# MULTI-IDEAL-ADIC COMPLETIONS OF NOETHERIAN RINGS

WILLIAM HEINZER, CHRISTEL ROTTHAUS, AND SYLVIA WIEGAND

ABSTRACT. We consider a variation of the usual *I*-adic completion of a Noetherian ring *R*. Instead of successive powers of a fixed ideal *I*, we use a *multi-adic* filtration formed from a more general descending sequence  $\{I_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of ideals. We develop the mechanics of a *multi-adic* completion  $R^*$  of *R*. With additional hypotheses on the ideals of the filtration, we show that  $R^*$ is Noetherian. In the case where *R* is local, we prove that  $R^*$  is excellent, or Henselian or universally catenary if *R* has the stated property.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a commutative ring with identity. A filtration on R is a decreasing sequence  $\{I_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  of ideals of R. Associated to a filtration there is a well-defined completion  $R^* = \lim_{n \to \infty} R/I_n$ , and a canonical homomorphism  $\psi : R \to R^*$  [13, Chapter 9]. If  $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n = (0)$ , then  $\psi$  is injective and R may be regarded as a subring of  $R^*$  [13, page 401]. In the terminology of Northcott, a filtration  $\{I_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is said to be multiplicative if  $I_0 = R$  and  $I_n I_m \subseteq I_{n+m}$ , for all  $m \ge 0$ ,  $n \ge 0$  [13, page 408]. A well-known example of a multiplicative filtration on R is the I-adic filtration  $\{I^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ , where I is a fixed ideal of R.

In this article we consider filtrations of ideals of R that are *not* multiplicative, and examine the completions associated to these filtrations. We assume the ring R is Noetherian. Instead of successive powers of a fixed ideal I, we use a filtration formed from a more general descending sequence  $\{I_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  of ideals. We require that, for each n > 0, the  $n^{\text{th}}$  ideal  $I_n$  is contained in the  $n^{\text{th}}$  power of the Jacobson radical of R, and that  $I_{nk} \subseteq I_n^k$  for all  $k, n \ge 0$ . We call the associated completion a *multiadic* completion, and denote it by  $R^*$ . The basics of the multi-adic construction and the relationship between this completion and certain ideal-adic completions are considered in Section 2. In Section 3, we prove, for  $\{I_n\}$  as described above, that  $R^*$ is Noetherian. Let  $(R, \mathbf{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring. If R is excellent, Henselian or universally catenary, we prove in Section 4 that  $R^*$  has the same property.

Date: March 8, 2012.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13B35, 13J10, 13A15.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  completion, filtration of ideals, power series, Noetherian integral domain.

The authors are grateful for the hospitality and cooperation of Michigan State, Nebraska and Purdue, where several work sessions on this research were conducted.

We were inspired to pursue this project partly because of our continuing interest in exploring completions and power series. In our previous work we construct various examples of rings inside relatively well understood rings such as the (x)adic completion k[y][[x]] of a polynomial ring k[x, y] in two variables x and y over a field k [4], [5]. We obtain examples that demonstrate that certain properties of a ring may fail to extend to its **m**-adic completion, where **m** is a maximal ideal [6].

The process of passing to completion gives an analytic flavor to algebra. Often we view completions in terms of power series, or in terms of coherent sequences as in [1, pages 103-104]. Sometimes results are established by demonstrating for each n that they hold at the  $n^{\text{th}}$  stage in the inverse limit.

Multi-adic completions are interesting from another point of view. Many counterexamples in commutative algebra can be considered as subrings of  $R^*/J$ , where  $R^*$  is a multi-adic completion of a localized polynomial ring R over a countable ground field and J is an ideal of  $R^*$ . In particular, certain counterexamples of Brodmann and Rotthaus, Heitmann, Nishimura, Ogoma, Rotthaus and Weston can be interpreted in this way, cf. [2], [3], [7], [11], [12], [14], [15], [16], [17], [20]. For most of these examples, a particular enumeration,  $\{p_1, p_2, \ldots\}$ , of countably many non-associate prime elements is chosen and the ideals  $I_n$  are defined to be  $I_n := (p_1 p_2 \ldots p_n)^n$ . The Noetherian property in these examples is a trivial consequence of the fact that every ideal of  $R^*$  that contains one of the ideals  $I_n$ , or a power of  $I_n$ , is extended from R. In general, an advantage of  $R^*$  over the  $I_n$ -adic completion  $\hat{R}_n$  is that an ideal of  $R^*$  is more likely to be extended from R than is an ideal of  $\hat{R}_n$ .

All rings we consider are assumed to be commutative with identity. A general reference for our notation and terminology is [8].

