

# ERRATUM TO “ON THE NON-VANISHING OF THE CENTRAL VALUE OF THE RANKIN-SELBERG L-FUNCTIONS”

DIHUA JIANG AND BAIYING LIU

ABSTRACT. We complete the proof of Proposition 5.3 of [GJR04].

In this note, we complete the proof of Proposition 5.3 of [GJR04] which is stated as follows.

**Proposition 0.1** (Proposition 5.3, [GJR04]). *If the period, defined in (5.2) of [GJR04],*

$$\mathcal{P}_{r,r-l}(\phi_\sigma, \tilde{\phi}_{\tilde{\tau}}, \varphi_l),$$

*does not vanish for some given  $\phi_\sigma \in V_\sigma$  and  $\tilde{\phi}_{\tilde{\tau}} \in V_{\tilde{\tau}}$ , then the integral*

$$\int_{K \times \text{Mat}_{r-l, 2r}(\mathbb{A}) \times \text{PM}_{2r, l}} \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}(mk) \mathcal{F}^\psi(\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}})(mv^-(p_1)wk) dmdp_1 dk,$$

*does not vanish for some choice of data  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma} \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{2r, l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}$  and  $\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{P}_{2r, r}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}, 0}$ .*

The proof of Proposition 5.3 of [GJR04] is reduced to the proof of the non-vanishing of the following integral (see (0.15) below)

$$\int_{\text{Mat}_{r-l, 2r}(\mathbb{A}) \times \text{PM}_{2r, l}} \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}(m) \mathcal{F}^\psi(\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}})(mv^-(p_1)w) dmdp_1$$

for a proper set of sections, which was not complete in [GJR04]. In this note, we complete this proof by proving Proposition 0.3 below. Notation in the above proposition will be explained in Section 0.1.

---

*Date:* May 21, 2020.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F67, 11F70, 22E46, 22E55.

*Key words and phrases.* special value, L-function, period, automorphic form.

The first named author is partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1600685 and DMS-1901802. The second named author is partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1702218, DMS-1848058, and by start-up funds from the Department of Mathematics at Purdue University.

0.1. **Notation and the main result in Section 4 of [GJR04].** Let  $F$  be a number field. We will use the notation from [GJR04] freely. Let  $\mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l},\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})\otimes\sigma}$  be the set of functions

$$\phi : M_{2r,l}(F)U_{2r,l}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{Sp}_{4r+2l}(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

such that  $\phi$  is right  $K_{\mathrm{Sp}_{4r+2l}}(\mathbb{A})$ -finite, and for each  $k \in K_{\mathrm{Sp}_{4r+2l}}(\mathbb{A})$ , the function  $\phi_k : m \mapsto \phi(mk)$ ,  $m \in M_{2r,l}(\mathbb{A})$ , belongs to  $\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma$ . For  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l},\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})\otimes\sigma}$ , let

$$\Phi(\cdot, s, \phi) = \phi(\cdot) \exp\langle s + \rho_{P_{2r,l}}, H_{P_{2r,l}}(\cdot) \rangle.$$

Then

$$\{\Phi(\cdot, s, \phi) : \phi \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l},\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})\otimes\sigma}\}$$

is equivalent to  $I(s, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma)$ . Similarly, let  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{P}_{2r,r},\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})\otimes\tilde{\tau}}$  be the set of functions

$$\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{M}_{2r,r}(F)U_{2r,r}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\tilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{6r}(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

such that  $\tilde{\phi}$  is right  $K_{\mathrm{Sp}_{6r}}(\mathbb{A})$ -finite, and for each  $k \in K_{\mathrm{Sp}_{6r}}(\mathbb{A})$ , the function  $\tilde{\phi}_k : \tilde{m} \mapsto \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{m}k)$ ,  $\tilde{m} \in \tilde{M}_{2r,r}(\mathbb{A})$ , belongs to  $\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}$ . For  $\tilde{\phi} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{P}_{2r,r},\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})\otimes\tilde{\tau}}$ , let

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\cdot, s, \tilde{\phi}) = \tilde{\phi}(\cdot) \gamma_\psi(\det(\cdot)) \exp\langle s + \rho_{\tilde{P}_{2r,r}}, H_{\tilde{P}_{2r,r}}(\cdot) \rangle.$$

Then

$$\{\tilde{\Phi}(\cdot, s, \tilde{\phi}) : \tilde{\phi} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{P}_{2r,r},\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})\otimes\tilde{\tau}}\}$$

is equivalent to  $\tilde{I}(s, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau})$ .

The goal of Section 4 of [GJR04] is to compute the period

(0.1)

$$\mathcal{P}_{3r,r-l}(E_{\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot, \phi), \tilde{E}_1(\cdot, \tilde{\phi}), \varphi_{2r+l}) = \int_{[\mathrm{Sp}_{4r+2l}]} E_{\frac{1}{2}}(g, \phi) \mathcal{F}_{\varphi_{2r+l}}^\psi(\tilde{E}_1(\cdot, \tilde{\phi}))(g) dg,$$

where,  $\phi = \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})\otimes\sigma} \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l},\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})\otimes\sigma}$ ,  $E_{\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot, \phi)$  is the residue at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  of the following Eisenstein series

$$E(g, s, \phi) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_{2r,l}(F)\backslash\mathrm{Sp}_{4r+2l}(F)} \Phi(\gamma g, s, \phi), \quad g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{4r+2l}(\mathbb{A});$$

$\tilde{\phi} = \tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})\otimes\tilde{\tau}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{P}_{2r,r},\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})\otimes\tilde{\tau}}$ ,  $\tilde{E}_1(\cdot, \tilde{\phi})$  is the residue at  $s = 1$  of the following Eisenstein series

$$\tilde{E}(g, s, \tilde{\phi}) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_{2r,r}(F)\backslash\mathrm{Sp}_{6r}(F)} \tilde{\Phi}(\gamma \tilde{g}, s, \tilde{\phi}), \quad \tilde{g} \in \tilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{6r}(\mathbb{A});$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varphi_{2r+l}}^{\psi}(\tilde{E}_1(\cdot, \tilde{\phi}))(g) = \int_{[V_{2r+l}]} \tilde{\theta}_{\varphi_{2r+l}}^{\psi^{-1}}(\ell_{2r+l}(v)\tilde{g})\tilde{E}_1(v\tilde{g}, \tilde{\phi})\psi_{r-l}(v)dv.$$

It turns out (see (4.8) of [GJR04]) that the period (0.1) is the residue at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  of the following period

$$(0.2) \quad \mathcal{P}_{3r,r-l}(\mathcal{E}_1, \tilde{E}_1(\cdot, \tilde{\phi}), \varphi_{2r+l}) = \int_{[\mathrm{Sp}_{4r+2l}]} \mathcal{E}_1(g)\mathcal{F}_{\varphi_{2r+l}}^{\psi}(\tilde{E}_1(\cdot, \tilde{\phi}))(g)dg,$$

where,

$$\mathcal{E}_1(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_{2r,l}(F)\backslash\mathrm{Sp}_{4r+2l}(F)} \Phi(\gamma g, s, \phi)(1 - \tau_c(H(\gamma g))).$$

Recall from (4.4) of [GJR04] that for  $g = um(g)k \in \mathrm{Sp}_{4r+2l}(\mathbb{A})$  with  $u \in U_{2r,l}(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $m(g) \in M_{2r,l}(\mathbb{A})$  and  $k \in K_{\mathrm{Sp}_{4r+2l}}(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $H(g) = |\det(m(g))|$ .

