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Abstract. Consider the wave propagation in a two-layered medium consisting of a homogeneous compressible air or fluid on top of a homogeneous isotropic elastic solid in three dimensions. The interface between the two layers is assumed to be an unbounded rough surface. This work presents the first mathematical analysis for the transient acoustic-elastic interaction problem in such an unbounded structure. Using an exact transparent boundary condition and suitable interface conditions, we study an initial boundary value problem for the coupling of the acoustic and elastic wave equations. The well-posedness and stability are established for the reduced problem. Moreover, a priori estimates with explicit dependence on the time are obtained for the acoustic pressure and the elastic displacement. The problem addressed is sufficiently general to have potential use in applications.
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1. Introduction. Consider a two-layered medium which consists of a homogeneous compressible air or fluid on top of a homogeneous isotropic elastic solid. The interface between air/fluid and solid is assumed to be an unbounded rough surface, which refers to a nonlocal perturbation of an infinite plane surface such that the whole surface lies within a finite distance of the original plane. As a source located in the solid layer, the external force generates an elastic wave, which propagates towards the interface and further excites an acoustic wave in the air/fluid layer. The wave propagation leads to an air/fluid-solid interaction problem with an unbounded interface separating the acoustic and elastic waves which are coupled on the interface through two interface conditions. The first kinematic interface condition is imposed to ensure that the normal velocity of the air/fluid on one side of the boundary matches the accelerated velocity of the solid on the other side. The second one is the dynamic condition which results from the balance of forces on two sides of the interface. The problem addressed above is sufficiently general to have potential use in applications. For example, the model problem can be used to describe the seismic wave propagation in the air/fluid-solid medium due to the excitation of an earthquake source which is located in the crust between the lithosphere and the mantle of the Earth. Mathematically, there are two major challenges for this acoustic-elastic interaction problem: the time dependence and the unbounded rough interface.
This problem falls into the class of unbounded rough surface scattering problems, which have been of great interest to physicists, engineers, and applied mathematicians for many years. These problems arise from diverse scientific areas such as optics, acoustics, electromagnetics, and radar techniques [1, 11, 32, 38, 41]. In particular, the elastic wave scattering by unbounded interfaces has significant applications in seismology. For instance, the problem of elastic pulse transmission and reflection through the Earth is fundamental to the investigation of earthquakes and the utility of controlled explosions in search for oil and ore bodies [14, 15, 35]. The unbounded rough surface scattering problems are quite challenging due to unbounded structures. The usual Sommerfeld (for acoustic waves), Kupradze-Sommerfeld (for elastic waves), or Silver-Müller (for electromagnetic waves) radiation condition is no longer valid [2, 43]. The typical Fredholm alternative argument is not applicable either, due to the lack of compactness results. For the time-harmonic problems, we refer to [3, 4, 5, 25, 27] for some mathematical studies on the two-dimensional Helmholtz equation and [17, 29, 30] for the three-dimensional Maxwell equations. Despite many studies conducted so far, it is still unclear what the least restrictive conditions are for those physical parameters to assure the well-posedness of the wave equations in unbounded structures.

The time-domain scattering problems have recently attracted considerable attention due to their capability of capturing wideband signals and modeling more general material and nonlinearity [6, 24, 26, 33, 40]. These attractive features motivate us to tune our focus from seeking the best possible conditions for those physical parameters to the time-domain problems. Comparing them with the time-harmonic problems, the time-domain problems are less studied due to the additional challenge of the temporal dependence. The analysis can be found in [7, 19, 39] for the time-domain acoustic and electromagnetic obstacle scattering problems. We refer to [28] and [16] for the analysis of the time-dependent electromagnetic scattering from an open cavity and a periodic structure, respectively.

The acoustic-elastic interaction problems have received much attention in both the mathematical and engineering communities [9, 10, 18, 21, 20, 31]. Many approaches have been attempted to solve numerically the time-domain problems such as coupling of the boundary element and finite element with different time quadratures [12, 22, 34, 36, 13]. There are also some numerical studies on the inverse problems arising from the fluid-solid interaction such as reconstruction of surfaces of periodic structures or obstacles [23, 42]. However, rigorous mathematical study, especially the stability, is very rare at present.

This work presents the first mathematical analysis for the time-domain acoustic-elastic interaction problem in an unbounded structure. The problem is reformulated as an initial boundary value problem by adopting an exact transparent boundary condition (TBC). Using the Laplace transform and energy method, we show that the reduced variational problem has a unique weak solution in the frequency domain. Meanwhile, we obtain the stability estimate to show the existence of the solution in the time domain. In addition, we achieve a priori estimates with explicit dependence on the time for the pressure of the acoustic wave and the displacement of the elastic wave by considering directly the time-domain variational problem and taking special test functions.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the model equations and interface conditions for the acoustic-elastic interaction problem. The time-domain TBC is presented and some trace results are proved. Section 3 is devoted to the analysis of the reduced problem, where the well-posedness and stability are addressed...
2. Problem formulation. In this section, we define some notation, introduce the model equations, and present an initial boundary value problem for the acoustic-elastic scattering in an air/fluid-solid medium.

2.1. Problem geometry. As shown in Figure 1, we consider an active source which is embedded in an elastic solid medium. It models an earthquake focus located in the crust which lies between the lithosphere and the rigid mantle of the Earth. Due to the excitation of the source, an elastic wave is generated in the solid and propagates through to the medium of the air/fluid. Clearly, this process leads to the air/fluid-solid interaction problem with the scattering interface separating the domains where the acoustic and elastic waves travel.

Let \( r = (x, y) \top \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) and \( \mathbf{x} = (x, y, z) \top \in \mathbb{R}^3 \). Denote by \( \Gamma_f = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z = f(r) \} \) the surface separating the air/fluid and the solid, where \( f \) is assumed to be a \( W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) \) function. Let \( \Gamma_g = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z = g(r) \} \) be the surface separating the crust and the mantle, where \( g \) is an \( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \) function satisfying \( g(r) \leq f(r) \), \( r \in \mathbb{R}^2 \). We assume that the open space \( \Omega_f^+ = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : g(r) < z < f(r) \} \) is filled with a homogeneous compressible air or a compressible inviscid fluid with the constant density \( \rho_1 \). The space \( \Omega_2 = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : g(r) < z < f(r) \} \) is assumed to be occupied by a homogeneous isotropic linear elastic solid which is characterized by the constant mass density \( \rho_2 \) and Lamé parameters \( \mu, \lambda \). Define an artificial planar surface \( \Gamma_h = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z = h \} \), where \( h > \sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}^2} f(r) \) is a constant. Let \( \Omega_1 = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : f(r) < z < h \} \) and \( \Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \).

2.2. Acoustic wave equation. The acoustic wave field in air/fluid is governed by the conservation and the dynamics equations in the time domain:

\[
\nabla p(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\rho_1 \partial_t \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad c^2 \rho_1 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\partial_t p(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_f^+, \ t > 0,
\]

where \( p \) is the pressure, \( \mathbf{v} \) is the velocity, and the constants \( \rho_1 > 0 \) and \( c > 0 \) are the density and sound speed, respectively. Eliminating the velocity \( \mathbf{v} \) from (1), we obtain the acoustic wave equation for the pressure \( p \):

\[
\Delta p(\mathbf{x}, t) - \frac{1}{c^2} \partial^2_t p(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_f^+, \ t > 0.
\]

The homogeneous initial conditions are considered as causality:

\[
p|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \partial_t p|_{t=0} = 0 \quad \text{in} \ \Omega_f^+.
\]
2.3. Elastic wave equation. For the solid, the elastic wave field in a homogeneous isotropic solid material satisfies the linear time-domain elasticity equation,

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}(\mathbf{u}(x,t)) - \rho_2 \partial_t^2 \mathbf{u}(x,t) = j(x,t), \quad x \in \Omega_2, t > 0, \]

where \( \mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^T \) is the displacement vector, \( \rho_2 > 0 \) is the density of the elastic solid material, \( j(x,t) \) is the source which models the earthquake focus and is assumed to have a compact support contained in \( \Omega_2 \times (0, T) \) for any \( T > 0 \), and the symmetric stress tensor \( \mathbf{\sigma}(\mathbf{u}) \) is given by the generalized Hooke’s law

\[ \mathbf{\sigma}(\mathbf{u}) = 2\mu \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}) + \lambda \text{tr}(\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u})) \mathbf{I}, \]

\[ \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T \right). \]

