

# DIFFERENTIAL INVARIANTS OF EMBEDDINGS OF MANIFOLDS IN COMPLEX SPACES

WEIMING HUANG AND JOSEPH LIPMAN

ABSTRACT. Let  $V$  be a reduced complex space,  $W$  a complex submanifold, and let  $(V', W')$  be another such pair. Let  $f: V \rightarrow V'$  be a homeomorphism with  $f(W) \subset W'$ , such that  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are both continuously (real-)differentiable. Then  $f$  induces a component-(with multiplicity)-preserving homeomorphism  $\mathbf{f}_0$  from the normal cone  $C(V, W)$  to  $C(V', W')$ , respecting the natural  $\mathbb{R}^*$  actions on these cones. Moreover, though  $\mathbf{f}_0$  need not respect the  $\mathbb{C}^*$  actions nevertheless the induced map on Borel-Moore homology  $f_*: H_*(W) \rightarrow H_*(W')$  takes the Segre classes of the components of  $C(V, W)$  to  $\pm$ those of the corresponding components of  $C(V', W')$ . In particular we recover the differential invariance of the multiplicity of  $W$  in  $V$ .

**Introduction.** In studying singularities one is interested in invariants, analytic (biholomorphic) or topological. And it can be an occasion for celebration when an analytic invariant turns out to be topological. For example, a famous open problem of Zariski is to determine whether the multiplicity of a hypersurface germ in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is invariant under ambient homeomorphisms.

In between the analytic and topological domains, there is a large and relatively unexplored territory populated by *differential* invariants, i.e, data which are associated to complex spaces and which are always the same for two  $C^s$ -homeomorphic spaces ( $s > 0$ ). The multiplicity of a reduced complex space germ is such a differential invariant, for  $s = 1$  [GL], but not a topological one, even for ambient homeomorphisms of curves in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ .

In this paper we consider a reduced complex space  $V$  with an  $r$ -dimensional connected submanifold  $i: W \hookrightarrow V$ . Assume for simplicity that all the irreducible components of  $V$  have the same dimension, say  $d$ , and that they all properly contain  $W$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the kernel of the natural surjection  $\mathcal{O}_V \rightarrow i_*\mathcal{O}_W$ , let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the graded  $\mathcal{O}_W$ -algebra  $\bigoplus_{m \geq 0} i^*(\mathcal{I}^m/\mathcal{I}^{m+1})$ , and let  $C(V, W) := \text{Specan}(\mathcal{G})$  be the normal cone of  $W$  in  $V$  (see §1), with (reduced, irreducible) components  $(C_j)_{j \in J}$ . The components  $P_j$  of the projectivized normal cone  $P = P(V, W) := \text{Proj}(\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\rho} W$  correspond naturally to those of  $C(V, W)$ . For each  $j$  let  $[P_j] \in H_{2d-2}(P)$  (Borel-Moore homology) be the natural image of the fundamental class of  $P_j$ .  $P$  carries a canonical invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ , with Chern class, say,  $c \in H^2(P, \mathbb{Z})$ . The *Segre class*  $s_i(C_j) \in H_{2r-2i}(W)$  is defined by  $s_i(C_j) := \rho_*([P_j] \cap c^{d-1-r+i})$ .<sup>1</sup>

Our motivating result is that *these Segre classes are, up to sign,  $C^1$  invariants of the pair  $(V, W)$ .* (For a precise statement see Theorem (6.3).)

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32C25, 32S20.

Second author partially supported by the National Security Agency.

<sup>1</sup>When  $V$  and  $W$  are algebraic varieties, this definition connects to the algebraic one in [Fn, Chap. 4] via the cycle map of *ibid.*, §19.1.

We first prove that the normal cone  $C(V, W)$  is a differential invariant, even “as a cycle”: given a second pair  $V' \supset W'$ , then any  $C^1$  homeomorphism  $f: V \rightarrow V'$  with  $f^{-1}$  also  $C^1$  and  $f(W) = W'$  induces a homeomorphism  $\mathbf{f}_0$  from  $C(V, W)$  onto  $C(V', W')$  such that  $\mathbf{f}_0$  maps each irreducible component of  $C(V, W)$  onto one of  $C(V', W')$  having the same multiplicity. (See Theorem (4.3.1); the case where  $W$  is a point was an important part of [GL].) This is shown via the standard deformation (see §2) of  $V$  to  $C(V, W)$ , *restricted however to real parameters  $t$* . (So we have the trivial family  $V_t \cong V$  for  $0 \neq t \in \mathbb{R}$ , together with  $V_0 \cong C(V, W)$ .) Of course the trivial part of this deformation, away from  $t = 0$ , behaves functorially; and one needs to show that the functoriality “extends continuously” to the entire deformation. This is done in Theorem (3.3), via the derivative of  $f$ . In §4 we prove the differential invariance of the multiplicities of the components by interpreting these numbers as intersection multiplicities along the components of  $V_0$ , and noting that such intersection numbers are known to be topological invariants.

Now in order to get at the Segre classes we must pass from  $C(V, W)$  to  $P(V, W)$ , and so we have to quotient out the natural  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action. The problem is that we used the derivative of  $f$  to establish functoriality of  $C(V, W)$ , and that derivative is only *real-linear*. Thus the  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action may not be functorial.

To deal with this problem, we construct in §5 the *relative complexification* of  $C := C(V, W)$  (in fact, of any cone over  $W$ ), an analytic subset  $\tilde{C} \subset C \times_W C$  whose fibers are real-analytically isomorphic to the complexifications of the fibres of  $C$ , at least almost everywhere over  $W$ . This  $\tilde{C}$ , together with a natural real-analytic  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action, is indeed  $C^1$ -functorial (Theorem (5.3.1)). But we have not been able to extract any Segre classes directly from  $\tilde{C}$ . Instead we use the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -stable, analytic subset  $\Lambda(C) \subset \tilde{C}$  consisting of pairs  $(c_1, c_2)$  of points of  $C$  such that one of them lies in the orbit of the other with respect to the natural  $\mathbb{C}^1$  action (reviewed in §1). Using the functoriality of  $\tilde{C}$ , we find that  $\Lambda(C)$  is  $C^1$ -functorial. Furthermore, off its vertex section,  $\Lambda(C)$  together with its induced  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action is topologically isomorphic to the rank two bundle  $\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$  (minus its 0-section) over  $P(V, W)$ . It follows that the Segre classes of the components of this rank two bundle become differential invariants, up to sign, when pushed down from  $P(V, W)$  to  $W$ . These pushed-down classes are easily seen to be the Segre classes  $s_i(C_j)$  such that  $i - 1 - (\text{codimension of } W \text{ in } V)$  is even. The remaining Segre classes can be obtained similarly, just by changing  $(V, W)$  to  $(V \times \mathbb{C}^1, W \times \{0\})$  (which doesn't affect the total Segre class, but changes the codimension by one). For details see §§5–6.

Incidentally, with  $e_j :=$  multiplicity of the component  $C_j$  of  $C := C(V, W)$ , the Segre classes  $s_i(C)$  can be defined by  $s_i(C) := \sum_j e_j s_i(C_j)$  (cf. [Fn, p. 74, Lemma 4.2]; the sums here are “locally finite” with respect to decomposition into irreducible components [BH, p. 465, 1.7].) As above, the  $e_j$  are differential invariants; but because of the sign ambiguity in Theorem (6.3),  $s_i(C)$  may not be a differential invariant—though its image in  $H_*(W, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is.

In particular,  $s_0(C) = m(V, W)[W]$ , where  $m(V, W)$  is the multiplicity of  $W$  in  $V$  [Fn, §4.3]. Hence Theorem (6.3) implies that  $m(V, W)$  is a *differential invariant*. (That is the main result of [GL], where a more straightforward proof is given).

**1. Normal cones.** We begin with a brief review of some facts about normal cones, facts which are “well-known” but not, as a whole, easily accessible in the literature.

(1.1) Let  $(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$  be a reduced complex analytic space, and let  $(W, \mathcal{O}_W)$  be a (not necessarily reduced) complex subspace of  $V$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the kernel of the surjection  $\mathcal{O}_V \rightarrow i_* \mathcal{O}_W$  corresponding to the inclusion  $i: W \hookrightarrow V$ . The graded  $\mathcal{O}_W$ -algebra  $\text{gr}_W(V) := \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} i^*(\mathcal{I}^m / \mathcal{I}^{m+1})$  is finitely presentable, since  $i^*(\mathcal{I}^m / \mathcal{I}^{m+1})$  is coherent for all  $m$  [MT, p. 2, Prop. 1.4]. So one can define the *normal cone*  $C(V, W)$  of  $V$  along  $W$  to be

$$C(V, W) := \text{Specan}(\text{gr}_W(V)).$$

(For the definition of  $\text{Specan}$ , see [Ho, p. 19-02].) This cone is naturally equipped with a map

$$p = p(V, W): C(V, W) \rightarrow W,$$

together with a “vertex” section

$$\sigma = \sigma(V, W): W \rightarrow C(V, W)$$

( $p \circ \sigma = \text{identity}$ ), corresponding, via functoriality of  $\text{Specan}$ , to the obvious maps  $\mathcal{O}_W \rightleftarrows \text{gr}_W(V)$ . Moreover, with  $\mathbb{C}^1$  the affine line there is the map

$$\mu: \mathbb{C}^1 \times C(V, W) \rightarrow C(V, W)$$

corresponding to the map of  $\mathcal{O}_W$ -algebras  $\text{gr}_W(V) \rightarrow \text{gr}_W(V)[T]$  ( $T$  an indeterminate) whose restriction to  $\mathcal{I}^m / \mathcal{I}^{m+1}$  is multiplication by  $T^m$  ( $m \geq 0$ ).

One checks via the corresponding  $\mathcal{O}_W$ -algebra maps that there are commutative diagrams (with “id” standing for “identity” and “mpn” for “multiplication”):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^1 \times C(V, W) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & C(V, W) \\ \text{pr}_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ C(V, W) & \xrightarrow[p]{} & W \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{C}^1 \times (\mathbb{C}^1 \times C(V, W)) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \mu} & \mathbb{C}^1 \times C(V, W) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & C(V, W) \\ \parallel & & & & \parallel \\ (\mathbb{C}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^1) \times C(V, W) & \xrightarrow{\text{mpn} \times \text{id}} & \mathbb{C}^1 \times C(V, W) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & C(V, W) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^0 \times C(V, W) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & C(V, W) & & \mathbb{C}^0 \times C(V, W) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & C(V, W) \\ 1 \times \text{id} \downarrow & & \parallel & & 0 \times \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \circ p \\ \mathbb{C}^1 \times C(V, W) & \xrightarrow[\mu]{} & C(V, W) & & \mathbb{C}^1 \times C(V, W) & \xrightarrow[\mu]{} & C(V, W) \end{array}$$

Restricting attention to underlying point sets, if for  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x \in C(V, W)$  we set  $ax := \mu(a, x)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} p(ax) &= p(x) \\ a_1(a_2x) &= (a_1a_2)x \\ 1x &= x \\ 0x &= \sigma p(x). \end{aligned}$$

*Remark (1.1.1).* The foregoing holds with  $\text{gr}_W(V)$  replaced by any finitely presented graded  $\mathcal{O}_W$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \mathcal{G}_m$  ( $\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{O}_W$ , and every  $\mathcal{G}_m$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_W$ -module).

(1.2) To get a picture of  $p: C(V, W) \rightarrow W$  near a point  $w \in W$ , we embed the triple  $(V, W, w)$  locally into some  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , as follows. In the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{V, w}$  let  $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_s)$  generate the ideal corresponding to the germ of  $W$ . Denoting convergent power series rings by  $\mathbb{C}\langle \dots \rangle$ , pick a surjective  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\alpha: \mathbb{C}\langle T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{r+s} \rangle \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{V, w} \quad (T_i \text{ indeterminates})$$

such that  $\alpha(T_{r+i}) = \tau_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ). Correspondingly, with  $n := r + s$ , there is an open neighborhood  $V^*$  of  $w$  in  $V$ , an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $0$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , a holomorphic map  $\theta: V^* \rightarrow U$ , and holomorphic functions  $\varphi_i: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, e$ ) such that

- (i)  $\theta$  induces an isomorphism of  $V^*$  onto the reduced analytic subspace  $V'$  of  $U$  consisting of the common zeros of the  $\varphi_i$ :

$$V' := \{ z \in U \mid \varphi_1(z) = \varphi_2(z) = \dots = \varphi_e(z) = 0 \}.$$

- (ii)  $\theta$  maps  $W^* := W \cap V^*$  isomorphically onto the analytic space

$$W' := L \cap V' = L \times_{\mathbb{C}^n} V' \subset V'$$

where  $L$  is the reduced  $r$ -dimensional space

$$L := \{ (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in U \mid z_{r+1} = z_{r+2} = \dots = z_n = 0 \}.$$

- (iii)  $\theta(w) = 0$ .

The embedding  $\theta$  induces an isomorphism

$$C(V, W) \times_W W^* = C(V^*, W^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(V', W')$$

compatible with the canonical maps  $p$ ,  $\sigma$ , and  $\mu$ . So let us simply consider the case where  $V = V'$  and  $W = W'$ . Then  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}\mathcal{O}_V$ , where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -ideal generated by the coordinate functions  $\xi_{r+1}, \dots, \xi_n$  (i.e.,  $\xi_h(z_1, \dots, z_n) = z_h$ ).

With  $j: L \hookrightarrow U$  the inclusion, there is an isomorphism of graded  $\mathcal{O}_L$ -algebras

$$\text{gr}_L(U) := \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} j^*(\mathcal{J}^m / \mathcal{J}^{m+1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_L[T_1, \dots, T_s]$$

whose inverse takes  $T_h$  to the section of  $j^*(\mathcal{J} / \mathcal{J}^2)$  given by  $\xi_{r+h}$  ( $1 \leq h \leq s$ ); and so we have an isomorphism

$$C(U, L) \xrightarrow{\sim} (L \times \mathbb{C}^s) \subset (\mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s) = \mathbb{C}^n.$$

This isomorphism identifies  $p(U, L)$  with the projection  $\text{pr}_1: L \times \mathbb{C}^s \rightarrow L$ , and  $\sigma(U, L)$  with the map  $\text{id} \times 0: L \xrightarrow{\sim} L \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow L \times \mathbb{C}^s$ . Furthermore, we have the closed immersion

$$(1.2.1) \quad C(V, W) \hookrightarrow C(U, L)$$

corresponding to the natural surjection  $\text{gr}_L(U) \rightarrow \text{gr}_W(V)$ . There results a commutative diagram, whose horizontal arrows represent embeddings:

$$(1.2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C(V, W) & \longrightarrow & L \times \mathbb{C}^s \subset \mathbb{C}^n \\ p \downarrow \uparrow \sigma & & \text{pr}_1 \downarrow \uparrow \text{id} \times 0 \\ W & \longrightarrow & L \end{array}$$

The action of  $\mathbb{C}^1$  on  $C(V, W)$  (via  $\mu$ ) is induced by the action on  $C(U, L) \cong L \times \mathbb{C}^s$ , easily checked to be given on underlying point sets by

$$(1.2.3) \quad a(x, z) = (x, az) \quad (a \in \mathbb{C}, x \in L, z \in \mathbb{C}^s).$$

In particular, the analytic group  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}^1 - \{0\}$  acts freely on  $C(V, W) - \sigma(W)$ .