We remark that all  $\tilde{\theta}$  occurred in Sections 4 and 5 of [GJR04], namely for the case of  $r \geq l$ , should be with respect to the character  $\psi^{-1}$ .

Let  $\Phi^c(\gamma g, s, \phi) = \Phi(\gamma g, s, \phi)(1 - \tau_c(H(\gamma g)))$ . By [GJR04, Proposition 4.3], the period (0.2) is equal to

$$(0.3) \quad \int_{M(F)U(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{Sp}_{4r+2l}(\mathbb{A})} \Phi^c(\gamma g, s, \phi) \int_{\mathrm{Mat}_{r-l,2r}(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[V_{r,l}]} \sum_{\xi_l \in F^l} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\tilde{g}) \\ \varphi_{2r+l}((0, \xi_l))\tilde{E}_{1, \tilde{P}_{2r,r}}(vv^-(p_1)w\tilde{g}, \tilde{\phi})\psi_{r-l}(v)dvd p_1 dg,$$

where  $w$  is the following Weyl element on p. 696 of [GJR04],

$$(0.4) \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{2r} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I_{r-l} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2l} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{r-l} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{2r} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$\tilde{E}_{1, \tilde{P}_{2r,r}}(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\phi})$  is the constant term of the residue  $\tilde{E}_1(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\phi})$  along the maximal parabolic subgroup  $\tilde{P}_{2r,r}$ , which equals  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(\tilde{\Phi})(\tilde{g})$ , the residue at  $s = 1$  of the intertwining operator  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(w_{2r,r}, s)(\tilde{\Phi})(\tilde{g})$  defined in Section 3.2 of [GJR04].  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(w_{2r,r}, s)$  maps sections in the induced representation  $\tilde{I}(s, \pi_{\psi}(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau})$  to those in the induced representation  $\tilde{I}(-s, w_{2r,r}(\pi_{\psi}(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}))$ . Note that  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(\tilde{\Phi})(\tilde{g})$  is not identically zero, and  $w_{2r,r}(\pi_{\psi}(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}) = \pi_{\psi}(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}$  since  $\pi_{\psi}(\tilde{\tau})$  is self-dual.

After applying the Iwasawa decomposition  $\mathrm{Sp}_{4r+2l}(\mathbb{A}) = P_{2r,l}(\mathbb{A})K$ ,  $K = K_{\mathrm{Sp}_{4r+2l}}(\mathbb{A})$ , we obtain the integral (4.31) of [GJR04], in which

$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(\widetilde{\Phi})$  belongs to  $\widetilde{I}(-1, \pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau})$ . Since the induced representation  $\widetilde{I}(-1, \pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau})$  is reducible, the image  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(\widetilde{\Phi})$  belongs to a proper subrepresentation of  $\widetilde{I}(-1, \pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau})$ . This is the key point that makes the original argument in the proof in [GJR04] for Proposition 5.3 insufficient. Denote the subrepresentation of  $\widetilde{I}(-1, \pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau})$  consisting of the images of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1$  by  $\widetilde{I}_0(-1, \pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau})$ . Recall that  $\widetilde{I}(-1, \pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau})$  is equivalent to

$$\left\{ \widetilde{\Phi}(\cdot, -1, \widetilde{\phi}) : \widetilde{\phi} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\widetilde{P}_{2r,r}, \pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau}} \right\}.$$

Denote the subspace of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\widetilde{P}_{2r,r}, \pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau}}$  corresponding to  $\widetilde{I}_0(-1, \pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau})$  by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\widetilde{P}_{2r,r}, \pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau}, 0}$ .

In order to complete the proof of Proposition 5.3 in [GJR04], we find a technically more involved argument, which is not sensitive to which section to be taken in the subrepresentation  $\widetilde{I}_0(-1, \pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau})$  or even in the whole induced representation  $\widetilde{I}(-1, \pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau})$ .

Since  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(\widetilde{\Phi}) \in \widetilde{I}_0(-1, \pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau})$ , from the discussion above, there exists  $\widetilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\widetilde{P}_{2r,r}, \pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau}, 0}$ , such that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(\widetilde{\Phi}) = \widetilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau}} \gamma_\psi(\det) \exp\langle -1 + \rho_{\widetilde{P}_{2r,r}}, H_{\widetilde{P}_{2r,r}} \rangle.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(\widetilde{\Phi})(\widetilde{m}(a, b)vv^-(p_1)wk) \\ &= |\det a|^{-1+2r+\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_\psi(\det a) \widetilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau}}(\widetilde{m}(a, b)vv^-(p_1)wk). \end{aligned}$$

After carrying out the calculations from (4.32) to (4.35) of [GJR04], one obtains in Theorem 4.4 of [GJR04] that the period (0.1) is equal to a product that a constant  $\mathfrak{c}$  times the integral (4.35) of [GJR04] which is given by

$$(0.5) \quad \int_{K \times \text{Mat}_{r-l, 2r}(\mathbb{A}) \times \text{PM}_{2r, l}} \phi_{\pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}(mk) \mathcal{F}^\psi(\widetilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau}})(mv^-(p_1)wk) dmdp_1 dk,$$

where the function  $\mathcal{F}^\psi(\widetilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau}})(mv^-(p_1)wk)$  is defined as in (4.33) of [GJR04] by

$$(0.6) \quad \int_{[V_r, l]} \widetilde{\theta}_{\varphi_{2r+l, l}}^{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\widetilde{m}(b)k) \widetilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \widetilde{\tau}}(v\widetilde{m}(a, b)v^-(p_1)wk) \psi_{r-l}(v) dv,$$

the integration domain  $\text{PM}_{2r, l}$  is given by

$$(0.7) \quad \text{PM}_{2r, l} := (Z_{\text{GL}_{2r}}(\mathbb{A})\text{GL}_{2r}(F)\backslash\text{GL}_{2r}(\mathbb{A})) \times (\text{Sp}_{2l}(F)\backslash\text{Sp}_{2l}(\mathbb{A}))$$

as in (4.34) of [GJR04], and

$$(0.8) \quad \mathbf{c} = \frac{\text{vol}(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus F^\times)}{2rd}$$

with  $d$  being the number of the real archimedean places of the number field  $F$ . Recall from (4.29) of [GJR04] that  $\tilde{\theta}_{\varphi_{2r+l}, l}^{\psi^{-1}}$  is defined as follows:

$$(0.9) \quad \tilde{\theta}_{\varphi_{2r+l}, l}^{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\tilde{g}) = \sum_{\xi_l \in F^l} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\tilde{g})\varphi_{2r+l}((0, \xi_l)).$$