Here \( \mu, \lambda \) are the Lamé parameters satisfying \( \mu > 0, \lambda + \mu > 0 \), \( \mathbf{I} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \) is the identity matrix, \( \text{tr}(\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u})) \) is the trace of the matrix \( \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}) \), and \( \nabla \mathbf{u} \) is the displacement gradient tensor given by

\[ \nabla \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x u_1 & \partial_y u_1 & \partial_z u_1 \\ \partial_x u_2 & \partial_y u_2 & \partial_z u_2 \\ \partial_x u_3 & \partial_y u_3 & \partial_z u_3 \end{bmatrix}. \]

Substituting (3) into (2), we obtain the time-domain Navier equation for the displacement \( \mathbf{u} \):

\[ \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}(x,t) + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}(x,t) - \rho_2 \partial_t^2 \mathbf{u}(x,t) = j(x,t), \quad x \in \Omega_2, t > 0. \]

By assuming that the mantle is rigid, we have

\[ \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_g, t > 0. \]

The homogeneous initial conditions are also imposed for the elastic wave equation:

\[ \mathbf{u}|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \partial_t \mathbf{u}|_{t=0} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_2. \]

2.4. Interface conditions. To couple the acoustic wave equation in the air/fluid and the elastic wave equation in the solid, the kinematic interface condition is imposed to ensure the continuity of the normal component of the velocity on \( \Gamma_f \):

\[ \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}(x,t) = \mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}(x,t), \quad x \in \Gamma_f, t > 0, \]

where \( \mathbf{n} \) is the unit normal on \( \Gamma_f \) pointing from \( \Omega_2 \) to \( \Omega_1 \). Noting (1), we have from (5) that

\[ \partial_n p = \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla p = -\rho_1 \mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_t^2 \mathbf{u} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_f, t > 0. \]

In addition, the dynamic interface condition is required to ensure the continuity of traction:

\[ -p \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{\sigma}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{n} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_f, t > 0, \]

where \( \mathbf{\sigma}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{n} \) denotes the multiplication of the stress tensor \( \mathbf{\sigma}(\mathbf{u}) \) with the normal vector \( \mathbf{n} \).
2.5. Laplace transform and some functional spaces. We first introduce some properties of the Laplace transform. For any \( s = s_1 + is_2 \) with \( s_1 > 0, s_2 \in \mathbb{R} \), define \( \hat{u}(s) \) to be the Laplace transform of the function \( u(t) \), i.e.,
\[
\hat{u}(s) = \mathcal{L}(u)(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} u(t) dt.
\]
It follows from the integration by parts that
\[
\int_{0}^{t} u(\tau) d\tau = \mathcal{L}^{-1}(s^{-1} \hat{u}(s)),
\]
where \( \mathcal{L}^{-1} \) is the inverse Laplace transform. It is easy to verify from the inverse Laplace transform that
\[
u(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{s_1 t} \mathcal{L}(u)(s_1 + is_2)),
\]
where \( \mathcal{F}^{-1} \) denotes the inverse Fourier transform with respect to \( s_2 \).

Recall the Plancherel or Parseval identity for the Laplace transform (cf. \[8, (2.46)\]):
\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{u}(s) \cdot \hat{v}(s) ds_2 = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2s_1 t} u(t) \cdot v(t) dt \quad \forall \ 1 > \sigma_0,
\]
where \( \hat{u} = \mathcal{L}(u), \hat{v} = \mathcal{L}(v) \), and \( \sigma_0 \) is the abscissa of convergence for the Laplace transform of \( u \) and \( v \).

Hereafter, the expression \( a \lesssim b \) or \( a \gtrsim b \) stands for \( a \leq Cb \) or \( a \geq Cb \), where \( C \) is a positive constant and its specific value is not required but should be always clear from the context.

The following lemma (cf. \[37, Theorem 43.1\]) is an analogue of the Paley–Wiener–Schwarz theorem for the Fourier transform of the distributions with compact supports in the case of the Laplace transform.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( \tilde{h}(s) \) be a holomorphic function in the half-plane \( \text{Re} \ s > \sigma_0 \) and be valued in the Banach space \( \mathcal{E} \). The following two conditions are equivalent:
1. there is a distribution \( h \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}) \) whose Laplace transform is equal to \( \tilde{h}(s) \);
2. there is a real \( \sigma_1 \) with \( \sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1 < \infty \) and an integer \( m \geq 0 \) such that for all complex numbers \( s \) with \( \text{Re} s > \sigma_1 \), we have \( \| \tilde{h}(s) \|_{\mathcal{E}} \lesssim (1 + |s|)^m \),
where \( \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}) \) is the space of distributions on the real line which vanish identically in the open negative half-line.

Next we introduce some Sobolev spaces. For any \( u \in L^2(\Gamma_h) \), which is identified as \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \), we denote by \( \hat{u} \) the Fourier transform of \( u \):
\[
\hat{u}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(r)e^{-ir\cdot\xi} dr,
\]
where \( \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2 \). For any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \), define
\[
H^\alpha(\Gamma_h) = H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^2) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |\xi|^2)^\alpha |\hat{u}|^2 d\xi < \infty \right\}.
\]
It is clear to note that the dual space associated with \( H^\alpha(\Gamma_h) \) is the space \( H^{-\alpha}(\Gamma_h) \) with respect to the scalar product in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \) defined by
\[
\langle u, v \rangle_{\Gamma_h} = \int_{\Gamma_h} u(r)v(r) dr = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{u}(\xi)\hat{v}(\xi) d\xi.
\]
Define
\[ H^{1/2}(\Gamma_f) = \{ u : \Gamma_f \to \mathbb{C} : u(\cdot, f(\cdot)) \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \} \]
with the associated image norm
\[ \|u\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_f)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u(r, f(r))|^2 \, dr + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|u(r_1, f(r_1)) - u(r_2, f(r_2))|^2}{|r_1 - r_2|^3} \, dr_1 \, dr_2 \right)^{1/2}. \]

Denote by \( H^k(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^2(\Omega) : D^\alpha u \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ for all } |\alpha| \leq k \} \) the standard Sobolev space of square integrable functions with the order of derivatives up to \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( H^1_{\Gamma_g}(\Omega) = \{ u \in H^1(\Omega) : u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_g \} \). Let \( H^1_{\Gamma_g}(\Omega)^3 \) and \( H^{1/2}(\Gamma_f)^3 \) be the Cartesian product spaces equipped with the corresponding 2-norms of \( H^1_{\Gamma_g}(\Omega) \) and \( H^{1/2}(\Gamma_f) \), respectively. For any \( u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^T \in H^1_{\Gamma_g}(\Omega)^3 \), define
\[ \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega^2)^{3 \times 3}} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\Omega_2} |\nabla u_j|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2}. \]

It is easy to verify that
\[ \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega^2)^{3 \times 3}}^2 + \|\nabla \cdot u\|_{L^2(\Omega^2)}^2 \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{1/2}(\Omega_2)}^3. \]

The following trace results can be easily proved by using the definitions. The proofs are omitted for simplicity.

**Lemma 2.2.** There exists a positive constant \( C \) such that
\[ \|u\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_f)} \leq C \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in H^1(\Omega_1). \]

**Lemma 2.3.** There exists a positive constant \( C \) such that
\[ \|u\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_f)^3} \leq C \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega_2)^3} \quad \forall u \in H^1_{\Gamma_g}(\Omega_2)^3. \]

### 2.6. Transparent boundary condition

In this subsection, we will introduce an exact time-domain TBC to formulate the acoustic-elastic wave interaction problem into the following coupled initial boundary value problem:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta p - \frac{1}{\rho_1} \partial_t^2 p &= 0 &\text{in } \Omega_1, \ t > 0, \\
\mu \Delta u + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \cdot u - \rho_2 \partial_t^2 u &= j &\text{in } \Omega_2, \ t > 0, \\
\partial_n p |_{t=0} &= \partial_t p |_{t=0} = 0, \quad u |_{t=0} = \partial_t u |_{t=0} = 0 &\text{in } \Omega, \\
\partial_n p &= -\rho_1 n \cdot \partial_t^2 u, \quad -\rho m = \sigma(u) \cdot n &\text{on } \Gamma_f, \ t > 0, \\
\partial_n p &= \mathcal{F} p &\text{on } \Gamma_h, \ t > 0, \\
u &= 0 &\text{on } \Gamma_g, \ t > 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \mathbf{v} = (0, 0, 1)^T \) is the unit normal vector on \( \Gamma_h \) pointing from \( \Omega_1 \) to \( \Omega_h^+ = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z > h \} \), and \( \mathcal{F} \) is the time-domain TBC operator on \( \Gamma_h \). In what follows, we shall derive the formulation of the operator \( \mathcal{F} \) and show some of its properties.

