

GROUP-THEORETIC AXIOMS FOR PROJECTIVE GEOMETRY

ALEX D. GOTTLIEB AND JOSEPH LIPMAN

ABSTRACT. We show that a certain category \mathbb{G} , whose objects are pairs $G \supset H$ of groups subject to simple axioms, is equivalent to the category of ≥ 2 -dimensional vector spaces and injective semi-linear maps; and deduce via the “Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry” that the category of ≥ 2 -dimensional projective spaces is equivalent to the quotient of a suitable subcategory of \mathbb{G} by the least equivalence relation which identifies conjugation by any element of H with the identity automorphism of G .

Introduction. Let V be a left vector space of dimension ≥ 2 over a (not necessarily commutative) field F . For any pair $0 \neq a \in F$, $v \in V$, let $[a, v]: V \rightarrow V$ be the map given by

$$[a, v](w) = aw + v \quad (w \in V).$$

The set G of all such maps is closed under composition:

$$[a, v] \circ [a', v'] = [aa', av' + v];$$

and each $[a, v]$ is bijective, with inverse

$$[a, v]^{-1} = [a^{-1}, -a^{-1}v].$$

So G is a group of transformations of V , with identity element $e = [1, 0]$.

Denote by H the subgroup of G consisting of all maps of the form $[a, 0]$. H is isomorphic to the multiplicative group of non-zero elements in F .

Denote by T the subgroup of G consisting of all maps of the form $[1, v]$. T is a *normal subgroup* of G , isomorphic to the additive group V . Every element of G is uniquely of the form th , with $t \in T$, $h \in H$:

$$[a, v] = [1, v][a, 0].$$

The elements of T are called *translations*. One checks that:

(0.1) A non-identity element $g \in G$ is a translation if and only if no conjugate of g lies in H .

Recall that for $g \in G$, the double coset HgH is the set

$$HgH = \{ h_1gh_2 \mid g \in G \text{ and } h_1, h_2 \in H \}.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A99, 20F29, 51A05.

Key words and phrases. groups, semi-linear maps, projective geometry, equivalence of categories.

Supported through NSF contract DMS-8803054 at Purdue University, the first author by a Research Experiences for Undergraduates supplement.

One verifies the following properties of the pair (G, H) .

(GH1) For all $g \in G$,

$$G \neq HgH \cup H.$$

(In other words H has at least three distinct double cosets in G .)

(GH2) For all $g \in G$,

$$gHg^{-1} \subset HgH \cup \{e\}.$$

(GH3) For all $g \in G$,

$$HgH = Hg^{-1}H.$$

Remarks. (i) With regard to (GH2), note that for $g \in G$ and $h \in H$,

$$ghg^{-1} = e \iff h = e.$$

(ii) The property (GH3) follows formally from (GH2), except when $H = \{e\}$, in which case (GH2) says nothing at all.

Indeed, if $g \in G$ and $e \neq h \in H$, then applying (GH2) twice we get

$$\begin{aligned} gh^{-1}g^{-1} &\in HgH, \\ gh^{-1}g^{-1} &= (ghg^{-1})^{-1} \in (HgH)^{-1} = Hg^{-1}H; \end{aligned}$$

so the double cosets HgH and $Hg^{-1}H$ meet, and hence they are equal.

(iii) We will see later (Remark 1.11) that given (GH1), conditions (GH2) and (GH3) together are group-theoretically equivalent to :

$$(GH2)^* \quad \bigcap_{g \in G} gHg^{-1} = \{e\}, \text{ and}$$

$$(GH3)^* \quad \text{for each } g \in G, HgH \cup H \text{ is a subgroup of } G.$$

Now let \mathbb{V} be the category whose objects are all pairs (V, F) as above, and whose arrows are *injective semi-linear maps*

$$(\zeta, \theta): (V, F) \rightarrow (V', F').$$

More specifically:

- $\theta: F \rightarrow F'$ is a homomorphism of fields.
- $\zeta: V \rightarrow V'$ is an injective map satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(v_1 + v_2) &= \zeta(v_1) + \zeta(v_2) & (v_1, v_2 \in V), \\ \zeta(av) &= \theta(a)\zeta(v) & (a \in F, v \in V). \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathbb{G} be the category whose objects are pairs (G, H) consisting of a group G and a subgroup H satisfying (GH1)–(GH3) above, and whose arrows $(G, H) \rightarrow (G', H')$ are those group homomorphisms $f: G \rightarrow G'$ satisfying

(0.2.1) $f(H) \subset (H')$, and

(0.2.2) if $g \in G$ has no conjugate lying in H , then $f(g) \in G'$ has no conjugate lying in H' .

We define a *functor* $\Gamma: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ as follows:

- For any object (V, F) , $\Gamma(V, F)$ is the pair (G, H) described at the beginning of this Introduction.
- For any arrow $(\zeta, \theta): (V, F) \rightarrow (V', F')$, the arrow

$$\Gamma(\zeta, \theta): \Gamma(V, F) \rightarrow \Gamma(V', F')$$

is given by the formula

$$\Gamma(\zeta, \theta)[a, v] = [\theta(a), \zeta(v)].$$

To verify that Γ is indeed a functor, check that it respects categorical identities and composition, and that $\Gamma(\zeta, \theta)$ is a group homomorphism satisfying (0.2.1) and (0.2.2)—cf. (0.1).

Our main result is:

Theorem 1. Γ is an equivalence of categories.

In other words, there exists a functor $\Theta: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ together with isomorphisms of functors

$$\Gamma\Theta \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{G}}, \quad \Theta\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{V}}$$

(where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes an identity functor). Such a functor Θ is called a *pseudo-inverse* of Γ .

* * *

The title of this paper refers to Theorem 2 below. By way of explanation, we first reformulate the Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry [A, p. 88] in the language of categories.

The *projective space* $P = P(V, F)$ is, by definition, the set of one-dimensional subspaces of V . A *projective subspace* of P is a subset consisting of all the one-dimensional subspaces of some vector subspace of V .

A sequence (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) in P is *linearly independent* if for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, x_i lies outside some projective subspace $P_i \subset P$ which contains x_j for all $j \neq i$ ¹ and *linearly dependent* otherwise. Three points $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in P$ are *collinear* if (x_1, x_2, x_3) is linearly dependent.