# 2. Basic mechanics for the multi-adic construction

Setting 2.1. Let R be a Noetherian ring with Jacobson radical  $\mathcal{J}$ , and let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of positive integers. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $Q_n$  be an ideal of R. Assume that the sequence  $\{Q_n\}$  is descending, that is  $Q_{n+1} \subseteq Q_n$ , and that  $Q_n \subseteq \mathcal{J}^n$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also assume, for each pair of integers  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that  $Q_{nk} \subseteq Q_n^k$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{Q_k\}_{k \ge 0}$  be the filtration

$$R = Q_0 \supseteq Q_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq Q_k \supseteq Q_{k+1} \supseteq \cdots$$

of R and define

$$(2.1.1) R^* := \varprojlim_k R/Q_k$$

to be the completion of R with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $\widehat{R} := \varprojlim_{k} R/\mathcal{J}^{k}$  denote the completion of R with respect to the powers of the Jacobson radical  $\mathcal{J}$  of R, and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

(2.1.2) 
$$\widehat{R_n} := \varprojlim_k R/Q_n^k$$

denote the completion of R with respect to the powers of  $Q_n$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Assume notation as in Setting 2.1. For each fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$R^* = \varprojlim_k R/Q_k = \varprojlim_k R/Q_{nk},$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  varies. This holds because the limit of a subsequence is the same as the limit of the original sequence.

We establish in Proposition 2.3 canonical inclusion relations among  $\widehat{R}$  and the completions defined in (2.1.1) and (2.1.2).

**Proposition 2.3.** Let the notation be as in Setting 2.1. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have canonical inclusions

$$R \subseteq R^* \subseteq \widehat{R_n} \subseteq \widehat{R_{n-1}} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \widehat{R_1} \subseteq \widehat{R}.$$

*Proof.* The inclusion  $R \subseteq R^*$  is clear since the intersection of the ideals  $Q_k$  is zero. For the inclusion  $R^* \subseteq \widehat{R_n}$ , by Remark 2.2,  $R^* = \varprojlim_k R/Q_{nk}$ . Notice that

$$Q_{nk} \subseteq Q_n^k \subseteq Q_{n-1}^k \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{J}^k.$$

Now Lemma 2.4 (below) completes the proof of Proposition 2.3.

The following lemma establishes injectivity in more generality for completions with respect to ideal filtrations (see also [13, Section 9.5]). Here the respective completions are defined using coherent sequences as in [1, pages 103-104].

**Lemma 2.4.** Let R be a Noetherian ring with Jacobson radical  $\mathcal{J}$  and let  $\{H_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\{I_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{L_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  be descending sequences of ideals of R such that, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have inclusions

$$L_k \subseteq I_k \subseteq H_k \subseteq \mathcal{J}^k.$$

We denote the families of natural surjections arising from these inclusions as:

$$\delta_k : R/L_k \to R/I_k, \quad \lambda_k : R/I_k \to R/H_k \quad and \quad \theta_k : R/H_k \to R/\mathcal{J}^k,$$

and the completions with respect to these families as:

$$\widehat{R_L} := \varprojlim_k R/L_k, \quad \widehat{R_I} := \varprojlim_k R/I_k \quad \widehat{R_H} := \varprojlim_k R/H_k \quad and \quad \widehat{R} := \varprojlim_k R/\mathcal{J}^k.$$

Then

(1) These families of surjections induce canonical injective maps  $\Delta$ ,  $\Lambda$  and  $\Theta$  among the completions as shown in the diagram below.

(2) For each positive integer k we have a commutative diagram as displayed below, where the vertical maps are the natural surjections.

(3) The composition Λ · Δ is the canonical map induced by the natural surjections λ<sub>k</sub> · δ<sub>k</sub> : R/L<sub>k</sub> → R/H<sub>k</sub>. Similarly, the other compositions in the bottom row are the canonical maps induced by the appropriate natural surjections.

*Proof.* In each case there is a unique homomorphism of the completions. For example, the family of homomorphisms  $\{\delta_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  induces a unique homomorphism

(2.1) 
$$\widehat{R_L} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \widehat{R_I}.$$

To define  $\Delta$ , let  $x = (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \widehat{R_L}$ , where each  $x_k \in R/L_k$ . Then  $\delta_k(x_k) \in R/I_k$ and we define  $\Delta(x) := (\delta_k(x_k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \widehat{R_I}$ .