Let \( \tilde{p}(x, s) = \mathcal{L}(p) \) and \( \tilde{u}(x, s) = \mathcal{L}(u) \) be the Laplace transform of \( p(x, t) \) and \( u(x, t) \) with respect to \( t \), respectively. Recall that
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}(\partial_t p) &= s \tilde{p}(\cdot, s) - p(\cdot, 0), \quad \mathcal{L}(\partial_t^2 p) = s^2 \tilde{p}(\cdot, s) - sp(\cdot, 0) - \partial_t p(\cdot, 0), \\
\mathcal{L}(\partial_t u) &= s \tilde{u}(\cdot, s) - u(\cdot, 0), \quad \mathcal{L}(\partial_t^2 u) = s^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, s) - su(\cdot, 0) - \partial_t u(\cdot, 0).
\end{align*}
\]
Taking the Laplace transform of (7) and using the initial conditions, we obtain the acoustic-elastic wave interaction problem in the $s$-domain:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta \hat{p} - \frac{s^2}{c^2} \hat{p} &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_1, \\
\mu \Delta \hat{u} + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \nabla \cdot \hat{u} - \rho_2 s^2 \hat{u} &= \hat{j} \quad \text{in } \Omega_2, \\
\partial_n \hat{p} &= -\rho_1 s^2 n \cdot \hat{u}, \quad -\hat{p} n = \sigma(\hat{u}) \cdot n \quad \text{on } \Gamma_f, \\
\partial_\nu \hat{u} &= -\mathcal{B} \hat{p} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_h, \\
\hat{u} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_g.
\end{align*}
\]

where $\hat{j} = \mathcal{L}(j)$, $\mathcal{B}$ is the Dirichlet-to-Neumann (DtN) operator on $\Gamma_h$ in the $s$-domain and satisfies $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{L}$.

In order to deduce the TBC, we consider the Helmholtz equation with a complex wavenumber,

\[
\Delta \hat{p} - \frac{s^2}{c^2} \hat{p} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_h^+.
\]

Taking the Fourier transform of (9) with respect to $r$ yields

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^2 \hat{p}(\xi, z)}{dz^2} - \left( \frac{s^2}{c^2} + |\xi|^2 \right) \hat{p}(\xi, z) &= 0, \quad z > h, \\
\hat{p}(\xi, z) &= \hat{p}(\xi, h), \quad z = h.
\end{align*}
\]

Solving (10) and using the bounded outgoing wave condition, we get

\[
\hat{p}(\xi, z) = \hat{p}(\xi, h)e^{-\beta(\xi)(z-h)}, \quad z > h,
\]

where

\[
\beta^2(\xi) = \frac{s^2}{c^2} + |\xi|^2 \quad \text{with } \text{Re}\beta(\xi) > 0.
\]

Thus we obtain the solution of (9),

\[
\hat{p}(r, z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{p}(\xi, h)e^{-\beta(\xi)(z-h)} e^{i \xi \cdot r} d\xi.
\]

Taking the normal derivative of (12) on $\Gamma_h$, we have

\[
\partial_\nu \hat{p}(r, h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} -\beta(\xi) \hat{p}(\xi, h)e^{i \xi \cdot r} d\xi.
\]

For any function $u$ defined on $\Gamma_h$, we defined the DtN operator

\[
\mathcal{B} u(r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} -\beta(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi)e^{i \xi \cdot r} d\xi.
\]

Lemma 2.4. Let $s = s_1 + is_2$, $s_1 \geq \sigma_0 > 0$, $s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. The DtN operator $\mathcal{B}(s) : H^{1/2}(\Gamma_h) \to H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_h)$ is continuous, i.e.,

\[
\|\mathcal{B}(s) u\|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_h)} \leq C(\sigma_0) s \|u\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_h)} \quad \forall u \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_h),
\]

where $C(\sigma_0) = \max\{c^{-1}, \sigma_0^{-1}\}$. 

Proof. For any \( u \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_h) \), it follows from (13) and (11) that

\[
\|\mathcal{B}u\|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_h)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-1/2} - \beta(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi)]^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-1/2} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-1} |\beta(\xi)|^2 |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
\leq C(\sigma_0)^2 |s|^2 \|u\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_h)}^2,
\]

where we have used (2.11) to derive the explicit bound of \( |\beta(\xi)|^2 \):

\[
|\beta(\xi)|^2 = \frac{|s^2|}{c^2} + |\xi|^2 \leq \frac{|s|^2}{c^2} + |\xi|^2 \leq |s|^2 \left( \frac{1}{c^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} |\xi|^2 \right) \leq C(\sigma_0)^2 |s|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2),
\]

which completes the proof. \( \square \)

Lemma 2.5. We have

\[-\text{Re}(s^{-1} \mathcal{B}(s)u, u)_{\Gamma_h} \geq 0 \quad \forall u \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_h), \ s = s_1 + is_2, s_1 > 0, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}.\]

Proof. A simple calculation yields that

\[-\langle s^{-1} \mathcal{B}u, u \rangle_{\Gamma_h} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} s^{-1} \beta(\xi) |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\bar{s}\beta(\xi)}{|s|^2} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.\]

Let \( \beta(\xi) = a + ib, s = s_1 + is_2 \) with \( a > 0, s_1 > 0 \). Taking the real part of the above equation gives

\[(14) \quad -\text{Re}(s^{-1} \mathcal{B}u, u)_{\Gamma_h} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(s_1 a + s_2 b)}{|s|^2} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.\]

Recalling \( \beta^2(\xi) = \frac{s^2}{c^2} + |\xi|^2 \), we have

\[(15) \quad a^2 - b^2 = \frac{s_1^2 - s_2^2}{c^2} + |\xi|^2, \quad ab = \frac{s_1 s_2}{c^2}.\]

Substituting (15) into (14) yields

\[-\text{Re}(s^{-1} \mathcal{B}u, u)_{\Gamma_h} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{|s|^2} \left( a s_1 + \frac{s_1 s_2}{a} \frac{c^2}{c^2} \right) |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \geq 0,
\]

which completes the proof. \( \square \)

Using the DtN operator (13), we can get the following TBC for the acoustic pressure in the \( s \)-domain:

\[(16) \quad \partial_{\nu}\tilde{p} = \mathcal{B}\tilde{p} \quad \text{on} \ \Gamma_h.\]

Using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4, we may take the inverse Laplace transform of (16) and obtain the TBC in the time domain,

\[\partial_{\nu} p = \mathcal{F} p \quad \text{on} \ \Gamma_h.\]
3. The reduced problem. In this section, we present the main results of this paper, which include the well-posedness and stability of the scattering problem and related a priori estimates.

3.1. Well-posedness in the s-domain. Consider the reduced problem (8a)–(8d) in the s-domain. Multiplying (8a) and (8b) by the complex conjugate of a test function $q \in H^1(\Omega_1)$ and a test function $v \in H^1_{T_y}(\Omega_2)$, respectively, using the integration by parts and boundary conditions, which include the TBC condition (8d), the kinematic and dynamic interface conditions (8c), and the rigid boundary condition (8e), we arrive at the variational problem: to find $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}) \in H^1(\Omega_1) \times H^1_{T_y}(\Omega_2)$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega_1} \left( \frac{1}{s} \nabla \tilde{\rho} \cdot \nabla \tilde{q} + \frac{s}{c^2} \tilde{p} \tilde{q} \right) \, dx - \langle s^{-1} \mathcal{B} \tilde{p}, q \rangle_{\Gamma_h} \\
- \rho_1 s \int_{\Gamma_f} (n \cdot \tilde{u}) \tilde{q} \, d\gamma = 0 \quad \forall q \in H^1(\Omega_1)
$$

(17)

and

$$
\int_{\Omega_2} \left( \frac{1}{s} (\mu (\nabla \tilde{u} : \nabla v) + (\lambda + \mu) (\nabla \cdot \tilde{u} (\nabla \cdot v)) + \rho_2 s \tilde{u} \cdot v \right) \, dx \\
+ \frac{1}{s} \int_{\Gamma_f} \tilde{p} (n \cdot v) \, d\gamma = - \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{1}{s} \gamma \cdot \tilde{v} \, dx \quad \forall v \in H^1_{T_y}(\Omega_2),
$$

(18)

where $A : B = \text{tr} (AB^T)$ is the Frobenius inner product of square matrices $A$ and $B$.