A map

$$\pi: P(V, F) = P \rightarrow P' = P(V', F')$$

is a *collineation* if for any three collinear points $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in P$ the points $\pi(x_1), \pi(x_2), \pi(x_3) \in P'$ are also collinear. A rather simple induction shows that this is equivalent to π mapping every linearly dependent sequence in P to a linearly dependent sequence in P' . We say that π is *linearly faithful* if it satisfies the following conditions, which are (exercise) equivalent:

- A sequence (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) in P is linearly independent if and only if the sequence $(\pi(x_1), \pi(x_2), \dots, \pi(x_n))$ in P' is linearly independent.
- Every projective subspace $P_1 \subset P$ is of the form $\pi^{-1}(P'_1)$ for some projective subspace $P'_1 \subset P'$.

¹i.e., if the subspace $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n \subset V$ spanned by the x_i has dimension n .

Any linearly faithful map is an injective collineation. A bijective collineation π is linearly faithful if and only if π^{-1} is a collineation.

We define \mathbb{P}_2 , *the category of projective spaces of dimension ≥ 2* , as follows:

- The objects of \mathbb{P}_2 are the vector spaces (V, F) of dimension ≥ 3 .
- The arrows $(V, F) \rightarrow (V', F')$ in \mathbb{P}_2 are the *linearly faithful* maps $\pi: P(V, F) \rightarrow P(V', F')$.

Let \mathbb{V}_3 be the subcategory of \mathbb{V} with objects the vector spaces (V, F) of dimension ≥ 3 , and with arrows the semi-linear maps

$$(\zeta, \theta): (V, F) \rightarrow (V', F')$$

such that ζ is *linearly faithful* in the sense that it satisfies the following equivalent conditions:

- A sequence (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) in V is linearly independent (over F) if and only if the sequence $(\zeta(x_1), \zeta(x_2), \dots, \zeta(x_n))$ in V' is linearly independent (over F').
- Every linear subspace $V_1 \subset V$ is of the form $\zeta^{-1}(V'_1)$ for some linear subspace $V'_1 \subset V'$.

Remarks. (i) If ζ is linearly faithful then ζ is injective.

(ii) If ζ is injective and θ is bijective then ζ is linearly faithful.²

(iii) If $\dim V \geq 3$, and if a is any non-zero element of F , then the automorphism $(\zeta_a, \theta_a): (V, F) \rightarrow (V, F)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_a(v) &= av & (v \in V) \\ \theta_a(b) &= aba^{-1} & (b \in F) \end{aligned}$$

is an arrow in \mathbb{V}_3 .

We define a functor $\Pi: \mathbb{V}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_2$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(V, F) &= (V, F), \\ \Pi((\zeta, \theta): (V, F) \rightarrow (V', F')) &= \pi_\zeta \end{aligned}$$

where for each non-zero $v \in V$, π_ζ takes the subspace $Fv \in P(V, F)$ to the subspace $F'\zeta(v) \in P(V', F')$.

Next we form a quotient category of \mathbb{V}_3 through which Π factors. Let \mathbf{R} be the equivalence relation under which two arrows in \mathbb{V}_3

$$(\zeta_1, \theta_1), (\zeta_2, \theta_2): (V, F) \rightarrow (V', F')$$

are equivalent if there exists a non-zero $a \in F'$ such that

$$(\zeta_2, \theta_2) = (\zeta_a, \theta_a) \circ (\zeta_1, \theta_1).$$

The *quotient category* \mathbb{V}_3/\mathbf{R} has the same objects as \mathbb{V}_3 ; but for two objects (V, F) , (V', F') , the \mathbb{V}_3/\mathbf{R} -arrows $(V, F) \rightarrow (V', F')$ are the *equivalence classes* under \mathbf{R} of arrows in \mathbb{V}_3 between these two objects, composition being defined in the natural way.

There is a canonical functor $\rho: \mathbb{V}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_3/\mathbf{R}$ taking any object to itself and taking any arrow to its equivalence class; and it is easily checked that *there is a unique functor*

$$\bar{\Pi}: \mathbb{V}_3/\mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_2$$

such that

$$\Pi = \bar{\Pi} \circ \rho.$$

Now, at last, we can state:

²More generally, ζ is linearly faithful \iff the map $F' \otimes_F V \rightarrow V'$ induced by (ζ, θ) is injective.

Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry. *The functor $\bar{\Pi}$ is an isomorphism of categories.*

In other words, $\bar{\Pi}$ is bijective on objects (clearly) and on arrows: every arrow in \mathbb{P}_2 is of the form π_ζ , and

$$\pi_\zeta = \pi_{\zeta'} \iff \zeta \equiv \zeta' \pmod{\mathbf{R}}.$$

The proof is essentially given by E. Artin in [A, pp. 88–91]. Artin restricts his attention to finite-dimensional spaces and to arrows which are *isomorphisms*; but his arguments are easily modified to cover the present statement.

The Fundamental Theorem in some sense reduces Projective Geometry to Linear Algebra. Consequently, using Theorem 1, *we can reduce Projective Geometry to Group Theory*. Here is a precise formulation; proofs are provided in §2.

Let \mathbb{G}_3 be the subcategory of \mathbb{G} whose objects are pairs (G, H) satisfying (GH2), (GH3)—or (GH2)*, (GH3)*—and

(GH1)* For all $g_1, g_2 \in G$,

$$(*) \quad G \neq (Hg_1 \cup H)(Hg_2 \cup H);$$

and whose arrows $f: (G, H) \rightarrow (G', H')$ are those of \mathbb{G} which further satisfy:

(0.3) Every subgroup G_1 of G containing H is of the form $f^{-1}(G'_1)$
for some subgroup G'_1 of G' containing H' .

If Θ is, as above, a pseudo-inverse of Γ , then (GH1)* is equivalent to the vector space $\Theta(G, H)$ having dimension ≥ 3 (cf. Corollary (2.2), which also shows that if (*) holds for some two elements $g_1, g_2 \in G$ such that

$$H \neq Hg_1H \neq Hg_2H \neq H$$

then it holds for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$); and (0.3) is equivalent to $\Theta(f)$ being linearly faithful. In fact *the above functors Γ and Θ induce pseudo-inverse equivalences between the categories $\mathbb{V}_3 \subset \mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{G}_3 \subset \mathbb{G}$.*

Note that if $(G, H) \in \mathbb{G}_3$ then for any $h \in H$, the inner automorphism $\gamma_h: G \rightarrow G$, given by

$$\gamma_h(g) = hgh^{-1} \quad (g \in G)$$

is an arrow in \mathbb{G}_3 .