The points of  $C(V, W)$ —identified via (1.2.2) with a subvariety of  $W \times \mathbb{C}^s$ —can be specified by equations as follows. Let  $w \in W \subset L$ . For any open neighborhood  $N$  of  $w$  in  $L$ , for  $x \in N$ , and for any polynomial

$$F(T_1, \dots, T_s) \in \Gamma(N, \mathcal{O}_L)[T_1, \dots, T_s],$$

let  $F_x \in \mathbb{C}[T_1, \dots, T_s]$  be the polynomial obtained from  $F$  by evaluating coefficients at  $x$ , and define the function  $\tilde{F}: N \times \mathbb{C}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\tilde{F}(x, y) = F_x(y_1, \dots, y_s) \quad (x \in N, y \in \mathbb{C}^s).$$

Set  $V_N := V \cap (N \times \mathbb{C}^s)$ . (Recall that  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$ .) Then:

**(1.2.4)** The point  $(w, z) \in W \times \mathbb{C}^s$  is in  $C(V, W) \Leftrightarrow$  for every  $m \geq 0$  and for every  $N$  and  $F$  as above with  $F$  homogeneous of degree  $m$ , if the function  $\tilde{F}|_{V_N}$  is in  $\Gamma(V_N, \mathcal{I}^{m+1})$  then  $\tilde{F}(w, z) = 0$ .

The *proof*, an exercise on the definition of Specan, is left to the reader.

*Remark.* The following “initial form” characterization (1.2.5), suggested by [Hi2, p.18, Remark 3.2], is readily seen to be equivalent to the one in (1.2.4). For  $s$ -tuples  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_s)$  of non-negative integers, we set  $|\nu| := \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_s$ ; and for  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_s) \in \mathbb{C}^s$ , we set  $z^\nu := z_1^{\nu_1} z_2^{\nu_2} \dots z_s^{\nu_s}$ .

**(1.2.5)** The point  $(w, z) \in W \times \mathbb{C}^s$  is in  $C(V, W) \Leftrightarrow$  for all open neighborhoods  $N_1$  of  $w$  in  $\mathbb{C}^r$  and  $N_2$  of  $0$  in  $\mathbb{C}^s$ , and for all  $m \geq 0$ , if the holomorphic functions  $f_\nu: N_1 \times N_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are such that  $\sum_{|\nu|=m} f_\nu(x, y) y^\nu = 0$  for all  $(x, y) \in V \cap (N_1 \times N_2)$ , then  $\sum_{|\nu|=m} f_\nu(w, 0) z^\nu = 0$ .

(Equivalently: for all holomorphic functions  $f: N_1 \times N_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  vanishing on  $V \cap (N_1 \times N_2)$  and such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-m} f(x, ty) < \infty$  for all  $(x, y) \in N_1 \times N_2$ , we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-m} f(w, tz) = 0$ .)

**(1.3)** Now here is a geometric description of  $C(V, W)$ . As in (1.2), we identify  $(V, W)$  with  $(V', W') \subset (U, W') \subset (\mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s, \mathbb{C}^r)$ . We denote by  $\pi_f$  the projection  $\mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^s$  (“ $f$ ” stands for “fiber”).

**Proposition.** *The point  $(w, z) \in W \times \mathbb{C}^s = C(U, W)$  is in  $C(V, W)$  iff there exist sequences  $v_i \in V$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$  ( $0 < i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) such that  $v_i \rightarrow w$  and  $a_i \pi_f v_i \rightarrow z$ . Moreover, for any  $(w, z) \in C(V, W)$ , there exist such  $a_i, v_i$  with all the  $a_i$  real and positive.*

*Proof.* Suppose that there are sequences  $v_i \in V$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ , such that  $v_i \rightarrow w$  and  $a_i \pi_f v_i \rightarrow z$ . Set  $v_i = (x_i, y_i)$ , so that  $x_i \rightarrow w$ ,  $y_i \rightarrow 0$ , and  $a_i y_i = a_i \pi_f v_i \rightarrow z$ . With notation as in (1.2.5), we have then (assuming, as we may, that  $v_i \in N_1 \times N_2$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\nu|=m} f_\nu(w, 0) z^\nu &= \lim_i \sum_{|\nu|=m} f_\nu(x_i, y_i) (a_i y_i)^\nu \\ &= \lim_i a_i^m \sum_{|\nu|=m} f_\nu(x_i, y_i) (y_i)^\nu = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(w, z) \in C(V, W)$ .

For the converse, we have the following stronger statement, due to Hironaka [Hi, p. 131, Remark (2.3)].

**Lemma (1.3.1).** *If  $(w, z) \in C(V, W)$  and  $z \neq 0$ , then there exists a real analytic map  $\varphi: (-1, 1) \rightarrow V$  with  $\varphi(0) = w$ ,  $\varphi(t) \notin W$  if  $t \neq 0$ , and such that*

$$z/|z| = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \pi_f \varphi(t) / |\pi_f \varphi(t)|.$$

A variant of Hironaka's proof will be given below, in (2.3).

**2. Specialization to the normal cone.** With  $i: W \hookrightarrow V$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  as in (1.1), consider the graded  $\mathcal{O}_V$ -algebra

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{I}} := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{I}^n T^{-n} \subset \mathcal{O}_V[T, T^{-1}]$$

where  $T$  is an indeterminate and  $\mathcal{I}^n$  is defined to be  $\mathcal{O}_V$  for all  $n \leq 0$ . By [MT, p. 2, Prop. 1.4],  $\mathcal{R}$  is finitely presentable, so we can set

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}_W := \text{Specan}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{I}}).$$

$\mathbf{V}$  is called the *specialization of  $(V, W)$  to  $C(V, W)$* , see [LT, pp. 556–557].

The terminology is explained as follows. We have natural maps

$$W \times \mathbb{C}^1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbf{V} \xrightarrow{\beta} V \times \mathbb{C}^1$$

where  $\alpha$  is the closed immersion corresponding to the obvious  $\mathcal{O}_V$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\mathcal{R} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}T^{-1}\mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{O}_V/\mathcal{I})T^n = i_* \mathcal{O}_W[T],$$

and  $\beta$  corresponds to the  $\mathcal{O}_V$ -algebra inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_V[T] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}$ . Note that  $\beta \circ \alpha$  is the closed immersion  $i \times \text{id}: W \times \mathbb{C}^1 \hookrightarrow V \times \mathbb{C}^1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{t}$  be the composition

$$\mathfrak{t}: \mathbf{V} \xrightarrow{\beta} V \times \mathbb{C}^1 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} \mathbb{C}^1.$$

Denote the fiber  $\mathfrak{t}^{-1}(0)$  by  $\mathbf{V}_0$ .

**Proposition (2.1).** (i) *The map  $\mathfrak{t}$  is flat.*

(ii)  *$\beta$  induces an isomorphism of  $\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}_0$  onto  $V \times (\mathbb{C}^1 - \{0\})$ .*

(iii) *There is a natural commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & C(V, W) & \xrightarrow{p} & W \\ (\text{id}, 0) \downarrow \simeq & & \simeq \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow (i, 0) \\ W \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathbf{V}_0 & \xrightarrow{\beta} & V \times \mathbb{C}^1 \end{array}$$

with  $\sigma$  and  $p$  as in (1.1), and  $\rho$  an isomorphism.

Thus  $\mathfrak{t}$  gives us a flat family of closed immersions, isomorphic to  $i: W \hookrightarrow V$  wherever  $\mathfrak{t} \neq 0$  and to  $\sigma: W \hookrightarrow C(V, W)$  where  $\mathfrak{t} = 0$ .

*Proof.* We have  $\text{pr}^{-1}(0) = V \times \{0\} = \text{Specan}(\mathcal{O}_V[T]/T\mathcal{O}_V[T])$ , and it follows that  $\mathbf{V}_0 = \text{Specan}(\mathcal{R}/T\mathcal{R}) \subset \text{Specan}(\mathcal{R})$ . But there is an obvious isomorphism  $\mathcal{R}/T\mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{I}^n/\mathcal{I}^{n+1}$ , whence an isomorphism  $\rho: C(V, W) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{V}_0$ .

The surjection  $\mathcal{R}/T\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}/(T\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{I}T^{-1}\mathcal{R})$  is naturally identifiable with the obvious surjection of  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{I}^n/\mathcal{I}^{n+1}$  onto its degree 0 component  $i_*\mathcal{O}_W$ ; thus the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $\mathbf{V}_0$  gets identified with  $\sigma: W \hookrightarrow C(V, W)$ , and so the left square in (iii) commutes. The right square commutes because it is obtained by applying the functor  $\text{Specan}$  to a (clearly) commutative diagram of graded  $\mathcal{O}_V$ -algebras.

A morphism of analytic spaces  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$  factors through  $\mathbf{V} - \mathfrak{t}^{-1}(0)$  iff the corresponding map  $\Gamma(\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  sends  $T$  to a unit, i.e.,  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$  factors through  $\mathcal{R}[T^{-1}]$ . Consequently

$$\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}_0 = \text{Specan}(\mathcal{R}[T^{-1}]) = \text{Specan}(\mathcal{O}_V[T, T^{-1}]),$$

and (ii) follows.

In particular, off  $\mathbf{V}_0$  the map  $\mathfrak{t}$  coincides with the projection  $\text{pr}$ , which is flat. Since  $T$  is not a zero-divisor in  $\mathcal{R}$ , therefore the germ of  $\mathfrak{t}$  in the local ring of any point on  $\mathbf{V}_0$  is not a zero-divisor (see e.g., [Ho, p. 19-07, Corollaire]), and so  $\mathfrak{t}$  is flat everywhere along  $\mathbf{V}_0$  too. This proves (i).  $\square$

**(2.2)** Now let us see how the above specialization looks locally.

Assume as in (1.2) that  $(V, W) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{r+s}, \mathbb{C}^r)$ . Let  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r+s}$  be the coordinate functions on  $\mathbb{C}^{r+s}$ , and for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , set  $\eta_i := \xi_{r+i}|_V$ . We embed  $\mathbf{V}$  into  $\mathbb{C}^{r+s+1}$  as follows. There is a surjective  $\mathcal{O}_V$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\psi: \mathcal{O}_V[T'_1, \dots, T'_s, T] \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}$$

with

$$\psi(T'_i) = \eta_i T^{-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq s), \quad \psi(T) = T.$$

Correspondingly, there is an embedding  $\mathbf{V} \hookrightarrow V \times \mathbb{C}^{s+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^{s+1}$ . But for each  $i$ ,  $\eta_i - T'_i T$  is a global section of the kernel of  $\psi$ ; therefore the embedding factors through the subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^{s+1}$  where these functions vanish, i.e., the reduced subspace whose points are of the form  $(x_1, \dots, x_r, ay_1, \dots, ay_s, y_1, \dots, y_s, a)$ , a subspace isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^{r+s+1}$ .

With  $\mathbf{V}$  so regarded as a subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^{r+s+1}$ , the maps  $\alpha: W \times \mathbb{C}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$  and  $\beta: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow V \times \mathbb{C}^1$  are given on underlying point sets by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_r, a) &= (x_1, \dots, x_r, 0, \dots, 0, a) \\ \beta(x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s, a) &= (x_1, \dots, x_r, ay_1, \dots, ay_s, a).\end{aligned}$$

The map  $\mathfrak{t}$  is induced by projection to the last coordinate. For  $a \neq 0$ ,  $\beta$  maps the fiber  $\mathbf{V}_a := \mathfrak{t}^{-1}(a)$  isomorphically onto  $V \times \{a\}$ , i.e.,

$$(2.2.1) \quad \mathbf{V}_a = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s, a) \mid (x_1, \dots, x_r, ay_1, \dots, ay_s) \in V \}.$$

The embedding of  $C(V, W) = \mathbf{V}_0$  in  $\mathbf{V} \subset \mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^{s+1}$  arises from the surjection  $\overline{\psi}$  obtained from  $\psi$  by modding out  $T$ . This  $\overline{\psi}$  factors as

$$\mathcal{O}_V[T'_1, \dots, T'_s] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W[T'_1, \dots, T'_s] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}/T\mathcal{R}.$$

Comparing this embedding to (1.2.1), we find that the underlying point set of  $\mathbf{V}_0$  consists of all  $(w, 0, z, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^1$  with  $(w, z) \in C(V, W)$ , where  $C(V, W)$  is regarded as being embedded into  $\mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$  as in (1.2); and then passing as above from  $\mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^1$  to  $\mathbb{C}^{r+s+1}$ , we can write

$$(2.2.2) \quad \mathfrak{t}^{-1}(0) = \mathbf{V}_0 = \{ (w, z, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^1 \mid (w, z) \in C(V, W) \}.$$

**(2.3).** *To prove (1.3.1),* we first note that since  $\mathfrak{t}$  is flat, therefore  $\mathbf{V}_0$  is nowhere dense in  $\mathbf{V}$ , so that for any point  $(w, z, 0) \in \mathbf{V}_0$ , there exists an analytic map

$$\phi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{V} \quad (\mathbb{D} := \text{unit disc in } \mathbb{C}^1)$$

such that

$$\phi(\mathbb{D} - \{0\}) \subset \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(0) = (w, z, 0).$$

(This follows, e.g., from the Nullstellensatz and from the algebraic fact that in a noetherian local ring  $A$ —like the stalk at  $(w, z, 0)$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{V}}$ —any prime ideal is the intersection of all prime ideals  $\wp$  containing it and such that  $\dim(A/\wp) = 1$ .) Set

$$\phi(\xi) = (\lambda(\xi), \mu(\xi), \tau(\xi)) \in \mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^1 \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{D}).$$

For  $\xi$  sufficiently small,  $\tau(\xi)$  is given by a convergent power series

$$\tau(\xi) = a\xi^q + a_1\xi^{q+1} + \dots \quad (a \neq 0, q > 0).$$

With  $b \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $ab^q$  is real and positive, we have then, for real  $t > 0$ :

$$(2.3.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \tau(bt)/|\tau(bt)| = 1.$$

Assuming, as we may, that  $|b| = 1$ , consider the real analytic map  $\varphi: (-1, 1) \rightarrow V$  given by

$$\varphi(t) = (\lambda(bt), \mu(bt)\tau(bt)) \in V \quad (t \in (-1, 1)),$$

see (2.2.1). Then  $\pi_f \varphi(t) = \mu(bt)\tau(bt)$ ; and since  $\mu(0) = z$  and  $\tau(0) = 0$ , (1.3.1) results from (2.3.1).  $\square$

**3. Differential functoriality of the specialization over  $\mathbb{R}$ .** In this section we look at maps of analytic spaces primarily in terms of underlying topological spaces.

