

# THE LEADING IDEAL OF A COMPLETE INTERSECTION OF HEIGHT TWO

SHIRO GOTO, WILLIAM HEINZER, AND MEE-KYOUNG KIM

ABSTRACT. Let  $(S, \mathfrak{n})$  be a Noetherian local ring and let  $I = (f, g)$  be an ideal in  $S$  generated by a regular sequence  $f, g$  of length two. Assume that the associated graded ring  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(S)$  of  $S$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{n}$  is a UFD. We examine generators of the leading form ideal  $I^*$  of  $I$  in  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(S)$  and prove that  $I^*$  is a perfect ideal of  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(S)$ , if  $I^*$  is 3-generated. Thus, in this case, letting  $R = S/I$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{n}/I$ , if  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(S)$  is Cohen-Macaulay, then  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(S)/I^*$  is Cohen-Macaulay. As an application, we prove that if  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a one-dimensional Gorenstein local ring of embedding dimension 3, then  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$  is Cohen-Macaulay if the reduction number of  $\mathfrak{m}$  is at most 4.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**Setting 1.1.** Let  $(S, \mathfrak{n})$  be a Noetherian local ring and let  $I = (f, g)$  be an ideal in  $S$  generated by a regular sequence  $f, g$  of length two. Let  $R = S/I$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{n}/I$ . Let

$$\mathbf{R}'(\mathfrak{n}) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{n}^i t^i \subseteq S[t, t^{-1}] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{R}'(\mathfrak{m}) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{m}^i t^i \subseteq R[t, t^{-1}]$$

denote the extended Rees algebras of  $\mathfrak{n}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  respectively, where  $t$  is an indeterminate. Let

$$\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(S) = \mathbf{R}'(\mathfrak{n})/t^{-1}\mathbf{R}'(\mathfrak{n}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = \mathbf{R}'(\mathfrak{m})/t^{-1}\mathbf{R}'(\mathfrak{m}).$$

Then the canonical map  $S \rightarrow R$  induces the homomorphism  $\varphi : \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(S) \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$  of the associated graded rings. We put

$$I^* = \text{Ker}(\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(S) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)).$$

Then the ideal  $I^*$  is generated by the initial forms of elements of  $I$  and  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) \cong \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(S)/I^*$ . We assume that  $G = \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(S)$  is a UFD. Hence  $\text{ht}_G I^* = \text{grade}_G I^* = 2$ .

*Date:* July 29, 2005.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 13A30, 13C05; Secondary: 13E05, 13H15.

*Key words and phrases.* ideal of initial forms, associated graded ring, multiplicity, reduction number, Gorenstein ring, Cohen-Macaulay ring.

Shiro Goto is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Researches in Japan (C(2), No.1364044).

Mee-Kyoung Kim is supported by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (R04-2003-000-10113-0).

We are interested in determining generators for  $I^*$  and thereby obtaining conditions in order that  $\text{gr}_m(R)$  be Cohen-Macaulay. The goal of the paper is to prove Theorem 1.2, the proof of which is given in Section 2.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume notation as in Setting 1.1, so, in particular,  $\text{gr}_n(S)$  is a UFD. If  $I^*$  is 3-generated, then  $I^*$  is a perfect ideal of  $\text{gr}_n(S)$ . Therefore if  $\text{gr}_n(S)$  is Cohen-Macaulay, then  $\text{gr}_m(R) = \text{gr}_n(S)/I^*$  is Cohen-Macaulay.*

As an immediate corollary to Theorem 1.2, we have

**Corollary 1.3.** *With notation as in Setting 1.1, if  $(S, \mathfrak{n})$  is a regular local ring and  $I^*$  is 3-generated, then  $\text{gr}_m(R)$  is Cohen-Macaulay.*

In Section 3 we discuss some consequences of Theorem 1.2.