Recall that for each  $k \in K_{\text{Sp}_{4r+2l}}(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}$ ,  $\phi_k : m \mapsto \phi(mk)$  belongs to  $\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma$ , and for each  $k \in K_{\text{Sp}_{6r}}(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $\tilde{\phi} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{P}_{2r,r}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}}$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}_k : \tilde{m} \mapsto \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{m}k)$  belongs to  $\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}^{ss}$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}$  consisting of sections  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}$  which are factorizable and have the property that  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma, 1}$  is decomposable in  $\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma$ :

$$(0.10) \quad \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma, 1} = \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})} \otimes \phi_\sigma \in \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma,$$

where  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})} \in \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})$ ,  $\phi_\sigma \in \sigma$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{P}_{2r,r}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}, 0}^{ss}$  be the subset of  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{P}_{2r,r}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}, 0}$  consisting of sections  $\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}}$  which are factorizable and have the property that  $\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}, w}$  is decomposable in  $\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}$ :

$$(0.11) \quad \tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}, w} = \phi'_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})} \otimes \tilde{\phi}_{\tilde{\tau}} \in \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau},$$

where  $\phi'_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})} \in \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}_{\tilde{\tau}} \in \tilde{\tau}$ , and  $w$  is the Weyl element on p. 696 of [GJR04], see (0.4).

**Lemma 0.2.**  $\mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}^{ss}$  generates a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma$  is generated by pure tensors  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})} \otimes \phi_\sigma$ ,  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})} \in \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})$ ,  $\phi_\sigma \in \sigma$ , the set

$$\{\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma} | \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma, 1} \in \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma\}$$

is generated by the set

$$\{\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma} | \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma, 1} = \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})} \otimes \phi_\sigma \in \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma, \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})} \in \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}), \phi_\sigma \in \sigma\}.$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}^{ss}$  generates the subset of  $\mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}$  consisting of factorizable sections. Since factorizable sections generate a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}^{ss}$  generates a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}$ .  $\square$

**0.2. Proof of Proposition 5.3 of [GJR04].** We repeat the proof for Proposition 5.3 in [GJR04] and point out the place that needs a more technical argument, which is now taken care of by Proposition 0.3 below.

Recall from (5.2), (2.11), and (2.13) of [GJR04] that the period  $\mathcal{P}_{r,r-l}(\phi_\sigma, \tilde{\phi}_{\tilde{\tau}}, \varphi_l)$  equals

$$(0.12) \quad \int_{[\mathrm{Sp}_{2l}]} \phi_\sigma(g) \int_{[V_{r,l}]} \tilde{\theta}_{\varphi_l}^{\psi^{-1}}(\ell_l(v)\tilde{g}) \tilde{\phi}_{\tilde{\tau}}(v\tilde{g}) \psi_{r-l}(v) dv dg.$$

It defines a continuous functional on the space of

$$V_\sigma \otimes \left( \tilde{\Theta}_l^{\psi^{-1}} \otimes V_{\tilde{\tau}} \right)^{V_{r,l}, \psi_{r-l}},$$

where  $\tilde{\Theta}_l^{\psi^{-1}}$  is the space generated by the theta functions  $\tilde{\theta}_{\varphi_l}^{\psi^{-1}}$  with  $\varphi_l \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}^l)$  and  $(\tilde{\Theta}_l^{\psi^{-1}} \otimes V_{\tilde{\tau}})^{V_{r,l}, \psi_{r-l}}$  is the space generated by the Fourier-Jacobi coefficients of automorphic forms in  $\tilde{\tau}$ .

It is clear that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}^{2r+l}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}^{2r}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}^l)$ . If we take  $\varphi_{2r+l} = \varphi_{2r} \otimes \varphi_l$  (separation of variables), then we have

$$\tilde{\theta}_{\varphi_{2r+l}, l}^{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\tilde{g}) = \varphi_{2r}(\ell(\bar{p}_1)) \cdot \tilde{\theta}_{\varphi_l}^{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\tilde{g})$$

for  $\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2l}(\mathbb{A})$  (see (0.9) for the definition of  $\tilde{\theta}_{\varphi_{2r+l}, l}^{\psi^{-1}}$ ). For any fixed  $\varphi_{2r} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}^{2r})$ , we consider all Bruhat-Schwartz functions

$$\varphi_{2r+l} = \varphi_{2r} \otimes \varphi_l \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}^{2r+l}),$$

with  $\varphi_l \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}^l)$ . It follows that the space generated by  $\tilde{\theta}_{\varphi_{2r+l}, l}^{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\tilde{g})$  (with a fixed  $\varphi_{2r} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}^{2r})$  and all  $\varphi_l \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}^l)$ ) is the same as the space  $\tilde{\Theta}_l^{\psi^{-1}}$  (generated by all  $\tilde{\theta}_{\varphi_l}^{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\tilde{g})$ ) as automorphic representations of the Jacobi group  $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2l}(\mathbb{A}) \rtimes H_l(\mathbb{A})$ , where  $H_l$  is the Heisenberg group generated by all  $\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})$ . In the following we may assume that  $\varphi_{2r}$  is supported in a small neighborhood of zero.

It follows that the non-vanishing of the period  $\mathcal{P}_{r,r-l}(\phi_\sigma, \tilde{\phi}_{\tilde{\tau}}, \varphi_l)$  is equivalent to the non-vanishing of the following integral

$$\int_{[\mathrm{Sp}_{2l}]} \phi_\sigma(b) \int_{[V_{r,l}]} \tilde{\theta}_{\varphi_{2r+l}, l}^{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\tilde{b}) \tilde{\phi}_{\tilde{\tau}}(v\tilde{b}) \psi_{r-l}(v) dv db.$$

On the other hand, it is clear that the integral

$$\int_{Z_{\mathrm{GL}_{2r}}(\mathbb{A})\mathrm{GL}_{2r}(F)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_{2r}(\mathbb{A})} \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})}(a) \bar{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})}(a) da$$

is not zero for any choice of nonzero  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})}$ , where  $\bar{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})}$  is the complex conjugate of  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})}$ . Hence, combining the above two non-vanishing integrals, we obtain that the integral

$$(0.13) \quad \int_{\text{PM}_{2r,l}} \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}(m) \mathcal{F}^\psi(\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}})(mw) dm,$$

does not vanish for some choice of data  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma} \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}^{ss}$  and  $\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{P_{2r,r}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}, 0}^{ss}$  (by taking  $\phi'_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})}$  to be  $\bar{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau})}$  in (0.11)), where  $\text{PM}_{2r,l}$  is as in (0.7), and for  $m = m(a, b)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^\psi(\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}})(mw)$  is defined by

$$(0.14) \quad \int_{[V_r, l]} \tilde{\theta}_{\varphi_{2r+l, l}}^{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\tilde{m}(b)) \tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}}(\tilde{m}(a)v\tilde{m}(b)w) \psi_{r-l}(v) dv.$$