To show the maps on the completions are injective, consider for example the map  $\Delta$ . Suppose  $x = (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \varprojlim_k R/L_k$  with  $\Delta(x) = 0$ . Then  $\delta_k(x_k) = 0$  in  $R/I_k$ , that is,  $x_k \in I_k/L_k = I_k(R/L_k)$ , for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the following commutative diagram:

(2.2) 
$$\begin{array}{ccc} R/L_k & \stackrel{\delta_k}{\longrightarrow} & R/I_k \\ \beta_{k,kv} \uparrow & \alpha_{k,kv} \uparrow \\ R/L_{kv} & \stackrel{\delta_{kv}}{\longrightarrow} & R/I_{kv} \end{array}$$

where  $\beta_{k,kv}$  and  $\alpha_{k,kv}$  are the canonical surjections associated with the inverse limits. We have  $x_{kv} \in I_{kv}/L_{kv} = I_{kv}(R/L_{kv})$ . Therefore

$$x_k = \beta_{k,kv}(x_{kv}) \in I_{kv}(R/L_k) \subseteq \mathcal{J}^{kv}(R/L_k),$$

for every  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\mathcal{J}(R/L_k)$  is contained in the Jacobson radical of  $R/L_k$  and  $R/L_k$  is Noetherian, we have

$$\bigcap_{v\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{J}^{kv}(R/L_k)=(0).$$

Therefore  $x_k = 0$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $\Delta$  is injective. The remaining assertions are clear.

**Lemma 2.5.** With  $R^*$  and  $\widehat{R_n}$  as in Setting 2.1, we have

$$R^* = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \widehat{R_n}.$$

*Proof.* The inclusion " $\subseteq$ " is shown in Proposition 2.3. For the reverse inclusion, fix positive integers n and k, and let  $L_{\ell} = Q_{nk\ell}$ ,  $I_{\ell} = Q_{nk}^{\ell}$  and  $H_{\ell} = Q_n^{\ell}$  for each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $L_{\ell} \subseteq I_{\ell} \subseteq H_{\ell} \subseteq \mathcal{J}^{\ell}$ , as in Lemma 2.4 and

$$\widehat{R_L} := \varprojlim_{\ell} R/Q_{nk\ell} = R^*, \quad \widehat{R_I} := \varprojlim_{\ell} R/Q_{nk}^{\ell} = \widehat{R_{nk}}, \quad \widehat{R_H} := \varprojlim_{\ell} R/Q_n^{\ell} = \widehat{R_n}.$$

(Also, as before,  $\widehat{R} := \varprojlim_{\ell} R/\mathcal{J}^{\ell}$ .) We define  $\varphi_n$ ,  $\varphi_{nk}$ ,  $\varphi_{nk,n}$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$  to be the canonical injective homomorphisms given by Lemma 2.4 among the rings displayed in the following diagram.



(2.5.1)

By Lemma 2.4, Diagram 2.5.1 is commutative.

Let  $\hat{y} \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{R}_n$ . We show that there is an element  $\xi \in R^*$  such that  $\varphi(\xi) = \hat{y}$ . This is sufficient to ensure that  $\hat{y} \in R^*$ , since the maps  $\theta_t$  are injective and Diagram 2.5.1 is commutative.

First, we define  $\xi$ : For each  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\widehat{y} = (y_{1,t}, y_{2,t}, \dots, ) \in \varprojlim_{\ell} R/Q_t^{\ell} = \widehat{R_t},$$

where  $y_{1,t} \in R/Q_t$ ,  $y_{2,t} \in R/Q_t^2$  and  $y_{2,t} + Q_t/Q_t^2 = y_{1,t}$  in  $R/Q_t$ ,  $\cdots$  and so forth, is a coherent sequence as in [1, pp. 103-104]. Now take  $z_t \in R$  so that  $z_t + Q_t = y_{1,t}$ . Thus  $\hat{y} - z_t \in Q_t \widehat{R_t}$ . For positive integers s and t with  $s \ge t$ , we have  $Q_s \subseteq Q_t$ . Therefore  $z_t - z_s \in Q_t \widehat{R_t} \cap R = Q_t R$ . Thus  $\xi := (z_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \in R^*$ . We have  $\hat{y} - z_t \in Q_t \widehat{R_t} \subseteq \mathcal{J}^t \widehat{R}$ , for all  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $\varphi(\xi) = \widehat{y}$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 2.5.

The following special case of Setting 2.1 is used by Brodmann, Rotthaus, Ogoma, Heitmann, Weston and Nishimura for the construction of numerous examples.

Setting 2.6. Let R be a Noetherian ring with Jacobson radical  $\mathcal{J}$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $p_i \in \mathcal{J}$  be a non-zero-divisor (that is a regular element) on R.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $q_n = (p_1 \cdots p_n)^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{(q_k)\}_{k \ge 0}$  be the filtration

 $R \supseteq (q_1) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq (q_k) \supseteq (q_{k+1}) \supseteq \cdots$ 

of R and define  $R^* := \varprojlim_k R/(q_k)$  to be the completion of R with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_0$ .