**Notation 1.4.** Let  $G = \text{gr}_n(S)$ . For each  $f \in S$  let  $o(f) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid f \in \mathfrak{n}^i\}$ , the order of  $f$ . We put

$$f^* = \begin{cases} \overline{ft^i} & \text{if } f \neq 0 \text{ and } i = o(f), \\ 0 & \text{if } f = 0 \end{cases}$$

and call it the *initial form* of  $f$ , where  $\overline{ft^i}$  denotes the image in  $G$  of  $ft^i \in \mathfrak{n}^i t^i$  in  $R'(\mathfrak{n})$ . Then for all  $f, g \in S$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} o(fg) &= o(f) + o(g), \quad (fg)^* = f^*g^*, \\ o(f + g) &\geq \min\{o(f), o(g)\}, \text{ and} \\ o(f + g) &= \min\{o(f), o(g)\} \text{ if } o(f) \neq o(g). \end{aligned}$$

With this notation the following two simple examples illustrate the situation we are considering. In both examples we let  $S = k[[x, y, z]]$  be the formal power series ring in the three variables  $x, y, z$  over a field  $k$ .

**Example 1.5.** Let  $R = k[[w^5, w^6, w^9]]$  be the subring of the formal power series ring  $k[[w]]$  and define the homomorphism  $\phi : S \rightarrow R$  of  $k$ -algebras by  $\phi(x) = w^5$ ,  $\phi(y) = w^6$ , and  $\phi(z) = w^9$ . Then the ideal  $I = \text{Ker } \phi$  is generated by  $f = z^2 - y^3$  and  $g = zy - x^3$ , whence  $R$  is a complete intersection of dimension one. We have  $\text{gr}_n(S) = k[x^*, y^*, z^*]$ ,  $f^* = z^{*2}$ , and  $g^* = z^*y^*$ . Let  $h = yf - zg = zx^3 - y^4$ . Then  $h^* = z^*x^{*3} - y^{*4}$ . Let

$$J = (f^*, g^*, h^*) = (z^{*2}, z^*y^*, z^*x^{*3} - y^{*4}) \subseteq I^*.$$

Then the Hilbert series of the graded ring  $\text{gr}_n(S)/J$  is

$$\frac{1 + 2t + t^2 + t^3}{1 - t} = 1 + 3t + 4t^2 + 5t^3 + 5t^4 + \dots + 5t^n + \dots$$

and these values are the same as those in the Hilbert series of  $\text{gr}_m(R) = \text{gr}_n(S)/I^*$ , so that  $J = I^*$ . The reduction number of  $\mathfrak{m} = (w^5, w^6, w^9)$  with respect to the principal reduction  $(w^5)$  is 3 and the relation type of  $\text{gr}_m(R)$  is 4. The ideal  $I^*$  has grade 2 and is generated by the  $2 \times 2$  minors of the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} y^* & z^* & 0 \\ -x^{*3} & -y^{*3} & z^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence, by the theorem of Hilbert-Burch [BH, Theorem 1.4.17],  $I^*$  is a perfect ideal and  $\text{gr}_n(S)/I^* = \text{gr}_m(R)$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.

**Example 1.6.** Let  $R = k[[w^6, w^7, w^{15}]]$  be the subring of the formal power series ring  $k[[w]]$  and consider the homomorphism  $\phi : S \rightarrow R$  of  $k$ -algebras defined by  $\phi(x) = w^6$ ,  $\phi(y) = w^7$ , and  $\phi(z) = w^{15}$ . Then  $I = \text{Ker } \phi$  is generated by  $f = z^2 - x^5$  and  $g = zx - y^3$ , whence  $R$  is a complete intersection of dimension one. We have  $\text{gr}_n(S) = k[x^*, y^*, z^*]$ ,  $f^* = z^{*2}$ , and  $g^* = z^*x^*$ . Let  $h = xf - zg = zy^3 - x^6$ . Then  $h^* = z^*y^{*3}$  and  $(f^*, g^*, h^*) = (z^{*2}, z^*x^*, z^*y^{*3}) \subsetneq I^*$ . The inclusion is strict, since  $\text{ht}_{\text{gr}_n(S)} I^* = 2$  and  $z^*$  is a common factor of  $f^*$ ,  $g^*$ , and  $h^*$ . We have  $o(f) = o(g) = 2$  and  $o(h) = 4$ . Let  $h_1 = xh - y^3g = y^6 - z^7 \in I$ . Then  $h_1^* = y^{*6}$ . We put

$$J = (z^{*2}, z^*x^*, z^*y^{*3}, y^{*6}) \subseteq I^*.$$

Then the Hilbert series of  $\text{gr}_n(S)/J$  is given by

$$\frac{1 + 2t + t^2 + t^3 + t^5}{1 - t} = 1 + 3t + 4t^2 + 5t^3 + 5t^4 + 6t^5 + \dots + 6t^n + \dots$$