On \mathbb{G}_3 we consider the equivalence relation \mathbf{R}^* under which two arrows

$$f_1, f_2: (G, H) \rightarrow (G', H')$$

are equivalent if there exists an $h \in H'$ such that $f_2 = \gamma_h \circ f_1$. As above, we have a quotient category $\mathbb{G}_3/\mathbf{R}^*$, together with a canonical functor $\rho^*: \mathbb{G}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_3/\mathbf{R}^*$. And there is a unique functor $\bar{\Theta}: \mathbb{G}_3/\mathbf{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_3/\mathbf{R}$ making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}_3 & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & \mathbb{V}_3 \\ \rho^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho \\ \mathbb{G}_3/\mathbf{R}^* & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Theta}} & \mathbb{V}_3/\mathbf{R} \end{array}$$

This $\bar{\Theta}$ is also an equivalence of categories.

Following $\bar{\Theta}$ by the isomorphism $\bar{\Pi}$, we obtain the above-indicated *group-theoretic foundations for projective geometry*:

Theorem 2. *The category \mathbb{P}_2 of projective spaces of dimension ≥ 2 is equivalent to the quotient category $\mathbb{G}_3/\mathbf{R}^*$ just described.*

1. Proof of Theorem 1. We first define a category \mathbb{S} which will serve as an intermediary in the proof of the equivalence of \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{V} .

The objects of \mathbb{S} , called “pointed geometries,” are triples (G, S, p) with S a set, $p \in S$, and G a group acting faithfully on S , i.e., there is a map $G \times S \rightarrow S$ —for which the image of a pair (g, s) is denoted gs —such that

$$(gh)s = g(hs) \quad (g, h \in G; s \in S)$$

and such that

$$gs = s \text{ for all } s \in S \iff g = e, \text{ the identity.}$$

(For motivation, consider the triple $(G, V, 0)$ described at the beginning of the Introduction; or cf. [L]). A *translation* of (G, S, p) is defined as in [L, p. 272] to be an element $g \in G$ such that either $g = e$ or g has no fixed points (i.e., $gs \neq s$ for all $s \in S$). A *line* of (G, S, p) is by definition a subset of S of the form

$$s_1 + s_2 = \{s \in S \mid s = s_1 \text{ or } \exists g \in G \text{ with } gs_1 = s_1, gs_2 = s\}$$

where s_1, s_2 are distinct points in S . It is assumed further that G acts *doubly transitively on lines, but not on all of S* . (Cf. [L, p. 268, AXIOM 1, and p. 271, AXIOM 2]; recall that a group acts doubly transitively on a set if for any s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 in the set with $s_1 \neq s_2, s_3 \neq s_4$, there is a g in the group such that $gs_1 = s_3$ and $gs_2 = s_4$.) Note that then G acts *transitively on S* , i.e., for any s_1, s_2 in S there is a g in G with $gs_1 = s_2$. (There is even such a g which furthermore satisfies $gs_2 = s_1$: this is obvious if $s_1 = s_2$, and otherwise holds because s_1 and s_2 both lie on the line $s_1 + s_2$).

The arrows of \mathbb{S} are pairs

$$(\phi, \psi): (G, S, p) \rightarrow (G', S', p')$$

where $\phi: G \rightarrow G'$ is a group homomorphism and $\psi: S \rightarrow S'$ is a map of sets with $\psi(p) = p'$, such that

$$(1.1) \quad \psi(gs) = \phi(g)\psi(s) \quad (g \in G, s \in S)$$

and such that

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\phi \text{ takes non-identity translations of } (G, S, p) \\ &\text{to non-identity translations of } (G', S', p'). \end{aligned}$$

Composition of arrows $(\phi, \psi): (G, S, p) \rightarrow (G', S', p')$ and $(\phi', \psi'): (G', S', p') \rightarrow (G'', S'', p'')$ is defined in the obvious way:

$$(\phi', \psi') \circ (\phi, \psi) = (\phi' \circ \phi, \psi' \circ \psi): (G, S, p) \rightarrow (G'', S'', p'').$$

We observe in passing the following facts.

Remarks (1.3). *Let (ϕ, ψ) be a pair as above satisfying (1.1).*

- (1) *If ψ is bijective then (1.2) holds.*
- (2) *If (1.2) holds then both ϕ and ψ are injective.*

Proof. (1) Assuming ψ to be bijective, let g be a non-identity translation of (G, S, p) , so that $gs \neq s$ for any $s \in S$. Since ψ is injective, therefore

$$\phi(g)\psi(s) = \psi(gs) \neq \psi(s);$$

and since ψ is surjective, this means that $\phi(g)s' \neq s'$ for any $s' \in S'$, i.e., $\phi(g)$ is a non-identity translation of (G', S', p') . Thus (1.2) holds.

(2) Assuming (1.2), let us show first that ψ is injective. Let s_1, s_2 be distinct points of S . By [L, p.272, Thm. 8] there exists a translation g (obviously non-identity) with $gs_1 = s_2$. Then

$$\psi(s_2) = \psi(gs_1) = \phi(g)\psi(s_1) \neq \psi(s_1)$$

because $\phi(g)$ is a non-identity translation. So ψ is indeed injective.

Finally, if $g \in G$ is such that $\phi(g) = e'$, the identity in G' , then for every $s \in S$ we have

$$\psi(gs) = \phi(g)\psi(s) = \psi(s),$$

and since ψ is injective, therefore $gs = s$. So $g = e$, and ϕ is injective, as asserted. \square

We show now that:

Theorem 1a. *The categories \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{S} are equivalent.*

Proof. The asserted equivalence is induced by a well-known equivalence

$$\Phi: \overline{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{S}}$$

where the categories $\overline{\mathbb{G}} \supset \mathbb{G}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{S}} \supset \mathbb{S}$ are as follows:

The objects of $\overline{\mathbb{G}}$ are pairs (G, H) with G a group and H a subgroup of G ; and the arrows $(G, H) \rightarrow (G', H')$ are the group homomorphisms $f: G \rightarrow G'$ for which $f(H) \subset H'$.