**Remark 2.7.** In Setting 2.6, assume further that  $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}$ , the localized polynomial ring over a countable field K, and that  $\{p_1, p_2, \ldots\}$  is an enumeration of all the prime elements (up to associates) in R. As in 2.6, let

 $R^* := \varprojlim_n R/(q_n)$ , where each  $q_n = (p_1 \cdots p_n)^n$ . The ring  $R^*$  is often useful for the construction of Noetherian local rings with a bad locus (regular, CM, normal). In particular, the authors listed in the paragraph preceding Setting 2.6 make use of special subrings of this multi-adic completion  $R^*$  for their counterexamples. The first such example was constructed by Rotthaus in [16]. In this paper, a regular local Nagata ring A is obtained which contains a prime element  $\omega$  so that the singular locus of the quotient ring  $A/(\omega)$  is not closed. This ring A is situated between the localized polynomial ring R and its \*-completion  $R^*$ ; thus, in general  $R^*$  is bigger than R. In the Rotthaus example, the singular locus of  $(A/(\omega))^*$  is defined by a height one prime ideal Q that intersects  $A/(\omega)$  in (0). Since all ideals  $Q + (p_n)$  are extended from  $A/(\omega)$ , the singular locus of  $A/(\omega)$  is not closed.

# 3. Preserving Noetherian under multi-adic completion

**Theorem 3.1.** With notation as in Setting 2.1, the ring  $R^*$  defined in (2.1.1) is Noetherian.

*Proof.* It suffices to show each ideal I of  $R^*$  is finitely generated. Since  $\widehat{R}$  is Noetherian, there exist  $f_1, \ldots, f_s \in I$  such that  $I\widehat{R} = (f_1, \ldots, f_s)\widehat{R}$ . Since  $\widehat{R_n} \hookrightarrow \widehat{R}$  is faithfully flat,  $I\widehat{R_n} = I\widehat{R} \cap \widehat{R_n} = (f_1, \ldots, f_s)\widehat{R_n}$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $f \in I \subseteq R^*$ . Then  $f \in I\widehat{R_1}$ , and so

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \widehat{b}_{i0} f_i$$

where  $\widehat{b}_{i0} \in \widehat{R_1}$ . Consider R as " $Q_0$ ", and so  $\widehat{b}_{i0} \in Q_0 \widehat{R}_1$ . Since  $\widehat{R_1}/Q_1 \widehat{R_1} \cong R/Q_1$ , for all i with  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , we have  $\widehat{b}_{i0} = a_{i0} + \widehat{c}_{i1}$ , where  $a_{i0} \in R = Q_0 R$  and  $\widehat{c}_{i1} \in Q_1 \widehat{R_1}$ . Then

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i0} f_i + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \widehat{c}_{i1} f_i.$$

Notice that

$$\widehat{d}_1 := \sum_{i=1}^s \widehat{c}_{i1} f_i \in (Q_1 I) \widehat{R}_1 \cap R^* \subseteq \widehat{R}_2.$$

By the faithful flatness of the extension  $\widehat{R}_2 \hookrightarrow \widehat{R}_1$ , we see  $\widehat{d}_1 \in (Q_1 I) \widehat{R}_2$ , and therefore there exist  $\widehat{b}_{i1} \in Q_1 \widehat{R}_2$  with

$$\widehat{d}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^s \widehat{b}_{i1} f_i.$$

As before, using that  $\widehat{R}_2/Q_2\widehat{R}_2 \cong R/Q_2$ , we can write  $\widehat{b}_{i1} = a_{i1} + \widehat{c}_{i2}$ , where  $a_{i1} \in R$ and  $\widehat{c}_{i2} \in Q_2\widehat{R}_2$ . This implies that  $a_{i1} \in Q_1\widehat{R}_2 \cap R = Q_1$ . We have:

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{5} (a_{i0} + a_{i1}) f_i + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \widehat{c}_{i2} f_i.$$

Now set

$$\widehat{d}_2 := \sum_{i=1}^s \widehat{c}_{i2} f_i.$$

Then  $\widehat{d}_2 \in (Q_2I)\widehat{R}_2 \cap R^* \subseteq \widehat{R}_3$  and, since the extension  $\widehat{R}_3 \hookrightarrow \widehat{R}_2$  is faithfully flat, we have  $\widehat{d}_2 \in (Q_2I)\widehat{R}_3$ . We repeat the process. By a simple induction argument,

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (a_{i0} + a_{i1} + a_{i2} + \ldots) f_i,$$

where  $a_{ij} \in Q_j$  and  $a_{i0} + a_{i1} + a_{i2} + \ldots \in R^*$ . Thus  $f \in (f_1, \ldots, f_s)R^*$ . Hence I is finitely generated and  $R^*$  is Noetherian.

**Corollary 3.2.** With notation as in Setting 2.1, the maps  $R \hookrightarrow R^*$ ,  $R^* \hookrightarrow \widehat{R_n}$  and  $R^* \hookrightarrow \widehat{R}$  are faithfully flat.

We use Proposition 3.3 in the next section on preserving excellence.