and these values are the same as those in the Hilbert series of  $\text{gr}_m(R) = \text{gr}_n(S)/I^*$ , so that  $J = I^*$ . The reduction number of  $\mathfrak{m} = (w^6, w^7, w^{15})$  with respect to the principal reduction  $(w^6)$  is 5 and the relation type of  $\text{gr}_m(R)$  is 6. The ring  $\text{gr}_m(R)$  is not Cohen-Macaulay. This is implied by the gap in the numerator of the Hilbert series, and can be deduced also from the fact that the ideal  $I^*$  has radical  $(y^*, z^*)$  and the ideal  $I^* : z^*$  is primary with  $\sqrt{I^* : z^*} = (x^*, y^*, z^*)$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.2. We assume notation as in Setting 1.1. Let  $G = \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(S)$  and  $J = I^*$ . We choose  $f, g \in S$  so that  $I = (f, g)$  with  $a = o(f) \leq b = o(g)$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $f^* \notin NJ$  and  $g^* \notin NJ + (f^*)$ , where  $N = G_+$ . Hence the elements  $f^*, g^*$  form part of a minimal system of homogeneous generators of  $J$ . Notice that if  $\text{ht}_G(f^*, g^*) = 2$ , then the sequence  $f^*, g^*$  is  $G$ -regular whence  $J = (f^*, g^*)$ . In what follows we assume that

$$\text{ht}_G(f^*, g^*) = 1.$$

Let  $D = \text{GCD}(f^*, g^*)$  and write  $f^* = \xi D$ ,  $g^* = \eta D$ , where  $D, \xi, \eta$  are homogeneous elements of  $G$  with degree  $d > 0$ ,  $a - d$ , and  $b - d$ , respectively. Then  $\{\xi, \eta\}$  is a  $G$ -regular sequence.

We begin with Lemma 2.1 which gives some information about homogeneous elements of  $J$  that are not in the ideal  $(f^*, g^*)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in S$  and  $h = \alpha f + \beta g$ . Assume that  $h^* \notin (f^*, g^*)$ . Then*

- (1)  $o(\alpha f) = o(\beta g) < o(h)$ .
- (2)  $o(\alpha) + a = o(\beta) + b$ ,  $o(\alpha) \geq b - d$ , and  $o(\beta) \geq a - d$ .
- (3)  $\alpha^* \xi + \beta^* \eta = 0$ .

*Proof.* We have  $o(h) \geq \min\{o(\alpha f), o(\beta g)\}$ . If  $o(\alpha f) < o(\beta g)$ , then  $o(h) = o(\alpha f)$  and  $h^* = \alpha^* f^* \in (f^*)$ , which is impossible. We similarly have  $o(\alpha f) = o(\beta g)$ . Hence  $o(h) > o(\alpha f) = o(\beta g)$ , because  $h^* \notin (f^*, g^*)$ . Thus  $\alpha^* f^* + \beta^* g^* = (\alpha^* \xi + \beta^* \eta)D = 0$  whence  $\alpha^* \xi + \beta^* \eta = 0$ . Therefore, since the sequence  $\xi, \eta$  is  $G$ -regular, we get  $\alpha^* = -\varphi \eta$  and  $\beta^* = \varphi \xi$  for some homogeneous element  $\varphi$  of  $G$ . Thus  $o(\alpha) = \deg \varphi + (b - d)$  and  $o(\beta) = \deg \varphi + (a - d)$ , so that  $o(\alpha) + a = o(\beta) + b$ ,  $o(\alpha) \geq b - d$ , and  $o(\beta) \geq a - d$ , as was claimed.  $\square$