**(3.1).** Let  $\mathfrak{t}: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the specialization of  $(V, W)$  to  $C(V, W)$ , see §2. The *specialization over  $\mathbb{R}$*  (or  *$\mathbb{R}$ -specialization*) of  $(V, W)$  to  $C(V, W)$  is the real analytic space

$$\mathbb{R}\mathbf{V} := \mathbb{R} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{V} = \mathfrak{t}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}).$$

As in §2, we have natural maps

$$W \times \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{R}\mathbf{V} \xrightarrow{\beta} V \times \mathbb{R}.$$

The fibers  $\mathbf{V}_a := \mathfrak{t}^{-1}(a)$  ( $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ) of  $\mathfrak{t}: \mathbb{R}\mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are all real-isomorphic, via  $\beta$ , to  $V$ , except for  $\mathbf{V}_0 \cong C(V, W)$ .

**(3.2)** Let  $(V, W)$  be as in (1.1), and let  $(V', W')$  be another such pair. Define  $\mathfrak{t}': \mathbb{R}\mathbf{V}' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as above (with respect to  $W' \subset V'$ ).

Let  $f: V \rightarrow V'$  be a  $C^1$  (continuously differentiable) map such that  $f(W) \subset W'$ .

We recall the definition of  $C^1$  map. A map  $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is  $C^1$  at  $v \in V$  if for some analytic germ-embedding  $(V, v) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$ , there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of 0 in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  and a  $C^1$  map  $U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  whose restriction to  $V \cap U$  coincides with that of  $g$ . A germ-map  $\gamma: (V, v) \rightarrow (V', v')$  is  $C^1$  if its composition with some embedding  $(V', v') \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^M, 0)$  is  $C^1$  at  $v$ . (If this property of  $\gamma$  holds for one choice of embeddings then it holds for any choice.) Finally, the above map  $f$  is  $C^1$  if its germ at each  $v \in V$  is  $C^1$ .

Define the  $C^1$  map  $\mathbf{f}: \mathbb{R}\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbf{V}' - \mathbf{V}'_0$  to be the composition

$$\mathbb{R}\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}_0 \xrightarrow[\beta]{\sim} V \times (\mathbb{R}^1 - \{0\}) \xrightarrow{f \times \text{id}} V' \times (\mathbb{R}^1 - \{0\}) \xrightarrow[\beta'^{-1}]{\sim} \mathbb{R}\mathbf{V}' - \mathbf{V}'_0.$$

**Theorem (3.3).** *With preceding notation, assume further that  $W$  is a complex submanifold of the analytic space  $V$ . Then the map  $\mathbf{f}$  has a unique extension to a continuous map (still denoted  $\mathbf{f}$ ):  $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbf{V}'$ ; and the following diagram commutes:*

$$(3.3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} W \times \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathbb{R}\mathbf{V} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & V \times \mathbb{R} \\ f \times \text{id} \downarrow & & \mathbf{f} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \times \text{id} \\ W' \times \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & \mathbb{R}\mathbf{V}' & \xrightarrow{\beta'} & V' \times \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

In particular,  $\mathfrak{t}' \circ \mathbf{f} = \mathfrak{t}$ . The restriction  $\mathbf{f}_0$  of  $\mathbf{f}$  to  $\mathbf{V}_0 = C(V, W)$  is a continuous map from  $C(V, W)$  to  $C(V', W')$ , fitting into a commutative diagram

$$(3.3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} \times C(V, W) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \mathbf{f}_0} & \mathbb{R} \times C(V', W') \\ \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ C(V, W) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{f}_0} & C(V', W') \\ p \downarrow \uparrow \sigma & & p' \downarrow \uparrow \sigma' \\ W & \xrightarrow{f} & W' \end{array}$$

see (1.1), and for each  $w \in W$ , the restriction of  $\mathbf{f}_0$  to  $p^{-1}(w)$  is real-analytic.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup>See also Remark (5.4.3) below.

*Proof.* The assertions need only be verified near an arbitrary point  $\nu \in \mathbf{V}_0$ , so we can introduce coordinates as in (2.2). To be more precise, let  $\pi: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow V$  be the canonical map, corresponding to the inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_V \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}$ ; and define  $\pi': \mathbf{V}' \rightarrow V'$  similarly. Let  $w := \pi(\nu) = p\rho^{-1}(\nu) \in W$  (see (2.1), noting that  $\pi$  is  $\beta$  followed by the projection  $V \times \mathbb{C}^1 \rightarrow V$ ), and let  $w' := f(w) \in W'$ . Choose neighborhoods  $V^*$  of  $w$  in  $V$  and  $V'^*$  of  $w'$  in  $V'$  such that  $f(V^*) \subset V'^*$  and such that  $(V^*, W \cap V^*, w)$  and  $(V'^*, W' \cap V'^*, w')$  can be embedded into  $(\mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s, \mathbb{C}^r, 0)$  and  $(\mathbb{C}^{r'} \times \mathbb{C}^{s'}, \mathbb{C}^{r'}, 0)$  respectively, as in (1.2). Then  $\mathbf{V}^* := \pi^{-1}(V^*)$  is the specialization of  $V^*$  to  $C(V^*, W \cap V^*)$ . From the definition of  $\mathbf{f}$  and the relation between  $\beta$  and  $\pi$ , we see that  $f\pi = \pi'\mathbf{f}$ , so that  $\mathbf{f}$  maps  ${}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V}^* - \mathbf{V}_0$  into  $\mathbf{V}'^* := \pi'^{-1}(V'^*)$ . Hence we may—and do—assume that  $(V, V', \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}') = (V^*, V'^*, \mathbf{V}^*, \mathbf{V}'^*)$ , coordinatized as in (1.2) and (2.2). We may assume further, because  $W$  is a *submanifold* of  $V$ , that  $W$  is actually identical with the flat space  $L$  in (1.2).

Uniqueness of the extension holds because  ${}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}_0$  is dense in  ${}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V}$ , as follows via (2.2.1) and (2.2.2) from Proposition (1.3): setting  $v_i = (x_i, y_i)$  there, and with  $a_i$  real and positive, the sequence  $(x_i, a_i y_i, a_i^{-1})$  in  ${}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}_0$  has limit  $(w, z, 0)$ . (Since  $y_i \rightarrow 0$ , therefore  $a_i \rightarrow \infty$  if  $z \neq 0$ ; and if  $z = 0$  then we can take  $y_i = 0$  and  $a_i = i$  for all  $i$ .)

Commutativity of the right half of (3.3.1) can be checked on the dense set  ${}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}_0$ , where it holds by the definition of  $\mathbf{f}$ . The left half can be also be checked outside of  $\mathbf{V}_0$  (since  $W \times (\mathbb{R} - \{0\})$  is dense in  $W \times \mathbb{R}$ ), and there it is obvious because  $\beta'$  is bijective and  $\beta \circ \alpha = i \times \text{id}$ , etc., see §2.

Now let us show that the asserted extension of  $\mathbf{f}$  exists. The question comes down to the existence, for each  $\nu \in \mathbf{V}_0$ , of a point  $\nu' \in \mathbf{V}'$  such that every sequence  $(\nu_i)_{i>0}$  in  ${}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}_0$  with  $\nu_i \rightarrow \nu$  satisfies  $\lim \mathbf{f}(\nu_i) = \nu'$ . After embedding  ${}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V}$  into  $\mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{R}^1$  as above, we have  $\nu = (0, z, 0)$  for some  $z \in \mathbb{C}^s$ , and  $\nu_i = (x_i, y_i, a_i)$ . The description of  $\beta$  preceding (2.2.1) gives an expression for  $\mathbf{f}$  in coordinates:

$$\mathbf{f}(x, y, a) = (\xi, a^{-1}\eta, a), \quad \text{where } (\xi, \eta) := f(x, ay).$$

The question thus becomes whether the sequence  $\mathbf{f}(x_i, y_i, a_i) = (\xi_i, a_i^{-1}\eta_i, a_i)$  has a limit depending only on  $z$ . Since  $x_i \rightarrow 0$ ,  $y_i \rightarrow z$ , and  $a_i \rightarrow 0$ , and since  $f$  is continuous, therefore  $(\xi_i, \eta_i) \rightarrow f(0, 0) = (0, 0)$ , so that  $\xi_i \rightarrow 0$ . It remains to investigate  $\lim a_i^{-1}\eta_i$ .

By the definition of  $\mathbb{C}^1$  map, there exists a neighborhood  $U^*$  of  $(0, 0)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$  and a  $\mathbb{C}^1$  map  $F: U^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{r'} \times \mathbb{C}^{s'}$  agreeing with  $f$  on  $V \cap U^*$ . To simplify, we multiply  $F$  by a  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$  function  $\psi: \mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which takes the value 1 on a small neighborhood  $U_1$  of  $(0, 0)$  and vanishes outside a compact subset  $\bar{U}$  of  $U^*$ ; then after replacing  $V$  by  $V \cap U_1$ , and  $F$  by the extension of  $\psi F$  which takes the value  $(0, 0)$  outside  $\bar{U}$ , we may assume that  $U^* = \mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$ . We may also assume that  $F(\mathbb{C}^r \times \{0\}) \subset \mathbb{C}^{r'} \times \{0\}$  (take  $U_1 \subset U$  where  $U$  is as in (1.2), recall that  $L = W$ , see above, and that  $f(W) \subset W'$ ).

Denote the derivative of  $F$  at  $(x, y)$ —a real-linear map from  $\mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$  to  $\mathbb{C}^{r'} \times \mathbb{C}^{s'}$ —by  $DF_{(x,y)}$ . Set  $F(x_i, 0) =: (x'_i, 0)$ . Let  $\text{pr}_2: \mathbb{C}^{r'} \times \mathbb{C}^{s'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{s'}$  be the projection, let  $q^j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq 2s'$ ) be the real coordinate functions on  $\mathbb{C}^{s'}$ , and set  $F^j := q^j \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ F$ . We are concerned with the limits (as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ):

$$\lim_i q^j(a_i^{-1}\eta_i) = \lim_i a_i^{-1} q^j \text{pr}_2((\xi_i, \eta_i) - (x'_i, 0)) = \lim_i a_i^{-1} (F^j(x_i, a_i y_i) - F^j(x_i, 0)).$$

But  $a_i$  being *real*, the Mean Value Theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_i a_i^{-1} (F^j(x_i, a_i y_i) - F^j(x_i, 0)) &= \lim_i DF_{(x_i, b_{ij} a_i y_i)}^j(0, y_i) \quad (0 < b_{ij} < 1) \\ &= DF_{(0,0)}^j(0, z), \end{aligned}$$

the last equality by continuity of  $DF$  (needed only at points of  $W$ ). Thus, the extended  $\mathbf{f}$  exists.

It is clear that  $\mathbf{f}$  maps  $\mathbf{V}_0$  into  $\mathbf{V}'_0$ . Commutativity of (3.3.2) follows, via (2.2.2), (1.2.2), and (1.2.3), from the description of  $\mathbf{f}_0$  entailed by the foregoing, viz.

$$(3.3.3) \quad \mathbf{f}_0(0, z, 0) = (0, \text{pr}_2 DF_{(0,0)}(z), 0).$$

This description also shows that the restriction of  $\mathbf{f}_0$  to  $p^{-1}(w)$  is real-analytic (even *real-linear* in these coordinates).  $\square$

For any subvariety (i.e., reduced analytic subspace)  $V_1$  of  $V$ , set  $W_1 := W \times_V V_1$ , so that the deformation of  $V_1$  to  $C(V_1, W_1)$  is canonically embedded in  $\mathbf{V}$ . If in the preceding proof we have  $(0, z, 0) \in C(V_1, W_1)$ , then by (1.3), we can choose  $(x_i, y_i, a_i) \rightarrow (0, z, 0)$  such that  $(x_i, a_i y_i) \in V_1$ , and consequently:

**Corollary (3.4).** *If  $V_1$  and  $V'_1$  are subvarieties of  $V$  and  $V'$  respectively, and if  $f(V_1) \subset V'_1$ , then  $\mathbf{f}_0$  maps  $C(V_1, W_1)$  continuously into  $C(V'_1, W'_1)$ .*

*Remark (3.5).* The same proof as in (3.3) shows that the  $C^1$  map  $\mathbf{F}$  defined by

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y, a) := (\xi, a^{-1}\eta, a) \quad ((\xi, \eta) := F(x, ay)) \quad (x \in \mathbb{C}^r, y \in \mathbb{C}^s, 0 \neq a \in \mathbb{R})$$

extends continuously to a map (still denoted  $\mathbf{F}$ ) from  $\mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{C}^{r'} \times \mathbb{C}^{s'} \times \mathbb{R}$  such that  $t' \circ \mathbf{F} = t$ , where now  $t$  and  $t'$  denote the respective projections to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**4. Multiplicities of components of  $C(V, W)$ .** By *component* of a complex analytic space  $Z$  (not necessarily reduced) is meant an irreducible component of the reduced space  $Z_{\text{red}}$ . Let  $Y$  be such a component, with inclusion map  $j: Y \hookrightarrow Z$ , and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the defining  $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -ideal of  $Y$ , i.e., the kernel of the natural map  $\mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow j_* \mathcal{O}_Y$ . Let  $y$  be any point of  $Y$ . Then the stalk  $\mathcal{P}_y$  is an intersection of finitely many minimal prime ideals  $P_i$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{Z,y}$ , corresponding to the local components of  $Y$  at  $y$ .