**Proposition 3.3.** Assume notation as in Setting 2.1, and let the ring  $R^*$  be defined as in (2.1.1). If M is a finitely generated  $R^*$ -module, then

$$M \cong \varprojlim_k \ (M/Q_k M),$$

that is, M is \*-complete.

*Proof.* If  $F = (R^*)^n$  is a finitely generated free  $R^*$ -module, then one can see directly that

$$F \cong \varprojlim_k F/Q_k F,$$

and so F is \*-complete.

Let M be a finitely generated  $R^*$ -module. Consider an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where F is a finitely generated free  $R^*$ -module. This induces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{N} \longrightarrow F^* \longrightarrow M^* \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\tilde{N}$  is the completion of N with respect to the induced filtration  $\{Q_k F \cap N\}_{k \ge 0}$ , cf. [1, (10.3)].

This gives a commutative diagram:

where  $\gamma$  is the canonical map  $\gamma : M \longrightarrow M^*$ . The diagram shows that  $\gamma$  is surjective. We have

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (Q_k M) \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} J^k M = (0),$$

where the last equality is by [1, (10.19)]. Therefore  $\gamma$  is also injective.

**Remark 3.4.** Let the notation be as in Setting 2.1, and let *B* be a finite  $R^*$ -algebra. Let  $\widehat{B_n} \cong B \otimes_{R^*} \widehat{R_n}$  denote the  $Q_n$ -adic completion of *B*. By Proposition 2.3, and Corollary 3.2, we have a sequence of inclusions:

$$B \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \widehat{B_{n+1}} \hookrightarrow \widehat{B_n} \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow \widehat{B_1} \hookrightarrow \widehat{B},$$

where  $\widehat{B}$  denotes the completion of B with respect to  $\mathcal{J}B$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}_0$  denote the Jacobson radical of B. Since every maximal ideal of B lies over a maximal ideal of  $R^*$ , we have  $\mathcal{J}B \subseteq \mathcal{J}_0$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** With the notation of Setting 2.1, let B be a finite  $R^*$ -algebra and let  $\widehat{B_n} \cong B \otimes_{R^*} \widehat{R_n}$  denote the  $Q_n$ -adic completion of B. Let  $\widehat{I}$  be an ideal of  $\widehat{B}$ , let  $I := \widehat{I} \cap B$ , and let  $I_n := \widehat{I} \cap \widehat{B_n}$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\widehat{I} = I_n \widehat{B}$ , for all n, then  $\widehat{I} = I \widehat{B}$ .

*Proof.* By replacing B by B/I, we may assume that  $(0) = I = \hat{I} \cap B$ . To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that  $\hat{I} = 0$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define ideals  $\mathfrak{c}_n$  of  $\widehat{B_n}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_n$  of B:

$$\mathfrak{c}_n := I_n + Q_n \widehat{B_n}, \qquad \mathfrak{a}_n := \mathfrak{c}_n \cap B.$$

Since  $B/Q_n B = \widehat{B_n}/Q_n \widehat{B_n}$ , the ideals of B containing  $Q_n$  are in one-to-one inclusion-preserving correspondence with the ideals of  $\widehat{B_n}$  containing  $Q_n \widehat{B_n}$ , and so

(3.5.1) 
$$\mathfrak{a}_n\widehat{B_n} = \mathfrak{c}_n, \quad \mathfrak{a}_{n+1}\widehat{B_n} = \mathfrak{a}_{n+1}\widehat{B_{n+1}}\widehat{B_n} = \mathfrak{c}_{n+1}\widehat{B_n}.$$

Since  $\widehat{B}$  is faithfully flat over  $\widehat{B_n}$  and  $\widehat{I}$  is extended,

(3.5.2) 
$$I_{n+1}\widehat{B_n} = (I_{n+1}\widehat{B}) \cap \widehat{B_n} = \widehat{I} \cap \widehat{B_n} = I_n.$$

Thus, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have, using (3.5.1), (3.5.2) and  $Q_{n+1}\widehat{B_n} \subseteq Q_n\widehat{B_n}$ :  $\mathfrak{a}_n\widehat{B_n} = \mathfrak{c}_n = I_n + Q_n\widehat{B_n} = I_{n+1}\widehat{B_n} + Q_n\widehat{B_n} = \mathfrak{c}_{n+1}\widehat{B_n} + Q_n\widehat{B_n} = \mathfrak{a}_{n+1}\widehat{B_n} + Q_n\widehat{B_n}$ .