The existence of a third generator of the leading ideal  $J$  of a certain form is guaranteed by Proposition 2.2.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Assume that the local ring  $S$  is  $\mathfrak{n}$ -adically complete. Then there exist elements  $\alpha, \beta$  of  $S$  such that  $o(\alpha) = b - d$ ,  $o(\beta) = a - d$ , and  $(\alpha f + \beta g)^* \notin (f^*, g^*)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume the contrary. Let  $f_0, g_0 \in S$  with  $o(f_0) = a - d$  and  $o(g_0) = b - d$  such that  $\xi = f_0^*$  and  $\eta = g_0^*$ . We are going to construct two sequences  $\{f_i\}_{i=0,1,2,\dots}$  and  $\{g_i\}_{i=0,1,2,\dots}$  of elements in  $S$  which satisfy the following conditions: Let  $h_i = (-\sum_{k=0}^i g_k)f + (\sum_{k=0}^i f_k)g$  for each  $i \geq 0$ . Then

- (1)  $h_i \neq 0$ ,
- (2)  $o(h_i) < o(h_{i+1})$ ,
- (3)  $o(h_i) - b \leq o(f_{i+1})$  and  $o(h_i) - a \leq o(g_{i+1})$

for all  $i \geq 0$ .

To construct the sequences, firstly we put  $h_0 = (-g_0)f + f_0g$ . Then  $o(f_0) = a - d$  and  $o(g_0) = b - d$ . We notice  $h_0 \neq 0$ , because  $b - d = o(g_0) < o(g) = b$  (recall that  $f, g$  is a regular sequence). Hence  $h_0^* \in (f^*, g^*)$  by our assumption. We write  $h_0^* = f^*\varphi + g^*\psi$  with  $\varphi \in G_{o(h_0)-a}$  and  $\psi \in G_{o(h_0)-b}$ . Let  $\varphi = \overline{g_1 t^{o(h_0)-a}}$  and  $\psi = \overline{(-f_1) t^{o(h_0)-b}}$  with  $g_1 \in \mathfrak{n}^{o(h_0)-a}$  and  $f_1 \in \mathfrak{n}^{o(h_0)-b}$ . Then  $h_0 = g_1 f + (-f_1)g + h_1$  for some  $h_1 \in \mathfrak{n}^{o(h_0)+1}$ ; hence

$$h_1 = [-(g_0 + g_1)]f + (f_0 + f_1)g,$$

where  $o(f_1) \geq o(h_0) - b$ ,  $o(g_1) \geq o(h_0) - a$ , and  $o(h_1) > o(h_0)$ . Because

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{h_0 t^{a+b-d}} &= \overline{(-g_0)t^{b-d} \cdot f t^a + f_0 t^{a-d} \cdot g t^b} \\ &= \overline{(-\eta f^*) + \xi g^*} \\ &= \overline{(-\eta \cdot \xi D) + \xi(\eta D)} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

we get  $o(h_0) > a + b - d$ , so that  $o(f_1) \geq o(h_0) - b > a - d$  and  $o(g_1) \geq o(h_0) > b - d$ . Thus  $o(g_0 + g_1) = o(g_0) = b - d < b$  and  $o(f_0 + f_1) = o(f_0) = a - d < a$ , whence  $h_1 = [-(g_0 + g_1)]f + (f_0 + f_1)g \neq 0$ . Repeating this procedure, we get the required sequences  $\{f_i\}_{i=0,1,2,\dots}$  and  $\{g_i\}_{i=0,1,2,\dots}$  of elements in  $S$ .

Now let  $\alpha = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k$  and  $\beta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha f + \beta g &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [(-g_k)f + f_k g] \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [(-\sum_{k=0}^i g_k)f + (\sum_{k=0}^i f_k)g] \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} h_i \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

whence  $\beta \in (f)$ , which is impossible because  $o(\beta) < a$  (recall that  $\beta = f_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k$ ,  $o(f_0) = a - d$ , and  $o(f_k) \geq o(h_0) - b > a - d$  for all  $k \geq 1$ ). Thus  $(\alpha f + \beta g)^* \notin (f^*, g^*)$  for some elements  $\alpha, \beta$  of  $S$  with  $o(\alpha) = b - d$  and  $o(\beta) = a - d$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $\alpha, \beta \in S$  with  $o(\alpha) = b - d$  and assume that  $(\alpha f + \beta g)^* \notin (f^*, g^*)$ . Then  $\alpha^* = -\bar{u}\eta$  and  $\beta^* = \bar{u}\xi$  for some unit  $u$  in  $S$ . Hence  $\alpha^*, \beta^*$  form a  $G$ -regular sequence.