The objects of $\overline{\mathbb{S}}$ are triples (G, S, p) with S a set, $p \in S$, and G a group acting transitively on S ; and the arrows $(G, S, p) \rightarrow (G', S', p')$ are pairs of maps (ϕ, ψ) as above, satisfying (1.1) (but not necessarily (1.2)).

For $(G, H) \in \overline{\mathbb{G}}$, let G/H be the set consisting of all the left cosets of H in G . G acts transitively on G/H by left multiplication.

The above equivalence Φ is the functor given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(G, H) &= (G, G/H, H) \\ \Phi(f: (G, H) \rightarrow (G', H')) &= (f, \psi_f): (G, G/H, H) \rightarrow (G', G'/H', H') \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi_f(gH) = f(g)H' \quad (g \in G).$$

For $(G, S, p) \in \overline{\mathbb{S}}$, let $G_p \subset G$ be the *stabilizer* of p , i.e., the subgroup

$$G_p = \{ g \in G \mid gp = p \}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that a pseudo-inverse of Φ is the functor $\Psi: \overline{\mathbb{S}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{G}}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(G, S, p) &= (G, G_p) \\ \Psi((\phi, \psi): (G, S, p) \rightarrow (G', S', p')) &= \phi: (G, G_p) \rightarrow (G', G'_{p'}). \end{aligned}$$

In fact $\Psi\Phi = \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathbb{G}}}$; and a functorial isomorphism $\Phi\Psi \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$ is given for $(G, S, p) \in \overline{\mathbb{S}}$ by

$$(1, \psi): \Phi\Psi(G, S, p) = (G, G/G_p, G_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} (G, S, p)$$

where $\psi(gG_p) = gp$.

We will show that Φ maps the subcategory \mathbb{G} of $\overline{\mathbb{G}}$ into the subcategory \mathbb{S} of $\overline{\mathbb{S}}$, and that Ψ maps \mathbb{S} into \mathbb{G} . It is easily checked that an isomorphism in $\overline{\mathbb{G}}$ between two objects of \mathbb{G} is actually an isomorphism in \mathbb{G} ; and similarly for $\mathbb{S} \subset \overline{\mathbb{S}}$. It will follow then that *the restrictions of Φ and Ψ to the respective subcategories \mathbb{G} , \mathbb{S} are pseudo-inverse equivalences*, proving Theorem 1a.

From the simple fact that $g \in G$ is a non-identity translation of $(G, S, p) \in \overline{\mathbb{G}}$ (i.e., $gs \neq s$ for all $s \in S$) if and only if no conjugate of g lies in G_p , it follows easily that Φ *takes arrows of \mathbb{G} to arrows of \mathbb{S}* , and that Ψ *takes arrows of \mathbb{S} to arrows of \mathbb{G}* . So it remains to examine the effect of Φ (resp. Ψ) on *objects* of \mathbb{G} (resp. \mathbb{S}).

Let us show that:

$$(1.4) \quad \Phi(G, H) = (G, G/H, H) \in \mathbb{S} \quad \text{for } (G, H) \in \mathbb{G}.$$

(1.4.1) *G acts faithfully on G/H.*

We must show: if $g' \in G$ is such that $g'gH = gH$ for all $gH \in G/H$, i.e., $g' \in gHg^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$, then $g' = e$. But by (GH1) there is a $g \in G$ such that the double cosets HgH and H are distinct, whence $HgH \cap H = \emptyset$; and from (GH2) we then get

$$g' \in gHg^{-1} \cap eHe^{-1} \subset (HgH \cup \{e\}) \cap H = \{e\}.$$

(1.4.2) *G does not act doubly transitively on G/H.*

By (GH1) there exist three distinct double cosets $H, HgH, Hg'H$. Then $H \neq g^{-1}H$ and $g'H \neq H$, but there is no $j \in G$ such that $jH = g'H$ and $jpg^{-1}H = H$, since such a j would lie in $Hg'H \cap HgH = \emptyset$.

(1.4.3) *G acts doubly transitively on lines.*

We first give a condition for $cH \in G/H$ to lie on a line $aH + bH$.

Lemma(1.5). *If $aH \neq bH$ and $aH \neq cH$ are in G/H , then*

$$\begin{aligned} cH \in aH + bH &\stackrel{(i)}{\iff} a^{-1}c \in Ha^{-1}bH \\ &\stackrel{(ii)}{\iff} (aH)^{-1}(cH) = (aH)^{-1}(bH). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $cH \neq aH$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} cH \in aH + bH &\iff \exists g \in G \text{ with } gaH = aH, gbH = cH \\ &\iff aHa^{-1} \cap cHb^{-1} \neq \emptyset \\ &\iff Ha^{-1}b \cap a^{-1}cH \neq \emptyset \\ &\iff a^{-1}c \in Ha^{-1}bH. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the logical equivalence (i); and (ii) is obvious. \square

Now let $g_1H, g_2H, g_3H, g_4H \in aH + bH$, $g_1H \neq g_2H$, $g_3H \neq g_4H$. By (1.5),

$$a^{-1}g_i \in H \cup Ha^{-1}bH \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, 4).$$

Note that $a^{-1}g_i \in H \iff g_iH = aH$. In particular, $a^{-1}g_1$ and $a^{-1}g_2$ can't both lie in H . It follows that

$$g_1^{-1}g_2 = (g_1^{-1}a)(a^{-1}g_2) \in Hb^{-1}aH \cup Ha^{-1}bH \cup (Hb^{-1}aH)(Ha^{-1}bH).$$

By (GH3),

$$Hb^{-1}aH = Ha^{-1}bH,$$

and by (GH2),

$$(Hb^{-1}aH)(Ha^{-1}bH) = H(b^{-1}aHa^{-1}b)H \subset Hb^{-1}aH \cup H;$$

since $g_1H \neq g_2H$, therefore $g_1^{-1}g_2 \notin H$, and we conclude then that $g_1^{-1}g_2 \in Ha^{-1}bH$.

Similarly, $g_3^{-1}g_4 \in Ha^{-1}bH$. So

$$Hg_1^{-1}g_2H = Ha^{-1}bH = Hg_3^{-1}g_4H,$$

i.e., there exist $h_1, h_2 \in H$ such that

$$h_1g_1^{-1}g_2h_2 = g_3^{-1}g_4.$$

Thus, for g_1H, g_2H, g_3H, g_4H on one line, $g_1H \neq g_2H$, $g_3H \neq g_4H$, there is an element of G , (namely $g_3h_1g_1^{-1} = g_4h_2^{-1}g_2^{-1}$) which sends g_1H to g_3H and g_2H to g_4H .