Since  $\widehat{B_n}$  is faithfully flat over *B*, the equation above implies that

(3.5.3) 
$$\mathfrak{a}_{n+1} + Q_n B = (\mathfrak{a}_{n+1}\widehat{B_n} + Q_n\widehat{B_n}) \cap B = \mathfrak{a}_n\widehat{B_n} \cap B = \mathfrak{a}_n.$$

Thus also

(3.5.4) 
$$\mathfrak{a}_n\widehat{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{n+1}\widehat{B} + Q_n\widehat{B} \subseteq I_{n+1}\widehat{B} + Q_n\widehat{B} = \widehat{I} + Q_n\widehat{B}.$$

Now  $Q_n \subseteq \mathcal{J}^n \widehat{B}$  and  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{J}_0$ , and so using (3.5.4)

$$\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(\mathfrak{a}_n\widehat{B})\subseteq\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(\widehat{I}+Q_n\widehat{B})\subseteq\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(\widehat{I}+\mathcal{J}^n\widehat{B})=\widehat{I}.$$

Since  $\widehat{I} \cap B = (0)$ , we have

$$0 = \widehat{I} \cap B \supseteq (\bigcap_{n \mathbf{n} \mathbb{N}} (\mathfrak{a}_n \widehat{B})) \cap B \supseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ((\mathfrak{a}_n \widehat{B}) \cap B) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{a}_n,$$

where the last equality is because  $\widehat{B}$  is faithfully flat over B. Thus  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{a}_n = (0)$ .

Claim.  $\widehat{I} = (0)$ .

Proof of Claim. Suppose  $\widehat{I} \neq 0$ . Then there exists  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\widehat{I} \not\subseteq \mathcal{J}_0^d \widehat{B}$ . By hypothesis,  $\widehat{I} = I_d \widehat{B}$ , and so  $I_d \widehat{B} \nsubseteq \mathcal{J}_0^d \widehat{B}$ . Since  $\widehat{B}$  is faithfully flat over  $\widehat{B}_d$ , we have  $I_d \nsubseteq \mathcal{J}_0^d \widehat{B}_d$ . By (3.5.1),

$$\mathfrak{a}_d \widehat{B_d} = \mathfrak{c}_d = I_d + Q_d \widehat{B_d} \nsubseteq \mathcal{J}_0^d \widehat{B_d},$$

and so there exists an element  $y_d \in \mathfrak{a}_d$  with  $y_d \notin \mathcal{J}_0^d$ .

By (3.5.3),  $\mathfrak{a}_{d+1} + Q_d B = \mathfrak{a}_d$ . Hence there exists  $y_{d+1} \in \mathfrak{a}_{d+1}$  and  $q_d \in Q_d B$  so that  $y_{d+1} + q_d = y_d$ . Recursively we construct sequences of elements  $y_n \in \mathfrak{a}_n$  and  $q_n \in Q_n B$ , for each  $n \ge d$ , so that  $y_{n+1} + q_n = y_n$ .

The sequence  $\xi = (y_n + Q_n B) \in \varprojlim_n B/Q_n B = B$  corresponds to a nonzero element  $y \in B$  so that, for every  $n \geq d$ , we have  $y = y_n + g_n$ , for some element  $g_n \in Q_n B$ . This shows that  $y \in \mathfrak{a}_n$ , for all  $n \geq d$ , and therefore  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{a}_n \neq 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\widehat{I} = (0)$ .

# 4. Preserving excellence and Henselian under multi-adic completion

The first four results of this section concern preservation of excellence.

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume notation as in Setting 2.1, and let the ring  $R^*$  be defined as in (2.1.1). If  $(R, \mathbf{m})$  is an excellent local ring, then  $R^*$  is excellent.

The following result is critical to the proof of Theorem 4.1.

**Lemma 4.2.** [8, Theorem 32.5, page 259] Let A be a semilocal Noetherian ring. Assume that  $(\widehat{B})_Q$  is a regular local ring, for every local domain  $(B, \mathbf{n})$  that is a localization of a finite A-algebra and for every prime ideal Q of the  $\mathbf{n}$ -adic completion  $\widehat{B}$  such that  $Q \cap B = (0)$ . Then A is a G-ring, that is,  $A \hookrightarrow \widehat{A}_p$  is regular for every prime ideal p of A; thus all of the formal fibers of all the local rings of A are geometrically regular.

We use Proposition 4.3 in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $(R, \mathbf{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring with geometrically regular formal fibers. Then  $R^*$  has geometrically regular formal fibers.

*Proof.* Let B be a domain that is a finite  $R^*$ -algebra and let  $P \in \text{Sing}(\widehat{B})$ , that is,  $\widehat{B}_P$  is not a regular local ring. To prove that  $R^*$  has geometrically regular formal fibers, by Lemma 4.2, it suffices to prove that  $P \cap B \neq (0)$ .