We claim that for any choice of  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma} \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}^{ss}$ , there exists  $\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{P_{2r,r}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}, 0}^{ss}$  such that the integral (0.13) does not vanish. Indeed, from the discussion above and the definitions of the sets  $\mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}^{ss}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{P_{2r,r}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}, 0}^{ss}$ , it suffices to show that for any choice of  $\phi_\sigma \in \sigma$ , there exists  $\tilde{\phi}_{\tilde{\tau}} \in \tilde{\tau}$  such that the period  $\mathcal{P}_{r,r-l}(\phi_\sigma, \tilde{\phi}_{\tilde{\tau}}, \varphi_l)$  is nonzero. This follows from the fact that since  $\sigma$  is irreducible, if the period  $\mathcal{P}_{r,r-l}(\phi_\sigma, \tilde{\phi}_{\tilde{\tau}}, \varphi_l)$  is nonzero for some choice of  $\phi_\sigma \in \sigma$  and  $\tilde{\phi}_{\tilde{\tau}} \in \tilde{\tau}$ , then the whole  $\sigma$  occurs in the descent module of  $\tilde{\tau}$  (for the definition of descent modules see [GRS11, Chapter 3]).

Next we consider the following inner integration from Proposition 0.1:

$$(0.15) \quad \int_{\text{Mat}_{r-l, 2r}(\mathbb{A}) \times \text{PM}_{2r,l}} \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}(m) \mathcal{F}^\psi(\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}})(mv^-(p_1)w) dm dp_1.$$

Recall from p. 697 of [GJR04] that the element  $v^-(p_1)$  belongs to a unipotent subgroup of  $\text{Sp}_{6r}$  consisting of elements of the form

$$v^-(p_1) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2r} & & & & & \\ p_1 & I_{r-l} & & & & \\ & & I_{2l} & & & \\ & & & I_{r-l} & & \\ & & & & p_1^* & I_{2r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Proposition 0.3 below and the claim above, for any choice of  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma} \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}^{ss}$ , there exists  $\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{P_{2r,r}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}, 0}^{ss}$  such that the integral (0.15) does not vanish. This is the place where the original argument in the proof of Proposition 5.3 of [GJR04] is not complete. Proposition 0.3 will be proved in Sections 0.3 – 0.5.

In order to prove finally the integral

$$\int_{K \times \text{Mat}_{r-l, 2r}(\mathbb{A}) \times \text{PM}_{2r, l}} \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}(mk) \mathcal{F}^\psi(\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}})(mv^-(p_1)wk) dmdp_1 dk$$

is nonzero for some choice of data, for  $k \in K$ , we set

$$\Psi(k) := \int_{\text{Mat}_{r-l, 2r}(\mathbb{A}) \times \text{PM}_{2r, l}} \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}(mk) \mathcal{F}^\psi(\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}})(mv^-(p_1)wk) dmdp_1.$$

According to the discussion above, under the assumption of the proposition, for any choice of  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma} \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{2r, l, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}}^{ss}$ , there exists  $\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{P}_{2r, r, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}, 0}}$  such that  $\Psi(k)$  is nonzero at  $k = 1$ , the identity. By Lemma 0.2,  $\mathcal{A}_{P_{2r, l, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}}^{ss}$  generates a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{A}_{P_{2r, l, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}}$ , hence we have the freedom on the  $K$ -support of the factorizable section  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}$ .

Therefore, at non-archimedean ramified local places  $v$ , we can choose a small support  $\Omega_v \subset K_v$  of  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}$  near the identity, such that

$$\Psi(k_\infty \cdot k_v) = \Psi(k_\infty).$$

At the archimedean local places  $v$ , by using the continuity at  $k = 1$  of  $\Psi(k)$ , there is a small support  $\Omega_\infty \subset K_\infty$  for  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}$  such that the integral

$$\int_K \Psi(k) dk = c_f \cdot \int_{\Omega_\infty} \Psi(k_\infty) dk_\infty \neq 0,$$

with a constant  $c_f$  depending on the ramified finite local places.

This completes the proof of Proposition 5.3 of [GJR04], up to proving Proposition 0.3 below.  $\square$

**Proposition 0.3.** *For any choice of data  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma} \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{2r, l, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}}^{ss}$ , there exists  $\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{P}_{2r, r, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}, 0}}$  such that the integral (0.15), which equals*

$$\int_{\text{Mat}_{r-l, 2r}(\mathbb{A}) \times \text{PM}_{2r, l}} \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}(m) \mathcal{F}^\psi(\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}})(mv^-(p_1)w) dmdp_1,$$

does not vanish.

The proof of this proposition will be given in following sections.

**0.3. The idea for proving Proposition 0.3.** In this section, we briefly introduce the idea for proving Proposition 0.3. First, we recall a lemma from [GRS11], which plays the same role as [GJR04, Lemma 4.2].

Let  $H$  be any  $F$ -quasisplit classical group, including the general linear group. Let  $C$  be an  $F$ -subgroup of a maximal unipotent subgroup



of  $H$ , and let  $\psi_C$  be a non-trivial character of  $[C] = C(F)\backslash C(\mathbb{A})$ .  $X, Y$  are two unipotent  $F$ -subgroups, satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $X$  and  $Y$  normalize  $C$ ;
- (2)  $X \cap C$  and  $Y \cap C$  are normal in  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively,  $(X \cap C)\backslash X$  and  $(Y \cap C)\backslash Y$  are abelian;
- (3)  $X(\mathbb{A})$  and  $Y(\mathbb{A})$  preserve  $\psi_C$ ;
- (4)  $\psi_C$  is trivial on  $(X \cap C)(\mathbb{A})$  and  $(Y \cap C)(\mathbb{A})$ ;
- (5)  $[X, Y] \subset C$ ;
- (6) there is a non-degenerate pairing  $(X \cap C)(\mathbb{A}) \times (Y \cap C)(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ , given by  $(x, y) \mapsto \psi_C([x, y])$ , which is multiplicative in each coordinate, and identifies  $(Y \cap C)(F)\backslash Y(F)$  with the dual of  $X(F)(X \cap C)(\mathbb{A})\backslash X(\mathbb{A})$ , and  $(X \cap C)(F)\backslash X(F)$  with the dual of  $Y(F)(Y \cap C)(\mathbb{A})\backslash Y(\mathbb{A})$ .