We multiply (18) by $\rho_1 |s|^2$ and add the obtained result to (17) to obtain an equivalent variational problem: to find $(\tilde{p}, \tilde{u}) \in H^1(\Omega_1) \times H^1_{T_y}(\Omega_2)$ such that

$$
a (\tilde{p}, \tilde{u}; q, v) = - \int_{\Omega_2} \rho_1 s \tilde{j} \cdot \tilde{v} \, dx \quad \forall (q, v) \in H^1(\Omega_1) \times H^1_{T_y}(\Omega_2),
$$

(19)

where the sesquilinear form

$$
a (\tilde{p}, \tilde{u}; q, v) = \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \frac{1}{s} \nabla \tilde{p} \cdot \nabla \tilde{q} + \frac{s}{c^2} \tilde{p} \tilde{q} \right) \, dx + \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \rho_1 s (\mu (\nabla \tilde{u} : \nabla \tilde{v}) \\
+ (\lambda + \mu) (\nabla \cdot \tilde{u} (\nabla \cdot \tilde{v})) + \rho_1 \rho_2 s |s|^2 \tilde{u} \cdot v \right) \, dx - \langle s^{-1} \mathcal{B} \tilde{p}, q \rangle_{\Gamma_h} \\
+ \rho_1 \int_{\Gamma_f} (s \tilde{p} (n \cdot v) - s \tilde{q} (n \cdot \tilde{u})) \, d\gamma.
$$

(20)

**Theorem 3.1.** The variational problem (19) has a unique weak solution $(\tilde{p}, \tilde{u}) \in H^1(\Omega_1) \times H^1_{T_y}(\Omega_2)$, which satisfies

$$
\| \nabla \tilde{p} \|_{L^2(\Omega_1)^3} + \| s \tilde{p} \|_{L^2(\Omega_1)} \lesssim \| \tilde{j} \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3},
$$

(21)

$$
\| \nabla \tilde{u} \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} + \| \nabla \cdot \tilde{u} \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)} + \| s \tilde{u} \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} \lesssim \frac{1}{|s|} \| \tilde{j} \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3}.
$$

(22)
Proof. We have from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4 that
\[
|a(\tilde{p}, \tilde{u}; q, v)| \leq \frac{1}{|s|} \|\nabla \tilde{p}\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)}^2 \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)}^2 + \frac{|s|}{c^2} \|\tilde{p}\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)} \|q\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)} + \rho_1 |s| (\mu \|\nabla \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)})^2 + (\lambda + \mu) \|\nabla \cdot \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)} \|\nabla \cdot v\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)} + \rho_1 \rho_2 |s|^3 \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^3 + \frac{1}{|s|} \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_h)} \|q\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_h)} \notag
\]
\[
+ \rho_1 (\|p\|_{L^2(\Gamma_f)} \|\nabla \cdot u\|_{L^2(\Gamma_f)} + \|q\|_{L^2(\Gamma_f)} \|\nabla \cdot \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_f)}) \notag
\]
\[
\lesssim \|\tilde{p}\|_{H^1(\Omega_1)} \|q\|_{H^1(\Omega_1)} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^1(\Omega_2)} \|q\|_{H^1(\Omega_2)} + \|\tilde{p}\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_h)} \|q\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_h)} + \|\tilde{p}\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_f)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega_2)} + \|\tilde{p}\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_f)} \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^1(\Omega_2)} + \|\tilde{p}\|_{H^1(\Omega_1)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega_2)} \notag
\]
\[
+ \|\tilde{p}\|_{H^1(\Omega_1)} \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^1(\Omega_2)} + \|q\|_{H^1(\Omega_1)} \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^1(\Omega_2)}^3 + \|q\|_{H^1(\Omega_2)} \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^1(\Omega_2)}^3,
\]
which shows that the sesquilinear form is bounded.

Letting \((q, v) = (\tilde{p}, \tilde{u})\) in (20) yields
\[
a(\tilde{p}, \tilde{u}; \tilde{p}, \tilde{u}) = \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \frac{1}{s} |\nabla \tilde{p}|^2 + \frac{s}{c^2} |\tilde{p}|^2 \right) \, dx + \int_{\Omega_2} (\rho_1 s (\mu \nabla \tilde{u} : \nabla \tilde{u}) + (\lambda + \mu) |\nabla \cdot \tilde{u}|^2) \notag
\]
\[
+ \rho_1 \rho_2 s |s|^2 |\tilde{u}|^2 \, dx - \langle s^{-1} \nabla \tilde{p}, \tilde{p} \rangle_{\Gamma_h} + \rho_1 \int_{\Gamma_f} (\tilde{s} \rho (\nabla \tilde{u} - \tilde{s} \rho (\nabla \tilde{u})) \, d\gamma.
\]
Taking the real part of (23) and using Lemma 2.5, we obtain
\[
\text{Re}(a(\tilde{p}, \tilde{u}; \tilde{p}, \tilde{u})) = \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \frac{s_1}{|s|^2} |\nabla \tilde{p}|^2 + \frac{s_1 s^2}{c^2} |\tilde{p}|^2 \right) \, dx + \rho_1 s_1 (\mu \|\nabla \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2 + (\lambda + \mu) \|\nabla \cdot \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2 + (\lambda + \mu) \|\nabla \cdot \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2) + \rho_1 \rho_2 s_1 |s|^2 |\tilde{u}|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^3 + \text{Re}(s^{-1} \nabla \tilde{p}, \tilde{p} \rangle_{\Gamma_h} \notag
\]
\[
\geq \frac{s_1}{|s|^2} \|\nabla \tilde{p}\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)}^2 + \|\tilde{p}\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)}^2 + \|\nabla \tilde{p}\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2 + \|\tilde{p}\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2 \notag
\]
\[
+ s_1 \left( \|\nabla \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2 + \|\nabla \cdot \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2 + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2 \right).
\]

It follows from the Lax–Milgram theorem that the variational problem (19) has a unique weak solution \((\tilde{p}, \tilde{u}) \in H^1(\Omega_1) \times H^1(\Omega_2)\). Moreover, we have from (19) that
\[
|a(\tilde{p}, \tilde{u}; \tilde{p}, \tilde{u})| \lesssim \frac{s_1}{|s|} \|\tilde{j}\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^3.
\]
Combining (24) and (25) completes the proof.