The Noetherian complete local ring  $\widehat{R}$  has the property J-2 in the sense of Matsumura, that is, for every finite  $\widehat{R}$ -algebra, such as  $\widehat{B}$ , the subset  $\text{Reg}(\text{Spec}(\widehat{B}))$ ,

of primes where the localization of  $\widehat{B}$  is regular is an open subset, c.f. [9, pp. 246–249]. Thus there is a radical ideal  $\widehat{I}$  in  $\widehat{B}$  so that

$$\operatorname{Sing}(\widehat{B}) = \mathcal{V}(\widehat{I}).$$

If  $\widehat{I} = (0)$ , that is, (0) is a radical ideal, then  $\widehat{B}$  is a reduced ring and, for all minimal primes Q of  $\widehat{B}$ , the localization  $\widehat{B}_Q$  is a field, contradicting  $Q \in \operatorname{Sing}(\widehat{B})$ . Thus  $\widehat{I} \neq (0)$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\widehat{B_n} \cong \widehat{R_n} \otimes_{R^*} B$$

is a finite  $\widehat{R}_n$ -algebra. Since by [17]  $\widehat{R}_n$  has geometrically regular formal fibers so has  $\widehat{B}_n$ . This implies that  $\widehat{I}$  is extended from  $\widehat{B}_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Theorem 3.5,  $\widehat{I}$  is extended from B, so  $\widehat{I} = I\widehat{B}$ , where  $0 \neq I := \widehat{I} \cap B$ . Since  $\widehat{I} \subseteq P$ , we have  $(0) \neq I \subseteq P \cap B$ .

**Proof of Theorem 4.1** It remains to show that  $R^*$  is universally catenary. We have injective local homomorphisms  $R \hookrightarrow R^* \hookrightarrow \hat{R}$ , and  $R^*$  is Noetherian with  $\widehat{R^*} = \widehat{R}$ . Proposition 4.4 below implies that  $R^*$  is universally catenary.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let  $(A, \mathbf{m})$  be a Noetherian local universally catenary ring and let  $(B, \mathbf{n})$  be a Noetherian local subring of the  $\mathbf{m}$ -adic completion  $\widehat{A}$  of A with  $A \subseteq B \subseteq \widehat{A}$  and  $\widehat{B} = \widehat{A}$ , where  $\widehat{B}$  is the  $\mathbf{n}$ -adic completion of B. Then B is universally catenary.

*Proof.* By [8, Theorem 31.7], it suffices to show for  $P \in \text{Spec}(B)$  that  $\widehat{A}/P\widehat{A}$  is equidimensional. We may assume that  $P \cap A = (0)$ , and hence we may assume that A is a domain.

Let Q and W in  $\text{Spec}(\widehat{A})$  be minimal primes over  $P\widehat{A}$ .

**Claim:** dim $(\widehat{A}/Q)$  = dim $(\widehat{A}/W)$ .

Proof of Claim: Since B is Noetherian, the canonical morphisms  $B_P \longrightarrow \widehat{A}_Q$  and  $B_P \longrightarrow \widehat{A}_W$  are flat. By [8, Theorem 15.1],

 $\dim(\widehat{A}_Q) = \dim(B_P) + \dim(\widehat{A}_Q/P\widehat{A}_Q), \quad \dim(\widehat{A}_W) = \dim(B_P) + \dim(\widehat{A}_W/P\widehat{A}_W).$ Since Q and W are minimal over  $P\widehat{A}$ , it follows that:

$$\dim(\widehat{A}_Q) = \dim(\widehat{A}_W) = \dim(B_P).$$

Let  $q \subseteq Q$  and  $w \subseteq W$  be minimal primes of  $\widehat{A}$  so that:

$$\dim(\widehat{A}_Q) = \dim(\widehat{A}_Q/q\widehat{A}_Q) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(\widehat{A}_W) = \dim(\widehat{A}_W/w\widehat{A}_W).$$

Since we have reduced to the case where A is a universally catenary domain, its completion  $\widehat{A}$  is equidimensional and therefore:

$$\dim(\widehat{A}/q) = \dim(\widehat{A}/w).$$

Since a complete local ring is catenary [8, Theorem 29.4], we have:

$$\dim(\widehat{A}/q) = \dim(\widehat{A}_Q/q\widehat{A}_Q) + \dim(\widehat{A}/Q),$$
$$\dim(\widehat{A}/w) = \dim(\widehat{A}_W/w\widehat{A}_W) + \dim(\widehat{A}/W).$$
Since  $\dim(\widehat{A}/q) = \dim(\widehat{A}/w)$  and  $\dim(\widehat{A}_Q) = \dim(\widehat{A}_W)$ , it follows that  $\dim(\widehat{A}/Q) = \dim(\widehat{A}/W).$ 

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.4.

**Remark 4.5.** Let R be a universally catenary Noetherian local ring. Proposition 4.4 implies that *every* Noetherian local subring B of  $\hat{R}$  with  $R \subseteq B$  and  $\hat{B} = \hat{R}$  is universally catenary. Hence, for each ideal I of R, the I-adic completion of R is universally catenary. Also  $R^*$  as in Setting 2.1 is universally catenary. Proposition 4.4 also implies that the Henselization of R is universally catenary. Seydi shows that the I-adic completions of universally catenary rings are universally catenary in [19]. Proposition 4.4 establishes this result for a larger class of rings.