**Proposition-Definition (4.1).** *The length  $e$  of the local artin ring  $(\mathcal{O}_{Z,y})_{P_i}$  depends only on  $Y$ , and not on  $y$  or  $P_i$ . This integer is called the multiplicity of  $Y$  in  $Z$ , and denoted  $e_{Y,Z}$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $n \geq 0$ , set  $\mathcal{G}_n := j^*(\mathcal{P}^n / \mathcal{P}^{n+1})$ , a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module. Let  $k$  be the residue field of  $(\mathcal{O}_{Z,y})_{P_i}$ , and set

$$e_n := \dim_k(\mathcal{G}_{n,y}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}} k,$$

so that  $e_n = 0$  for  $n \gg 0$  and  $e = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n$ . Then by [Ho, p.20-10, Prop.6] the module  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is locally free of rank  $e_n$  outside a nowhere dense analytic subspace of  $Y$ . Thus  $e_n$  (for given  $n$ ), and hence  $e$ , depends only on  $Y$ .  $\square$

*Remark (4.1.1).* If  $U$  is an open subset of  $Z$ , and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_r$  are the components of  $Y \cap U$ , then clearly  $e_{Y_i, U} = e_{Y, Z}$  for all  $i$ .

**(4.2).** Let  $(V, W)$  be as in (1.1), and let  $(V_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be the family of all components of  $V$ . For each  $\lambda$ , let  $W_\lambda := (W \times_V V_\lambda) \subset V_\lambda$ , and let  $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$  be the defining  $\mathcal{O}_{V_\lambda}$ -ideal of  $W_\lambda$ . Set

$$\mathcal{R}_\lambda := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n T^{-n} \subset \mathcal{O}_{V_\lambda}[T, T^{-1}]$$

and

$$\mathbf{V}_\lambda := \text{Specan}(\mathcal{R}_\lambda),$$

the specialization of  $(V_\lambda, W_\lambda)$  to  $C(V_\lambda, W_\lambda)$ . With notation as in §2, there is an obvious commutative diagram, whose vertical arrows are closed immersions:

$$(4.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} W_\lambda \times \mathbb{C}^1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_\lambda} & \mathbf{V}_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\beta_\lambda} & V_\lambda \times \mathbb{C}^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W \times \mathbb{C}^1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathbf{V} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & V \times \mathbb{C}^1 \end{array}$$

The  $\mathbf{V}_\lambda$  are all the components of  $\mathbf{V}$ : this need only be verified outside the nowhere dense analytic subset  $\mathbf{V}_0$ , where it follows from (2.1)(ii).

With  $\mathfrak{t}_\lambda$  the restriction of  $\mathfrak{t}$  to  $\mathbf{V}_\lambda$  we have

$$C(V, W) = \mathfrak{t}^{-1}(0) = \bigcup_{\lambda} \mathfrak{t}_\lambda^{-1}(0) = \bigcup_{\lambda} C_\lambda(V_\lambda, W_\lambda).$$

Now  $W$  is covered by open subsets  $U \subset V$  meeting only finitely many  $V_\lambda$ , and for such a  $U$ ,  $p^{-1}(U) \subset C(V, W)$  meets  $C(V_\lambda, W_\lambda)$  only for those same  $\lambda$ ; so the family  $C(V_\lambda, W_\lambda)$  is locally finite in  $C(V, W)$ . Hence every component of  $C(V, W)$  is a component of  $C(V_\lambda, W_\lambda)$  for at least one and at most finitely many  $\lambda$ . Conversely, if  $\dim V_\lambda = \dim V$  then every component of  $C(V_\lambda, W_\lambda)$  is a component of  $C(V, W)$  (since  $\dim C(V, W) = \dim V$ , by (2.1)).

**Proposition (4.2.2).** *Assume that  $V$  is equidimensional, i.e., all the components  $V_\lambda$  of  $V$  have the same dimension. Let  $C_*$  be a component of  $C(V, W)$ . Then*

$$e_{C_*, C(V, W)} = \sum_{\lambda}^* e_{C_*, C(V_\lambda, W_\lambda)}$$

the sum being over all  $\lambda$  such that  $C_*$  is a component of  $C(V_\lambda, W_\lambda)$ .

*Proof.* Note that after fixing  $y \in C_*$  we can replace  $V$  by any open subset  $V^*$  containing  $p(y)$  ( $p: C(V, W) \rightarrow W$  the canonical map): first, by (4.1.1), the component of  $C_* \cap p^{-1}(W \cap V^*)$  containing  $y$  has multiplicity  $e_{C_*, C(V, W)}$  in  $p^{-1}(W \cap V^*) = C(V^*, W \cap V^*)$ , and similarly for  $C(V_\lambda \cap V^*, W_\lambda \cap V^*)$ ; and second, though  $V_\lambda \cap V^*$  may no longer be irreducible, that doesn't matter because (4.2.2) is clearly equivalent to a similar statement in which we assume only that  $V = \bigcup V_\lambda$  where each  $V_\lambda$  is a union of components of  $V$  (all having the same dimension as  $V$ ) and no two  $V_\lambda$  have a common component. So pick  $V^*$  as in (1.2), and embed  $\mathbf{V}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{r+s+1}$  as in (2.2).

Now let  $B_*$  be a local component of  $C_*$  at  $y$ , and let  $P$  be the prime ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{V},y}$  consisting of germs of functions vanishing on  $B_*$ . Let  $t \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{V},y}$  be the germ of the function  $\mathbf{t}: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , so that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{V},y}/(t) = \mathcal{O}_{C(V,W),y}$ , see (2.1). Then  $e_{C_*,C(V,W)}$  is, by definition, the length of the artin local ring  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{V},y}/(t))_P$ , i.e., (since  $\mathbf{t}$  is flat and hence  $t$  is not a zero-divisor in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{V},y}$ ) the multiplicity of the ideal  $t(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{V},y})_P$ . But by the equality of algebraic and topological intersection numbers (see e.g., [GL, p.184, Fact]), that multiplicity is the intersection number  $i((\mathbb{C}^{r+s} \times \{0\}) \cdot \mathbf{V}, C_*)$  defined in [BH, p.482, 4.4]. (The intersection takes place in  $\mathbb{C}^{r+s+1}$ .) Similarly, with  $\mathbf{V}_\lambda \subset \mathbf{V}$  as in (4.2.1), we have  $e_{C_*,C(V_\lambda,W_\lambda)} = i((\mathbb{C}^{r+s} \times \{0\}) \cdot \mathbf{V}_\lambda, C_*)$ . So the conclusion results from the equality

$$i((\mathbb{C}^{r+s} \times \{0\}) \cdot \mathbf{V}, C_*) = \sum_{\lambda}^* i((\mathbb{C}^{r+s} \times \{0\}) \cdot \mathbf{V}_\lambda, C_*)$$

given in [BH, p.483].  $\square$

**(4.3)** Suppose next that we have two equidimensional reduced analytic spaces  $V$  and  $V'$ , along with complex submanifolds  $W \subset V$  and  $W' \subset V'$ . We consider a situation as in §3, where there is a  $C^1$  map  $f: (V, W) \rightarrow (V', W')$ ; and we assume that  $f$  is *invertible*, i.e., that there is a  $C^1$  map  $g: (V', W') \rightarrow (V, W)$  such that  $f \circ g$  and  $g \circ f$  are both identity maps. Then by Theorem (3.3),  $f$  and  $g$  naturally induce inverse homeomorphisms  $\mathbf{f}$  and  $\mathbf{g}$  between  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{V}'$ , restricting to homeomorphisms  $\mathbf{f}_0$  and  $\mathbf{g}_0$  between the respective subspaces  $C(V, W)$  and  $C(V', W')$ .<sup>3</sup>

**Theorem (4.3.1).** *Under the preceding circumstances, the homeomorphism  $\mathbf{f}_0$  gives a one-one multiplicity-preserving correspondence between the components of  $C(V, W)$  and those of  $C(V', W')$ .*

*Proof.* The one-one correspondence obtains because any homeomorphism of analytic spaces maps each component of the source onto a component of the target, [GL, p.172, (A8)]. We need to show that corresponding components  $C_*$  and  $C'_*$  have the same multiplicity (in  $C(V, W)$ ,  $C(V', W')$  respectively). The proof which follows is essentially the same as that in [GL, §D], to which we refer for more details.

Let  $y \in C_* \subset \mathbf{V}_0$ , and,  $\pi: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow V$  being the canonical map, let  $v := \pi(y)$ . Using (4.1.1), and arguing as in the beginning of the proof of (3.3), we find that we may replace  $\mathbf{V}$  by  $\pi^{-1}(V^*)$  where  $V^*$  is an “embeddable” neighborhood of  $v$  (i.e.,  $V^*$  is as in (1.2)) such that  $V'^* := f(V^*)$  is also embeddable; and we may replace  $\mathbf{V}'$  by  $\pi^{-1}(V'^*)$ . Thus we reduce to where  $V$  and  $V'$  are embedded in some  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}^{n'}$  respectively, with  $W = L$ , see (1.2), and similarly for  $W'$ . Then as in the proof of (3.3) we can assume, after replacing  $V$  by a smaller neighborhood of  $v$  if necessary, that there is a  $C^1$  map  $F_n: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n'}$  agreeing with  $f$  on  $V$ ; and similarly assume that there is a  $C^1$  map  $G_{n'}: \mathbb{C}^{n'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  agreeing with  $g$  on  $V'$ . We

---

<sup>3</sup>Continuity of the derivative of  $f$  (resp.  $g$ ) need only hold at points of  $W$  (resp.  $W'$ ), see proof of (3.3).

then define inverse  $C^1$  maps

$$\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^{n'} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{array} \mathbb{C}^{n'} \times \mathbb{C}^n$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &:= (y + F_n(x), x - G_{n'}(y + F_n(x))), \\ G(x', y') &:= (y' + G_{n'}(x'), x' - F_n(y' + G_{n'}(x'))), \end{aligned}$$

and verify that for  $x \in V$  (resp.  $x' \in V'$ ) we have

$$F(x, 0) = (f(x), 0) \quad \text{resp.} \quad G(x', 0) = (g(x'), 0).$$

Hence, if we embed  $V$  and  $V'$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+n'}$  by

$$V \xrightarrow{\sim} V \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^{n'} \quad \text{resp.} \quad V' \xrightarrow{\sim} V' \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n'} \times \mathbb{C}^n,$$

and correspondingly embed  ${}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V}$  and  ${}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V}'$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+n'} \times \mathbb{R}$ , see (2.2), then (3.5) gives us *inverse homeomorphisms*

$$\mathbb{C}^{n+n'} \times \mathbb{R} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathbf{G}} \end{array} \mathbb{C}^{n+n'} \times \mathbb{R}$$

with  $\mathbf{F}({}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V}) \subset {}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V}'$  and  $\mathbf{G}({}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V}') \subset {}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V}$ . And finally, in view of (4.2.2) and (3.4) we can replace  $V$  by a component  $V_\lambda$ , i.e., we may assume  $V$  to be irreducible, of dimension, say,  $d$ .

Now the underlying idea is that, as we have just seen, the multiplicity of a component is an intersection multiplicity, and as such should be invariant under the homeomorphism  $\mathbf{F}$ . Technical complications arise from working with  ${}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V}$  rather than with  $\mathbf{V}$  (which has been necessitated by the real derivatives of  $f$  and  $g$  being not necessarily complex-linear).

Setting  $N := n + n'$ , we first deduce from [BH, p. 475, 2.15], applied to the inclusion of  $\mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{R}^1$  (with fixed orientation) into  $\mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{C}^1$ , and to the smooth locus  $U$  of  $\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}_0$  (which has real codimension  $\geq 2$  in  $Y := \mathbf{V}$ ), that  ${}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V}$  has a fundamental class  $\rho$  in the Borel-Moore homology  $H_{2d+1}({}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V})$ . Using the projection formula, we see further that  $\pm\rho$  is the intersection of the fundamental classes of  $\mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{R}$  and of  $\mathbf{V}$ . (Strictly speaking, the intersection class lies in  $H_{2d+1}^\Phi(\mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{C}^1)$  where  $\Phi$  is the family of closed subsets of  ${}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V}$ ; but that group is canonically isomorphic to  $H_{2d+1}({}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V})$ .)<sup>4</sup> Then associativity of the intersection product and the relation

$$\mathbf{V}_0 = (\mathbb{C}^N \times \{0\}) \cap {}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V} = (\mathbb{C}^N \times i\mathbb{R}^1) \cap {}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V} = (\mathbb{C}^N \times i\mathbb{R}^1) \cap (\mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{R}^1) \cap \mathbf{V} \quad (i = \sqrt{-1})$$

show that  $e_{C_*, C(V, W)}$  is the intersection number  $i((\mathbb{C}^N \times \{0\}) \cdot {}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V}, C_*)$  (in  $\mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{R}^1$ ), see [GL, p. 176, (B.5.2)]. Given the topological invariance (up to sign) of intersection numbers, the principal remaining problem is to show that the map

<sup>4</sup>Cf. [GL, p. 175, (B.3.5)], where the second  $\overline{S}$  ( $= {}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{V}$ ) should be  $S$  ( $= \mathbf{V}$ ).

$\mathbf{F}_* : H_{2d+1}(\mathbb{R}\mathbf{V}) \rightarrow H_{2d+1}(\mathbb{R}\mathbf{V}')$  induced by  $\mathbf{F}$  takes  $\rho$  to  $\pm$  the fundamental class  $\rho'$  of  $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{V}'$ . (The corresponding statement for  $\mathbb{C}^N \times \{0\}$  is straightforward.) One can proceed as in [GL, §(D.4)]. Another way, since  $\mathbf{F}_*$  is an isomorphism, is to show that

$$H_{2d+1}(\mathbb{R}\mathbf{V}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \cong H_{2d+1}(\mathbb{R}\mathbf{V}'),$$

generated, necessarily, by  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  respectively. This we now do.

Recall that for any locally compact space  $X$ , there are canonical isomorphisms

$$H_i(X \times \mathbb{R}^1) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{i-1}(X) \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

These arise, upon identification of  $\mathbb{R}^1$  with the open unit interval  $(0, 1)$ , from the following exact sequence associated to the inclusion of the pair of points  $\{0, 1\}$  into the closed unit interval  $I := [0, 1]$ , see [BH, p. 465, 1.6]:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow H_i(X) \oplus H_i(X) &\xrightarrow{\alpha} H_i(X \times I) \longrightarrow H_i(X \times \mathbb{R}^1) \\ &\xrightarrow{\beta} H_{i-1}(X) \oplus H_{i-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\gamma} H_{i-1}(X \times I) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

The point is that the (proper) projection  $X \times I \rightarrow X$ , being a homotopy equivalence, induces for every  $i$  an isomorphism  $H_i(X \times I) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_i(X)$ , whose inverse is given by  $H_i(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_i(X \times \{a\}) \rightarrow H_i(X \times I)$  for any  $a \in I$  [BH, p. 465, 1.5]; hence  $\alpha$  is surjective, and  $\beta$  maps  $H_i(X \times \mathbb{R}^1)$  isomorphically onto the kernel of  $\gamma$ , which is isomorphic to  $H_{i-1}(X)$  (diagonally embedded in  $\oplus$ ).