### 3.2. Well-posedness in the time domain.

We now consider the reduced problem in the time-domain:

\[
\begin{align}
\Delta p - \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 p &= 0 \quad &\text{in } \Omega_1, \ t > 0, \tag{26a}
\mu \Delta u + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \nabla \cdot u - \rho_2 \partial_t^2 u &= j \quad &\text{in } \Omega_2, \ t > 0, \tag{26b}
\rho \vert_{t=0} = \partial_t p \vert_{t=0} = 0, \quad u \vert_{t=0} = \partial_t u \vert_{t=0} = 0 \quad &\text{in } \Omega, \tag{26c}
\partial_n p = -\rho_2 \n \cdot \partial_t^2 u, \quad -\rho m = \sigma (u) \cdot n \quad &\text{on } \Gamma_f, \ t > 0, \tag{26d}
\partial_n \partial_t p = \mathcal{F} p \quad &\text{on } \Gamma_h, \ t > 0, \tag{26e}
u = 0 \quad &\text{on } \Gamma_g, \ t > 0. \tag{26f}
\end{align}
\]
To show the well-posedness of the reduced problem (26), we assume
\[ j \in H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega_2)^3). \]

**Theorem 3.2.** The initial boundary value problem (26) has a unique solution \((p, u)\) which satisfies
\[
\begin{align*}
p(x, t) &\in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega_1)) \cap H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega_1)), \\
u(x, t) &\in L^2(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega_2)^3) \cap H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega_2)^3)
\end{align*}
\]
and the stability estimates
\[
\begin{align*}
\max_{t \in [0, T]} (\|\partial_t p\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)} + \|\nabla p\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)^3}) \\
\lesssim \|\partial_t j\|_{L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega_2)^3)}, \\
\max_{t \in [0, T]} (\|\partial_t u\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} + \|\nabla \cdot u\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3}) \\
\lesssim \|\partial_t j\|_{L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega_2)^3)}.
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** For the air/fluid pressure \(p\), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_0^T \left( \|\nabla p\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)^3}^2 + \|\partial_t p\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)}^2 \right) dt \\
\leq \int_0^T e^{-2s_1(t-T)} \left( \|\nabla p\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)^3}^2 + \|\partial_t p\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)}^2 \right) dt \\
eq e^{2s_1T} \int_0^T e^{-2s_1t} \left( \|\nabla p\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)^3}^2 + \|\partial_t p\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)}^2 \right) dt \\
\lesssim \int_0^\infty e^{-2s_1t} \left( \|\nabla p\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)^2}^2 + \|\partial_t p\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)}^2 \right) dt.
\end{align*}
\]
Similarly, we have for the elastic displacement \(u\) that
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_0^T \left( \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3}^2 + \|\nabla \cdot u\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2 \right) dt \\
\lesssim \int_0^\infty e^{-2s_1t} \left( \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3}^2 + \|\nabla \cdot u\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2 \right) dt.
\end{align*}
\]
Hence it suffices to estimate the integrals
\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-2s_1t} \left( \|\nabla p\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)^3}^2 + \|\partial_t p\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)}^2 \right) dt
\]
and
\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-2s_1t} \left( \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3}^2 + \|\nabla \cdot u\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2 \right) dt.
\]

Taking the Laplace transform of (26), we obtain the reduced acoustic-elastic interaction problem in the \(s\)-domain (8). It follows from Theorem 3.1 that \(\tilde{p}\) and \(\tilde{u}\) satisfy the stability estimates (21) and (22), respectively. It follows from [37, Lemma 44.1] that \(\tilde{p}\) and \(\tilde{u}\) are holomorphic functions of \(s\) on the half-plane \(\text{Re} s > \sigma_0\). Hence we have from Lemma 2.1 that the inverse Laplace transform of \(\tilde{p}\) and \(\tilde{u}\) exists and is supported in \([0, \infty)\).
Lemma 2.5, we get
\[ \int_0^\infty e^{-2\pi t} \left( \| \nabla p \|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1)^3} + \| \partial_t p \|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1)} \right) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \| \nabla \tilde{p} \|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1)^3} + \| s \tilde{p} \|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1)} \right) ds_2 \]
which shows that
\[ \tilde{p}(x, t) \in L^2 \left( 0, T; H^1(\Omega_1) \right) \cap H^1 \left( 0, T; L^2(\Omega_1) \right). \]
Since \( \tilde{u} = \mathcal{F}(\tilde{u}) = \mathcal{F}(e^{-2\pi t} u) \), where \( \mathcal{F} \) is the Fourier transform in \( s_2 \), we have similarly from the Parseval identity (6) and the stability estimate (22) that
\[ \int_0^\infty e^{-2\pi t} \left( \| \partial_t u \|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} + \| \nabla u \|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} + \| \nabla \cdot u \|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2)} \right) dt \]
\[ \leq s_1^{-2} \int_0^T e^{-2\pi t} \| j \|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} dt, \]
which shows that
\[ u \in L^2 \left( 0, T; H^1(\Omega_2)^3 \right) \cap H^1 \left( 0, T; L^2(\Omega_2)^3 \right). \]

Next we show the stability estimates. Let \( \tilde{p} \) be the extension of \( p \) with respect to \( t \) in \( \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \tilde{p} = 0 \) outside the interval \([0, t]\). By the Parseval identity (6) and Lemma 2.5, we get
\[ \Re \int_0^t e^{-2\pi \tau} \langle \mathcal{F} p, \partial_t p \rangle_{\Gamma_h} d\tau = \Re \int_0^t e^{-2\pi \tau} \int_{\Gamma_h} (\mathcal{F} p) \partial_t \tilde{p} d\rho d\tau d\rho \]
\[ = \Re \int_0^t \int_0^\infty e^{-2\pi \tau} (\mathcal{F} \tilde{p}) \partial_t \tilde{p} d\tau d\rho \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| s \right|^2 \Re(s^{-1} \mathcal{F} \tilde{p}, \tilde{p})_{\Gamma_h} ds_2 \leq 0, \]
which yields after taking \( s_1 \rightarrow 0 \) that
\[ \Re \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_h} (\mathcal{F} p) \partial_t \tilde{p} d\tau d\rho \leq 0. \]

Taking the partial derivative of (26b)–(26d) and (26f) with respect to \( t \), we get
\[ \begin{cases} 
\mu \Delta (\partial_t u) + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \cdot (\partial_t u) - \rho_2 \partial_t^2 (\partial_t u) = \partial_t j & \text{in } \Omega_2, \ t > 0, \\
\partial_t u \big|_{t=0} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_2, \\
\partial_t^2 u \big|_{t=0} = \rho_2^{-1} \left( \mu \Delta u + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \cdot u - j \right) \big|_{t=0} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_2, \\
-\partial_t p \cdot \nu = \partial_t (\sigma(u)) \cdot \nu = \sigma(\partial_t u) \cdot \nu & \text{on } \Gamma_f, \ t > 0, \\
\partial_t u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_g, \ t > 0.
\end{cases} \]
For any $0 < t < T$, consider the energy function

$$
\mathcal{E}(t) = e_1(t) + e_2(t),
$$

where

$$
e_1(t) = \frac{1}{c} \| \partial_t p \|_{L^2(\Omega_1)}^2 + \| \nabla p \|_{L^2(\Omega_1)}^2
$$

and

$$
e_2(t) = \| (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{1/2} \partial_t^2 u \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2 + \| (\rho_1 (\lambda + \mu))^{1/2} \nabla \cdot (\partial_t u) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2
$$

$$
+ \| (\rho_1 \mu)^{1/2} \nabla (\partial_t u) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2.
$$

It is easy to note that

$$
(32) \quad \mathcal{E}(t) - \mathcal{E}(0) = \int_0^t \mathcal{E}'(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^t (e_1'(\tau) + e_2'(\tau)) d\tau.
$$

It follows from (26a), (26c)–(26e), and the integration by parts that

$$
\int_0^t e_1'(\tau) d\tau = 2 \text{Re} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 p \partial_t \bar{p} + \partial_t (\nabla p) \cdot \nabla \bar{p} \right) dx d\tau
$$

$$
= 2 \text{Re} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_1} (\Delta p \partial_t \bar{p} + \partial_t (\nabla p) \cdot \nabla \bar{p}) dx d\tau
$$

$$
= \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_1} 2 \text{Re} (-\nabla p \cdot \partial_t (\nabla \bar{p}) + \partial_t (\nabla p) \cdot \nabla \bar{p}) dx d\tau
$$

$$
+ 2 \text{Re} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_h} (\mathcal{F} p) \partial_t \bar{p} d\tau - 2 \text{Re} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_f} \partial_n p \partial_t \bar{p} d\tau
$$

$$
(33) \quad = 2 \text{Re} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_h} (\mathcal{F} p) \partial_t \bar{p} d\tau + 2 \text{Re} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_f} \rho_1 n \cdot \partial_t^2 u \partial_t \bar{p} d\tau.
$$