Let  $B = CY$  and  $D = CX$ , and extend  $\psi_C$  trivially to characters of  $[B] = B(F)\backslash B(\mathbb{A})$  and  $[D] = D(F)\backslash D(\mathbb{A})$ , which will be denoted by  $\psi_B$  and  $\psi_D$  respectively. When there is no confusion, we may denote  $\psi_B$  and  $\psi_D$  all by  $\psi_C$ .

**Lemma 0.4** (Lemma 7.1 of [GRS11]). *Assume that the quadruple  $(C, \psi_C, X, Y)$  satisfies all the above conditions. Let  $f$  be an automorphic form on  $H(\mathbb{A})$ . Then for any  $g \in H(\mathbb{A})$ ,*

$$\int_{[B]} f(vg)\psi_B^{-1}(v)dv = \int_{(Y \cap C)(\mathbb{A})\backslash Y(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[D]} f(uyg)\psi_D^{-1}(u)dudy.$$

The right hand side of the the above equality is convergent in the sense

$$\int_{(Y \cap C)(\mathbb{A})\backslash Y(\mathbb{A})} \left| \int_{[D]} f(uyg)\psi_D^{-1}(u)du \right| dy < \infty,$$

and this convergence is uniform as  $g$  varies in compact subsets of  $H(\mathbb{A})$ .

**The idea for proving Proposition 0.3.**

First, based on the discussion in Section 0.2, for any choice of  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma} \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}^{ss}$ , there exists  $\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{P_{2r,r}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}, 0}^{ss}$  such that the integral (0.13):

$$(0.16) \quad \int_{\text{PM}_{2r,l}} \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}(m) \mathcal{F}^\psi(\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}})(mw) dm,$$

does not vanish, where  $\text{PM}_{2r,l}$  is as in (0.7), and for  $m = m(a, b)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^\psi(\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}})(mw)$  is defined in (0.14).

The proof of Proposition 0.3 briefly consists of the following 4 steps.

- (1) Reversing the calculations from (4.29) – (4.35) and reversing the step of taking Fourier expansion of  $\tilde{E}_1$  along  $[\mathcal{C}_1]$  as in [GJR04,

Section 4], we can transform the integral (0.16) to a nonzero constant times the residue at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  of a multiple integral over  $[M]$  and  $[V^{(1)}]$  (see (0.18), (0.31) below).

- (2) Note that  $V^{(1)} = \prod_{n=2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_n V^{(0)}$ , we consider the integral over  $[V^{(1)}]$ . Applying Lemma 0.4 repeatedly to exchange roots from  $\prod_{i=2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i$  to  $\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j$ , we obtain a multiple integral over  $\prod_{i=2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $[\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j]$  and  $[V^{(0)}]$  (see (0.20), (0.33) below). Combining with the outer integral over  $[M]$ , after changing of variables, we obtain a non-vanishing multiple integral over  $\prod_{i=2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $[M]$ ,  $[\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j]$  and  $[V^{(0)}]$  (see (0.22), (0.34) below). Then we drop the outer integral over  $\prod_{i=2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i(\mathbb{A})$ . Clearly the inner multiple integral over  $[M]$ ,  $[\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j]$  and  $[V^{(0)}]$  is non-vanishing.
- (3) Then we consider the non-vanishing inner multiple integral over  $[\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j]$  and  $[V^{(0)}]$ . Applying Lemma 0.4 repeatedly to exchange roots from  $\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j$  to  $\prod_{i=2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i$ , we obtain a multiple integral over  $\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $[\prod_{i=2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i]$  and  $[V^{(0)}]$  (see (0.25), (0.37) below). Combining with the outer integral over  $[M]$ , after changing of variables, we obtain a non-vanishing multiple integral over  $\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $[M]$ ,  $[\prod_{i=2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i]$  and  $[V^{(0)}]$  (see (0.27), (0.39) below). Note that  $\prod_{i=2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i V^{(0)} = V^{(1)}$ .
- (4) By choosing appropriate  $\varphi_{2r} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}^{2r})$ , we obtain a non-vanishing multiple integral over  $\prod_{j=1}^{r-l} \mathcal{R}_j(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $[M]$  and  $[V^{(1)}]$  (see (0.28), (0.40) below). Note that  $\prod_{j=1}^{r-l} \mathcal{R}_j(\mathbb{A})$  is exactly the group  $\text{Mat}_{r-l, 2r}(\mathbb{A})$ . After taking Fourier expansion of  $\tilde{E}_1$  along  $[\mathcal{C}_1]$  and the calculations from (4.29) – (4.35) as in [GJR04, Section 4], we obtain a non-vanishing integral which is exactly a product of a nonzero constant with the integral in (0.15), for  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}$  and some right translation of  $\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}}$ .

**0.4. Proof of Proposition 0.3: special case  $l = 1, r = 3$ .** In this section, we prove Proposition 0.3 for the first non-trivial case:  $l = 1, r = 3$ .

We start from the non-vanishing integral (0.16). Reversing the calculations from (4.29) – (4.35) as in [GJR04, Section 4], the integral (0.16) is equal to  $\frac{1}{c}$  times the residue at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  of

$$(0.17) \quad \int_{[M]} \Phi^c(m, s, \phi) |\det a|^{-\frac{21}{2}} \int_{[V_{3,1}]} \sum_{\xi_1 \in F^1} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\tilde{m})$$

$$\varphi_7((0, \xi_1)) \tilde{E}_{1, \tilde{P}_{6,3}}(v \tilde{m} w, \tilde{\phi}) \psi_2(v) dv dm,$$

where  $\mathbf{c}$  is as in (0.8).

Reversing the step of taking Fourier expansion of  $\tilde{E}_1$  along  $[\mathcal{C}_1]$  as in [GJR04, Section 4], the integral (0.17) is equal to

$$(0.18) \quad \int_{[M]} \Phi^c(m, s, \phi) |\det a|^{-\frac{21}{2}} \int_{[V^{(1)}]} \sum_{\xi_1 \in F^1} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z}) \tilde{m}) \\ \varphi_7((0, \xi_1)) \tilde{E}_1(v^{(1)} \tilde{m} w, \tilde{\phi}) \psi_2(v^{(1)}) dv^{(1)} dm.$$

Recall that  $V^{(1)}$  consists of elements of the type

$$v^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} I_6 & q & y & p_3^* & z' \\ & n & p_2 & z & p_3 \\ & & I_2 & p_2^* & y^* \\ & & & n^* & q^* \\ & & & & I_6 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $q \in \text{Mat}_{6,2}$  with the first column being zero.