As a corollary, we note that for any integers  $i \neq j$ , with  $j \geq 0$ , we have

$$(4.3.2) \quad H_i(\mathbb{R}^j) \cong H_{i-j}(\mathbb{R}^0) = 0,$$

the last equality by [BH, p. 464, 1.3]. (Similarly,  $H_j(\mathbb{R}^j) = \mathbb{Z}$ .)

Now consider the exact sequence

$$0 = H_{2d+1}(\mathbf{V}_0) \longrightarrow H_{2d+1}(\mathbb{R}\mathbf{V}) \longrightarrow H_{2d+1}(\mathbb{R}\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}_0) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_{2d}(\mathbf{V}_0) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} H_{2d}(\mathbb{R}\mathbf{V})$$

see [BH, p. 465, 1.6]. Note that  $\mathbf{V}_0$  has complex dimension  $d$ , by (2.1)(i), hence cohomological dimension  $2d$  [BH, p. 475, 3.1], whence the vanishing of  $H_{2d+1}(\mathbf{V}_0)$  see [BH, p. 467, (1)]. By (2.1)(ii),  $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}_0$  is homeomorphic to the disjoint union of two copies of  $V \times \mathbb{R}^1$ . Since  $V$  is, by assumption, irreducible, we have

$$H_{2d+1}(V \times \mathbb{R}^1) \cong H_{2d}(V) \cong \mathbb{Z},$$

the first isomorphism as above, the second by [BH, p. 476, 3.3]. Thus  $H_{2d+1}(\mathbb{R}\mathbf{V})$  is free, of rank 1 or 2. (The rank is  $> 0$  because  $\rho \neq 0$ , since as above,  $\rho$  gives rise via intersection to  $e_{C_*, C(V, W)} > 0$ .) Moreover,  $H_{2d}(\mathbf{V}_0)$  is torsion-free [BH, p. 482, 4.3]. It will therefore suffice to show that  $\delta$  is not the zero map. We do this by noting, with  $[C_\mu]$  the fundamental class of the component  $C_\mu$  of  $C(V, W) = \mathbf{V}_0$ , that

$$(4.3.3) \quad \epsilon \left( \sum_{\mu} \pm e_{C_\mu, C(V, W)} [C_\mu] \right) = 0.$$

Indeed, with the right choice of  $\pm$ , the left side is the image under  $\epsilon$  of the intersection class  $(\mathbb{C}^N \times \{0\}) \cdot \mathbb{R}\mathbf{V}$  (see above). But by compatibility of intersections with “enlargement of families of supports” [BH, p. 468, 1.12], we have a commutative diagram, where  $H^Z(-)$  stands for the Borel-Moore homology of  $\mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{R}^1$  with supports in closed subsets of  $Z$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{2N}^{\mathbb{C}^N \times \{0\}}(-) \times H_{2d+1}^{\mathbb{R}\mathbf{V}}(-) & \xrightarrow{\text{intersect}} & H_{2d}^{\mathbf{V}_0}(-) = H_{2d}(\mathbf{V}_0) \\ \text{natural} \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon \\ H_{2N}^{\mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{R}^1}(-) \times H_{2d+1}^{\mathbb{R}\mathbf{V}}(-) & \xrightarrow{\text{intersect}} & H_{2d}^{\mathbb{R}\mathbf{V}}(-) = H_{2d}(\mathbb{R}\mathbf{V}) \end{array}$$

in which the lower left corner vanishes, by (4.3.2); and (4.3.3) results.  $\square$

### 5. Relative complexification of the normal cone.

We now construct the *relative complexification* of a cone  $C$ , and for  $C = C(V, W)$  establish  $\mathbb{C}^1$  functorial properties of this complexification (Theorem (5.3.1)).

Let  $C$  be a cone over a complex space  $W$ , i.e.,  $C = \text{Specan}(\mathcal{G})$  for some finitely presented graded  $\mathcal{O}_W$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ , see (1.1.1). Assume that all the irreducible components of  $C$  have the same dimension, and that all the fibers of the canonical map  $C \rightarrow W$  have positive dimension. For example, if  $V \supset W$  is as in (1.1), with  $V$  equidimensional and  $W$  nowhere dense in  $V$ , then (2.1) implies that  $C(V, W)$  is equidimensional, of dimension  $\dim C = \dim V > \dim W$ , and hence the fibers of  $p: C(V, W) \rightarrow W$  are all positive-dimensional.

Recall that a subset of a complex space  $X$  is *Zariski-open* if its complement is an analytic subset of  $X$ . (Analytic subsets of  $X$  are understood to be *closed*, defined locally by the vanishing of sections of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ .)

**Lemma (5.1).** *There exists a unique analytic subset  $\tilde{C}$  of  $C \times_W C$  such that with  $\tilde{p}: \tilde{C} \rightarrow W$  the natural composition  $\tilde{C} \hookrightarrow C \times_W C \rightarrow W$ ,*

- (i) *for any open dense  $U \subset W$ ,  $\tilde{p}^{-1}(U)$  is dense in  $\tilde{C}$ ; and*
- (ii) *there is a dense Zariski-open subset  $W_0$  of  $W$  such that for every  $w \in W_0$ , the reduced fiber  $\tilde{C}_w := \tilde{p}^{-1}(w)_{\text{red}}$  is*

$$\tilde{C}_w = \bigcup_{i \in I_w} C_w^i \times C_w^i \subset C \times_W C,$$

$(C_w^i)_{i \in I_w}$  *being the family of irreducible components of the cone  $C_w := p^{-1}(w)$ .*

*In fact  $\tilde{C}$  is a union of irreducible components of  $C \times_W C$ , and so is stable under the natural  $\mathbb{C}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^1$  action (given by  $\mu$  in (1.1.1)).*

We will call  $\tilde{p}: \tilde{C} \rightarrow W$  the *relative complexification* of  $p: C \rightarrow W$ . That’s because for almost all  $w \in W$  (e.g.,  $w \in W_0$ ),  $\tilde{C}_w$  is real-analytically isomorphic to a reduced complexification of  $(C_w)_{\text{red}}$ , see (5.3.0). For example, if  $\mathcal{G}$  is the symmetric algebra of a finite-rank locally free  $\mathcal{O}_W$ -module, i.e.,  $C$  is a complex vector bundle over  $W$ , then  $\tilde{C} = C \times_W C$  together with the natural addition on the fibers and the  $\mathbb{C}^1$  action specified immediately before Thm. (5.3.1) below, is just the usual complexification of the real vector bundle underlying  $C$ .

*Proof.* Uniqueness is immediate: if  $(\tilde{C}', W'_0)$  and  $(\tilde{C}'', W''_0)$  are two pairs satisfying the conditions of (5.1), then  $W'_0 \cap W''_0$  is open and dense in  $W$ , and so  $\tilde{C}'$  and  $\tilde{C}''$  are both equal to the closure in  $C \times_W C$  of

$$\bigcup_{w \in W'_0 \cap W''_0} \left( \bigcup_{i \in I_w} C_w^i \times C_w^i \right).$$

As for existence, with  $\sigma: W \rightarrow C$  as in (1.1.1) let  $C^*$  be the reduced space  $C_{\text{red}} - \sigma(W)$ , on which  $\mathbb{C}^*$  acts freely, preserving fibers of  $p$ ; and set

$$P := C^*/\mathbb{C}^* = \text{Projan}(\mathcal{G})_{\text{red}}.$$

(Projan is constructed, in analogy with Specan, by pasting together subspaces of relative projective spaces  $W_\alpha \times \mathbb{P}^{N_\alpha}$ , with  $(W_\alpha)$  a suitable open cover of  $W$ .) Let  $\bar{P}$  be the normalization of  $P$ , and let

$$\phi: \bar{P} \rightarrow W, \quad \Phi: \bar{P} \times_W \bar{P} \rightarrow W$$

be the natural maps, both of which are proper. Consider the commutative diagram

$$(5.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{P} & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \bar{P} \times_W \bar{P} \\ \phi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi' \\ \text{Specan}(\phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{P}}) =: S & \xrightarrow{\delta} & T := \text{Specan}(\Phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{P} \times_W \bar{P}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W & \xlongequal{\quad} & W \end{array}$$

whose sides are the Stein factorizations of  $\phi$  and  $\Phi$  respectively [Fi, p. 71], where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal map, and where  $\delta$  corresponds to the natural map of  $\mathcal{O}_W$ -algebras

$$\Phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{P} \times_W \bar{P}} \rightarrow \Phi_* \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{P}} = \phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{P}}.$$

Since  $S$  is proper over  $W$ , the map  $\delta$  is proper (in fact, finite), and so  $\delta(S)$  is an analytic subset of  $T$ . Let  $\bar{Z} := \Phi'^{-1} \delta(S)$ , an analytic subset of  $\bar{P} \times_W \bar{P}$ .<sup>5</sup> Let  $\tilde{Z}$  be the image of  $\bar{Z}$  under the natural finite map  $\bar{P} \times_W \bar{P} \rightarrow P \times_W P$ , so that  $\tilde{Z}$  is an analytic subset of  $P \times_W P$ . Let  $\tilde{C}^* \subset C^* \times_W C^*$  be the inverse image of  $\tilde{Z}$  under the quotient map  $C^* \times_W C^* \rightarrow P \times_W P$ .

For any  $w \in W$ , the fiber  $P_w$  is non-empty (since  $C_w$  has positive dimension); the points in  $S_w$  correspond to the connected components of  $\bar{P}_w$  (since  $S_w$  is finite and the fibers of  $\phi': \bar{P} \rightarrow S$  are non-empty and connected); the points of  $T_w$  correspond to the connected components of  $\bar{P}_w \times \bar{P}_w$ ; and from commutativity of (5.1.1) it

<sup>5</sup> $\bar{Z}$  can be defined without reference to Stein factorization as being the support of the cokernel of the natural map  $\Phi^* \Phi_* \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{P} \times_W \bar{P}}$ , where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the kernel of  $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{P} \times_W \bar{P}} \rightarrow \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{P}}$ . We do need Stein factorization to derive (5.1.2) below; but there might well be a more elementary argument.

follows for any  $s \in S_w$  that if  $\phi'^{-1}(s) = \bar{D}$  (a connected component of  $\bar{P}_w$ ), then  $\Phi'^{-1}(\delta s) = \bar{D} \times \bar{D}$  (the connected component of  $\bar{P}_w \times \bar{P}_w$  containing  $\Delta \bar{D}$ ). Thus

$$(5.1.2) \quad \bar{Z}_w = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m_w} \bar{D}_w^i \times \bar{D}_w^i$$

where  $m_w$  is the cardinality of  $S_w$ , and  $\bar{D}_w^1, \dots, \bar{D}_w^{m_w}$  are the connected components of  $\bar{P}_w$ .

Now, there exists a dense Zariski-open subspace  $W_0$  of  $W$  such that:

- (a)  $W_0$  is locally irreducible (as holds, e.g., at any smooth point of  $W_{\text{red}}$ ).
- (b) The natural (proper) map  $\varphi: \tilde{Z}_{\text{red}} \rightarrow W_{\text{red}}$  is flat everywhere on  $\varphi^{-1}(W_0)$  (Frisch's generic flatness theorem [BF, (1.17)(2), (2.4), (2.5)(2), (2.7)(1)]).
- (c) For each  $w \in W_0$ , the fiber  $C_w$  is equidimensional, of dimension equal to the codimension  $c_w$  of  $\sigma(W)$  in  $C$  at  $\sigma(w)$ , see (1.1.1). (Apply generic flatness of the proper map  $P \rightarrow W_{\text{red}}$ , keeping in mind that  $C$ —and hence  $P$ —is equidimensional.)
- (d) For each  $w \in W_0$ , the fiber  $P_w$  is reduced and the natural map  $\pi_w: \bar{P}_w \rightarrow P_w$  is a normalization of  $P_w$ . (Generic simultaneous normalization for the map  $P \rightarrow W_{\text{red}}$ , see [BF, Theorem (2.13)].)

In view of (d), for  $w \in W_0$ , if  $D_w^1, \dots, D_w^{n_w}$  are the irreducible components of  $P_w$ , then  $n_w = m_w$ , see above, and after relabeling we have  $\pi_w^{-1}(D_w^i) = \bar{D}_w^i$  for all  $i$ . Hence the decomposition of  $\tilde{Z}_w$  into irreducible components is

$$(5.1.3) \quad \tilde{Z}_w = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m_w} D_w^i \times D_w^i \quad (w \in W_0).$$

Since the fibers of  $C^* \times_W C^* \rightarrow P \times_W P$  (resp.  $C^* \rightarrow P$ ) are all isomorphic to the manifold  $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$  (resp.  $\mathbb{C}^*$ ), it follows, for  $w \in W_0$ , that the irreducible components of  $\tilde{C}_w^*$  are the reduced spaces  $C_w^{*i} \times C_w^{*i}$ , where the  $C_w^{*i}$  are the irreducible components of  $C_w^* := C_w - \sigma(w)$ . So we are approaching our goal.

Any irreducible component  $\Gamma^*$  of  $\tilde{C}^* \cap q^{-1}(W_0)$  ( $q: C \times_W C \rightarrow W$  the natural map) is contained in a component  $\Gamma$  of  $C \times_W C$ . By (c) and (5.1.3), the fibers  $\tilde{Z}_w$  are equidimensional, each component having dimension  $2 \dim P_w = 2(c_w - 1)$ . It follows then from (a) and (b) that for any irreducible component  $Z^*$  of  $\tilde{Z} \cap \varphi^{-1}(W_0)$  and any  $z \in Z^*$ ,

$$\dim_z Z^* = \dim_w W + 2(c_w - 1) \quad (w = \varphi(z));$$

and therefore for any  $x \in \Gamma^*$ ,

$$(5.1.4) \quad \dim \Gamma^* = \dim_w W + 2c_w \geq \dim \Gamma \quad (w = q(x)).$$

Hence  $\dim \Gamma^* = \dim \Gamma$  and

$$(5.1.5) \quad \Gamma^* = \Gamma \cap (C^* \times_W C^*) \cap q^{-1}(W_0),$$

so that  $\Gamma$  is Zariski open in  $\Gamma^*$ .