**Proposition 4.6.** With notation as in Setting 2.1, let  $(R, \mathbf{m}, k)$  be a Noetherian local ring. If R is Henselian, then  $R^*$  is Henselian.

*Proof.* Assume that R is Henselian. It is well known that every ideal-adic completion of R is Henselian, cf. [18, p.6]. Thus  $\widehat{R_n}$  is Henselian for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let **n** denote the nilradical of  $\widehat{R}$ . Then  $\mathbf{n} \cap R^*$  is the nilradical of  $R^*$ , and to prove  $R^*$  is Henselian, it suffices to prove that  $R' := R^*/(\mathbf{n} \cap R^*)$  is Henselian [10, (43.15)]. To prove R' is Henselian, by [18, Prop. 3, page 76], it suffices to show:

If  $f \in R'[x]$  is a monic polynomial and its image  $\overline{f} \in k[x]$  has a simple root, then f has a root in R'.

Let  $f \in R'[x]$  be a monic polynomial such that  $\overline{f} \in k[x]$  has a simple root. Since  $\widehat{R_n}/(\mathbf{n} \cap \widehat{R_n})$  is Henselian, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $\widehat{\alpha}_n \in \widehat{R_n}/(\mathbf{n} \cap \widehat{R_n})$  with  $f(\widehat{\alpha}_n) = 0$ . Since f is monic and  $\widehat{R}/(\mathbf{n} \cap \widehat{R})$  is reduced, f has only finitely many roots in  $\widehat{R}/(\mathbf{n} \cap \widehat{R})$ . Thus there is an  $\alpha$  so that  $\alpha = \widehat{\alpha}_n$ , for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Lemma 2.5,  $R^* = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \widehat{R_n}$ . Hence

$$R' = R^* / (\mathbf{n} \cap R^*) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \widehat{R_n} / (\mathbf{n} \cap \widehat{R_n}),$$

References

and so there exists  $\alpha \in R'$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ .

- M. Atiyah and I. Macdonald, Introduction to Commutative Algebra, Addison-Wesley, London 1969.
- [2] M. Brodmann and C. Rotthaus, Local rings with bad sets of formal prime divisors, J. Algebra 75 (1982) 386-394.
- [3] M. Brodmann and C. Rotthaus, A peculiar unmixed domain Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1983), 596-600.

- [4] W. Heinzer, C. Rotthaus and S. Wiegand, Noetherian rings between a semilocal domain and its completion, J. Algebra, 198 (1997), 627–655.
- [5] W. Heinzer, C. Rotthaus and S. Wiegand, Building Noetherian and non-Noetherian integral domains using power series, in *Ideal Theoretic Methods in Commutative Algebra* (D. D. Anderson and Ira Papick, eds.), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 220, Dekker, New York (2001), 251–264.
- [6] W. Heinzer, C. Rotthaus and S. Wiegand, Integral closures of ideals in completions of regular local Domains, in *Commutative algebra*, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., 244, Chapman, Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL (2006), 141–150.
- [7] R. Heitmann, A non-catenary, normal, local domain, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 12 (1982), 45-148.
- [8] H. Matsumura, Commutative ring theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [9] H. Matsumura Commutative algebra, second edition, Benjamin, 1980.
- [10] M. Nagata Local rings, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [11] J. Nishimura, A few examples of local rings I, preprint.
- $\left[ 12\right]$  J. Nishimura, A few examples of local rings II, preprint.
- [13] D. G. Northcott, Lessons on rings, modules and multiplicities, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1968.
- [14] T. Ogoma, Non-catenary pseudo-geometric normal rings, Japan. J. Math. 6 (1980), 147-163.
- [15] T. Ogoma, Cohen-Macaulay factorial domain is not necessaily Gorenstein, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. 3 (1982), 65-74.
- [16] C. Rotthaus, Universell Japanische ringe mit nicht offenem regulärem ort, Nagoya Math. J. 74 (1979), 123-135.
- [17] C. Rotthaus, Komplettierung semilokaler quasiausgezeichneter Ringe, Nagoya Math. J. 76 (1979), 173-180.
- [18] M. Raynaud, Anneaux locaux Henseliens, Lecture notes in Math. 169, 1970.
- [19] H. Seydi, Anneaux henseliens et conditions de chaines, Bull. Soc. Math. France 98 (1970), 9-31.
- [20] D. Weston, On descent in dimension two and non-split gorenstein modules, J. Alg. 118 (1988), 263-275.

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{heinzer@math.purdue.edu}$ 

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MI 48824-1027 *E-mail address*: rotthaus@math.msu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA, LINCOLN, NE 68588-0130 E-mail address: swiegand@math.unl.edu