Recall that  $V^{(0)}$  consists of elements of the type

$$v^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} I_6 & 0 & y & p_3^* & z' \\ & n & p_2 & z & p_3 \\ & & I_2 & p_2^* & y^* \\ & & & n^* & 0 \\ & & & & I_6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

And for  $1 \leq t \leq 2$ ,

$$\mathcal{C}_t = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_6 & q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & I_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & I_2 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & I_2 & q^* \\ & & & & I_6 \end{pmatrix} : q \in \text{Mat}_{6,2}, q_{i,j} = 0, j \neq t \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{R}_t = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p & I_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & I_2 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & I_2 & 0 \\ & & & & p^* \\ & & & & I_6 \end{pmatrix} : p \in \text{Mat}_{2,6}, q_{i,j} = 0, i \neq t \right\}.$$

Note that  $V^{(1)} = \mathcal{C}_2 V^{(0)}$ . Next, we consider the integral over  $[V^{(1)}]$  and apply Lemma 0.4 to exchange the roots from  $\mathcal{C}_2$  to  $\mathcal{R}_1$ . It is easy to see that the quadruple

$$(V^{(0)}, \psi_2, \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$$

satisfies all the conditions in Lemma 0.4. Hence, applying Lemma 0.4 to the quadruple  $(V^{(0)}, \psi_2, \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$ , the integral

$$(0.19) \quad \int_{[V^{(1)}]} \sum_{\xi_1 \in F^1} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\tilde{m}) \varphi_7((0, \xi_1)) \\ \tilde{E}_1(v^{(1)}\tilde{m}w, \tilde{\phi})\psi_2(v^{(1)})dv^{(1)}$$

is equal to

$$(0.20) \quad \int_{\mathcal{C}_2(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[\mathcal{R}_1]} \int_{[V^{(0)}]} \sum_{\xi_1 \in F^1} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\tilde{m}) \varphi_7((0, \xi_1)) \\ \tilde{E}_1(v^{(0)}v^-(p_1)v\tilde{m}w, \tilde{\phi})\psi_2(v^{(0)})dv^{(0)}dp_1dv.$$

Hence, the integral (0.18) is equal to

$$(0.21) \quad \int_{[M]} \Phi^c(m, s, \phi) |\det a|^{-\frac{21}{2}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_2(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[\mathcal{R}_1]} \int_{[V^{(0)}]} \sum_{\xi_1 \in F^1} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\tilde{m}) \\ \varphi_7((0, \xi_1)) \tilde{E}_1(v^{(0)}v^-(p_1)v\tilde{m}w, \tilde{\phi})\psi_2(v^{(0)})dv^{(0)}dp_1dvdm.$$

Since  $[M]$  normalizes the group  $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathbb{A})$ , after changing of variables, we obtain the following non-vanishing integral

$$(0.22) \quad \int_{\mathcal{C}_2(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[M]} \Phi^c(m, s, \phi) |\det a|^{-\frac{23}{2}} \int_{[\mathcal{R}_1]} \int_{[V^{(0)}]} \sum_{\xi_1 \in F^1} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\tilde{m}) \\ \varphi_7((0, \xi_1)) \tilde{E}_1(v^{(0)}v^-(p_1)\tilde{m}w(w^{-1}vw), \tilde{\phi})\psi_2(v^{(0)})dv^{(0)}dp_1dmdv.$$

Therefore, as an inner integral, the following integral is non-vanishing

$$(0.23) \quad \int_{[M]} \Phi^c(m, s, \phi) |\det a|^{-\frac{23}{2}} \int_{[\mathcal{R}_1]} \int_{[V^{(0)}]} \sum_{\xi_1 \in F^1} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\tilde{m}) \\ \varphi_7((0, \xi_1)) \tilde{E}_1(v^{(0)}v^-(p_1)\tilde{m}wg, \tilde{\phi})\psi_2(v^{(0)})dv^{(0)}dp_1dm,$$

where  $g = w^{-1}vw$ , for some  $v \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbb{A})$ .

Now, we consider the multiple integral over  $[\mathcal{R}_1]$  and  $[V^{(0)}]$ , and apply Lemma 0.4 to exchange the roots from  $\mathcal{R}_1$  to  $\mathcal{C}_2$ . Precisely, applying Lemma 0.4 to the quadruple  $(V^{(0)}, \psi_2, \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{R}_1)$  (which also satisfies all the conditions in Lemma 0.4), the integral

$$(0.24) \quad \int_{[\mathcal{R}_1]} \int_{[V^{(0)}]} \sum_{\xi_1 \in F^1} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\tilde{m}) \varphi_7((0, \xi_1)) \\ \tilde{E}_1(v^{(0)}v^-(p_1)\tilde{m}wg, \tilde{\phi})\psi_2(v^{(0)})dv^{(0)}dp_1$$

is equal to

$$(0.25) \quad \int_{\mathcal{R}_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[V^{(1)}]} \sum_{\xi_1 \in F^1} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\tilde{m})\varphi_7((0, \xi_1)) \\ \tilde{E}_1(v^{(1)}v^-(p_1)\tilde{m}wg, \tilde{\phi})\psi_2(v^{(1)})dv^{(1)}dp_1.$$

Hence, the integral (0.23) is equal to

$$(0.26) \quad \int_{[M]} \Phi^c(m, s, \phi)|\det a|^{-\frac{23}{2}} \int_{\mathcal{R}_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[V^{(1)}]} \sum_{\xi_1 \in F^1} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\tilde{m})\varphi_7((0, \xi_1)) \\ \tilde{E}_1(v^{(1)}v^-(p_1)\tilde{m}wg, \tilde{\phi})\psi_2(v^{(1)})dv^{(1)}dp_1dm.$$

Since  $[M]$  normalizes  $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathbb{A})$ , after changing of variables, we obtain the following non-vanishing integral

$$(0.27) \quad \int_{\mathcal{R}_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[M]} \Phi^c(m, s, \phi)|\det a|^{-\frac{21}{2}} \int_{[V^{(1)}]} \sum_{\xi_1 \in F^1} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\tilde{m}\ell(\bar{p}_1)) \\ \varphi_7((0, \xi_1))\tilde{E}_1(v^{(1)}\tilde{m}v^-(p_1)wg, \tilde{\phi})\psi_2(v^{(1)})dv^{(1)}dmdp_1.$$

By choosing appropriate  $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}^6)$ , the integral (0.27) is non-vanishing if and only if the following integral is non-vanishing

$$(0.28) \quad \int_{\mathcal{R}_1\mathcal{R}_2(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[M]} \Phi^c(m, s, \phi)|\det a|^{-\frac{21}{2}} \int_{[V^{(1)}]} \sum_{\xi_1 \in F^1} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\tilde{m}\ell(\bar{p}_1)) \\ \varphi_7((0, \xi_1))\tilde{E}_1(v^{(1)}\tilde{m}v^-(p_1)wg, \tilde{\phi})\psi_2(v^{(1)})dv^{(1)}dmdp_1.$$

