = l_{\mu}(y_0)$. Since $\lim_{s\to 0} \lambda(s) = y_0$, it follows that $\lim_{s\to 0} T_{\lambda}(s) = T_{y_0}(Y)$. The theorem is proved.

COROLLARY 4.4. Let X be an algebraic set in C^n , X' = p(X). Then there exists a stratification $\{X'_j\}$ of the set X' such that for any j the pair $(X, k(X_j))$ is a_{∞} -regular.

The corollary is easily obtained from Theorem 4.3.

Now let P be a polynomial in \mathbb{R}^n , X the set of its complex roots, X' = p(X) the set of roots of the principal part of the polynomial P. Let $\{X_j\}$ be the a_{∞} -regular stratification of Corollary 4.4, $Y_{0,j} = X_j \cap \mathbb{R}^n$, $Y_{l,j} = (Y_{l-1,j})_{SG}$ and $X_{l,j} = Y_{l,j} \setminus Y_{l+1,j}$. Then $X^*(P) = (\bigcup_{l,j} NX_{l,j})$ is a semi-algebraic set in \mathbb{R}^n and dim $X^*(P) \le n$.

THEOREM 4.5. $X^*(P) \supset K^*(P)$.

The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 3.1.

In conclusion the author wishes to express his appreciation to V. P. Palamodov for his suggestion of this problem and for his help in the course of this work.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. E. A. Gorin, "On asymptotic properties of polynomials and algebraic functions of several variables," Usp. Matem. Nauk SSSR, 16, No. 1, 91-118 (1961).
- 2. H. Whitney, "Tangents to an analytic variety," Ann. Math., 81, No. 3, 496-549 (1965).