Finally, let  $\tilde{C}$  be the union of all those components  $\Gamma$  of  $C \times_W C$  which contain a component, say  $\Gamma^*$ , of  $\tilde{C}^* \cap q^{-1}(W_0)$ . Every such  $\Gamma$ —and hence  $\tilde{C}$ —is mapped into itself under the  $\mathbb{C}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^1$  action, since the image of the multiplication map  $\mathbb{C}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^1 \times \Gamma \rightarrow C \times_W C$  is irreducible and contains  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $r$  be the restriction of  $\tilde{p} := q|_{\tilde{C}}$  to the Zariski open subset  $\tilde{C}^* \cap q^{-1}(W_0)$  of  $\tilde{C}$ . In view of (5.14), in which

$$2c_w = 2(\dim P_w + 1) = 2 \dim C_w = \dim_x q^{-1}q(x) \geq \dim_x r^{-1}r(x),$$

a theorem of Remmert [Fi, p. 142, 3.9], guarantees that  $r$  is an open map. So for any open dense  $U \subset W$ ,  $r^{-1}(U \cap W_0)$  is dense in  $\tilde{C}^* \cap q^{-1}(W_0)$ , which is in turn dense in  $\tilde{C}$ . Thus (5.1)(i) holds.

To finish, observe for  $w \in W_0$  that the components  $C_w^i$  of  $C_w$  are given by  $C_w^i = C_w^{*i} \cup \{\sigma(w)\}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m_w$ ), and that by (5.1.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_w^* &\subset \tilde{C}_w \subset \tilde{C}_w^* \cup (\{\sigma(w)\} \times C_w) \cup (C_w \times \{\sigma(w)\}) \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{m_w} [(C_w^{*i} \times C_w^{*i}) \cup (\{\sigma(w)\} \times C_w^i) \cup (C_w^i \times \{\sigma(w)\})] \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{m_w} (C_w^i \times C_w^i). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{C}_w^* = \cup_i (C_w^{*i} \times C_w^{*i})$  is dense in  $\cup_i (C_w^i \times C_w^i)$ , and  $\tilde{C}_w$  is closed, therefore (5.1)(ii) results.  $\square$

**Example (5.2).** Again let  $\mathcal{G} = \oplus_{m \geq 0} \mathcal{G}_m$  ( $\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{O}_W$ ) be a finitely-presentable  $\mathcal{O}_W$ -algebra, set  $C := \text{Specan}(\mathcal{G})$ ,  $P := \text{Projan}(\mathcal{G})$ , and let  $p: C \rightarrow W$ ,  $\wp: P \rightarrow W$  be the canonical maps. Points  $x \in P$  correspond to  $\mathbb{C}^1$ -orbits of points in  $C \setminus \sigma(W)$ : the “line”  $L_x$  corresponding to  $x$  lies in the fiber  $C_{\wp(x)}$ .

Assume that  $\mathcal{G}_m = \mathcal{G}_1^m$  for all  $m \gg 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\pi} C$  be the proper map obtained by blowing up  $\sigma(W)$  where  $\sigma: W \rightarrow C$  is the vertex section (see (1.1.1)). Then  $\mathcal{L} \cong \text{Specan}(\text{Sym } \mathcal{O}_P(1))$  is the canonical line bundle on  $P$ , and  $\pi^{-1}\sigma(W) = \epsilon(P)$  where  $\epsilon: P \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  is the zero-section (cf. [GD, (8.7.8)]).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & C \\ \epsilon \uparrow \downarrow & & \downarrow \uparrow \sigma \\ P & \xrightarrow{\wp} & W \end{array}$$

For any  $x \in P$ ,  $\pi$  maps the fiber  $\mathcal{L}_x$  bijectively onto the line  $L_x \subset C_{\wp(x)}$ .

The map  $\pi$  is compatible with the multiplication maps  $\mu_{\mathcal{L}}$ ,  $\mu_C$  of (1.1), i.e., the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^1 \times_P \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{L}}} & \mathcal{L} \\ \text{id} \times \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{C}^1 \times_W C & \xrightarrow{\mu_C} & C \end{array}$$

as can be checked, e.g., via commutativity of the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\oplus \mathcal{O}_P(n))[T] & \xleftarrow{\text{mpn by } T^n} & \mathcal{O}_P(n) \\
 \text{natural} \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{natural} \\
 (\oplus \wp^* \mathcal{G}_n)[T] & \xleftarrow{\text{mpn by } T^n} & \wp^* \mathcal{G}_n
 \end{array} \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Now consider the proper map

$$\pi \times \pi : \mathcal{L} \times_P \mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L} \times_W \mathcal{L} \rightarrow C \times_W C.$$

The restriction of this map to the complement of  $\epsilon(P) \times_P \epsilon(P) (\cong P)$  is clearly injective. From (5.1)(ii) it follows that for any  $x \in \wp^{-1}(W_0)$  the image of the induced map  $\mathcal{L}_x \times \mathcal{L}_x \rightarrow C_{\wp(x)} \times C_{\wp(x)}$  lies in  $\tilde{C}$ . Hence,  $\tilde{C}$  being closed in  $C \times_W C$ , if  $\wp^{-1}(W_0)$  is dense in  $P$  (i.e., every component of  $P$  meets  $\wp^{-1}(W_0)$ ) then the entire image of  $\pi \times \pi$  lies in  $\tilde{C}$ . Furthermore, if the fibers  $C_w$  all have dimension 1, then the maps  $\wp$  and  $\pi \times \pi$  are both finite, the image of  $\pi \times \pi$  is  $\tilde{C}$  itself, and  $\pi \times \pi$  induces a *homeomorphism*

$$(\mathcal{L} \times_P \mathcal{L}) \setminus P \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{C} \setminus W$$

where we have identified  $P$  (resp.  $W$ ) with  $\epsilon(P) \times_P \epsilon(P)$  (resp.  $\sigma(W) \times_W \sigma(W)$ ).

All this happens, e.g., for  $C := C(V, W)$  when  $W$  is a codimension-one submanifold of a reduced complex space  $V$  and  $V$  is equimultiple along  $W$ , because of Schickhoff's theorem [Li, p. 121, (2.6)].

**(5.3).** Every complex space  $(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$  has a *conjugate space*  $\overline{V}$ , equal to  $(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$  as a topological space with a sheaf of rings, but with  $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{V}} = \mathcal{O}_V$  considered to be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra via the composition

$$\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\text{conjugation}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\text{natural}} \mathcal{O}_V,$$

see [Hi, Definition (1.10)]. The identity map  $V \rightarrow \overline{V}$  is a real-analytic isomorphism. Complex conjugation  $\rho_n$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , along with the sheaf-isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}^n}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_{n*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$  taking a holomorphic function  $f(z)$  on an open set  $U$  to the holomorphic function  $\rho_{1*} f(\rho_n z)$  on  $\rho_n^{-1}(U)$ , is a complex-analytic isomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  onto  $\overline{\mathbb{C}^n}$ . Hence for any analytic subset  $V$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\rho_n(V)$  can be regarded as an analytic subset of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}^n}$  isomorphic to  $V$ , or as an analytic subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  isomorphic to  $\overline{V}$ .

With  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  the family of (reduced) irreducible components of  $V$ , we set

$$(5.3.0) \quad V^c := \bigcup_{i \in I} (V_i \times \overline{V}_i) \subset (V \times \overline{V}).$$

We call  $V^c$  the *reduced complexification* of  $V$ , or simply the *complexification* of  $V$  when  $V$  itself is reduced. The reduced space  $V_{\text{red}}$  can be identified via the diagonal map with a real-analytic subvariety of  $V^c$ .

For example, with reference to (5.1), for each  $w \in W$ , there are natural inclusions

$$(C_w)^c \xrightarrow{j_w} C_w \times \overline{C_w} \xrightarrow{l_w} C \times_W C,$$

where  $l_w$  is a real-(but not necessarily complex-)analytic embedding. For  $w \in W_0$ , we have  $l_w j_w((C_w)^c) = \tilde{C}_w$ .

From now on, when we regard a reduced fiber  $\tilde{C}_w$  ( $w \in W_0$ ) as a complex space, we mean it to be identical as such with  $(C_w)^c$ . (Thus we do not mean it to be a complex subspace of  $C \times_W C$ .) And when we refer to a  $\mathbb{C}^1$  action on  $C \times_W C$  or on one of its analytic subsets (for instance,  $\tilde{C}$ ) we mean the one given on point sets by

$$a(x, x') = (ax, \bar{a}x') \quad (\text{see (1.1.1)})$$

where now  $\bar{a}$  is the complex conjugate of  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . This action is real-analytic, being obtained from the natural  $\mathbb{C}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^1$  action on  $C \times_W C$  via the (real-analytic) map  $a \mapsto (a, \bar{a})$  from  $\mathbb{C}^1$  to  $\mathbb{C}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^1$ .

The next result allows us to regard  $\tilde{C}$  as a “differential functor.”

Consider a  $\mathbb{C}^1$  map  $f: (V, W) \rightarrow (V', W')$  where now  $V$  and  $V'$  are reduced equidimensional complex analytic spaces and  $W$  (resp.  $W'$ ) is a nowhere-dense submanifold of  $V$  (resp.  $V'$ ). Let

$$\mathbf{f}_0: C := C(V, W) \rightarrow C(V', W') =: C'$$

be the continuous map in Theorem (3.3).

Let  $C_g$  (“ $g$ ” for “generic”) be the union of those components of  $C$  whose image in  $W$  is not nowhere-dense.<sup>6</sup> Note that the (locally finite) union of the images of all the remaining components of  $C$  is nowhere dense in  $W$ , so that the fibre  $(C_g)_w$  is the same as  $C_w$  for all  $w$  in some dense open subset of  $W$ . Identify  $C$  with the diagonal in  $C \times_W C$ . Lemma (5.1) implies that the non-empty (hence dense) Zariski open subset  $\tilde{p}^{-1}W_0 \cap C_g$  of  $C_g$  is contained in  $\tilde{C}$ ; and hence  $C_g \subset \tilde{C}$ .

Assume further that the open subset of  $W$  on which the induced map  $W \rightarrow W'$  is a submersion is dense in  $W$ , so that, submersions being open maps, the inverse image of any nowhere-dense subset of  $W'$  is nowhere dense in  $W$ . It follows that  $\mathbf{f}_0(C_g) \subset C'_g$ ; and we set  $\mathbf{f}_{0g} := \mathbf{f}_0|_{C_g}$ .

**Theorem (5.3.1).** *In the preceding situation, let  $U$  be a dense open subset of  $W_0$  such that  $(C_g)_w = C_w$  for all  $w \in U$ . Then  $\mathbf{f}_{0g}$  extends uniquely to a continuous map  $\tilde{f}: \tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}'$ , not depending on the choice of  $W_0$  or of  $U$ , such that the following diagram commutes,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{C}' \\ \tilde{p} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{p}' \\ W & \xrightarrow{f} & W' \end{array}$$

and such that for each  $w \in U$  the resulting map of (reduced) fibers  $\tilde{C}_w \rightarrow \tilde{C}'_{f(w)}$  is complex-analytic. Moreover,  $\tilde{f}$  commutes with the  $\mathbb{C}^1$  actions on  $\tilde{C}$  and  $\tilde{C}'$ .

<sup>6</sup>In fact the image is the same as that of the corresponding component of the projectivized normal cone  $P(V, W)$ , and so is an analytic subset of  $W$ . Thus any component of  $C_g$  maps onto a component of  $W$ .

*Remark (5.3.2).* Let  $(V, W) \xrightarrow{f} (V', W') \xrightarrow{g} (V'', W'')$  be two maps satisfying the hypotheses of (5.3.1). Then  $gf$  also satisfies these hypotheses, because a composition of submersions is a submersion, and because submersions being open maps, the inverse image under  $f$  of a dense open subset of  $W'$  is dense and open in  $W$ .

Since, clearly,  $(\mathbf{g}\mathbf{f})_0 = \mathbf{g}_0\mathbf{f}_0$ , we conclude from uniqueness in (5.3.1) and the denseness of  $f^{-1}(W'_0) \cap W_0$  in  $W_0$  that  $\widetilde{gf} = \widetilde{g}\widetilde{f}$ .

To begin the *proof* of Theorem (5.3.1), we recall some simple facts.

**Lemma (5.3.3).** *Let  $V^c \subset V \times \overline{V}$  be the complexification of a reduced complex space  $V$ , so that  $V^c$  contains the diagonal  $\Delta_V \subset V \times V = V \times \overline{V}$  as a real-analytic subspace. Then the only complex-analytic subset  $Z$  of  $V^c$  containing  $\Delta_V$  is  $V^c$  itself.*

*Proof.* Let  $V_0$  be the (open, dense) smooth locus of  $V$ . Then  $\overline{V_0}$  is the smooth locus of  $\overline{V}$ , for example because smoothness at a point  $v \in V$  means that the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{V,v} = \mathcal{O}_{\overline{V},v}$  is regular. Then  $(V_0)^c$  is a dense open subset of  $V^c$ , and the closed set  $Z \cap (V_0)^c$  contains  $\Delta_{V_0}$ ; hence we can replace  $V$  by  $V_0$ , i.e., we may assume that  $V$  is a manifold.

If  $Z \neq V^c$  then for some  $i$ ,  $Z$  intersects the connected open and closed subspace  $(V_i \times \overline{V}_i)$  of  $V^c$  nowhere densely; so there exists for some  $u \in \Delta_V$  a neighborhood  $U$  together with an isomorphism  $\theta: (U, u) \xrightarrow{\sim} (B, 0)$  where  $B$  is an open ball in some  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and a non-zero holomorphic function  $h: U \times \overline{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  vanishing on  $Z \cap (U \times \overline{U})$ , hence on  $\Delta_U \cap (U \times \overline{U})$ . There is a holomorphic open immersion  $\Theta: U \times \overline{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$  given, with  $\rho = \text{complex conjugation}$ , by

$$\Theta(v, w) = \left( \frac{\theta(v) + \rho\theta(w)}{2}, \frac{\theta(v) - \rho\theta(w)}{2\sqrt{-1}} \right),$$

taking  $\Delta_U$  onto an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ . All the derivatives of the holomorphic function  $h \circ \Theta^{-1}: \Theta(U \times \overline{U}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  vanish everywhere on  $\Theta(\Delta_U)$ , and hence  $h$  vanishes everywhere in a neighborhood of  $\Delta_U$ , contradicting the assumption that  $h$  is non-zero (since  $U \times \overline{U}$  is connected).  $\square$

**Corollary (5.3.3.1).** *If a holomorphic map  $\varphi: V^c \rightarrow Y$  maps  $\Delta_V$  into an analytic subset  $W$  of  $Y$ , then  $\varphi$  maps all of  $V^c$  into  $W$ .*

**Corollary (5.3.3.2).** *If two complex-analytic maps from  $V^c$  to a complex space  $X$  agree on  $\Delta_V$ , then they must be identical.*

*Proofs.* For (5.3.3.1), let  $Z$  in (5.3.3) be  $\varphi^{-1}(W)$ .