This completes the proof of (1.4) \square

Let us show finally that

$$(1.6) \quad \Psi(G, S, p) = (G, G_p) \in \mathbb{G} \quad \text{for } (G, S, p) \in \mathbb{S}.$$

For convenience, set $G_p = H$.

$$(1.6.1) \quad G \neq HgH \cup H \text{ for any } g \in G.$$

For, were $G = HgH \cup H$, then for any point $p \neq p_1 \in S$ we would have (by transitivity, see the definition of \mathbb{S}), for some $g_1 \in G$,

$$p_1 = g_1p = h_1gp \quad (h_1 \in H),$$

and since $h_1p = p$ therefore $p_1 \in p + gp$. Thus the line $p + gp$ would be all of S ; and since G acts doubly transitively on lines, but not on all of S , we would have a contradiction.

$$(1.6.2) \quad HgH = Hg^{-1}H \text{ for any } g \in G.$$

We may assume that $g \notin H$, i.e., $gp \neq p$. Since G acts doubly transitively on the line $p + gp$, there exists $g_1 \in G$ such that

$$g_1p = gp, \quad g_1gp = p.$$

It follows that there exist $h_1, h_2 \in H$ such that

$$g_1 = gh_1 = h_2g^{-1}.$$

Hence $g \in Hg^{-1}H$, i.e., $HgH = Hg^{-1}H$.

(1.6.3) $gHg^{-1} \subset HgH \cup \{e\}$ for any $g \in G$.

We may assume that $g \notin H$. Let $h \in H$, with $h \notin g^{-1}Hg$. Then p , $g^{-1}p$, and $hg^{-1}p$ are three distinct points on the line $L = p + g^{-1}p$. Since G acts doubly transitively on L , there is a $j \in G$ such that

$$jp = g^{-1}p \quad \text{and} \quad jg^{-1}p = hg^{-1}p.$$

Then we have $j = g^{-1}h_1$ for some $h_1 \in H$, and $g^{-1}h_1g^{-1}p = hg^{-1}p$, so that $hg^{-1} \in g^{-1}h_1g^{-1}H$, whence

$$ghg^{-1} \in Hg^{-1}H \stackrel{(1.6.2)}{=} HgH.$$

It remains to consider elements of the form ghg^{-1} with $h \in H \cap g^{-1}Hg$. Such an h has the two distinct points $p, g^{-1}p \in S$ as fixed points, and so by [L, p.271, Thm.5], $h = e$, and $ghg^{-1} = e$.

This completes the proof of (1.6), and of Theorem 1a. \square

Our next task is to describe a functor $\Theta: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ pseudo-inverse to Γ . This is basically an elaboration of [L, §7].

For $(G, H) \in \mathbb{G}$, set

$$\begin{aligned} T = T_{G,H} &= \{ \text{translations of } (G, H) \} \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{e\} \cup \{g \in G \mid \text{no conjugate of } g \text{ lies in } H\}. \end{aligned}$$

The set T is closed under conjugation:

$$jTj^{-1} = T \quad (j \in G).$$

As above, $\Phi(G, H) = (G, G/H, H) \in \mathbb{S}$; and it is immediate that T is the set of all translations of $(G, G/H, H)$ —cf. beginning of this §1. Hence, by [L, p.274, Thm.11], T is an abelian (normal) subgroup of G . We also note, for later use, the following consequence of [L, p.272, Thm.8]:

(1.7) *Each left coset gH contains exactly one translation.*

Let E be the ring of additive endomorphisms of T , with zero-element $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}_E$. We define a map $\gamma: H \rightarrow E$ by

$$[\gamma(h)](t) = hth^{-1} \quad (h \in H, t \in T).$$

According to [L, p.276], γ is injective and $F = \gamma(H) \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is a subfield of E . Thus T is a vector space over F .

Extend γ to $\tilde{\gamma}: H \cup \{\mathbf{0}\} \rightarrow E$ sending $\mathbf{0}$ to $\mathbf{0}$. Since $\tilde{\gamma}$ is injective, there is a unique field structure on $\tilde{H} = H \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a field isomorphism. For this structure, the product of two elements $h_1, h_2 \in H$ is the same as their product in G . In particular, the multiplicative identity $1_{\tilde{H}}$ is e . The additive inverse $-h_1 \in \tilde{H}$ is the unique $h \in H$ such that

$$hth^{-1} = (h_1th_1^{-1})^{-1} = h_1t^{-1}h^{-1} \quad \text{for all } t \in T.$$

If $h_2 \neq -h_1$, then the sum $h_1 + h_2 \in \tilde{H}$ is the unique $h \in H$ such that for all $t \in T$

$$(1.8) \quad hth^{-1} = h_1th_1^{-1}h_2th_2^{-1}.$$

All this follows from [L, p. 276]. We leave it to the reader to explicate sums and products in \widetilde{H} involving $\mathbf{0}$.

Accordingly, we can regard T as a vector space over \widetilde{H} , with scalar multiplication

$$h \cdot t = hth^{-1} \quad (h \in H, t \in T).$$

Remark (1.9). Given $h_1, h_2 \in H$, with $h_1 \neq -h_2$, if $h \in H$ is such that (1.8) holds for *one* non-identity $t \in T$, then $h = h_1 + h_2$.

This follows from [L, p. 275, Cor. 12.1] and the injectivity of γ .

The above-mentioned functor Θ is specified by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(G, H) &= (T_{G,H}, \widetilde{H}) \\ \Theta(f: (G, H) \rightarrow (G', H')) &= (\zeta_f, \theta_f): (T_{G,H}, \widetilde{H}) \rightarrow (T_{G',H'}, \widetilde{H}') \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_f(t) &= f(t) & (t \in T_{G,H}) \\ \theta_f(h) &= f(h) & (h \in H) \\ \theta_f(\mathbf{0}) &= \mathbf{0}'. \end{aligned}$$

To see that Θ is indeed a functor from \mathbb{G} to \mathbb{V} we need to prove that (ζ_f, θ_f) is an injective semi-linear map.