*Proof.* With the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 we have  $0 \neq \varphi \in G_0 = S/\mathfrak{n}$ . Letting  $\varphi = \bar{u}$  with a unit  $u$  in  $S$ , we readily get  $\alpha^* = -\bar{u}\eta$  and  $\beta^* = \bar{u}\xi$ .  $\square$

Let  $n = \mu_G(J)$  and  $k = S/\mathfrak{n}$ . In Proposition 2.4 (3) we prove the uniqueness of the order of  $o(\alpha f + \beta g)$  for the elements  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $S$  given by Proposition 2.2 and the uniqueness of the ideal  $(f^*, g^*, h^*)$  as well, where  $h = \alpha f + \beta g$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \tau \in S$  with  $o(\alpha) = b - d$ . Let  $h = \alpha f + \beta g$  and  $q = \sigma f + \tau g$ . Assume that  $h^* \notin (f^*, g^*)$ . Then the following assertions hold true.*

- (1) *Assume that  $q^* \notin (f^*, g^*)$ . Then  $o(q) \geq o(h) + o(\sigma) - (b - d)$ .*
- (2) *Assume that  $q^* \notin (f^*, g^*, h^*)$ . Then  $o(q) > o(h) + o(\sigma) - (b - d)$ .*
- (3) *Assume that  $q^* \notin (f^*, g^*)$  and  $o(\sigma) = b - d$ . Then  $o(q) = o(h)$  and  $(f^*, g^*, q^*) = (f^*, g^*, h^*)$ .*
- (4) *The elements  $f^*, g^*, h^*$  form a part of a minimal system of homogeneous generators of  $J$ .*
- (5) *Assume that  $n \geq 4$  and  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^2$ . Then writing  $J = \bigoplus J_n$ , we have  $J \supsetneq (J_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 5)G$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $q^* \notin (f^*, g^*)$  and let  $c = o(\sigma) - (b - d)$ . Then  $\sigma^*\xi + \tau^*\eta = 0$  by Lemma 2.1. Choose a unit  $u$  in  $S$  so that  $\alpha^* = -\bar{u}\eta$  and  $\beta^* = \bar{u}\xi$ . Then, since  $\sigma^*\xi\bar{u} + \tau^*\eta\bar{u} = 0$ , we get  $\sigma^*\beta^* = \tau^*\alpha^*$ . Hence  $\sigma^* = \alpha^*\delta^*$  and  $\tau^* = \beta^*\delta^*$  for some  $\delta \in S$  with  $o(\delta) = c$ , because  $\alpha^*, \beta^*$  is a  $G$ -regular sequence. Thus  $\sigma = \alpha\delta + \sigma_1$  and  $\tau = \beta\delta + \tau_1$  for some  $\sigma_1, \tau_1 \in S$  with  $o(\sigma_1) > o(\sigma)$  and  $o(\tau_1) > o(\tau)$ ;

$$(1) \quad q = h\delta + (\sigma_1 f + \tau_1 g).$$

Now let

$$\Lambda = \left\{ o(\sigma' f + \tau' g) \mid \begin{array}{l} \sigma', \tau' \in S \text{ such that} \\ (\sigma' f + \tau' g)^* \notin (f^*, g^*) \text{ and } o(\sigma') \geq b - d + c \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then  $o(q) \in \Lambda$ . Let  $n = \min \Lambda$  and put

$$\Gamma = \left\{ o(\sigma') \mid \begin{array}{l} \sigma' \in S \text{ for which there exists } \tau' \in S \text{ such that} \\ (\sigma' f + \tau' g)^* \notin (f^*, g^*), o(\sigma') \geq b - d + c, \text{ and } o(\sigma' f + \tau' g) = n \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then  $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$  and  $\gamma < n - a$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  (cf. Lemma 2.1 (1)). Let  $\gamma = \max \Gamma$  and choose  $\sigma', \tau' \in S$  so that  $(\sigma'f + \tau'g)^* \notin (f^*, g^*)$ ,  $\gamma = o(\sigma') \geq b - d + c$ , and  $o(\sigma'f + \tau'g) = n$ . Let  $q' = \sigma'f + \tau'g$ . Then, because  $q'^* \notin (f^*, g^*)$ , similarly as in equation (1) we have