After taking Fourier expansion of  $\tilde{E}_1$  along  $[\mathcal{C}_1]$ , arguing as in [GJR04, Section 4], the integral (0.28) is equal to

$$(0.29) \quad \int_{\mathcal{R}_1\mathcal{R}_2(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[M]} \Phi^c(m, s, \phi)|\det a|^{-\frac{21}{2}} \int_{[V_{3,1}]} \sum_{\xi_1 \in F^1} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\tilde{m}\ell(\bar{p}_1)) \\ \varphi_7((0, \xi_1))\tilde{E}_{1, P_{6,3}}(v\tilde{m}v^-(p_1)wg, \tilde{\phi})\psi_2(v)dv dmdp_1.$$

Then, following the calculations from (4.29) – (4.35) in [GJR04], we obtain that the following integral

$$\mathfrak{c} \int_{\text{Mat}_{2,6}(\mathbb{A}) \times \text{PM}_{6,1}} \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}(m)\mathcal{F}^\psi(R(g)\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}})(mv^-(p_1)w)dmdp_1$$

does not vanish, where  $R(g)$  is the right translation operator. Hence, for  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma} \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{2r,l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}^{ss}$ ,  $R(g)\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{P}_{2r,r}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}, 0}$  would suffice, in order for the integral (0.15) to be non-vanishing.

This completes the proof of Proposition 0.3 for the special case  $l = 1$ ,  $r = 3$ .  $\square$

**0.5. Proof of Proposition 0.3: the general case.** In this section, we prove Proposition 0.3 for the general case.

Again, we start from the non-vanishing integral (0.16). Reversing the calculations from (4.29) – (4.35) as in [GJR04, Section 4], the integral (0.16) is equal to  $\frac{1}{\mathfrak{c}}$  times the residue at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  of

$$(0.30) \quad \int_{[M]} \Phi^c(m, s, \phi) |\det a|^{-3r-l-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{[V_{r,l}]} \sum_{\xi_l \in F^l} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\tilde{m}) \varphi_{2r+l}((0, \xi_l)) \\ \tilde{E}_{1, \tilde{P}_{2r,r}}(v\tilde{m}w, \tilde{\phi}) \psi_{r-l}(v) dv dm,$$

where again  $\mathfrak{c}$  is as in (0.8).

Reversing the step of taking Fourier expansion of  $\tilde{E}_1$  along  $[C_1]$ , the integral (0.30) is equal to

$$(0.31) \quad \int_{[M]} \Phi^c(m, s, \phi) |\det a|^{-3r-l-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{[V^{(1)}]} \sum_{\xi_l \in F^l} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\tilde{m}) \varphi_{2r+l}((0, \xi_l)) \\ \tilde{E}_1(v^{(1)}\tilde{m}w, \tilde{\phi}) \psi_{r-l}(v^{(1)}) dv^{(1)} dm.$$

Recall that  $V^{(1)}$  consists of elements of the type

$$v^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2r} & q & y & p_3^* & z' \\ & n & p_2 & z & p_3 \\ & & I_{2l} & p_2^* & y^* \\ & & & n^* & q^* \\ & & & & I_{2r} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $q \in \text{Mat}_{2r, r-l}$  with the first column being zero.

Recall that  $V^{(0)}$  consists of elements of the type

$$v^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2r} & 0 & y & p_3^* & z' \\ & n & p_2 & z & p_3 \\ & & I_{2l} & p_2^* & y^* \\ & & & n^* & 0 \\ & & & & I_{2r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

And for  $1 \leq t \leq r - l$ ,

$$\mathcal{C}_t = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_{2r} & q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & I_{r-l} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & I_{2l} & 0 & 0 \\ & & & I_{r-l} & q^* \\ & & & & I_{2r} \end{pmatrix} : q \in \text{Mat}_{2r, r-l}, q_{i,j} = 0, j \neq t \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{R}_t = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_{2r} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p & I_{r-l} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & I_{2l} & 0 & 0 \\ & & & I_{r-l} & 0 \\ & & & p^* & I_{2r} \end{pmatrix} : p \in \text{Mat}_{r-l, 2r}, q_{i,j} = 0, i \neq t \right\}.$$

Note that  $V^{(1)} = \prod_{i=2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i V^{(0)}$ . Next, we consider the integral over  $[V^{(1)}]$  and apply Lemma 0.4 repeatedly to exchange roots from  $\prod_{i=2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i$  to  $\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j$ . First, one can see that the quadruples

$$\left( \prod_{j=1}^{t-1} \mathcal{R}_j \prod_{i=t+2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i V^{(0)}, \psi_{r-l}, \mathcal{R}_t, \mathcal{C}_{t+1} \right), 1 \leq t \leq r - l - 1,$$

satisfy all the conditions in Lemma 0.4. Hence, applying Lemma 0.4 to the following ordered sequence of quadruples

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \prod_{i=3}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i V^{(0)}, \psi_{r-l}, \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{C}_2 \right), \\ & \left( \mathcal{R}_1 \prod_{i=4}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i V^{(0)}, \psi_{r-l}, \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{C}_3 \right), \\ & \dots \\ & \left( \prod_{j=1}^{t-1} \mathcal{R}_j \prod_{i=t+2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i V^{(0)}, \psi_{r-l}, \mathcal{R}_t, \mathcal{C}_{t+1} \right), \\ & \dots \\ & \left( \prod_{j=1}^{r-l-2} \mathcal{R}_j V^{(0)}, \psi_{r-l}, \mathcal{R}_{r-l-1}, \mathcal{C}_{r-l} \right), \end{aligned}$$

the integral

$$(0.32) \quad \int_{[V^{(1)}]} \sum_{\xi_l \in F^l} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\tilde{m}) \varphi_{2r+l}((0, \xi_l)) \tilde{E}_1(v^{(1)} \tilde{m} w, \tilde{\phi}) \psi_{r-l}(v^{(1)}) dv^{(1)}$$

is equal to

$$(0.33) \quad \int_{\prod_{i=2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j]} \int_{[V^{(0)}]} \sum_{\xi_l \in F^l} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\tilde{m}) \varphi_{2r+l}((0, \xi_l)) \\ \tilde{E}_1(v^{(0)}v^-(p_1)v\tilde{m}w, \tilde{\phi})\psi_{r-l}(v^{(0)})dv^{(0)}dp_1dv.$$

Since  $M$  normalizes the group  $\prod_{i=2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i$ , after changing of variables, we obtain the following non-vanishing integral

$$(0.34) \quad \int_{\prod_{i=2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[M]} \Phi^c(m, s, \phi) |\det a|^{-4r+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{[\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j]} \int_{[V^{(0)}]} \sum_{\xi_l \in F^l} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\tilde{m}) \\ \varphi_{2r+l}((0, \xi_l)) \tilde{E}_1(v^{(0)}v^-(p_1)\tilde{m}w(w^{-1}vw), \tilde{\phi})\psi_{r-l}(v^{(0)})dv^{(0)}dp_1dm dv.$$

Therefore, as an inner integral, the following integral is non-vanishing

$$(0.35) \quad \int_{[M]} \Phi^c(m, s, \phi) |\det a|^{-4r+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{[\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j]} \int_{[V^{(0)}]} \sum_{\xi_l \in F^l} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\tilde{m}) \\ \varphi_{2r+l}((0, \xi_l)) \tilde{E}_1(v^{(0)}v^-(p_1)\tilde{m}wg, \tilde{\phi})\psi_{r-l}(v^{(0)})dv^{(0)}dp_1dm,$$

where  $g = w^{-1}vw$ , for some  $v \in \prod_{i=2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i(\mathbb{A})$ .