Similarly, we have from (31) and the integration by parts that

$$
\int_0^t e_2'(\tau) d\tau = \rho_1 2 \text{Re} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \rho_2 \partial_t (\partial_t^2 u) \cdot \partial_t^2 \bar{u} + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \cdot (\partial_t^2 u) \nabla \cdot (\partial_t \bar{u}) \right.
$$

$$
+ \mu \nabla (\partial_t^2 u) \cdot \nabla (\partial_t \bar{u}) \right) dx d\tau
$$

$$
= \rho_1 2 \text{Re} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_2} \left( (\mu \Delta (\partial_t u) + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \cdot (\partial_t u) \nabla \cdot (\partial_t \bar{u}) \right.
$$

$$
+ (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \cdot (\partial_t^2 u) \nabla \cdot (\partial_t \bar{u}) + \mu \nabla (\partial_t^2 u) \cdot \nabla (\partial_t \bar{u}) \right) dx d\tau
$$

$$
= \rho_1 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_2} \left( - \mu \nabla (\partial_t u) \cdot \nabla (\partial_t^2 \bar{u}) -(\lambda + \mu) \nabla \cdot (\partial_t u) \nabla \cdot (\partial_t \bar{u}) + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \cdot (\partial_t^2 u) \nabla \cdot (\partial_t \bar{u}) \right.
$$

$$
+ (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \cdot (\partial_t^2 u) \nabla \cdot (\partial_t \bar{u}) + \mu \nabla (\partial_t^2 u) \cdot \nabla (\partial_t \bar{u}) \right) dx d\tau
$$

$$
- 2 \text{Re} \rho_1 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_2} \partial_t j \cdot \partial_t^2 \bar{u} dx d\tau + 2 \text{Re} \rho_1 \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_f} (\sigma (\partial_t u) \cdot n) \cdot \partial_t^2 \bar{u} d\tau
$$

$$
(34) \quad = -2 \text{Re} \rho_1 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_2} \partial_t j \cdot \partial_t^2 \bar{u} dx d\tau - 2 \text{Re} \rho_1 \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_f} \partial_t p n \cdot \partial_t^2 \bar{u} d\tau.
$$
Since \( \mathcal{E}(0) = 0 \), combining (32)–(34) and (30) gives
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}(t) &= 2\text{Re} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_h} (\mathcal{T} p) \partial_t \bar{p} d\tau - 2\text{Re} \rho_1 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_2} \partial_t j \cdot \partial_t^2 \bar{u} d\tau \\
&\quad \leq -2\text{Re} \rho_1 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_2} \partial_t j \cdot \partial_t^2 \bar{u} d\tau \\
&\quad \leq 2\rho_1 \max_{t \in [0,T]} \| \partial_t^2 \bar{u} \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} \| \partial_t j \|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega_2)^3)}.
\end{align*}
\]

It follows from Young’s inequality that
\[
\max_{t \in [0,T]} \| \partial_t p \|_{L^2(\Omega_1)} + \| \nabla p \|_{L^2(\Omega_1)^3} + \| \partial_t^2 \bar{u} \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
+ \| \nabla \cdot (\partial_t \bar{u}) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)} + \| \nabla (\partial_t \bar{u}) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} \leq \| \partial_t j \|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega_2)^3)},
\]
which shows the stability estimate (28).

For the elastic displacement \( \bar{u} \), we can also obtain
\[
\max_{t \in [0,T]} \left( \| \partial_t^2 \bar{u} \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} + \| \nabla \cdot (\partial_t \bar{u}) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)} + \| \nabla (\partial_t \bar{u}) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} \right) \leq \| \partial_t j \|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega_2)^3)},
\]
(35)

For any function \( v \) with \( v(\cdot,0) = 0 \), we have
\[
\| v(\cdot,t) \| \leq \int_0^t \| \partial_t v(\cdot,\tau) \| d\tau \leq T \max_{t \in [0,T]} \| \partial_t v \|,
\]
(36)
which holds for any norm. Combining (35)–(36) gives
\[
\max_{t \in [0,T]} \left( \| \partial_t \bar{u} \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} + \| \nabla \cdot \bar{u} \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)} + \| \nabla \bar{u} \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} \right) \leq \| \partial_t j \|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega_2)^3)},
\]
which shows the estimate (29).

### 3.3. A priori estimates
In what follows, we derive a priori stability estimates for the air/fluid pressure \( p \) and the displacement \( \bar{u} \) with a minimum regularity requirement for the data and an explicit dependence on the time.

We shall consider the elastic wave equation for \( \partial_t \bar{u} \) in order to match the interface conditions when deducing the stability estimates. Taking the partial derivative of (26b)–(26c) and (26f) with respect to \( t \), we obtain a new reduced problem:
\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
\Delta \rho - \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_t^2 \rho = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_1, t > 0, \\
\partial_n \rho = \mathcal{T} p & \text{on } \Gamma_h, t > 0, \\
\partial_n \rho = -\rho_1 n \cdot \partial_t^2 \bar{u} & \text{on } \Gamma_f, t > 0, \\
\rho |_{t=0} = \partial_t \rho |_{t=0} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_1, \\
\mu \Delta \partial_t \bar{u} + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \nabla \cdot \partial_t \bar{u} - \rho_2 \partial_t^2 \partial_t \bar{u} = \partial_t j & \text{in } \Omega_2, t > 0, \\
\partial_t \bar{u} |_{t=0} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_2, \\
\partial_t^2 \bar{u} |_{t=0} = \rho_2^{-1} (\mu \Delta \bar{u} + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \nabla \cdot \bar{u} - j) |_{t=0} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_2, \\
-\partial_t p n = \partial_t (\sigma(\bar{u})) \cdot n = \sigma (\partial_t \bar{u}) \cdot n & \text{on } \Gamma_f, t > 0, \\
\partial_t \bar{u} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_g, t > 0.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]
The variational problems of (37) are to find \((p, u) \in H^1(\Omega_1) \times H^1_{\nu_0}(\Omega_2)^3\) for all \(t > 0\) such that

\[
\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 p \bar{q} \, dx = - \int_{\Omega_1} \nabla p \cdot \nabla \bar{q} \, dx + \int_{\Gamma_h} (\mathcal{F} p) \bar{q} \, d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_f} \partial_n p \bar{q} \, d\gamma
\]

(38)

\[
= - \int_{\Omega_1} \nabla p \cdot \nabla \bar{q} \, dx + \int_{\Gamma_h} (\mathcal{F} p) \bar{q} \, d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_f} \rho_1 (n \cdot \partial_t^2 u) \bar{q} \, d\gamma, \quad \forall q \in H^1(\Omega_1)
\]

and

\[
\int_{\Omega_2} \rho_2 \partial_t^2 (\partial_t u) \cdot \bar{v} \, dx = - \int_{\Omega_2} \left(\mu \nabla (\partial_t u) : \nabla \bar{v} + (\lambda + \mu)(\nabla \cdot (\partial_t u))(\nabla \cdot \bar{v})\right) \, dx
\]

\[
\quad - \int_{\Omega_2} \partial_t j \cdot \bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\Gamma_f} \left(\sigma (\partial_t u) \cdot n\right) \cdot \bar{v} \, d\gamma
\]

\[
= - \int_{\Omega_2} \left(\mu \nabla (\partial_t u) : \nabla \bar{v} + (\lambda + \mu)(\nabla \cdot (\partial_t u))(\nabla \cdot \bar{v}) + (\partial_t j) \cdot \bar{v}\right) \, dx
\]

(39)

\[
\quad - \int_{\Gamma_f} (\partial_t p) (n \cdot \bar{v}) \, d\gamma, \quad \forall \bar{v} \in H^1_{\nu_0}(\Omega_2)^3.
\]

To show the stability of the solution, we follow the argument in [37] but with a careful study of the TBC. The following lemma is useful for the subsequent analysis.