$$q' = h\delta' + (\sigma_2f + \tau_2g)$$

for some  $\delta', \sigma_2, \tau_2 \in S$  with  $o(\delta') = o(\sigma') - (b - d)$ ,  $o(\sigma_2) > o(\sigma')$ , and  $o(\tau_2) > o(\tau')$ . Let  $q'' = \sigma_2f + \tau_2g$  and assume that  $o(q') < o(h\delta')$ . We then have

$$n = o(q') = o(q'') \quad \text{and} \quad q'^* = q''^*,$$

whence  $q''^* \notin (f^*, g^*)$ . On the other hand, because  $o(\sigma_2) > o(\sigma') \geq b - d + c$ , we get  $o(\sigma_2) \in \Gamma$ , which is impossible (recall that  $o(\sigma') = \max \Gamma$ ). Thus  $o(q') \geq o(h\delta')$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} o(q) &\geq n = o(q') \geq o(h) + o(\delta') \\ &= o(h) + o(\sigma') - (b - d) \\ &\geq o(h) + [(b - d) + c] - (b - d) \\ &= o(h) + c, \end{aligned}$$

as was claimed. This proves assertion (1).

Now assume that  $q^* \notin (f^*, g^*, h^*)$ . Then  $o(q) \geq o(h) + c$  by assertion (1), where  $c = o(\sigma) - (b - d)$ . Assume  $o(q) = o(h) + c$  and write  $q = h\delta + (\sigma_1f + \tau_1g)$  for some  $\delta, \sigma_1, \tau_1 \in S$  with  $o(\delta) = c$ ,  $o(\sigma_1) > o(\sigma)$ , and  $o(\tau_1) > o(\tau)$  (cf. equation (1)). We put  $q_1 = \sigma_1f + \tau_1g$ . Then, because  $o(q) = o(h\delta) \geq \min\{o(h\delta), o(q_1)\}$ ,  $o(q_1) \geq o(h\delta)$ . If  $o(q_1) > o(h\delta)$ , then we have  $q^* = (h\delta)^* = h^*\delta^* \in (f^*, g^*, h^*)$ , which is impossible. Hence  $o(q_1) = o(h\delta) = o(q)$  so that  $q^* = h^*\delta^* + q_1^* \notin (f^*, g^*, h^*)$ . Consequently  $q_1^* \notin (f^*, g^*)$  and so we get by assertion (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} o(h) + c &= o(h\delta) = o(q_1) \\ &\geq o(h) + o(\sigma_1) - (b - d) \\ &\geq o(h) + [o(\sigma) + 1] - (b - d) \\ &= o(h) + c + 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is absurd. Hence  $o(q) > o(h) + c$ . This proves assertion (2).

To show assertion (3), thanks to assertion (2), it is enough to check the equality  $o(q) = o(h)$ . The inequality  $o(q) \geq o(h)$  follows from assertion (1), whence  $o(h) = o(q)$  by symmetry.

We now prove assertions (4) and (5). Let  $V = J/NJ$  and choose homogeneous elements  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n$  of  $J$  so that their images  $\bar{\delta}_1, \bar{\delta}_2, \dots, \bar{\delta}_n$  in  $V$  form a  $k$ -basis of  $V$ . We may assume  $\delta_1 = f^*, \delta_2 = g^*$ . Hence  $J = (f^*, g^*, \delta_3, \dots, \delta_n)$ . For each  $3 \leq i \leq n$  let  $\delta_i = q_i^*$  with  $q_i \in I$  and write  $q_i = \sigma_i f + \tau_i g$  for some  $\sigma_i, \tau_i \in S$ . Then  $o(\sigma_i) \geq b - d$  by Lemma 2.1. We have  $o(q_i) = o(h)$  and  $(f^*, g^*, q_i^*) = (f^*, g^*, h^*)$  (resp.  $o(q_i) > o(h)$ ), if  $o(\sigma_i) = b - d$  (resp. if  $o(\sigma_i) > b - d$ ) by assertion (3) (resp. assertion (1)). Hence  $o(q_i) \geq o(h)$ . We may assume  $o(q_3) \leq o(q_4) \leq \dots \leq o(q_n)$ . Then, because  $h^* \in (f^*, g^*, \delta_3, \delta_4, \dots, \delta_n)$  but  $h^* \notin (f^*, g^*)$ , we get  $\deg h^* = o(h) \geq \deg \delta_3 = o(q_3)$  so that  $o(q_3) = o(h)$ , whence  $(f^*, g^*, \delta_3) = (f^*, g^*, h^*)$  by assertion (3). Thus assertion (4) follows. Suppose that  $n \geq 4$ . Then  $\delta_4 = q_4^* \notin (f^*, g^*, \delta_3) = (f^*, g^*, h^*)$ . Therefore  $o(\sigma_4) > b - d$ . Hence by assertion (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \delta_4 &= o(q_4) \\ &\geq o(h) + [o(\sigma_4) - (b - d) + 1] \geq o(h) + 2 \\ &\geq (a + b - d) + 3 && \text{(by Lemma 2.1)} \\ &\geq b + 4 \geq a + 4. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $\deg \delta_4 = o(q_4) \geq 6$ , if  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^2$ . Hence  $J \supseteq (J_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 5)G$ , which completes the proof of Proposition 2.4.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2 .