For (5.3.3.2), let  $\varphi: V^c \rightarrow X \times X$  in (5.3.3.1) be the map whose coordinates are the two maps in question, and let  $W$  be the diagonal of  $X \times X$ .  $\square$

Uniqueness in Theorem (5.3.1) follows, in view of (5.1)(i), from (5.3.3.2) applied to each of the fibers  $\widetilde{C}_w$  ( $w \in U$ ). (For independence from  $W_0$  and  $U$ , note that the intersection of two dense open subsets of  $W$  is again a dense open subset . . . ) This uniqueness guarantees that it is enough to prove existence with  $W$  replaced by an arbitrary member of an open covering  $(W_\alpha)$  of  $W$ . (The global  $\widetilde{f}$  over all of  $W$  can then be obtained from the local maps  $\widetilde{f}_\alpha: \widetilde{C} \times_W W_\alpha \rightarrow \widetilde{C}'$  by pasting.) Thus,

as in §(1.2), we can identify  $W$  with an open neighborhood of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^r$ , embed  $C$  in  $W \times \mathbb{C}^s$  ( $p: C \rightarrow W$  being induced by projection to the first factor), and hence embed  $C \times_W C$  in  $\mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s$ ; and similarly for  $p': C' \rightarrow W', \dots$

Let  $\lambda: \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s$  be the real-linear automorphism taking  $(y, z)$  to  $(u, v)$ , where with  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  and  $\bar{z}$  the complex conjugate of  $z$ ,

$$u = \frac{y + \bar{z}}{2}, \quad v = \frac{y - \bar{z}}{2i}.$$

The inverse automorphism is given by

$$y = u + iv, \quad z = \bar{u} + i\bar{v}.$$

Then  $y = z$  if and only if  $u$  and  $v$  are both real, i.e.,  $\lambda$  maps the diagonal of  $\mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{R}^s \subset \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s$ .

Now recall from (3.3.3) that we can represent  $\mathbf{f}_0$  locally by

$$\mathbf{f}_0(w, z) = (f(w), L_w(z))$$

where

$$(5.3.4) \quad L_w: \mathbb{C}^s = \mathbb{R}^{2s} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2s'} = \mathbb{C}^{s'}$$

is a real-linear map which depends continuously on  $w$ . In view of the relation  $\lambda(y, y) = (\operatorname{re}(y), \operatorname{im}(y))$ , we see that the preceding identification of  $\mathbb{C}^s$  (diagonally embedded in  $\mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s$ ) with  $\mathbb{R}^{2s}$  is given by  $\lambda$ . Hence, if  $L_w^c$  is the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map

$$L_w^c := L_w \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}: \mathbb{C}^{2s} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2s'},$$

then the continuous map  $\tilde{f}: W \times \mathbb{C}^{2s} \rightarrow W' \times \mathbb{C}^{2s'}$  defined by

$$(5.3.5) \quad \tilde{f}(w, x) = (f(w), \lambda^{-1} L_w^c \lambda(x))$$

is an extension of  $\mathbf{f}_0$  such that  $q' \tilde{f} = f q$  (with  $q, q'$  the respective projections to  $W$  and  $W'$ ).

As before, complex conjugation  $\rho_s: \mathbb{C}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^s$  induces a complex-analytic isomorphism  $\bar{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_s(B)$  for any analytic subset  $B \subset \mathbb{C}^s$ . The composition  $\bar{\lambda}$  of  $\lambda$  with the real-linear map  $\mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s$  taking  $(y, \bar{z})$  to  $(y, z)$  is complex-linear. Thus if  $A$  and  $B$  are analytic subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^s$ , then  $\lambda$  induces a complex-analytic isomorphism of  $A \times \bar{B}$  onto the analytic subset  $\lambda(A \times B) = \bar{\lambda}(A \times \bar{B})$  of  $\mathbb{C}^s$ . In particular,  $\lambda$  maps  $\mathbb{C}^s \times \overline{\mathbb{C}^s}$  isomorphically onto  $\mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s$ . Hence for every  $w \in W$ ,  $\tilde{f}$  induces a complex-analytic map

$$(C_w)^c \subset C_w \times \overline{C_w} \subset \mathbb{C}^s \times \overline{\mathbb{C}^s} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{s'} \times \overline{\mathbb{C}^{s'}}.$$

Moreover, one checks that  $\tilde{f}$  commutes with the  $\mathbb{C}^1$  action on  $W \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \overline{\mathbb{C}^s}$  (resp.  $W \times \mathbb{C}^{s'} \times \overline{\mathbb{C}^{s'}}$ ) given by  $c(w, x_1, x_2) = (w, cx_1, \bar{c}x_2)$ .

We need only show now that  $\tilde{f}(\tilde{C}) \subset \tilde{C}'$ . Set  $U := f^{-1}(W'_0) \cap W_0$ . Because of (5.3.3.1), it suffices, since  $\tilde{p}^{-1}(U)$  is dense in  $\tilde{C}$ , see (5.1)(i) and (5.3.2), that  $\tilde{f}(C_w) \subset \tilde{C}'$  for each  $w \in U$ ; and that's so since

$$\tilde{f}(C_w) = \mathbf{f}_0(C_w) \subset C'_{f(w)} \subset (C'_{f(w)})^c = \tilde{C}'_{f(w)}.$$

**(5.4).** A certain subvariety  $\Lambda(C) \subset \tilde{C}$  will play an important role in the subsequent discussion of Segre classes.

Recall from Example (5.2) the canonical line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow P := \text{Projan}(\mathcal{G})$ . Assume that every component of  $P$  meets  $\wp^{-1}(W_0)$ , where  $\wp: P \rightarrow W$  is the canonical map; or equivalently, that every component of  $C$  meets  $p^{-1}(W_0)$ , where  $p: C \rightarrow W$  is the canonical map. (This assumption holds, e.g., for  $C := C(V, W)$  when  $W$  is a submanifold of a reduced complex space  $V$  and  $V$  is equimultiple along  $W$ , by a theorem of Schickhoff [Li, p. 121, (2.6)].) Then (5.2) gives a natural map  $\mathcal{L} \times_P \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ . Also, since  $\tilde{C}_w$  contains the diagonal of  $C_w \times C_w$  for all  $w \in W_0$  (see Lemma (5.1)(ii)), therefore  $\tilde{C}$  contains the dense subset  $\{(x, x) \mid p(x) \in W_0\}$  of the diagonal of  $C \times_W C$ , and so  $\tilde{C}$  contains the entire diagonal of  $C \times_W C$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}^*$  be the real-analytic complex line bundle conjugate to  $\mathcal{L}$ , got by replacing every local trivialization  $\varphi_U: U \times \mathbb{C}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}|_U$  ( $U$  open in  $P$ ) by its composition with  $U \times \mathbb{C}^1 \xrightarrow{\text{id}_U \times \rho} U \times \mathbb{C}^1$  ( $\rho :=$  complex conjugation). Via the family  $\{\text{id}_U \times \rho\}$  we get an isomorphism of real-analytic spaces  $\rho_{\mathcal{L}}: \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}^*$ . This preserves fibers over  $P$ , and addition on the fibers, but is not a line-bundle isomorphism since

$$\rho_{\mathcal{L}}(ax) = \bar{a}x \quad (a \in \mathbb{C}, x \in \mathcal{L}).$$

Indeed, in the topological category  $\mathcal{L}$  is isomorphic to a unitary bundle [Hz, p. 51, III], and so  $\mathcal{L}^*$  is isomorphic to the dual bundle  $\mathcal{L}^{-1} := \text{Specan}(\text{Sym } \mathcal{O}_P(-1))$ .

We identify the rank-two complex vector bundle  $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^*$  over  $P$  with  $\mathcal{L} \times_P \mathcal{L}^*$ . The composition

$$\gamma: \mathcal{L} \times_P \mathcal{L}^* \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \rho_{\mathcal{L}}} \mathcal{L} \times_P \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{(5.2)} \tilde{C}$$

commutes with the respective  $\mathbb{C}^1$  actions. The image  $\Lambda = \Lambda(C)$  of  $\gamma$  is an analytic subset of  $\tilde{C}$ , being the image of the proper map  $\pi \times \pi$  of §5.2. It consists of all points  $(x, x') \in C \times_W C$  such that  $x = ax'$  ( $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ) or  $x' = a'x$  ( $a' \in \mathbb{C}$ ). In other words (verification left to reader):

$$(5.4.1) \quad \Lambda(C) = \{(b'cx, b\bar{c}x) \mid b', b \in \mathbb{R}, c \in \mathbb{C}, x \in C\}.$$

Recalling that  $\lambda$  identifies the diagonal of  $\mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s$  with  $\mathbb{R}^{2s}$ , we see from (5.3.4) etc. that the map  $\tilde{f}$  of Theorem (5.3.1) takes the diagonal of  $C \times_W C$  into the diagonal of  $C' \times_{W'} C'$ . Moreover  $\tilde{f}$  commutes with the  $\mathbb{C}^1$  action on  $\tilde{C}$ , as well as with the natural  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  action (since the maps  $L_w^c$  and  $\lambda$  used to construct  $\tilde{f}$  both commute with the  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  action on  $\mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s$ ). Hence:

**Corollary (5.4.2).** *Under the assumptions of Theorem (5.3.1),  $\tilde{f}(\Lambda(C)) \subset \Lambda(C')$ .*

**6. Segre classes.** As in §5,  $C := \text{Specan}(\mathcal{G})$  is a cone, with  $\mathbb{C}^1$  action on  $C \times_W C$  given on point sets by

$$a(x, x') = (ax, \bar{a}x').$$

Assume that all the irreducible components of the complex space  $W$  have the same dimension, say  $r$ . We identify  $W$  with its image under  $\Delta \circ \sigma$  where  $\sigma: W \rightarrow C$  is the vertex section and  $\Delta: C \rightarrow C \times_W C$  is the diagonal map.

**(6.1)** For any closed analytic  $\mathbb{C}^1$ -stable subset  $\Upsilon$  of  $C \times_W C$ ,<sup>7</sup> all of whose irreducible components have the same complex dimension, we define the *topological Segre classes*

$$s_i(\Upsilon) \in H_{2(r-i)}(W) := H_{2(r-i)}(W, \mathbb{Z}) \quad (\text{Borel-Moore homology})$$

as follows.

Let  $Q$  be the topological quotient of  $\Upsilon \setminus W$  under the induced (free)  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action. The action preserves fibers over  $W$ , so the canonical map  $(\Upsilon \setminus W) \rightarrow W$  induces a map  $\nu: Q \rightarrow W$ , which is *proper*. To see this, since  $Q$  is closed in the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -quotient of  $(C \times_W C) \setminus W$ , we may assume  $\Upsilon = C \times_W C$ , and then, since the question is local over  $W$ , the definition of  $\text{Specan}$  allows us to assume that  $C$  is a closed subset of  $W \times \mathbb{C}^s$  for some  $s$  (the zero-set of finitely many homogeneous polynomials in  $s$  variables, with coefficients which are analytic functions on  $W$ —see (1.2)). Then  $C \times_W C$  is closed in  $W \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s$ , so we may assume  $\Upsilon = W \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s$  (and  $\Delta\sigma(W) = W \times \{0\} \times \{0\}$ ), with  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action given by

$$(6.1.1) \quad a(w, z, z') = (w, az, \bar{a}z').$$

For this action, every point in  $(W \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s) \setminus (W \times \{0\} \times \{0\})$  is equivalent to a point in  $W \times S^{4s-1}$  where  $S^{4s-1}$  is the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^{2s}$ ; so there is a surjection  $W \times S^{4s-1} \twoheadrightarrow Q$  whose composition with  $\nu$  is the (proper) projection  $W \times S^{4s-1} \rightarrow W$ , whence  $\nu$  itself is proper.

Next, *the quotient map*  $q: \Upsilon \setminus W \rightarrow Q$  *is a principal real-analytic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -bundle*. One can verify this via an open covering  $(U_\iota)$  of  $Q$  together with commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_\iota \times \mathbb{C}^* & \xrightarrow{\phi_\iota} & q^{-1}U_\iota \\ \text{proj'n} \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ U_\iota & \xlongequal{\quad} & U_\iota \end{array}$$

where each  $\phi_\iota$  is a real-analytic homeomorphism commuting with the respective  $\mathbb{C}^*$  actions (the action on  $U_\iota \times \mathbb{C}^*$  being given by multiplication in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ ). As before we reduce to consideration of the action (6.1.1) on  $W \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s$ . The real-analytic homeomorphism  $(w, z, z') \mapsto (w, z, \bar{z}')$  transforms the action into the relative diagonal one of  $W \times (\mathbb{C}^{2m} \setminus \{0\})$  over  $W$ , the quotient of which is  $W \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2m-1}$ , and here everything becomes straightforward.

<sup>7</sup>From (5.3.3.2) it follows that  $\Upsilon$  is actually  $\mathbb{C}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^1$ -stable.

**Lemma (6.1.2).** *The quotient map  $q$  takes the non-singular locus  $V$  of  $\Upsilon \setminus W$  onto an open subset  $U \subset Q$  which is naturally a  $2n$ -dimensional real-analytic oriented manifold, and such that  $Q \setminus U$  has topological dimension  $\leq 2n - 2$ .*

The proof is given below.

Lemma (6.1.2) guarantees that  $Q$  has a fundamental class  $[Q] \in H_{2n}(Q)$  [BH, p. 469, Prop. 2.3]. Now let  $c \in H^2(Q, \mathbb{Z})$  be the first Chern class of the principal  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -bundle  $q: \Upsilon \setminus W \rightarrow Q$ , and, with  $r := \dim W$ , set

$$s_i(\Upsilon) := \nu_*([Q] \cap c^{i+n-r}) \in H_{2r-2i}(W),$$

where  $\cap$  denotes ‘‘cap product’’ [BH, p. 505, Thm. 7.2], and

$$\nu_*: H_{2r-2i}(Q) \rightarrow H_{2r-2i}(W)$$

is defined because  $\nu$  is proper [BH, p. 465, 1.5].