The injectivity of ζ_f follows from that of f , which in turn follows from the fact that $\Phi(f) = (f, \psi_f)$ is a map in \mathbb{S} (cf. (2) in (1.3) above.)

The conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_f(t_1 + t_2) &= \zeta_f(t_1) + \zeta_f(t_2) & (t_1, t_2 \in T_{G,H}) \\ \zeta_f(ht) &= \theta_f(h)\zeta_f(t) & (h \in \widetilde{H}, t \in T) \\ \theta_f(h_1 h_2) &= \theta_f(h_1)\theta_f(h_2) & (h_1, h_2 \in \widetilde{H}) \\ \theta_f(1_{\widetilde{H}}) &= 1_{\widetilde{H}'} \end{aligned}$$

are trivial to verify. It remains then to show that

$$(1.10) \quad \theta_f(h_1 + h_2) = \theta_f(h_1) + \theta_f(h_2) \quad (h_1, h_2 \in \widetilde{H}).$$

If any one of h_1 , h_2 , or $h_1 + h_2$ is $\mathbf{0}$, then (1.10) is obvious. Otherwise, we can apply f to (1.8), where $h = h_1 + h_2$ and t is a non-identity translation (which exists by (1.7), since $G \neq H$, cf. (GH1)) to get

$$f(h)f(t)f(h)^{-1} = f(h_1)f(t)f(h_1)^{-1}f(h_2)f(t)f(h_2)^{-1}.$$

By (0.2.2), no conjugate of $f(t)$ lies in H' , i.e., $f(t)$ is a non-identity translation of (G', H') . Hence by (1.9),

$$f(h_1 + h_2) = f(h) = f(h_1) + f(h_2),$$

proving (1.10).

Now that we have the functor Θ , let us show that it is a pseudo-inverse of Γ . For $(G, H) \in \mathbb{G}$, and $T = T_{G,H}$, definitions yield

$$\Gamma\Theta(G, H) = (\{[h, t]\}, \{[h, 0]\}) \quad (h \in H, t \in T)$$

where $[h, t]: T \rightarrow T$ is the map defined by

$$[h, t](\tau) = (\gamma(h)\tau)t = h\tau h^{-1}t \quad (\tau \in T).$$

We define a map

$$\alpha = \alpha_{G,H}: \Gamma\Theta(G, H) \rightarrow (G, H)$$

by

$$\alpha[h, t] = th.$$

Clearly $\alpha\{[h, 0]\} = H$; and one checks (using the fact that T is an abelian normal subgroup of G) that

$$\alpha([h, t] \circ [h', t']) = tht'h' = \alpha[h, t]\alpha[h', t'],$$

so that α is a map in the category $\overline{\mathbb{G}}$. Furthermore, α is an *isomorphism* in $\overline{\mathbb{G}}$ (hence, as previously remarked, in \mathbb{G}) because, by (1.7), every element in G is uniquely of the form th .³ Finally, α is *functorial*, i.e., for any arrow $f: (G, H) \rightarrow (G', H')$ in \mathbb{G} , the resulting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma\Theta(G, H) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{G,H}} & (G, H) \\ \Gamma\Theta(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \Gamma\Theta(G', H') & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{G',H'}} & (G', H') \end{array}$$

commutes, as follows easily from definitions. Thus we have an isomorphism of functors $\Gamma\Theta \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{G}}$.

Next, for $(V, F) \in \mathbb{V}$, let $(G, H) = \Gamma(V, F)$ be as in the Introduction, and let T be the subgroup of G consisting of all the translations $[1, v]$ with $v \in V$, so that with \tilde{H} as above we have

$$\Theta\Gamma(V, F) = (T, \tilde{H}).$$

We define a map

$$(\zeta, \theta) = (\zeta_{V,F}, \theta_{V,F}): \Theta\Gamma(V, F) \rightarrow (V, F)$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta[1, v] &= v & (v \in V) \\ \theta[a, 0] &= a & (0 \neq a \in F) \\ \theta(\mathbf{0}) &= 0_F. \end{aligned}$$

³The reader who so desires can rephrase this argument in terms of semi-direct products.

Both ζ and θ are bijective. We leave it to the reader to check (mechanically, via definitions) that (ζ, θ) is *semi-linear*, and hence is an isomorphism in \mathbb{V} . For functoriality, we need to check that for any arrow $(\xi, \eta): (V, F) \rightarrow (V', F')$ in \mathbb{V} , the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta\Gamma(V, F) & \xrightarrow{(\zeta_{V,F}, \theta_{V,F})} & (V, F) \\ \Theta\Gamma(\xi, \eta) \downarrow & & \downarrow (\xi, \eta) \\ \Theta\Gamma(V', F') & \xrightarrow{(\zeta_{V',F'}, \theta_{V',F'})} & (V', F') \end{array}$$

This again is a mechanical exercise. So we have an isomorphism of functors $\Theta\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{V}}$; and Θ is indeed a pseudo-inverse of Γ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Remark (1.11). Let us verify Remark (iii) in the Introduction. Assuming (GH1)–(GH3), we can prove (GH2)* as in (1.4.1); and we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (HgH \cup H)(HgH \cup H)^{-1} &= (HgH \cup H)(Hg^{-1}H \cup H) \\ &= HgHg^{-1}H \cup HgH \cup Hg^{-1}H \cup H \\ &\subset HgH \cup H, \end{aligned}$$

yielding (GH3)*. Conversely, (GH3)* easily implies (GH3) and that for any $g \in G$,

$$gHg^{-1} \subset (HgH \cup H)(HgH \cup H)^{-1} \subset (HgH \cup H).$$

So to prove (GH2), we need to show that if $g \notin H$ then

$$gHg^{-1} \cap H = \{e\}.$$

For this, observe that (GH3)* alone is enough for the proof of (1.4.3), (GH1) is enough for (1.4.2), and (GH2)* is enough for (1.4.1). So we can apply [L, p.271, Thm.5] to any $h \in gHg^{-1} \cap H$: since $hH = H$ and $hgH = gH$, therefore $h = e$. \square

Remark (1.12). Here is a variation on the theme of Theorem 1.

Recall the definition of “faithful group action” given near the beginning of §1. Let H be a group acting faithfully on a group T , via *automorphisms*, i.e.,

$$h(t_1 \cdot t_2) = ht_1 \cdot ht_2 \quad (h \in H; t_1, t_2 \in T)$$

where \cdot is the group operation in T . The *orbit* $\langle t \rangle$ of $t \in T$ is the set

$$\langle t \rangle = \{ ht \mid h \in H \}.$$

For example, the orbit of the identity element e_T consists of e_T alone.