Now, we consider the multiple integral over  $[\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j]$  and  $[V^{(0)}]$ , and apply Lemma 0.4 repeatedly to exchange roots from  $\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j$  to  $\prod_{i=2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i$ . One can see that the quadruples

$$\left( \prod_{i=2}^t \mathcal{C}_m \prod_{j=t+1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j V^{(0)}, \psi_{r-l}, \mathcal{C}_{t+1}, \mathcal{R}_t \right), 1 \leq t \leq r-l-1,$$

also satisfy all the conditions in Lemma 0.4. Hence, applying Lemma 0.4 to the following ordered sequence of quadruples

$$\left( \prod_{j=2}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j V^{(0)}, \psi_{r-l}, \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{R}_1 \right), \\ \left( \mathcal{C}_2 \prod_{j=3}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j V^{(0)}, \psi_{r-l}, \mathcal{C}_3, \mathcal{R}_2 \right), \\ \dots \\ \left( \prod_{i=2}^t \mathcal{C}_i \prod_{j=t+1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j V^{(0)}, \psi_{r-l}, \mathcal{C}_{t+1}, \mathcal{R}_t \right), \\ \dots$$



$$\left( \prod_{i=2}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{C}_i V^{(0)}, \psi_{r-l}, \mathcal{C}_{r-l}, \mathcal{R}_{r-l-1} \right),$$

the integral

$$(0.36) \quad \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j} \int_{[V^{(0)}]} \sum_{\xi_l \in F^l} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\tilde{m}) \varphi_{2r+l}((0, \xi_l)) \\ \tilde{E}_1(v^{(0)}v^-(p_1)\tilde{m}wg, \tilde{\phi})\psi_{r-l}(v^{(0)})dv^{(0)}dp_1$$

is equal to

$$(0.37) \quad \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j(\mathbb{A})} \int_{\prod_{i=2}^{r-l} \mathcal{C}_i} \int_{[V^{(0)}]} \sum_{\xi_l \in F^l} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\tilde{m}) \varphi_{2r+l}((0, \xi_l)) \\ \tilde{E}_1(v^{(0)}vv^-(p_1)\tilde{m}wg, \tilde{\phi})\psi_{r-l}(v^{(0)})dv^{(0)}dvd p_1.$$

Hence, the integral (0.35) becomes

$$(0.38) \quad \int_{[M]} \Phi^c(m, s, \phi) |\det a|^{-4r+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[V^{(1)}]} \sum_{\xi_l \in F^l} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\ell(\bar{p}_1)\tilde{m}) \\ \varphi_{2r+l}((0, \xi_l)) \tilde{E}_1(v^{(1)}v^-(p_1)\tilde{m}wg, \tilde{\phi})\psi_{r-l}(v^{(1)})dv^{(1)}dp_1 dm.$$

Since  $M$  normalizes the group  $\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j$ , after changing of variables, we obtain the following non-vanishing integral

$$(0.39) \quad \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{r-l-1} \mathcal{R}_j(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[M]} \Phi^c(m, s, \phi) |\det a|^{-3r-l-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{[V^{(1)}]} \sum_{\xi_l \in F^l} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\tilde{m}\ell(\bar{p}_1)) \\ \varphi_{2r+l}((0, \xi_l)) \tilde{E}_1(v^{(1)}\tilde{m}v^-(p_1)wg, \tilde{\phi})\psi_{r-l}(v^{(1)})dv^{(1)}dmdp_1.$$

By choosing appropriate  $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}^{2r})$ , the following integral is also non-vanishing

$$(0.40) \quad \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{r-l} \mathcal{R}_j(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[M]} \Phi^c(m, s, \phi) |\det a|^{-3r-l-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{[V^{(1)}]} \sum_{\xi_l \in F^l} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\tilde{m}\ell(\bar{p}_1)) \\ \varphi_{2r+l}((0, \xi_l)) \tilde{E}_1(v^{(1)}\tilde{m}v^-(p_1)wg, \tilde{\phi})\psi_{r-l}(v^{(1)})dv^{(1)}dmdp_1.$$

After taking Fourier expansion of  $\tilde{E}_1$  along  $[\mathcal{C}_1]$ , arguing as in [GJR04, Section 4], the integral (0.40) is equal to

$$(0.41) \quad \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{r-l} \mathcal{R}_j(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[M]} \Phi^c(m, s, \phi) |\det a|^{-3r-l-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{[V_{r,l}]} \sum_{\xi_l \in F^l} \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(\ell(\bar{p}_2, \bar{z})\tilde{m}\ell(\bar{p}_1))$$

$$\varphi_{2r+l}((0, \xi_l)) \tilde{E}_{1, \tilde{P}_{2r,r}}(v\tilde{m}v^-(p_1)wg, \tilde{\phi})\psi_{r-l}(v)dvdmdp_1.$$

Then, following the calculations from (4.29) – (4.35) as in [GJR04, Section 4], we obtain that the following integral

$$\mathbf{c} \int_{\text{Mat}_{r-l, 2r}(\mathbb{A}) \times \text{PM}_{2r, l}} \phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}(m) \mathcal{F}^\psi(R(g)\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}})(mv^-(p_1)w)dmdp_1,$$

does not vanish, where  $R(g)$  is the right translation operator. Hence, for  $\phi_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma} \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{2r, l}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \sigma}^{ss}$ ,  $R(g)\tilde{\phi}_{\pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{P}_{2r, r}, \pi_\psi(\tilde{\tau}) \otimes \tilde{\tau}, 0}$  would suffice, in order for the integral (0.15) to be non-vanishing.

This completes the proof of Proposition 0.3.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** We thank A. Ichino, E. Lapid, D. Soudry, S. Yamana, and L. Zhang for helpful discussions on the issue related to the previous proof of Proposition 5.3 in [GJR04].

#### REFERENCES

- [GJR04] D. Ginzburg, D. Jiang, and S. Rallis, *On the non-vanishing of the central value of the Rankin-Selberg L-functions*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), no. 3, 679–722.
- [GRS11] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, and D. Soudry, *The descent map from automorphic representations of  $\text{GL}(n)$  to classical groups*, World Scientific Press (2011).

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455, USA

*Email address:* dhjiang@math.umn.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47906, USA

*Email address:* liu2053@purdue.edu