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We may assume that  $S$  is complete and  $\text{ht}_G(f^*, g^*) = 1$ . Hence  $\mu_G(J) = 3$ . Choose  $\alpha, \beta \in S$  so that  $o(\alpha) = b - d$  and  $(\alpha f + \beta g)^* \notin (f^*, g^*)$ . Let  $h = \alpha f + \beta g$ . Then  $J = (f^*, g^*, h^*)$  by Proposition 2.4 (4). We furthermore have  $h^* \in (\alpha^*, \beta^*)$ , because  $\alpha^*, \beta^*$  is a  $G$ -regular sequence (cf. Remark 2.3) and  $h \in (\alpha, \beta)$ . Let  $h^* = \alpha^* \varphi + \beta^* \psi$  with  $\varphi, \psi \in G$ . Then, since  $\alpha^* = -\bar{u}\eta$  and  $\beta^* = \bar{u}\xi$  for some unit  $u$  in  $S$ , we see

$$J = I_2 \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u}\varphi & \bar{u}\psi & D \\ \xi & \eta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $D \in G$  is the element such that  $f^* = \xi D$  and  $g^* = \eta D$ . Thus  $J$  is a perfect ideal of  $G$ , because  $\text{grade}_G J = 2$ .  $\square$

**Discussion 2.5.** Assume notation as in Setting 1.1 and also assume that  $I \subset \mathfrak{n}^2$ . Let  $\mu(I^*)$  denote the minimal number of generators of  $I^*$ . If  $\mu(I^*) = 3$ , then  $I^* = (f^*, g^*, h_0^*)G$ , where  $h_0 = \alpha f + \beta g$  and  $o(\alpha) = b - d$ . We have

$$2 \leq \deg f^* \leq \deg g^* < \deg g^* + 2 \leq \deg h_0^*,$$

so  $\deg h_0^* \geq 4$ . If  $\mu(I^*) \geq 4$ , then there exist homogeneous generators for  $I^*$  so that

$$I^* = (f^*, g^*, h_0^*, h_1^*, \dots, h_r^*)G,$$

where we have  $r = \mu(I^*) - 3$ , and

$$2 \leq \deg f^* \leq \deg g^* < \deg g^* + 2 \leq \deg h_0^* < \deg h_0^* + 2 \leq \deg h_1^* \leq \dots \leq \deg h_r^*.$$

The inequality  $\deg h_1^* \geq \deg h_0^* + 2$  is by Proposition 2.4 (2). In particular, if  $\mu(I^*) \geq 4$ , then the relation type of  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$  is greater than or equal to 6.

It would be interesting to know whether  $\deg h_i^* + 2 \leq \deg h_{i+1}^*$  holds for all  $i$  with  $0 \leq i < r$ , or, if this fails to hold in general, whether  $\deg h_i^* + 1 \leq \deg h_{i+1}^*$ . An interesting result of Kothari [K] shows that if  $S$  is a 2-dimensional regular local ring containing a coefficient field, then  $\deg h_i^* + 1 \leq \deg h_{i+1}^*$  for all  $i$  with  $1 \leq i < r$ .