Theorem 1b. *Let H be a group acting faithfully via automorphisms on a group T . Then the following conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent:*

- (1) (i) *There are at least three distinct orbits in T , and*
(ii) *for any $t \in T$, $\langle t \rangle \cup \{e_T\}$ is a subgroup of T .*
- (2) *There is a vector space V of dimension ≥ 2 over a field F , such that H is the multiplicative group of F , T is the additive group of V , and the action $H \times T \rightarrow T$ is induced by the scalar multiplication $F \times V \rightarrow V$.*

Proof. Let G be the semi-direct product of H by T with respect to the given action: G is the set $T \times H$ with multiplication

$$(t, h)(t', h') = (t \cdot ht', hh').$$

H can be identified with the subgroup $\{(e_T, h) \mid h \in H\}$ of G , T can be identified with the normal subgroup $\{(t, e_H) \mid t \in T\}$, and then the action of H on T is given by conjugation inside G . Note that:

(*) every element $g \in G$ is uniquely of the form $g = th$ ($t \in T$, $h \in H$).

In view of Remark (1.11) and the proof of Theorem 1, we can prove the implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) by showing that the pair $G \supset H$ satisfies (GH1), (GH2)* and (GH3)*; and that T is the set of translations of (G, H) , i.e., a non-identity element $g \in G$ is in T if and only if no conjugate of g is in H .

For (GH1), choose $t, t' \in T$ such that the orbits $\langle t \rangle$, $\langle t' \rangle$, and $\langle e_T \rangle$ are distinct. Inside G , if t' were in HtH we would have

$$t' = hth' = (hth^{-1})(hh') \quad (h, h' \in H)$$

whence, by (*), $t' = hth^{-1} \in \langle t \rangle$. So $t' \notin HtH$, and the three double cosets HtH , $Ht'H$ and H are distinct, proving (GH1).

For (GH2)*, let $t \in T$ and consider an element $h \in H \cap tHt^{-1}$. We have

$$eh = h = (th_1t^{-1}h_1^{-1})h_1 \quad (h_1 \in H)$$

whence, by (*), $e = th_1t^{-1}h_1^{-1}$ and $h = h_1$, so that $hth^{-1} = t$. Thus if $h \in \bigcap_{t \in T} tHt^{-1}$, then $hth^{-1} = t$ for all $t \in T$, and since H acts faithfully on T , therefore $h = e$, proving (GH2)*.

For (GH3)*, note first that for any $t \in T$ we have, inside G ,

$$(**) \quad H\langle t \rangle = \langle t \rangle H,$$

as follows from the identities

$$\begin{aligned} h_1hth^{-1} &= (h_1hth^{-1}h_1^{-1})h_1 \\ hth^{-1}h_1 &= h_1(h_1^{-1}hth^{-1}h_1) \quad (h, h_1 \in H). \end{aligned}$$

For any $g \in G$, writing $g = th$, cf. (*), we see then that

$$HgH \cup H = HtH \cup H = H\langle t \rangle \cup H,$$

and we deduce easily from (ii) in (1) and from (**) that $HgH \cup H$ is a subgroup of G , proving (GH3)*.

Finally, since the normal subgroup T equals any of its conjugates in G , and since $T \cap H = \{e\}$, it is clear that every $t \in T$ is a translation. Conversely, if $g = th$ (cf. (*)) is a translation, then (1.7) implies that $g = t \in T$.

This completes the proof that (1) \Rightarrow (2); and the implication (2) \Rightarrow (1) is clear. \square

There is an obvious functor Γ^* from the category \mathbb{V} to the category whose objects are pairs (T, H) satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1b, and whose arrows $(T, H) \rightarrow (T', H')$ are pairs (ξ, η) where $\xi: T \rightarrow T'$ is an *injective* group homomorphism and $\eta: H \rightarrow H'$ is a group homomorphism such that

$$\xi(ht) = \eta(h)\xi(t) \quad (h \in H, t \in T).$$

We leave it to the reader to verify that: Γ^* is an equivalence of categories.

2. Proof of Theorem 2.

Proposition (2.1). *Let (V, F) and $\Gamma(V, F) = (G, H)$ be as in the Introduction. To each subspace V' of V associate the subgroup $\Gamma_0(V')$ of G given by*

$$\Gamma_0(V') = \{ [a, v] \in G \mid 0 \neq a \in F, v \in V' \}.$$

- (1) Γ_0 is an inclusion preserving bijective map from the set of subspaces of V onto the set of subgroups of G containing H .
- (2) For any element $0 \neq v \in V$ and any non-zero $c \in F$ we have

$$\Gamma_0(Fv) = (H[c, v]H) \cup H.$$

- (3) For any two subspaces $V_1 \subset V, V_2 \subset V$, we have

$$\Gamma_0(V_1 + V_2) = \Gamma_0(V_1)\Gamma_0(V_2) = \Gamma_0(V_2)\Gamma_0(V_1).$$

Proof. (1) First of all it is clear that $\Gamma_0(V')$ is a subgroup of G containing H .

We show that Γ_0 is bijective by constructing an inverse map. For any subgroup $G' \subset G$ with $G' \supset H$ set

$$\Theta_0(G') = \{ v \in V \mid [1, v] \in G' \}.$$

Since

$$[1, v_1][1, v_2] = [1, v_1 + v_2],$$

therefore $\Theta_0(G')$ is closed under addition; and since for non-zero $a \in F$ we have $[a, 0] \in H \subset G'$ and

$$[a, 0][1, v][a, 0]^{-1} = [1, av],$$

therefore $\Theta_0(G')$ is closed under scalar multiplication; so $\Theta_0(G')$ is a subspace of V .

By definition

$$v \in \Theta_0\Gamma_0(V') \iff [1, v] \in \Gamma_0(V') \iff v \in V',$$

i.e., $\Theta_0\Gamma_0(V') = V'$.

Moreover, if $[1, v] \in G'$ and $0 \neq a \in F$, then

$$[a, v] = [1, v][a, 0] \in G';$$

and conversely if $[a, v] \in G'$, then

$$[1, v] = [a, v][a, 0]^{-1} \in G'.$$

It follows easily that $\Gamma_0\Theta_0(G') = G'$, and (1) is proved.