**Lemma 3.3.** Given \(\xi \geq 0\) and \(p \in H^1(\Omega_1)\), we have

\[
\text{Re} \int_{\Gamma_h} \int_0^\xi \left(\int_0^t \mathcal{F} p(\cdot, \tau) \, d\tau\right) \bar{p}(\cdot, t) \, dt \, d\tau \leq 0.
\]

**Proof.** Let \(\tilde{p}\) be the extension of \(p\) with respect to \(t\) in \(\mathbb{R}\) such that \(\tilde{p} = 0\) outside the interval \([0, \xi]\). We obtain from the Parseval identity (6) and Lemma 2.5 that

\[
\text{Re} \int_{\Gamma_h} \int_0^\xi \int_0^t e^{-2\pi i \tau} \left(\int_0^t \mathcal{F} \tilde{p}(\cdot, \tau) \, d\tau\right) \bar{p}(\cdot, t) \, dt \, d\tau 
\]

\[
= \text{Re} \int_{\Gamma_h} \int_0^\xi \int_0^t e^{-2\pi i \tau} \left(\int_0^t \mathcal{F} \tilde{\bar{p}}(\cdot, \tau) \, d\tau\right) \tilde{p}(\cdot, t) \, dt \, d\tau 
\]

\[
= \text{Re} \int_{\Gamma_h} \int_0^\xi \int_0^t e^{-2\pi i \tau} \left(\int_0^t \mathcal{L}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{L} \tilde{p}(\cdot, \tau) \, d\tau\right) \tilde{p}(\cdot, t) \, dt \, d\tau 
\]

\[
= \text{Re} \int_{\Gamma_h} \int_0^\xi \int_0^t e^{-2\pi i \tau} \left(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \circ (s^{-1} \mathcal{B}) \circ \mathcal{L} \tilde{p}(\cdot, t) \tilde{\bar{p}}(\cdot, \tau) \right) \, d\tau \, dt 
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \text{Re} \int_{\Gamma_h} \int_0^t s^{-1} \mathcal{B} \tilde{\bar{p}}(\cdot, s) \tilde{p}(\cdot, t) \, ds \, dt 
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \text{Re} (s^{-1} \mathcal{B} \tilde{\bar{p}}, \tilde{p})_{\Gamma_h} \, ds \leq 0,
\]

where we have used the fact that

\[
\int_0^t p(\cdot, \tau) \, d\tau = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(s^{-1} \tilde{p}(\cdot, s)\right).
\]

The proof is completed after taking the limit \(s_1 \to 0\). \(\square\)

---
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Next, we take the test function $q$.

Indeed, we have from the integration by parts and (44) that

\begin{align}
\int_0^\theta \phi(x, t) \mathcal{P}_1(x, t) dt &= \int_0^\theta \left( \int_0^t \phi(x, \tau) d\tau \right) \bar{p}(x, t) dt.
\end{align}

Indeed, we have from the integration by parts and (44) that

\begin{align}
\int_0^\theta \phi(x, t) \mathcal{P}_1(x, t) dt &= \int_0^\theta \left( \int_0^t \phi(x, \tau) d\tau \right) \bar{p}(x, t) dt \\
&= \int_0^\theta \bar{p}(x, t) d\tau \int_0^t \phi(x, \tau) d\tau \\
&= \int_0^\theta \bar{p}(x, t) d\tau \int_0^t \phi(x, \tau) d\tau + \int_0^\theta \left( \int_0^t \phi(x, \tau) d\tau \right) \bar{p}(x, t) dt.
\end{align}

Next, we take the test function $q = \psi_1$ in (38) and get

\begin{align}
\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 p \mathcal{P}_1 dx &= - \int_{\Omega_1} \nabla p \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}_1 dx + \int_{\Gamma_h} (\mathcal{P}_1 p) \mathcal{P}_1 d\gamma \\
&+ \int_{\Gamma_f} \rho_1 (n \cdot \partial_t^2 u) \mathcal{P}_1 d\gamma.
\end{align}

It follows from (44) and the initial conditions (26c) that

\begin{align}
\text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 p \mathcal{P}_1 dx dt &= \text{Re} \int_{\Omega_1} \int_0^\theta \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \partial_t (\partial_t p \mathcal{P}_1) + \partial_t p \bar{p} \right) dt dx \\
&= \text{Re} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \partial_t p \mathcal{P}_1 \right) \bar{p} + \frac{1}{2} |p|^2 \bar{p} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \|p(\cdot, \theta)\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)}^2.
\end{align}
It is easy to verify that
\[
\text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Gamma_j} \rho_1 (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_t^2 \mathbf{u}) \bar{\psi}_1 \, d\gamma \, dt = \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Gamma_j} \rho_1 (\partial_t (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}) \bar{\psi}_1 + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_t \bar{p})) \, dt \, d\gamma \\
= \text{Re} \int_{\Gamma_j} \rho_1 (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u} \bar{\psi}_1 \big|_0^\theta) \, d\gamma + \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Gamma_j} \rho_1 (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}) \bar{p} \, d\gamma \, dt \\
= \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Gamma_j} \rho_1 (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}) \bar{p} \, d\gamma \, dt.
\]

Integrating (46) from \( t = 0 \) to \( t = \theta \) and taking the real parts yield
\[
\frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{1}{c} p(\cdot, \theta) \right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2)} + \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Omega_2} \nabla p \cdot \nabla \bar{\psi}_1 \, dx \, dt \\
= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{1}{c} p(\cdot, \theta) \right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_0^\theta \left| \nabla p(\cdot, t) \right| dt \right)^2 \, dx \\
= \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \langle \mathcal{T} p, \bar{\psi}_1 \rangle_{\Gamma_n} \, dt + \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Gamma_j} \rho_1 (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_t^2 \mathbf{u}) \bar{\psi}_1 \, d\gamma \, dt \\
(47) = \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \langle \mathcal{T} p, \bar{\psi}_1 \rangle_{\Gamma_n} \, dt + \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Gamma_j} \rho_1 (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}) \bar{p} \, d\gamma \, dt.
\]

We define another auxiliary function
\[
\psi_2(x, t) = \int_0^t \partial_t \mathbf{u}(x, \tau) \, d\tau, \quad x \in \Omega_2, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \theta < T.
\]

Clearly, we have
\[
\psi_2(x, \theta) = 0, \quad \partial_t \psi_2(x, t) = -\partial_t \mathbf{u}(x, t).
\]

Using a similar proof as that for (45), for any \( \phi(x, t) \in L^2(0, \theta; L^2(\Omega_2)^2) \), we may show that
\[
\int_0^\theta \phi(x, t) \cdot \bar{\psi}_2(x, t) \, dt = \int_0^\theta \left( \int_0^t \phi(x, \tau) \, d\tau \right) \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}(x, t) \, dt.
\]

Taking the test function \( \mathbf{v} = \psi_2 \) in (39), we can get
\[
\int_{\Omega_2} \rho_2 \partial_t^2 (\partial_t \mathbf{u}) \cdot \bar{\psi}_2 \, dx = -\int_{\Omega_2} \left( \mu \nabla (\partial_t \mathbf{u}) \cdot \nabla \bar{\psi}_2 + (\lambda + \mu)(\nabla \cdot (\partial_t \mathbf{u}))(\nabla \cdot \bar{\psi}_2) \right. \\
+ \left. \partial_t j \cdot \bar{\psi}_2 \right) \, dx - \int_{\Gamma_j} (\partial_t p)(\mathbf{n} \cdot \bar{\psi}_2) \, d\gamma.
\]