**Example (6.1.3).** If  $C$  is a vector bundle over  $W$ , with conjugate  $C^*$  (cf. (5.4)), then  $C \times_W C$  with its  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action (cf. (6.1.1)) can be identified with the bundle  $C \oplus C^*$  with its standard (diagonal)  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action; and the total Segre class

$$s(C \oplus C^*) := \sum_{i \geq 0} s_i(C \oplus C^*) \in \oplus_{i \geq 0} H_{2r-2i}(W)$$

is the cap product of the fundamental class  $[W]$  with the multiplicative inverse (in the graded cohomology ring  $\oplus_j H^j(W)$ ) of the total Chern class  $\text{ch}(C \oplus C^*)$  (cf. [Fn, p. 71, Prop. 4.1], where everything is algebraic, but corresponds to topological constructs as in *ibid.* Chap. 19). And since  $C^*$  is topologically isomorphic to the dual bundle of  $C$  [Hz, p. 51, III], we have, with  $c_j \in H^{2j}(W)$  the  $j$ -th Chern class of  $C$ ,

$$\text{ch}(C \oplus C^*) = (1 + c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + \dots)(1 - c_1 + c_2 - c_3 + \dots),$$

the total Pontrjagin class of  $C$  [Hz, p. 65, Thm. 4.5.1].

In particular, this applies to  $C(V, W)$  when  $V$  is a complex manifold and  $W$  is a submanifold (so that  $C(V, W)$  is the normal bundle).

*Proof of (6.1.2).* The singular locus  $S := \text{Sing}(\Upsilon)$  is a closed analytic  $\mathbb{C}^1$ -stable subset of  $\Upsilon$ , of complex dimension  $\leq n$ . As above,  $S \setminus W$  is a principal  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -bundle over  $q(S \setminus W)$ , and so  $q(S \setminus W) = Q \setminus q(V)$  has topological dimension  $\leq 2n - 2$ . So we can prove Lemma (6.1.2) by choosing for each  $z \in V$  an open neighborhood  $V_z \subset V$  in such a way that the sets  $q(V_z)$  (which are open, since  $q$  is an open map) carry charts for a  $2n$ -dimensional canonically orientable real-analytic manifold structure on  $q(V)$ .

Let  $N \subset \Upsilon$  be any neighborhood of the point  $z_0 := \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} az$  ( $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ). Replacing  $z$  by  $az$  for suitable  $a$ , we may assume that  $z \in N$ . Thus we may assume that  $\Upsilon \subset W \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s$ ,  $W$  being identified with  $W_0 \times \{0\} \times \{0\}$  where  $W_0$  is an open neighborhood of the origin in some  $\mathbb{C}^r$ , that  $z_0 = (0, 0, 0)$ , and that  $\Upsilon$  is given in a polydisk neighborhood  $N_0$  of  $z_0$  by the vanishing of finitely many convergent power series

$$f_i(w, x, y) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} c_{i\alpha\beta}(w) x^\alpha y^\beta$$

where  $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ , etc. Moreover, the  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action is as in (6.1.1). For any  $(w_0, x_0, y_0) \in N_0$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ , then (since  $\Upsilon$  is  $\mathbb{C}^1$ -stable),

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta} c_{i\alpha\beta}(w_0) x_0^\alpha y_0^\beta = 0 \implies \sum_{\alpha, \beta} t^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} c_{i\alpha\beta}(w_0) x_0^\alpha y_0^\beta = 0 \quad (|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_s, \dots);$$

and it follows easily that for each  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$\sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=m} c_{i\alpha\beta}(w_0)x_0^\alpha y_0^\beta = 0.$$

So we may assume that the  $f_i$  are homogeneous polynomials in  $x$  and  $y$ .

Furthermore (see (6.1.1)), for each  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=m} e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta(|\alpha|-|\beta|)} c_{i\alpha\beta}(w_0)x_0^\alpha y_0^\beta = 0,$$

and it follows, for fixed  $i$ , that  $|\alpha| - |\beta|$  has the same value for all  $\alpha, \beta$  such that  $|\alpha| + |\beta| = m$  and  $c_{i\alpha\beta} \neq 0$ ; in other words,  $f_i$  is a bihomogeneous polynomial in the two sets of variables  $x, y$ .

Now, on the open set  $O_1$  where the coordinate  $x_1$  does not vanish,  $q$  is induced by the map  $\tilde{q}: W \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s \rightarrow W \times \mathbb{C}^{s-1} \times \mathbb{C}^s$  given by

$$\tilde{q}(w, x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_s) = (w, \frac{x_2}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{x_s}{x_1}, \frac{y_1}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{y_s}{x_1})$$

where “ $-$ ” denotes “complex conjugate.” And since  $f_i(w, x, y) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} c_{i\alpha\beta}(w)x^\alpha y^\beta$  is bihomogeneous,

$$f_i(w, x, y) = x_1^{|\alpha| - |\beta|} f_i(w, 1, \frac{x_2}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{x_s}{x_1}, \frac{y_1}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{y_s}{x_1}).$$

Hence  $q(\Upsilon \cap O_1)$  is homeomorphic to the complex-analytic variety  $U_1$  defined by the vanishing of the power series  $f_i(w, 1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_s, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_s)$ . Standard arguments show that for any  $x \in V \cap O_1$ ,  $U_1$  is a manifold in a neighborhood of  $q(x)$ .

Had we used a different embedding of  $\Upsilon$  into  $W \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^s$  (but with the same projection to  $W$ , and the same  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action (6.1.1)), then the resulting chart would be complex-analytically equivalent to the one just described—that is a special case of the fact that if two graded  $\mathcal{O}_W$ -algebras have isomorphic Specans, then they are isomorphic and so have isomorphic Projans.

Similarly, working in  $O_i$ , where  $x_i$  doesn't vanish, we get another manifold chart; but on the overlap  $O_i \cap O_1$  the two charts differ by a *real-analytic* coordinate transformation, of the form

$$(\dots, \xi_i, \dots, \xi_j, \dots, \eta_k, \dots) \mapsto (\dots, \frac{1}{\xi_i}, \dots, \frac{\xi_j}{\xi_i}, \dots, \frac{\eta_k}{\xi_i}, \dots).$$

Similar remarks apply to the open sets  $O'_k$  where  $y_k$  doesn't vanish, and to the overlaps  $O_i \cap O'_k$ .

It should now be more or less apparent how  $U := q(V)$  can be made into a real-analytic  $2n$ -dimensional manifold. The manifold  $U$  is *canonically orientable* because,  $q$  being a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -bundle map, for each  $u \in U$  there is an open set  $O \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  together with a real-analytic homeomorphism  $\psi$  from  $O$  onto an open neighborhood  $U_u$  of  $u$  in  $U$  fitting into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O \times \mathbb{C}^* & \xrightarrow{\phi} & q^{-1}U_u \\ \text{proj}^n \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ O & \xrightarrow{\psi} & U_u \end{array}$$

where  $\phi$  is an *orientation-preserving* homeomorphism commuting with the respective  $\mathbb{C}^*$  actions; and one checks that the charts  $\psi$  provide an orientation for  $U$ .

**(6.2)** We return to the situation in (5.4), assuming as we did there that every irreducible component of the cone  $C$  meets  $p^{-1}(W_0)$ . We assume further that both  $C$  (hence  $P$ ) and  $W$  are equidimensional, with  $\dim W < \dim C$ . We are going to relate the Segre classes of components of  $\Lambda(C)$  with the Segre classes of components of  $C$ , as described, algebraically, in [Fn, Chap. 4].

More specifically, the Segre classes  $s_i(C_j) \in H_{2 \dim W - 2i}(W)$  of the irreducible components  $C_j$  of  $C$  can be defined topologically as above (and more easily, because we need only deal with the *complex*-analytic  $\mathbb{C}^1$ -action given by  $\mu$  in (1.1.1)), cf. [Fn, Chap. 19]: viz., if  $P_j$  is the component of  $P$  corresponding to  $C_j$  ( $P_j$  is topologically the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -quotient of  $C_j \setminus \sigma(W)$ ), and  $\iota_j: P_j \hookrightarrow P$  is the inclusion; if  $\mathcal{L}_j := \iota_j^* \mathcal{L}$  and  $c_j$  is its first Chern class; and if  $\wp: P \rightarrow W$  is, as before, the canonical map, then

$$s_i(C_j) := \wp_* \iota_{j*} ([P_j] \cap c_j^{\dim C - 1 - \dim W + i}) = \wp_* (\iota_{j*} [P_j] \cap c^{\dim C - 1 - \dim W + i}).$$

where, with  $c$  the first Chern class of  $\mathcal{L}$ —so that  $c_j = \iota_j^* c$ —the equality is given by the projection formula [BH, p. 507, 7.5]. (Note that  $C_j$  is a cone,  $P_j$  is its projectivization, and  $\mathcal{L}_j$  is the canonical line bundle on  $P_j$ .)

Now the construction of Segre classes in §6.1 applies in particular when  $W = P$  and  $C = \mathcal{L}$ , in which case  $C \times_W C$  with its  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action can be identified with the rank two bundle  $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^*$  with its standard (diagonal)  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action. As noted in (5.4),  $\mathcal{L}^*$  is topologically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ , so the total Chern class  $\text{ch}(\mathcal{L}_j \oplus \mathcal{L}_j^*)$  is  $1 - c_j^2$  where  $c_j$  is the first Chern class of  $\mathcal{L}_j$ . Hence (see Example (6.1.3))

$$s(\mathcal{L}_j \oplus \mathcal{L}_j^*) = [P_j] \cap (1 + c_j^2 + c_j^4 + \dots).$$

As noted in (5.4), the proper map  $\gamma: (\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^*) \setminus P \rightarrow \Lambda(C) \setminus W$  is bijective, hence is a homeomorphism, and it commutes with the respective  $\mathbb{C}^*$  actions, but since it involves one complex conjugation it reverses the natural orientations. Since homeomorphisms of analytic spaces take components to components [GL, p. 172, (A8)], it follows that any irreducible component of  $\Lambda(C)$  is  $\mathbb{C}^1$ -stable, so that its total Segre class is defined, and indeed can be obtained by applying  $-\wp_*$  to the Segre class of the corresponding component of  $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^*$ . The components in question correspond to those of  $P$ , and so to those of  $C$ . Hence, for the component of  $\Lambda(C)$  corresponding to the component  $C_j$  the total Segre class is

$$-\wp_* \iota_{j*} s(\mathcal{L}_j \oplus \mathcal{L}_j^*) = - \sum_{i \geq 0} s_{2i - \dim C + 1 + \dim W}(C_j) \in \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H_{2(\dim C - 2i - 1)}(W).$$

We can thus recover from  $\Lambda(C)$  about half of the total Segre class  $s(C_j)$ . To recover the rest, proceed likewise with  $\Lambda(C \times \mathbb{C}^1)$ , noting that

$$s(C \times \mathbb{C}^1) = s(C)$$

(cf. [Fn, p. 71, 4.1.1]), and of course

$$\dim(C \times \mathbb{C}^1) = \dim C + 1.$$

Here  $C \times \mathbb{C}^1$  is viewed as the cone corresponding to the grading of  $\mathcal{G}[T]$  ( $T$  an indeterminate) with degree  $n$  piece  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathcal{G}_i T^{n-i}$ , a cone whose components are naturally in one-one correspondence with those of  $C$ .

**Theorem (6.3).** *Let  $V$  and  $V'$  be reduced equidimensional complex spaces, and let  $W \subset V$  and  $W' \subset V'$  be nowhere dense equidimensional complex submanifolds. Let  $f: V \rightarrow V'$  be a  $\mathbb{C}^1$  homeomorphism such that  $f^{-1}$  is  $\mathbb{C}^1$  and  $f(W) = W'$ . Let  $C_j$  be an irreducible component of  $C := C(V, W)$  and let  $C'_j$  be the corresponding component of  $C' := C(V', W')$  (see Theorem (4.3.1)). Then*

$$f_*s(C_j) = \pm s(C'_j).$$

*Proof.* As in Corollary (5.4.2),  $f$  induces a homeomorphism  $\tilde{f}: \Lambda(C) \rightarrow \Lambda(C')$  which takes  $W$  to  $W'$  (see (5.3.5)), and which commutes with the  $\mathbb{C}^*$  actions. Similarly, the map  $f \times 1: V \times \mathbb{C}^1 \rightarrow V' \times \mathbb{C}^1$  induces a homeomorphism

$$\Lambda(C(V \times \mathbb{C}^1, W \times \{0\})) = \Lambda(C \times \mathbb{C}^1) \rightarrow \Lambda(C' \times \mathbb{C}^1) = \Lambda(C(V' \times \mathbb{C}^1, W' \times \{0\})).$$

These homeomorphisms respect irreducible components [GL, p. 172, (A8)], and so the induced homology maps take fundamental classes of components to fundamental classes of components, up to multiplication by  $\pm 1$ .

The theorem results easily now from the foregoing procedure to recover the Segre classes of components of  $C$  from those of the corresponding components of  $\Lambda(C)$  and  $\Lambda(C \times \mathbb{C}^1)$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [BF] J. Bingener and H. Flenner, *On the fibers of analytic mappings*, Complex Analysis and Geometry, Univ. Ser. Math., Plenum, New York, 1993, pp. 45–101.
- [BH] A. Borel and A. Haefliger, *La classe d'homologie fondamentale d'un espace analytique*, Bull. Soc. math. France **89** (1961), 461–513.
- [Fi] G. Fischer, *Complex Analytic Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math. 538, Springer Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [Fn] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [GD] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Scientifiques **8** (1961).
- [GL] Y.-N. Gau and J. Lipman, *Differential invariance of multiplicity on analytic varieties*, Invent. math. **73** (1984), 165–188.
- [Hi] H. Hironaka, *Normal cones in analytic Whitney stratifications*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Scientifiques **36** (1969), 127–138.
- [Hi2] ———, *Introduction to the Theory of Infinitely Near Singular Points*, Memorias de Matematica del Instituto “Jorge Juan,” 28, Instituto “Jorge Juan” de Matematica, Madrid, 1974.
- [Ho] C. Houzel, *Géométrie analytique locale*, Familles d'Espaces Complexes et Fondements de la Géométrie Analytique, Séminaire Henri Cartan, 1960/61, fascicule 2, Institut Henri Poincaré, Paris, 1962.
- [Hz] F. Hirzebruch, *Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, Third enlarged edition, Springer Verlag, New York, 1966.
- [Li] J. Lipman, *Equimultiplicity, reduction, and blowing up*, Commutative Algebra (R. Draper, ed.), Lecture Notes Pure Appl. Math. 68., Marcel Dekker, New York, 1982, pp. 111–147.
- [LT] D. T. Lê and B. Teissier, *Limites d'espaces tangents en géométrie analytique*, Comment. Math. Helvetici **63** (1988), 540–578.
- [MT] M. Lejeune and B. Teissier, *Contributions à l'Étude des Singularités*, Thèse d'État, Centre de Mathématiques, École Polytechnique, Paris, 1973.