### 3. APPLICATIONS OF THE THEOREM

Let us give some consequences of Theorem 1.2. We begin with the following.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Gorenstein local ring. Assume that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is minimally generated by  $d + 2$  elements. Then  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, if the relation type of  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$  is less than or equal to 5.*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is complete. Hence, thanks to the structure theorem of Cohen ([BH, Theorem A.21]), we get  $R = S/I$ , where  $I$  is an ideal of a  $(d + 2)$ -dimensional regular local ring  $(S, \mathfrak{n})$ . Because  $R$  is a Gorenstein ring and  $\dim R = d$ , the ideal  $I$  is generated by a regular sequence  $f, g$  of length 2. Let  $J = \text{Ker}(\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(S) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R))$ , where  $\varphi : \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(S) \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$  denotes the canonical map. We may assume that  $\mu_{\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(S)}(J) \geq 3$ . Then by Proposition 2.4 (5) the ideal  $J$  is 3-generated, because the relation type of  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$  is at most 5, whence by Theorem 1.2,  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring since the polynomial ring  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(S)$  is a UFD.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a one-dimensional Gorenstein local ring and assume that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is minimally generated by 3 elements. If the reduction number of  $\mathfrak{m}$  is less than or equal to 4, then  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.*

*Proof.* The result of Huckaba [H, Theorem 2.3] shows that in our setting the relation type of  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$  is at most one more than the reduction number of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Hence by Corollary 3.1 the ring  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$  is Cohen-Macaulay.  $\square$

The example studied in Example 1.6 shows that Corollary 3.2 may fail if the reduction number of  $\mathfrak{m}$  is 5. The following example is explored by Sally [S, Example 2.2] and shows that Corollary 3.1 may fail if we assume that  $R$  is a Cohen-Macaulay (rather than Gorenstein) ring.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $S = k[[x, y, z]]$  be the formal power series ring with three variables  $x, y, z$  over a field  $k$ . Let  $R = k[[w^4, w^5, w^{11}]]$  be the subring of the formal power series ring  $k[[w]]$  and consider the homomorphism  $\phi : S \rightarrow R$  of  $k$ -algebras defined by  $\phi(x) = w^4$ ,  $\phi(y) = w^5$ , and  $\phi(z) = w^{11}$ . Then  $I = \text{Ker } \phi$  is generated by  $xz - y^3$ ,  $yz - x^4$ , and  $z^2 - x^3y^2$ . We have  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(S) = k[x^*, y^*, z^*]$ ,

$$I^* = (z^{*2}, z^*y^*, z^*x^*, y^{*4}),$$

and the ring  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(S)/I^*$  is not Cohen-Macaulay. The relation type of  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$  is 4 and the reduction number of  $\mathfrak{m}$  is 3.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a one-dimensional Gorenstein local ring and assume that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is minimally generated by 3 elements. If the reduction number  $r$  of  $\mathfrak{m}$  is less than or equal to 4, then  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$  is a Gorenstein ring if and only if  $J^r : \mathfrak{m}^r = \mathfrak{m}^r$ , where  $J$  is a reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.2,  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Therefore all the powers of  $\mathfrak{m}$  are closed in the sense of Ratliff-Rush. Hence  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$  is a Gorenstein ring if and only if  $J^r : \mathfrak{m}^r = \mathfrak{m}^r$  (cf. [HKU, Corollary 4.8]).  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The main question considered in this paper arose during the collaboration of W. Heinzer and M-K Kim with Bernd Ulrich in [HKU]. We would like to thank him for his help in developing this material.

## REFERENCES

- [BH] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen–Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [HKU] W. Heinzer, Mee-Kyoung Kim and B. Ulrich, The Gorenstein and complete intersection properties of associated graded rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, to appear.
- [H] S. Huckaba, Reduction numbers for ideals of higher analytic spread, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **102**(1987), 49-57.
- [K] S. Kothari, The local Hilbert function of a pair of plane curves, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), 439-442.
- [S] J. D. Sally, Tangent cones at Gorenstein singularities, *Compositio Math.* **40** (1980), 167-175.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MEIJI UNIVERSITY,  
214-8571 JAPAN

*E-mail address:* goto@math.meiji.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47907

*E-mail address:* heinzer@math.purdue.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNGKYUNKWAN UNIVERSITY JANGANGU SUWON 440-746,  
KOREA

*E-mail address:* mkkim@math.skku.ac.kr