It follows from (48) and the initial condition in (37) that
\[
\text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Omega_2} \rho_2 \partial_t^2 (\partial_t \mathbf{u}) \cdot \bar{\psi}_2 \, dx \, dt = \text{Re} \int_{\Omega_2} \rho_2 \int_0^\theta \left( \partial_t (\partial_t^2 \mathbf{u} \cdot \bar{\psi}_2) + \partial_t^2 \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u} \right) \, dx \, dt \\
= \text{Re} \int_{\Omega_2} \rho_2 \left( \left| \partial_t^2 \mathbf{u} \cdot \bar{\psi}_2 \right| \big|_0^\theta + \frac{1}{2} \left| \partial_t \mathbf{u} \right|^2 \big|_0^\theta \right) \, dx \\
= \frac{\rho_2}{2} \left\| \partial_t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, \theta) \right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3}
\]
and
\[
\text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Gamma_j} (\partial_t \rho)(\mathbf{n} \cdot \tilde{\psi}_2) d\gamma dt = \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Omega_j} (\partial_t (\mathbf{m} \cdot \tilde{\psi}_2) + \mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_t \tilde{u})) d\gamma dt
\]
\[
= \text{Re} \int_{\Omega_j} (\mathbf{m} \cdot \tilde{\psi}_2) + \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Gamma_j} \mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_t \tilde{u}) d\gamma dt
\]
\[
= \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Gamma_j} \mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_t \tilde{u}) d\gamma dt.
\]
Integrating (50) from \( t = 0 \) to \( t = \theta \) and taking the real parts yields
\[
\frac{\rho_2}{2} ||\partial_t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, \theta)||_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2 + \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Omega_2} (\mu \nabla (\partial_t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)) : \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{\psi}}_2(\cdot, t))\, dx dt
\]
\[
+ (\lambda + \mu) \left( \int_0^\theta \int_{\Omega_2} \nabla (\partial_t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)) \, ds \right)^2 \, dx
\]
\[
= \frac{\rho_2}{2} ||\partial_t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, \theta)||_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu \left( \int_0^\theta \nabla (\partial_t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)) \, ds \right)^2 \, dx
\]
\[
+ (\lambda + \mu) \left( \int_0^\theta \nabla \cdot (\partial_t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)) \, ds \right)^2 \, dx
\]
\[
= - \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Omega_2} \partial_t \mathbf{j} \cdot \tilde{\psi}_2 \, dx dt - \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Gamma_j} \mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_t \tilde{u}) d\gamma dt,
\]
where
\[
\left| \int_0^\theta \nabla (\partial_t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)) \, ds \right|^2 := \int_0^\theta \nabla (\partial_t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)) \cdot \int_0^\theta \nabla (\partial_t \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)) \, ds.
\]
Multiplying (51) by \( \rho_1 \) and adding it to (47) give
\[
\text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\rho_1}{2} ||\partial_t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, \theta)||_{L^2(\Omega_1)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \left( \int_0^\theta \nabla \mathbf{p}(\cdot, t) dt \right)^2 \, dx + \rho_1 \rho_2 \frac{1}{2} ||\partial_t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, \theta)||_{L^2(\Omega_2)}^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{\rho_1}{2} \mu \left( \int_0^\theta \nabla (\partial_t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)) \, ds \right)^2 + (\lambda + \mu) \left( \int_0^\theta \nabla \cdot (\partial_t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)) \, ds \right)^2 \, dx
\]
\[
= \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\gamma dt
\]
\[
- \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Omega_2} \rho_1 (\partial_t \mathbf{j} \cdot \tilde{\psi}_2) \, dx dt - \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Gamma_j} \rho_1 \mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_t \tilde{u}) d\gamma dt
\]
\[
= \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Omega_2} \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\gamma dt - \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Omega_2} \rho_1 (\partial_t \mathbf{j} \cdot \tilde{\psi}_2) \, dx dt.
\]
In what follows, we estimate the two terms on the right-hand side of (52) separately. Using Lemma 3.3 and (45), we obtain
\[
\text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\gamma dt = \text{Re} \int_0^\theta \int_{\Gamma_h} (\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{\tau}) \, d\gamma dt
\]
\[
= \text{Re} \int_{\Gamma_h} \int_0^\theta \left( \int_0^\theta \mathbf{P} (\cdot, \tau) \, d\tau \right) \, d\gamma dt \leq 0.
\]
For $0 \leq t \leq \theta \leq T$, we have from (49) that
\[
\Re \int_0^\theta \int_{\Omega_2} - \rho_1 (\partial_t \bar{\psi}_2) \, dx \, dt = \rho_1 \Re \int_0^\theta \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_0^t - \partial_t \tilde{\mu}_2(\cdot, \tau) \, d\tau \right) \cdot \partial_t \bar{u}(\cdot, t) \, dx \, dt \\
= \rho_1 \Re \int_0^\theta \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_0^t - \partial_t \tilde{\mu}_2(\cdot, \tau) \right) \cdot \partial_t \bar{u}(\cdot, t) \, dx \, dt \\
\leq \rho_1 \int_0^\theta \left( \int_0^t \| \partial_t \tilde{\mu}_2(\cdot, \tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} \, d\tau \right) \| \partial_t \bar{u}(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} \, dt \\
\leq \rho_1 \int_0^\theta \left( \int_0^t \| \partial_t \tilde{\mu}_2(\cdot, \tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} \, dt \right) \| \partial_t \bar{u}(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} \, dt \\
\leq \rho_1 \left( \int_0^\theta \| \partial_t \tilde{\mu}_2(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} \, dt \right) \left( \int_0^\theta \| \partial_t \bar{u}(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} \, dt \right).
\]

Substituting (53) and (54) into (52), we have for any $\theta \in [0, T]$ that
\[
\frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{c^n} \rho(\cdot, \theta) \right|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1)} + \rho_1 \rho_2 \| \partial_t \bar{u}(\cdot, \theta) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3}^2 \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{c^n} \rho(\cdot, \theta) \right|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_1} \left| \int_0^\theta \nabla p(\cdot, t) \, dt \right|^2 \, dx + \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{2} \| \partial_t \bar{u}(\cdot, \theta) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3}^2 \\
+ \frac{\rho_1}{2} \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \mu \int_0^\theta \nabla (\partial_t \bar{u}(\cdot, t)) \, dt \right)^2 + (\lambda + \mu) \int_0^\theta \nabla \cdot (\partial_t \bar{u}(\cdot, t)) \, dt \right) \, dx \\
\leq \rho_1 \left( \int_0^\theta \| \partial_t \tilde{\mu}_2(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} \, dt \right) \left( \int_0^\theta \| \partial_t \bar{u}(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3} \, dt \right).
\]

Taking the $L^\infty$ norm with respect to $\theta$ on both sides of (55) yields
\[
\| p \|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega_1))}^2 + \| \partial_t \bar{u} \|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_2)^3)}^2 \\
\lesssim T \left( \| \partial_t \tilde{\mu}_2(\cdot, t) \|_{L^1(\Omega_2)^3} \right) \| \partial_t \bar{u} \|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_2)^3)}.
\]

Applying the Young inequality to the above inequality, we get
\[
\| p \|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega_1))}^2 + \| \partial_t \bar{u} \|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_2)^3)}^2 \lesssim T^2 \| \partial_t \tilde{\mu}_2(\cdot, t) \|_{L^1(\Omega_2)^3}^2.
\]

It follows from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality that
\[
\| p \|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega_1))} \leq \| p \|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega_1))} + \| \partial_t \bar{u} \|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega_2)^3)} \lesssim T \| \partial_t \tilde{\mu}_2(\cdot, t) \|_{L^1(\Omega_2)^3},
\]
which gives the estimate (40).

For the elastic displacement $\bar{u}$, using Young’s inequality again gives
\[
\| \bar{u}(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3}^2 = \int_0^t \partial_t \| \bar{u}(\cdot, \tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3}^2 \, d\tau \leq \epsilon T \| \bar{u}(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3}^2 + \frac{T}{\epsilon} \| \partial_t \bar{u}(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3}^2 \\
\leq \epsilon T \| \bar{u} \|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega_2)^3)}^2 + \frac{T}{\epsilon} \| \partial_t \bar{u} \|_{L^2(\Omega_2)^3}^2,
\]
which gives
\[ \|u\|^2_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega_2)^3)} \leq cT\|u\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega_2)^3)} + \frac{T}{\epsilon}\|\partial_t u\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega_2)^3)}. \]
Choosing \(\epsilon = \frac{1}{2T}\), we have from (56) that
\[ \|u\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega_2)^3)} \lesssim T^2\|\partial_t u\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega_2)^3)} \]
\[ \lesssim T^2\left(\|p\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega_1))} + \|\partial_t u\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega_2)^3)}\right) \]
\[ \lesssim T^4\|\partial_t j\|^2_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega_2)^3)}, \]
which implies the estimate (41).

The estimates (42) and (43) are simply the straightforward consequences of (40) and (41), respectively. The details are omitted and the proof is completed.

4. Conclusion. In this paper we have studied the time-domain acoustic-elastic interaction problem in an unbounded structure in three dimensions. The problem models the wave propagation in a two-layered medium consisting of the air/fluid and the solid due to an active source located in the solid. We reduce the scattering problem into an initial boundary value problem by using the exact TBC. We establish the well-posedness and the stability for the variational problem in the s-domain. In the time domain, we show that the reduced problem has a unique weak solution by using the energy method. The main ingredients of the proofs are the Laplace transform, the Lax–Milgram theorem, and the Parseval identity. Moreover, we obtain a priori estimates with explicit time dependence for the quantities of acoustic wave pressure and elastic wave displacement by taking special test functions to the time-domain variational problem.
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