(2) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0(Fv) &= \{ [a, bv] \mid 0 \neq a \in F, 0 \neq b \in F \} \cup \{ [a, 0] \mid 0 \neq a \in F \} \\ &= \{ [b, 0][c, v][c^{-1}b^{-1}a, 0] \mid 0 \neq a \in F, 0 \neq b \in F \} \cup H \\ &= (H[c, v]H) \cup H. \end{aligned}$$

(3) The inclusions

$$\Gamma_0(V_2)\Gamma_0(V_1) \subset \Gamma_0(V_1 + V_2) \supset \Gamma_0(V_1)\Gamma_0(V_2)$$

are obvious; and the opposite inclusions follow from the equalities

$$[1, v_2][a, v_1] = [a, v_1 + v_2] = [1, v_1][a, v_2]. \quad \square$$

Corollary (2.2). *Given vectors $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$, and non-zero elements $c_i \in F$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$), with $g_i = [c_i, v_i]$ we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 &\text{ is a linear combination of } v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \\ \iff g_0 &\in (Hg_1H \cup H)(Hg_2H \cup H) \cdots (Hg_nH \cup H). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary (2.3). Γ_0 induces a one-one correspondence between the set of 1-dimensional subspaces of V (i.e., the points of the projective space $P(V, F)$) and the set of double cosets $HgH \neq H$.

Corollary (2.4). *Let $(\zeta, \theta): (V, F) \rightarrow (V', F')$ be a semi-linear map, and let*

$$f = \Gamma(\zeta, \theta): \Gamma(V, F) = (G, H) \rightarrow (G', H') = \Gamma(V', F').$$

Then ζ is linearly faithful \iff (0.3) holds.

Proof. Recall from the Introduction that ζ linearly faithful means that every subspace $V_1 \subset V$ is of the form $\zeta^{-1}(V'_1)$ for some subspace $V'_1 \subset V'$. The conclusion follows easily from (1) in (2.1). \square

Let us show now that, as asserted in the Introduction, *the functors Γ and Θ induce pseudo-inverse equivalences between the categories $\mathbb{V}_3 \subset \mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{G}_3 \subset \mathbb{G}$.*

It will suffice to show that (a): $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_3) \subset \mathbb{G}_3$, and (b): $\Theta(\mathbb{G}_3) \subset \mathbb{V}_3$. Then any pair of isomorphisms $\Gamma\Theta \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}$, $\Theta\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}$, will induce similar isomorphisms for the restrictions of

Γ and Θ to \mathbb{V}_3 and \mathbb{G}_3 respectively, because any isomorphism in \mathbb{V} between objects of \mathbb{V}_3 is linearly faithful, and hence is an isomorphism in \mathbb{V}_3 ; and similarly any isomorphism in \mathbb{G} between objects of \mathbb{G}_3 is an isomorphism in \mathbb{G}_3 .

Assertion (a) follows easily for objects from (2.2) and for arrows from (2.4).

As for (b), let us first consider an object $(G, H) \in \mathbb{G}_3$, and set $\Theta(G, H) = (V, F)$. Then (G, H) is isomorphic to $\Gamma(V, F)$ (in \mathbb{G}). By definition of \mathbb{G}_3 ,

$$G \neq (Hg_1H \cup H)(Hg_2H \cup H) \quad \text{for all } g_1, g_2 \in G,$$

and it follows from (2.2) that V is not spanned by two 1-dimensional subspaces, i.e., $\dim V_3 \geq 3$, i.e., $(V, F) \in \mathbb{V}_3$.

Let $f: (G, H) \rightarrow (G', H')$ be a map in \mathbb{G}_3 , and let $(V, F) = \Theta(G, H)$, $(V', F') = \Theta(G', H')$. Then the functorial isomorphism $\Gamma\Theta \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}$ gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(V, F) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (G, H) \\ \Gamma\Theta(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \Gamma(V', F') & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (G', H') \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms. Then $\Gamma\Theta(f)$ satisfies condition (0.3) since f does; and hence by (2.4), $\Theta(f)$ is linearly faithful. This completes the proof of (b). \square

Next let us note that Γ and Θ respect the equivalence relations \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{R}^* defined in the Introduction. Indeed, if $(G, H) = \Gamma(V, F)$, and if $0 \neq a \in F$, so that $h = [a, 0] \in H$, then

$$\Gamma(\zeta_a, \theta_a) = \gamma_h.$$

Conversely, if $(V, F) = \Theta(G, H)$, then there is an $a \in F$ such that

$$\Theta(\gamma_h) = (\zeta_a, \theta_a).$$

To see this, recall that there is a functorial isomorphism

$$f: (G, H) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma\Theta(G, H)$$

and hence $\Gamma\Theta(\gamma_h) = \gamma_{f(h)}$; but as we just saw, there is an a such that $\Gamma(\zeta_a, \theta_a) = \gamma_{f(h)}$; and since the equivalence $\bar{\Gamma}$ acts injectively on arrows, this a is as desired.

The existence of the functor $\bar{\Theta}$ defined near the end of the Introduction follows easily, as does the existence of a unique functor $\bar{\Gamma}$ making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}_3 & \xleftarrow{\Gamma} & \mathbb{V}_3 \\ \rho^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho \\ \mathbb{G}_3/\mathbf{R}^* & \xleftarrow{\bar{\Gamma}} & \mathbb{V}_3/\mathbf{R} \end{array}$$

Finally, $\bar{\Theta}$ and $\bar{\Gamma}$ are *pseudo-inverse equivalences*. For example to get an isomorphism $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{\Theta} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{G}_3/\mathbf{R}^*}$ we need for each $A = (G, H) \in \mathbb{G}_3/\mathbf{R}^*$ an isomorphism $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{\Theta}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} A$. But $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{\Theta}(A) = \Gamma\Theta(A)$, and we have an isomorphism $f: \Gamma\Theta(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ in \mathbb{G}_3 . The equivalence class of f under \mathbf{R}^* gives what we want.

Theorem 2 should now be clear.

REFERENCES

E. Artin, *Geometric Algebra*, Interscience, New York, 1957.

J. Lipman, *Definition of affine geometry by a group of transformations*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **4** (1961), 265–278.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, W. LAFAYETTE IN 47907